



# National Institute of Standards & Technology

## Certificate of Analysis

### Standard Reference Material<sup>®</sup> 3242

#### *Ephedra sinica* Stapf Commercial Extract

Standard Reference Material (SRM) 3242 is intended primarily for use in validating analytical methods for the determination of ephedrine alkaloids and toxic elements in *Ephedra sinica* extracts and similar matrices. This SRM can also be used for quality assurance when assigning values to in-house control materials. SRM 3242 is part of a suite of ephedra dietary supplement SRMs that have been developed to cover a range of natural matrices and ephedrine alkaloid levels. A unit of SRM 3242 consists of ten bottles of extract, each containing approximately 1.2 g of material.

**Certified Concentration Values:** A NIST certified value is a value for which NIST has the highest confidence in its accuracy in that all known or suspected sources of bias have been investigated or accounted for [1]. The certified concentration values of selected ephedrine alkaloids and elements are provided in Tables 1 and 2. Values were derived from the combination of results provided by NIST and collaborating laboratories. The certified values in this material are the equally weighted means of the individual sets of NIST results and the means of the individual sets of measurements made by collaborating laboratories; the associated uncertainties are expanded uncertainties at the 95 % level of confidence [2,3]. Values are reported on a dry-mass basis in mass fraction units [4].

**Reference Concentration Values:** A NIST reference value is a noncertified value that is the best estimate of the true value based on available data; however, the value does not meet the NIST criteria for certification [1] and is provided with associated uncertainties that may reflect only measurement reproducibility, may not include all sources of uncertainty, or may reflect a lack of sufficient statistical agreement among multiple analytical methods. Reference concentration values for additional ephedrine alkaloids and elements are provided in Tables 3 and 4.

**Expiration of Value Assignment:** The value assignment of this SRM is valid until **31 March 2014**, within the measurement uncertainties specified, provided the SRM is handled and stored in accordance with the instructions given in this certificate. Value assignment is nullified if the SRM is damaged, contaminated, or modified.

**Maintenance of SRM Value Assignment:** NIST will monitor this SRM over the period of its value assignment. If substantive technical changes occur that affect the value assignment before the expiration of this certificate, NIST will notify the purchaser. Registration (see attached sheet) will facilitate notification.

The development of SRM 3242 was a collaboration among the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST); the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Office of Dietary Supplements (ODS); and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN) and FDA, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER).

The coordination of the technical measurements leading to the certification of this SRM was performed by L.C. Sander, K.E. Sharpless, and S.A. Wise of the NIST Analytical Chemistry Division.

Acquisition and preparation of the material were coordinated by A. NguyenPho of FDA CDER and K.E. Sharpless of the NIST Analytical Chemistry Division.

Stephen A. Wise, Chief  
Analytical Chemistry Division

Robert L. Watters, Jr., Chief  
Measurement Services Division

Gaithersburg, MD 20899  
Certificate Issue Date: 19 June 2006

Analytical measurements at NIST were performed by T.A. Butler, T. Ihara, S.E. Long, E.A. Mackey, K.E. Murphy, K.W. Phinney, B.J. Porter, L.C. Sander, M.B. Satterfield, R.D. Vocke, Jr., and L.J. Wood of the NIST Analytical Chemistry Division. Analyses for value assignment were also performed by C. Scriver and L. Yang of the National Research Council Canada (Ottawa, ON); D.L. Anderson, J. Cheng, M.L. Gay, and W. Mindak at the FDA's Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (College Park, MD); and S. Mitvalsky and M. Roman at ChromaDex, Inc. (Clearwater, FL).

Support for the development of SRM 3242 was provided in part by NIH ODS, FDA CFSAN, and FDA CDER. Technical consultation from these agencies was provided by J. Betz (NIH-ODS), A. NguyenPho (FDA CDER), and G. Ziobro (FDA CFSAN).

Statistical analysis was provided by J.H. Yen of the NIST Statistical Engineering Division.

The support aspects involved in the issuance of this SRM were coordinated through the NIST Measurement Services Division.

### **NOTICE AND WARNING TO USERS**

**Storage:** The material should be stored at controlled room temperature (20 °C to 25 °C) in its unopened bottle until required for use.

**WARNING: FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY. NOT FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION.**

### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE**

Prior to removal of a test portion for analysis, the contents of a bottle of material should be mixed thoroughly. The concentrations of constituents in SRM 3242 are reported on a dry-mass basis. A separate subsample of the SRM should be removed from its bottle at the time of analysis and dried to determine the concentration based on dry mass. (See "NIST Determination of Moisture," below, for drying conditions.) Test portions used for NIST analyses, described below, were 0.15 g for ephedrine alkaloids, 0.2 g for arsenic and mercury, and 1 g for cadmium and lead.

**Note 1:** Samples of the extract were originally packaged as a powder; however, over time the extract may become a single solid pellet or several solid pieces. For hardened samples, an appropriate portion should be removed and subdivided using a knife. The certified and reference values for composition provided in Tables 1 through 4 are valid independent of the sample consistency.

**Note 2:** In accordance with the requirements of Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 1309 and 1310 (21 CFR 1309 and 1310), NIST is registered with the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) to distribute this material, which is classified as a List 1 chemical. Analytical laboratories are not required to register with the DEA to purchase and handle this material (21 CFR 1309.21). (For more information, see <http://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov>.)

### **PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS<sup>1</sup>**

#### **Material Acquisition and Preparation**

A single year's harvest of *Ephedra sinica* Stapf was acquired from a field in China in 2002 from Jinke Group USA, Inc. (Diamond Bar, CA) through Modern Nutrition and Biotech (Appleton, WI). The crop was examined by a Chinese taxonomist (Xian-Chun Zhang, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China) who verified its identity, and representative herbarium specimens were collected at time of flower and shipped with the dried botanical following harvest in the same year. The herbarium sheets were deposited at the herbarium of FDA (CFSAN, College Park, MD; FDA Accession No. 1221) and the Missouri Botanical Garden (St. Louis, MO; Herbarium Sheet No. 5827116; [http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/diversity/herbarium/compendium\\_model.aspx?id=3](http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/diversity/herbarium/compendium_model.aspx?id=3); click on *Ephedra*

---

<sup>1</sup>Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this report to specify adequately the experimental procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

*sinica* Specimen 1). While still in China, the plant material (aerial parts) was dried, powdered, sieved to 177  $\mu\text{m}$  (80 mesh), and sterilized using a 6 kGy dose of  $^{60}\text{Co}$ . Approximately 100 kg of the dried powdered plant material was shipped to NIST and processed as the powdered botanical raw material (SRM 3240). The remainder of the biomass was extracted in China with hot water under pressure, and the resulting extract was used in the production of the “native extract” (SRM 3241) and the “commercial extract” (SRM 3242). A portion of the water extract was filtered, concentrated, and spray-dried to produce the native extract (SRM 3241). A second portion of the water extract was filtered, concentrated, and then fortified with ephedrine to yield nominally 8 % total ephedrine alkaloids prior to spray drying to produce the commercial extract (SRM 3242). Approximately 15 kg of each of the extracts were shipped to NIST.

The commercial extract (SRM 3242) was subsequently transferred to ChromaDex, Inc. (Santa Ana, CA), where it was blended and bottled under nitrogen in amber, high-density polyethylene bottles with polypropylene screw caps. After bottling, the material was irradiated by  $^{60}\text{Co}$  to an absorbed dose of 12.8 kGy to 15.4 kGy.

### **Analytical Approach for Determination of Ephedrine Alkaloids**

Value assignment of the concentrations of the ephedrine alkaloids in SRM 3242 was based on the combination of measurements from different analytical methods at NIST and at two collaborating laboratories. A total of six sets of measurements were used for the value assignment of the concentrations of ephedrine alkaloids. NIST provided measurements by using a combination of two sample extraction procedures and three liquid chromatography (LC) methods with different detection, i.e., ultraviolet absorbance spectrometry (UV), mass spectrometry (MS), tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), and a capillary electrophoresis (CE) method as described below. Results for ephedrine alkaloids were provided by two collaborating laboratories: FDA and ChromaDex. FDA results were based on LC/MS/MS [5], and ChromaDex results were based on LC/UV [6]. One collaborating laboratory analyzed a subsample from each of six bottles; one laboratory analyzed one subsample from three bottles of SRM 3242. The analytical methods for NIST and the collaborating laboratories are described in detail in reference 7.

### **NIST Analyses for Ephedrine Alkaloids**

Ephedrine alkaloids were measured by using combinations of two sample preparation methods, three LC methods, and one CE method as described below and in detail in reference 7. Four independently prepared calibrants were used for each of the methods. Calibrants were prepared gravimetrically, at levels intended to approximate the levels of the alkaloids in the extracts of the SRM. A single internal standard solution was used for the calibrants and samples. Calculations are based on average response factors for the calibrants (typically duplicate analysis of four calibrant solutions,  $n = 8$ ).

**Sonication Extraction:** Six 0.15 g portions of the SRM were placed in 50 mL polyethylene centrifuge tubes or glass pressurized-fluid extraction tubes, followed by the addition of a measured mass of internal standard solution. Approximately 30 g of methanol was added to the tubes, and the tubes were capped. The solid matter was suspended by shaking, and the tubes were placed in an ultrasonic bath for 90 min. At the completion of the sonication extraction, the samples were centrifuged or allowed to settle, and an aliquot of the supernatant solution was passed through a 0.45  $\mu\text{m} \times 2.5$  cm syringe filter. Samples prepared by this approach were analyzed by LC/UV or LC/MS/MS.

**Soxhlet Extraction:** Ten 0.15 g portions of the SRM were weighed into glass-fritted Soxhlet thimbles, each containing approximately a 1 cm layer of diatomaceous earth (Hydromatrix, Isco Corp., Lincoln, NE). After stirring the sample, additional diatomaceous earth was added (approximately 1 cm). A measured mass of internal standard solution (ephedrine- $d_3$ ) was transferred to the Soxhlet thimble. The samples were extracted with approximately 200 mL methanol for at least 18 h. Extracts were concentrated, passed through a 0.45  $\mu\text{m} \times 2.5$  cm syringe filter, and analyzed. Samples prepared by this approach were analyzed by LC/MS.

**LC with UV Absorbance Detection (LC/UV):** An isocratic LC method with a methanol/phosphate buffer mobile phase was utilized for LC/UV determination of the alkaloids, similar to the method of Roman [6]. A 250 mm  $\times$  4.6 mm alkylphenyl bonded phase column (Synergy Polar RP, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) was used with a precolumn and an in-line filter. Column temperature was controlled at 29.0  $^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.5$   $^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a circulating-fluid column jacket and water bath. The mobile phase flow rate was set at 1.5 mL/min, and detection was at 208 nm. Terbutaline was used as the internal standard for LC/UV measurements. A typical separation is provided in Appendix A.

**LC with Mass Spectrometric Detection (LC/MS):** A 250 mm × 4.6 mm phenyl bonded phase column (YMC Phenyl, Waters, Inc., Milford, MA) was used at ambient temperature (21 °C ± 1 °C) with an isocratic mobile phase (water/methanol/acetic acid/ammonium acetate) at 1.0 mL/min. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion, atmospheric pressure ionization, electrospray mode (API-ES). Quantitation of the six alkaloids was based on monitoring ions ( $m/z$ ) at 134 (norephedrine and norpseudoephedrine), 148 (ephedrine and pseudoephedrine), 180 (methylephedrine and methylpseudoephedrine), and 169 (ephedrine- $d_3$ ). Ephedrine- $d_3$  was used as the internal standard for LC/MS measurements. A typical separation is provided in Appendix A.

**LC with Tandem Mass Spectrometric Detection (LC/MS/MS):** Chromatographic conditions were similar to those used in the LC/MS method; however, the flow rate was reduced to 0.5 mL/min and column temperature was set at 30 °C ± 2 °C. A program was designed to measure each individual analyte using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The protonated precursor of each analyte was selected in the first quadrupole, these ions collisionally dissociated in the collision cell (the second quadrupole), and the predetermined fragment ions monitored in the third quadrupole. The following precursor and fragment ions were monitored ( $m/z$ ): 151.8 and 134.0 (norephedrine and norpseudoephedrine), 165.9 and 148.0 (ephedrine and pseudoephedrine), 179.9 and 162.0 (methylephedrine and methylpseudoephedrine), and 168.9 and 151.0 (ephedrine- $d_3$ ). Ephedrine- $d_3$  was used as the internal standard for LC/MS/MS measurements. A typical separation is illustrated in Appendix A.

**Capillary Electrophoresis (CE):** Portions (0.1 g) of the SRM were weighed into polyethylene centrifuge tubes, followed by the addition of a measured mass of internal standard solution ( $\beta$ -phenylethylamine hydrochloride) and approximately 18 mL of methanol. The samples were placed in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min, and the supernatant solution was passed through 0.2  $\mu$ m nylon syringe filters. Electrophoretic measurements were performed on a CE system with a photodiode array detector (data collected at 210 nm) with a high-sensitivity UV detection cell. Three chiral CE methods (utilizing different cyclodextrin-based chiral selectors) were used to analyze the samples. The methods were sufficiently independent to provide slightly different selectivity, thereby reducing the likelihood of undetected peak overlap and providing additional confidence in the enantiomeric identity of the analytes. Separations were performed in unmodified fused silica capillaries maintained at 25 °C, and injections were performed by pressure. Applied voltages were in the range of 15 kV to 30 kV. A detailed discussion of the CE method is provided in reference 8. A typical separation is provided in Appendix A; note that only the (–)-ephedrine and (+)-pseudoephedrine enantiomers that are naturally occurring in *E. sinica* were found in this material, indicating that the material was not altered through the addition of synthetic alkaloids.

### Analytical Approach for Determination of Elements

The elements of primary interest for SRM 3242 were the potentially toxic contaminants arsenic, cadmium, lead, and mercury. Value assignment of the concentrations of toxic elements in SRM 3242 was based on the combination of measurements at NIST using a single analytical method and results from one or two collaborating laboratories (NRCC and FDA). At NIST, instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) was used for the determination of arsenic, isotope dilution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ID ICP-MS) was used for determination of cadmium and lead, and cold vapor (CV) ID ICP-MS was used for determination of mercury. For all NIST measurements, botanical-matrix SRMs with certified values for the elements of interest were analyzed concurrently as control samples. NRCC used ID ICP-MS for the determination of cadmium and lead and hydride generation graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (HG GFAAS) for the determination of arsenic. FDA provided results for cadmium, lead, and mercury using ICP-MS. FDA also provided results using prompt gamma activation analysis (PGAA) for additional elements including boron, calcium, carbon, chlorine, hydrogen, iron, nitrogen, potassium, silicon, sodium, and sulfur [9]. All collaborating laboratories analyzed a minimum of six subsamples (one from each of six bottles or two from each of three bottles) of SRM 3242.

### NIST Analyses for Elements

Arsenic was measured using instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA). Individual disks were formed from 200 mg test portions of the SRM using a stainless steel die and hydraulic press. Standards were prepared by transferring a weighed portion of a solution containing a known amount of arsenic onto filter papers. Disks were formed from the dried filter papers. Samples, standards, and controls were packaged individually in clean polyethylene bags, placed together in a polyethylene irradiation container, and exposed to a neutron fluence rate of  $1 \times 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> for a total of 2 h. Decay times were approximately 5 d to 7 d. Gamma rays were collected using an intrinsic germanium detector with a relative efficiency of 35 % and a resolution of 1.75 keV (full-width at half maximum peak height for the 1333-keV line from <sup>60</sup>Co). Quantification was based on comparison with standards using the 559-keV line from <sup>76</sup>As.

For cadmium and lead determinations, the total contents (approximately 1.2 g) were taken from each of six bottles of the SRM. Isotopically enriched  $^{111}\text{Cd}$  and  $^{206}\text{Pb}$  were added to the samples prior to digestion in Teflon beakers by wet ashing with nitric acid, hydrofluoric acid, and hydrogen peroxide at 185 °C for 60 h. The residue was redissolved in 2 % nitric acid, and measurements were made by using ID ICP-MS [10].

For mercury determinations, a single 0.25 g portion was taken from each of six bottles of the SRM. Isotopically enriched  $^{201}\text{Hg}$  was added to the samples prior to digestion in quartz vessels with nitric acid in a high-pressure microwave system. Following digestion, samples were diluted to contain an approximate  $^{201}\text{Hg}$  concentration of 0.05 ng/g. Samples were allowed to degas overnight at 4 °C. Measurements were made by using cold-vapor mercury generation (using tin [II] chloride reductant) coupled with ID ICP-MS [11].

### NIST Determination of Moisture

Moisture content of SRM 3242 was determined by (1) freeze-drying to constant mass over 11 days, (2) drying over magnesium perchlorate in a desiccator at room temperature over 17 days, and (3) drying in a forced-air oven at 85 °C for 4 h. Unweighted results obtained using all three techniques were averaged to determine a conversion factor of 0.9574 gram dry mass per gram as-received mass, which was used to convert NIST data from an as-received to a dry-mass basis. Collaborating laboratories converted their data to a dry-mass basis using their own moisture determinations. A variability-in-moisture component is included in the uncertainties of both the certified and reference values, reported on a dry-mass basis, that are provided in this certificate.

### Homogeneity Assessment

The homogeneity of ephedrine was assessed at NIST by using the LC/UV method described above. An analysis of variance did not show inhomogeneity for a 0.15 g sample. Other measurands were treated as though they were homogeneously distributed, although homogeneity was not assessed.

### Value Assignment

The equally weighted means from each set of data were used to calculate the assigned values. In cases where NIST made measurements, the NIST means were averaged with the individual data set means provided by collaborating laboratories to obtain the assigned value. In cases where NIST did not make measurements, the mean of the data set means became the assigned value.

Table 1. Certified Concentration Values for Ephedrine Alkaloids in SRM 3242<sup>(a)</sup>

Analyte	Mass Fraction (mg/g)
Ephedrine <sup>(b,c,d,e,f,g)</sup>	78.1 ± 2.3
Methylephedrine <sup>(b,c,d,f,g)</sup>	2.77 ± 0.57
Pseudoephedrine <sup>(b,c,d,e,f,g)</sup>	9.27 ± 0.94
Total Alkaloids <sup>(b,c,d,f,g)</sup>	91.2 ± 2.0

<sup>(a)</sup> Each certified concentration value, expressed as a mass fraction on a dry-mass basis, is an equally weighted mean of the results from five or six analytical methods carried out at NIST and at collaborating laboratories. The uncertainty in the certified value, calculated according to the method described in the ISO Guide [2,3], is expressed as an expanded uncertainty,  $U$ . The expanded uncertainty is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is intended to represent, at the level of one standard deviation, the combined effect of between-laboratory, within-laboratory, and drying components of uncertainty. The coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the appropriate associated degrees of freedom and approximately 95 % confidence for each analyte.

<sup>(b)</sup> NIST LC/UV  
<sup>(c)</sup> NIST LC/MS  
<sup>(d)</sup> NIST LC/MS/MS  
<sup>(e)</sup> NIST CE  
<sup>(f)</sup> FDA LC/MS/MS  
<sup>(g)</sup> ChromaDex LC/UV

Table 2. Certified Concentration Values for Selected Elements in SRM 3242<sup>(a)</sup>

Element	Mass Fraction (mg/kg)
Arsenic <sup>(b,c)</sup>	1.030 ± 0.033
Cadmium <sup>(d,e,f)</sup>	0.0538 ± 0.0032
Lead <sup>(d,e,f)</sup>	0.362 ± 0.014
Mercury <sup>(f,g)</sup>	0.00418 ± 0.00042

<sup>(a)</sup> Each certified concentration value, expressed as a mass fraction on a dry-mass basis, is an equally weighted mean of the results from NIST and at collaborating laboratories. The uncertainty in the certified value, calculated according to the method described in the ISO Guide [2,3], is expressed as an expanded uncertainty,  $U$ . The expanded uncertainty is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is intended to represent, at the level of one standard deviation, the combined effect of between-laboratory, within-laboratory, and drying components of uncertainty. The coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the appropriate associated degrees of freedom and approximately 95 % confidence for each analyte.

<sup>(b)</sup> NIST INAA

<sup>(c)</sup> NRCC HG-GFAAS

<sup>(d)</sup> NIST ID ICP-MS

<sup>(e)</sup> NRCC ID ICP-MS

<sup>(f)</sup> FDA ICP-MS

<sup>(g)</sup> NIST ID CV-ICP-MS

Table 3. Reference Concentration Values for Ephedrine Alkaloids in SRM 3242<sup>(a)</sup>

Analyte	Mass Fraction (mg/g)
Methylpseudoephedrine <sup>(b,c,d)</sup>	0.124 ± 0.044
Norephedrine <sup>(b,c,d,e,f)</sup>	0.57 ± 0.18
Norpseudoephedrine <sup>(b,c,d,e,f)</sup>	0.40 ± 0.16

<sup>(a)</sup> Each reference concentration value, expressed as a mass fraction on a dry-mass basis, is an equally weighted mean of the results from three or five analytical methods carried out at NIST and at collaborating laboratories. The uncertainty in the reference value, calculated according to the method described in the ISO Guide [2,3], is expressed as an expanded uncertainty,  $U$ . The expanded uncertainty is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is intended to represent, at the level of one standard deviation, the combined effect of between-laboratory, within-laboratory, and drying components of uncertainty. The coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the appropriate associated degrees of freedom and approximately 95 % confidence for each analyte.

<sup>(b)</sup> NIST LC/UV

<sup>(c)</sup> NIST LC/MS

<sup>(d)</sup> NIST LC/MS/MS

<sup>(e)</sup> FDA LC/MS/MS

<sup>(f)</sup> ChromaDex LC/UV

Table 4. Reference Concentration Values for Selected Elements in SRM 3242<sup>(a)</sup>

Element	Mass Fraction (%)
Carbon	41.5 ± 1.1
Chlorine	2.75 ± 0.06
Hydrogen	6.06 ± 0.14
Nitrogen	2.88 ± 0.07
Potassium	2.46 ± 0.05

Element	Mass Fraction (mg/kg)
Boron	52.5 ± 1.1
Calcium	7420 ± 870
Iron	870 ± 230
Silicon	2780 ± 370
Sodium	2440 ± 280
Sulfur	3250 ± 110

<sup>(a)</sup> Each reference concentration value, expressed as a mass fraction on a dry-mass basis, is the equally weighted mean of values provided by one collaborating laboratory using PGAA. The uncertainty in the reference value, calculated according to the method described in the ISO Guide [2,3], is expressed as an expanded uncertainty,  $U$ . The expanded uncertainty is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is intended to represent, at the level of one standard deviation, the combined effect of within-laboratory and drying components of uncertainty. The coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the appropriate associated degrees of freedom and approximately 95 % confidence for each analyte.

## REFERENCES



- [1] May, W.; Parris, R.; Beck, C.; Fassett, J.; Greenberg, R.; Guenther, F.; Kramer, G.; Wise, S.; Gills, T.; Colbert, J.; Gettings, R.; MacDonald, B.; *Definitions of Terms and Modes Used at NIST for Value-Assignment of Reference Materials for Chemical Measurements*; NIST Special Publication 260-136; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC (2000); available at [http://www.cstl.nist.gov/nist839/special\\_pubs/SP260136.pdf](http://www.cstl.nist.gov/nist839/special_pubs/SP260136.pdf).
- [2] ISO; *Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement*; ISBN 92-67-10188-9, 1st ed.; International Organization for Standardization: Geneva, Switzerland (1993); see also Taylor, B.N.; Kuyatt, C.E.; *Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results*; NIST Technical Note 1297; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC (1994); available at <http://physics.nist.gov/Pubs/>.
- [3] Levenson, M.S.; Banks, D.L.; Eberhardt, K.R.; Gill, L.M.; Guthrie, W.F.; Liu, H.k.; Vangel, M.G.; Yen, J.H.; Zhang, N.F.; *An Approach to Combining Results From Multiple Methods Motivated by the ISO GUM*; J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol., Vol. 105; pp. 571–579 (2000).
- [4] Taylor, B.N.; *Guide for the Use of the International System of Units (SI)*; NIST Special Publication 811; National Institute of Standards and Technology; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC (1995); available at <http://www.physics.nist.gov/Pubs/>.
- [5] Gay, M.L.; White, K.D.; Obermeyer, W.R.; Betz, J.M.; Musser, S.M.; *Determination of Ephedrine Type Alkaloids in Dietary Supplements by LC/MS Using a Stable Isotope Labeled Internal Standard*; J. AOAC Int., Vol. 84, pp. 761–769 (2001).
- [6] Roman, M.C.; *Determination of Ephedrine Alkaloids in Botanicals and Dietary Supplements by HPLC-UV: Collaborative Study*; J. AOAC Int., Vol. 87, pp. 1–14 (2004).
- [7] Sander, L.C.; Sharpless, K.E.; Satterfield, M.B.; Ihara, T.; Phinney, K.W.; Yen, J.H.; Wise, S.A.; Gay, M.L.; Lam, J.W.; McCooye, M.; Gardner, G.; Fraser, C.; Sturgeon, R.; Roman, M.; *Determination of Ephedrine Alkaloids in Dietary Supplement Standard Reference Materials*; Anal. Chem., Vol. 77, pp. 3101–3112 (2005).
- [8] Phinney, K.W.; Ihara, T.; Sander, L.C.; *Determination of Ephedra Alkaloid Stereoisomers in Dietary Supplements by Capillary Electrophoresis*; J. Chromatogr. A, Vol. 1077, pp. 90–97 (2005).
- [9] Anderson, D.L.; Cunningham, W.C.; *Revalidation and Long-Term Stability of National Institute of Standards and Technology Standard Reference Materials 1566, 1567, 1568, and 1570*; J. AOAC Int., Vol. 83, pp. 1121–1134 (2000).
- [10] Murphy, K.E.; Beary, E.S.; Rearick, M.S.; Vocke, R.D.; *Isotope Dilution Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ID CP-MS) for the Certification of Lead and Cadmium in Environmental Standard Reference Materials*; Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem., Vol. 368, pp. 362–370 (2000).
- [11] Christopher, S.J.; Long, S.E.; Rearick, M.S.; Fassett, J.D.; *Development of Isotope Dilution Cold Vapor Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry and Its Application to the Certification of Mercury in NIST Standard Reference Materials*; Anal. Chem., Vol. 73, pp. 2190–2199 (2001).

*Users of this SRM should ensure that the certificate in their possession is current. This can be accomplished by contacting the SRM Program at: telephone (301) 975-6776; fax (301) 926-4751; e-mail [srminfo@nist.gov](mailto:srminfo@nist.gov); or via the Internet at <http://www.nist.gov/srm>.*



APPENDIX A

Typical chromatograms from the analysis of SRM 3242 by using a) LC/UV; b) LC/MS; c) LC/MS/MS; and d) CE. Components are identified as follows: norephedrine (NE); norpseudoephedrine (NPE); ephedrine (E); pseudoephedrine (PE); methylephedrine (ME); methylpseudoephedrine (MPE); internal standard (IS); (-)-ephedrine [(-)-E]; and (+)-pseudoephedrine [(+)-PE].



Appendix A (continued)

Typical chromatograms from the analysis of SRM 3242 by using a) LC/UV; b) LC/MS; c) LC/MS/MS; d) CE.

