

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 Polymer nanocomposites have diverse industrial and commercial uses. While many toxicity studies have
4 assessed the individual materials (e.g., polymer, nanomaterial) comprising nanocomposites, few have
5 examined the potential toxicity of the nanocomposite as a whole. Furthermore, products undergo
6 machining during their manufacture and/or degradation as they age thereby resulting in potentially altered
7 mixture exposures and differential effects compared to the parent nanocomposite. We assessed potential
8 toxic effects of repeated oral exposure to silver nanoparticle (AgNP) embedded nanocomposites and
9 compared them to individual component materials using a transparent strain of the fish model Japanese
10 medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). This strain allowed for the comparison of morphologic alterations at three
11 levels of biological organization: whole animal; organ/tissue by examination of histological sections; and,
12 subcellular using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Adult fish were exposed to AgNPs, silver
13 nitrate, abraded PETG microplastics, or abraded nanocomposites via 7 oral gavages over the course of 2
14 weeks. *In vivo* observations showed alterations in the liver and gallbladder of fish exposed to pristine
15 AgNPs and nanocomposites. When histologic sections of these same individuals were examined by light
16 microscopy, hepatic and biliary alterations were observed. Similarly, alterations of head kidney were also
17 observed in fish exposed to silver and its composites, with both tubules and glomeruli affected. In both of
18 these organs, much of the changes occurred adjacent to large blood vessels, suggesting material
19 translocated from the bloodstream to adjacent tissues. TEM of the liver supported histological findings,
20 with increased glycogen as well as hepatocellular swelling and abundant lipid vesicles in exposure
21 groups. Very few morphological changes at any level of biological organization were observed in fish
22 exposed to the thermoplastic matrix alone. This transparent fish model proved advantageous in evaluating
23 risks and potential human health concerns associated with the ingestion of silver nanocomposites.

24

25 Keywords: nanocomposites, AgNPs, ingestion, additive manufacturing, microplastics

26

27

28 1. INTRODUCTION

29 Advanced materials (ADMAs) are innovative materials with novel or enhanced properties that
30 improve their performance compared to conventional products.^{1,2} The union of nanotechnology and
31 polymer sciences has created a class unique materials with new properties dependent on the incorporated
32 nanomaterials.³ Nanomaterial incorporation leads to an improvement of the already useful properties of
33 polymers including physical (*e.g.*, density, crystallinity), mechanical (*e.g.*, tensile strength), and biological
34 (*e.g.*, anti-microbial, biodegradability) properties.^{3,4} As a result, polymer nanocomposites have been used
35 in numerous products including automobile parts, packaging, and biomedical supplies.^{3,5}

36 The addition of nanomaterials to thermoplastics potentially changes the risks associated with it,
37 leading to concerns about adverse health effects from particles released during fabrication and/or
38 handling.^{6,7,4} The discharge of ultrafine particles from manufacturing processes such as 3D printing and
39 machining, or over the lifetime of a product, has the potential for occupational and residential exposure.^{8,9}
40 Safety standards have been set by agencies such as NIOSH (*e.g.*, Pelley¹⁰) for using 3D printers including
41 some guidelines that include metals.¹¹ However, the toxicities of pristine nanomaterials or microplastics
42 must be used as points of reference^{4,12} as there are not many studies of the potential toxicities of specific
43 nanocomposites.⁴

44 The enhanced antimicrobial properties of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) make them attractive for
45 use in a variety of medical and consumer product applications.¹³ AgNPs also have physico-chemical
46 properties such as high electrical and thermal conductivity, chemical stability, and catalytic activity that
47 make them useful for various applications such as imaging and electronics.¹⁴ Consequently, AgNPs are
48 incorporated into a variety of polymers used in agriculture, medicine, textiles, and food packaging.^{15,16}

49 In humans, ingestion is the primary exposure route for silver and silver compounds,¹⁷ and studies
50 have estimated daily uptake of 20 µg/day to 80 µg/day.^{18,19} AgNPs leach from food and beverage
51 packaging and from a variety of other consumer products (*e.g.*, plush toys, cleaning products,

52 humidifiers) made of AgNP-enabled polymer nanocomposites.²⁰⁻²⁴ During the manufacture of silver
53 nanocomposite materials, occupational oral exposure may occur via swallowing after inhalation and
54 expectoration when the affected individual attempts to clear materials from oral and nasal passageways
55 (*i.e.*, phlegm).^{19, 25, 26} Due to the absorptive nature of the gut and its proximity to various organs and
56 tissues, toxic effects may occur at very low concentrations after oral or dietary exposure.²⁷ AgNPs are
57 known to cross biological membranes such as the gut wall,²⁸ mainly by endocytosis in epithelial cells.²⁹
58 Studies using fish models have shown bioaccumulation in tissues such as gills, brain, and liver.^{30, 31}
59 However, it is free silver ions (Ag⁺) that are generally reported as toxic.²⁸ A Trojan horse effect could
60 occur following exposure to AgNPs where Ag⁺ is released inside tissues or cells.³² Ag⁺ is also released
61 upon association of the AgNPs with the surface of the cell's lipid plasma membrane as these ions are
62 compatible for uptake by plasma membrane ion transporters.²⁹

63 While there is an abundance of literature on the toxicity of AgNPs in multiple species, there are
64 almost none on silver nanocomposites. This is concerning considering its increased use in a variety of
65 fields (*e.g.*, medical, technology) and consumer products.³³ Nanomaterials released over a product's
66 lifetime comprise only part of the equation for potential exposure and toxicity of nanocomposites.
67 Particles released during machining (*e.g.*, abrading, cutting), environmental degradation and/or aging of
68 products are largely composed of the polymer matrix^{4, 34-36} and, in the case of plastic nanocomposites,
69 often in the form of microplastics (MPs).³⁷ Glycol-modified polyethylene terephthalate (PETG,
70 (C₁₀H₈O₄)_n) is one such polymer widely used for applications such as 3D printing due to its
71 transparency, higher thermal resistance, durability, and high elastic modulus.^{38, 39} Ingestion is also
72 considered to be the major exposure route for MPs in humans.⁴⁰ Subsequently, particles can act locally
73 and/or translocate to other tissues within the body.⁴⁰ Local effects have been shown in oral exposures
74 using fish models, with various types of MPs altering gut morphology and negatively impacting intestinal
75 health.⁴¹⁻⁴³ In turn, alterations in gut mucosa may increase permeability of epithelial barriers thereby
76 facilitating translocation of particles, particularly to the liver and spleen.⁴⁰ In both instances, effects such
77 as inflammation, cytotoxicity, and increased risk of neoplasia may result.⁴⁰ Because AgNPs are

78 incorporated into plastics largely for their antimicrobial properties, biological effects of AgNP
79 nanocomposites have predominately been studies of bacterial inhibition and cytotoxicity. There are very
80 few investigations on the potential toxicity of these materials on non-target organisms, particularly higher
81 taxa. Ours is one of the first studies that expand on the potential toxicity of nanocomposites, and
82 specifically 3D printed nanocomposites, in a whole organism.

83 Fish models, such as the Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) used in this study, have been a
84 valuable toxicological tool for the understanding of exposure in a whole organism.^{44, 45} In particular, they
85 have been valuable predictive and experimental models in the study of disease formation and
86 pathogenesis over relatively short time spans.⁴⁶ As such, there is extensive descriptive morphology and
87 disease pathology available for multiple levels of biological organization.⁴⁷ Of interest for oral exposure
88 in humans, are the similarities between teleost and mammalian digestive tracts including general
89 architecture and processes of injury and inflammation.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰

90 In this study, we used abraded PETG, pristine AgNPs, abraded AgNP nanocomposites (two
91 different nanoparticle loadings) that were created and fully characterized by Sipe et al.⁵¹ The objective
92 was to describe and assess morphologic alterations at multiple levels of biological organization associated
93 with oral exposure in order to evaluate relative risks of released component materials compared to their
94 composites. For this, we used a transparent strain of medaka to directly image acute morphologic changes
95 to specific organs observed in the live animal and to compare them to tissue level alterations in histologic
96 sections and cellular ultrastructure on an individual basis. Importantly, the small size of this species
97 enabled whole body histologic sectioning so that multiple organs and tissues could be evaluated. Medaka
98 also have a single lobed liver that facilitated clean removal and processing of the entire organ for
99 transmission electron microscopy (TEM), avoiding issues of lobar distribution seen in some model
100 organisms.⁵² This was of interest because studies have shown AgNPs and microplastics to impact the
101 liver.^{53, 54} In addition, our recent work demonstrated the liver as the organ most impacted by oral exposure
102 to multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT)-embedded nanocomposites.⁵⁵ Together, the investigation by

103 Sipe et al.⁵¹ in combination with our study are the first to evaluate the mechanical breakdown of silver
104 nanocomposites and their potential toxicity in a vertebrate.

105 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

106 2.1 Materials

107 In depth descriptions and characterizations of the materials used in this study are detailed in Sipe
108 *et al.*⁵¹ Briefly, AgNPs were uncoated, spherical silver nanoparticles purchased from NanoDynamics, Inc.
109 (ND30, Buffalo, NY) with a reported size of 30 nm. A Mastersizer 3000 laser diffractometer (Malvern,
110 UK) in batch modes (1 g/L) was used to measure particle size distribution. AgNPs had a diameter ranging
111 between 20 nm and 50 nm. , Zeta potential was measured using the Nanosizer NanoZS (Malvern
112 Panalytical Ltd.). AgNPs were negatively charged, with a zeta potential of $-19 \text{ mV} \pm 3$ in distilled water, -
113 $20 \text{ mV} \pm 6$ in freshwater (pH 7.4), and $4 \text{ mV} \pm 10$ in artificial saltwater (pH 8.4). A typical TEM image of
114 these pristine AgNPs is shown in Figure S1.

115 Neat PETG filament and AgNP-polymer nanocomposite filaments were prepared from virgin
116 filament grade PETG polymer pellets (ChasePlastics, Clarkston, MI) and AgNPs. Mass fractions of
117 AgNPs in nanocomposite filaments were either 2 % or 0.5 %. First, filaments were made by mixing
118 PETG matrix pellets with the powdered AgNPs. Then these mixtures were extruded (Eurolab XL 16 twin-
119 screw extruder) three times to ensure even mixture of the nanoparticles with the polymer matrix. Neat and
120 nanocomposite PETG filaments were 3D printed into cylinder pucks (diameter of 50.8 mm and thickness
121 of 5 mm; printer settings in Supplementary Materials). PETG matrix and nanocomposite materials were
122 abraded as described below to simulate wear and degradation during of a product during its lifecycle.
123 Hereafter, the abraded neat PETG matrix will be referred to as PETG, pristine AgNPs as AgNPs, and
124 abraded nanocomposites as either 2 % composite or 0.5 % composite.

125 An abrasion machine designed and custom built at Duke University as described by Bossa *et al.*,³⁷
126 Sipe *et al.*,⁵⁶ and Scott *et al.*⁵⁷ was used to create abraded polymer and nanocomposite materials for this
127 study (Figure 1). The machine included a spinning abrasion platform with P100 sandpaper that was able

128 to maintain a constant abrasion with the same power and abrasion rate. An airtight chamber enclosed the
129 sample holder and allowed for collection of particles generated during the abrasion process. The full
130 characterization of these particles is also detailed in Sipe *et al.*⁵¹. The presence of AgNPs within a
131 composite did not affect the abrasion process, but although each puck underwent the same abrasion
132 process, the presence and concentration of AgNPs affected the resulting wear particle size (Mastersizer
133 3000). The D50 particle size (*i.e.*, mean diameter of 50 % of particles) by volume was $695.5 \mu\text{m} \pm 230.8$,
134 $39.8 \mu\text{m} \pm 0.2$, and $143.8 \mu\text{m} \pm 4.4$ for PETG, 0.5 % composite, and 2 % composite, respectively. SEM
135 with EDS imaging confirmed the presence of AgNPs on and in abraded nanocomposite particles. Zeta
136 potentials of PETG, 0.5 % composite, and 2 % composite were -33 mV, -21 mV, and -17 mV,
137 respectively, in distilled water. Zeta potentials were within a standard deviation of each other, signifying
138 that the incorporated AgNPs (free or at the surface) did not affect its behavior in water. It should be noted
139 that at neutral pH, elemental silver has very little solubility and at pH values greater than of 8,
140 approximately 80 % of any dissolved silver precipitates as silver oxide or silver sulfide.⁵⁸ The exact pH
141 of the gut of agastric fishes is difficult to determine and data are often highly variable.⁵⁹ There is some
142 recent work in zebrafish, a very similar fish model to medaka, that indicates that gut pH is likely above
143 7.5.⁶⁰

144 Dissolution of silver for each of the materials was determined at two time points, 1 h and 24 h.
145 These time points were selected to represent the times after preparation for which the fish was dosed (1 h)
146 and the amount of time that the material likely stayed within the gut before egestion (24 h). All solutions
147 were prepared in MilliQ water (ddH₂O; EMD Millipore, Burlington, MA) in triplicate using the same
148 methods and concentrations that would be used for dosing fish (section 2.3). Next, each solution was
149 allowed to sit at room temperature in the dark for either 1 h or 24 h. After the allotted time, all samples
150 including procedural controls were filtered using a 0.2 μm cellulose acetate membrane syringe filter
151 (VWR International, Radnor, PA). Then 0.5% trace metal grade nitric acid (HNO₃; Millipore Sigma) and
152 0.5% trace metal grade hydrochloric acid (HCl; Millipore Sigma) were added to each. Samples were then
153 quantified for silver concentration ($\mu\text{g/L}$) by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS;

154 Agilent 7700X ICP-MS equipped with an Octopole Reaction System) in the Pratt School of Engineering
155 at Duke University (Durham, NC) using using hydrogen reaction gas at a H₂(g) flow rate of 6 mL/min
156 with a silver NIST standard. Results of this test can be found in Table S1.

157 2.2 Medaka culture

158 Transparent, Quintet mutant strain medaka (Strain ID: MT829; Sasado et al.⁶¹) were maintained
159 at Duke University in a recirculating system (Pentair Aquatic Eco-Systems, Apopka, FL) at 24 °C, with a
160 pH 7.4 and a 14:10 light:dark cycle. Colony fish were fed three times per day with Otohime β1
161 commercial dry diet (200 μm to 360 μm, Pentair Aquatic Eco-Systems). The first two feedings were
162 supplemented with *Artemia* nauplii (90 % Great Lakes Strain, Pentair Aquatic Eco-Systems). All
163 procedures were approved by Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (A062-15-
164 02 and A031-15-01).

165 2.3 Exposure regime

166 AgNP solutions were made fresh just prior to each dosing. For this, a stock solution was prepared
167 by placing 1 mg AgNP powder into 100 mL autoclaved ddH₂O. Next, this stock solution was sonicated
168 (80 % pulse; BioLogics Inc. 3000 ultrasonic homogenizer, Manassas, MA) for 30 minutes on ice to
169 disperse particles. Immediately following sonication, the solution was diluted with autoclaved ddH₂O to
170 the test concentration and immediately administered to fish as described below. All abraded materials
171 were suspended in autoclaved ddH₂O and vortexed to distribute particles just prior to administration to
172 each fish. A preliminary range-finding assay using 3 doses of AgNPs was completed. From these data,
173 1000 μg/L AgNPs was selected as the test concentration. This concentration is consistent with consumer
174 product leaching data, specifically the high AgNP release in media similar to that which we used (*i.e.*,
175 water and saliva).²³ We also took into consideration concentrations used in three studies that tested
176 chronic or repeated dietary AgNP exposure in adult fish. Lacave *et al.*⁶² exposed zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)
177 to 100 μg/L of 5 nm polyvinyl pyrrolidone/polyethylenimine (PVP/PEI)-coated AgNPs via *Artemia* spp.
178 nauplii for 21 days. Merrifield *et al.*⁶³ fed zebrafish diet spiked with 500 mg/kg of uncoated 59 nm AgNPs

179 for 14 days. Wang and Wang ⁶⁴ exposed marine medaka (*Oryzias melastigma*) to 200 µg/L or 1000 µg/L
180 of 20 nm AgNPs stabilized with Tween20 (0.025 g/mol or 20 µM) via *Artemia* spp. nauplii for 28 days.

181 For comparison, doses of abraded materials (PETG, 2 % composite, 0.5 % composite) were
182 calculated to achieve the same AgNP mass dose of 1000 µg/L. AgNO₃ was tested at 65 % of the
183 concentration of AgNPs to account for silver ion (Ag⁺).⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷ Concentrations (µg/L) of all materials were:
184 1000 AgNPs; 650 AgNO₃; 49,000 PETG; 50,000 2 % composite; or 200,000 0.5 % composite.

185 Adult fish (8 mo. old) were selected from the colony and briefly examined to ensure there were
186 no gross internal abnormalities. Five fish (3 females, 2 males) per group were randomly divided into 7.5 L
187 glass aquaria in a dedicated room at 24 °C and a 14:10 light:dark cycle. Aquaria contained batch water
188 consisting of 1 g/L of aquarium salt (Instant Ocean, Blacksburg, VA) in reverse osmosis (RO) treated
189 water that was mixed and oxygenated for at least 16 hrs before use. Each aquarium was equipped with
190 independent heaters for temperature control, pumps for mechanical filtration, and ceramic beads taken
191 from the colony system for biological filtration (Figure S2). Aquaria were siphoned twice daily to remove
192 fish waste, eggs, and uneaten food. Filters were rinsed with DI water once per day and changed for new
193 every 3 days. Water quality (e.g., temperature, pH, ammonia, nitrite, nitrate) was tested daily with an API
194 Freshwater Master Test Kit and 30 % water changes conducted once per week or as needed to maintain
195 stable water quality. Each experimental group had dedicated instruments (nets, siphons, etc.) to prevent
196 cross-contamination. Fish remained on the breeding colony feeding regime (section 2.2) during their 3-
197 day acclimation period.

198 Experimental fish were administered material every other day for 14 days, resulting in a total of 7
199 doses. Procedural controls received the suspension media (*i.e.*, autoclaved ddH₂O) only. Fish were fasted
200 for 24 hrs prior to each dose to clear the gut of digestate, and then doses were administered using oral
201 gavage as a method to deliver precise quantities of material to the digestive tract of each individual.
202 Methods for oral gavage followed exactly those detailed by Chernick *et al.*⁵⁵ In short, individual fish were
203 anesthetized by submersion in 0.024 % MS-222 (Pentair Aquatic Eco-systems), pH 7.4, and then
204 carefully placed into a trough cut into a soft sponge (L800-D, Jaece Industries, North Tonawanda, NY)

205 moistened with batch water such that the head faced up and opercular movement was not obstructed.
206 Next, a 13 mm length of micro-renathane catheter tubing (MRE-025, Braintree Scientific, Inc., Braintree,
207 MA) was placed over a 31G, 8 mm needle of a sterile syringe (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) such that
208 approximately 5 mm extended past the end of the needle and 10 μ L of dosing solution was drawn up into
209 the tubing. Tubing was gently inserted into the oropharynx, just caudal to the branchial chamber. Material
210 was injected slowly and then the tubing removed. Fish were constantly monitored for normal opercular
211 movements and gill color, heart rate, and responsiveness. Following oral gavage, each fish was rapidly
212 examined for obvious internal alterations (e.g., hemorrhaging, gallbladder color change,
213 enlargement/darkening of liver) and then placed into a large bowl containing batch water where it was
214 monitored for recovery and possible regurgitation. Following recovery from anesthesia, the fish was
215 returned to its original tank and the procedure repeated with the next individual.

216 Twenty-four hours after the last dose, fish were euthanized with an overdose of MS-222 (300
217 mg/L; Pentair Aquatic Ecosystems) and then photographed (Olympus Corp., Center Valley, PA) in both
218 lateral and dorsal recumbency. The latter was facilitated by placing the fish in the same type of sponge
219 used for gavage. During this time, *in vivo* alterations were recorded as both descriptions and
220 presence/absence data. These observations were later verified in images. Fish were then either fixed for
221 histology (section 2.4) or livers were dissected for cellular ultrastructure (section 2.5). Specimens, liver
222 and whole animals, were stored in individually labeled containers so that results from each could be
223 compared to *in vivo* images on an individual basis.

224 *2.4 Histology*

225 Four fish (2 females, 2 males) in each group were fixed for histology immediately after imaging
226 and for *in vivo* evaluation according to Chernick *et al.*⁵⁵ In brief, to facilitate fixation of deep tissues, a
227 ventral incision was made from the anus to near the pectoral girdle. Then 10 % neutral buffered formalin
228 (10 % NBF; VWR International) was very gently flushed into the incision, making sure not to displace
229 internal organs. Next, 10 % NBF was flushed into the buccal cavity such that it could enter the branchial
230 cavity, pharynx and foregut. Finally, each fish was immersed in 10 times their volume of 10 % NBF,

231 placed on an orbital shaker (≈ 5.2 rad/s or 50 rpm) overnight, and then stored at 4 °C until processing.
232 Prior to processing, specimens were brought to room temperature, the tail removed just caudal to the
233 abdomen using a single edged razor blade, and the specimen submerged in fresh 10 % NBF.

234 These fish were processed, embedded, sectioned, and stained by the Histology Laboratory,
235 Department of Population, Health and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina
236 State University, Raleigh, NC. First, fish were decalcified by placement in 10 % formic acid for 48 hrs.
237 Next, they were placed in an automated tissue processor (Thermo Shandon Path Centre, Grand Island,
238 NY) and dehydrated by passage through a graded ethanol (EtOH) series and then cleared with Clear-Rite
239 3 (Richard Allen Scientific, Kalamazoo, MI). Processed fish, in right lateral recumbency, were
240 individually embedded in paraffin. Parasagittal sections were cut at 5 μ m thickness using a Leica 2135
241 rotary microtome (Leica Biosystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL) and then mounted on glass histology slides.
242 Slides were routinely stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and coverslipped.

243 All labeling of slides were covered to ensure sections were examined blindly. Examination took
244 place using a Nikon Alphaphot II compound light microscope. The presence or absence of various
245 alterations (Table 1) was recorded for each individual and calculated as proportion of individuals within a
246 group showing a change. Definitions for each of the categories of change followed those published in
247 supplementary materials in Chernick *et al.*⁵⁵ In this way, relative occurrences of each alteration were
248 established in each treatment group. Representative images were taken using a Nikon E600 compound
249 light microscope fitted with a Nikon DXM1200 digital camera and Nikon NIS-Elements 3.10 software
250 (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY).

251 *2.5 Transmission electron microscopy*

252 Reagents and consumables were purchased from Electron Microscopy Sciences (Hatfield, PA).
253 All stains were filtered (syringe filter, nylon, 0.2 μ m, VWR International) prior to use so that stain
254 particulates would not be confused with AgNPs. Specimen preparation and processing followed Chernick
255 *et al.*⁵⁵ For each group, a single female fish was imaged as described above and observations under *in vivo*
256 evaluation recorded. Dissected livers were then immediately placed into 2.5 % glutaraldehyde buffered

257 with a cacodylate-sucrose solution (0.1 mol/L sodium cacodylate and 0.1 mol/L sucrose, pH 7.6) and
258 fixed at 4 °C. Just prior to processing, each liver was cut into 4 or 5 relatively equal sized pieces using a
259 single-edged razor blade and each piece was placed in a labeled 20 mL borosilicate glass vial (Wheaton,
260 VWR International). After washing twice in 1X PBS (10 min/wash), specimens were stained with 1 %
261 osmium tetroxide (OsO₄) in the dark for 1 hour. Then samples were again washed with 1x PBS and rinsed
262 with acetate buffer (10.17 g/mol (124.43 mM) sodium acetate, 1.5 g/mol (25 mM) acetic acid) before
263 staining with 0.5 % uranyl acetate for 1 hour. Next, specimens were rinsed with acetate buffer and
264 dehydrated by passage through a graded EtOH series. Samples were then placed in propylene oxide (PO)
265 three times for 10 min each. Spurr's resin was prepared (16.4 g cycloaliphatic epoxide resin (ERL-4221),
266 23.6 g nonenyl succinic anhydride (NSA), 5.72 g Dow epoxy resin (DER 736), 0.4 g 2-
267 Dimethylaminoethanol (DMAE)), and samples were immersed in a Spurr's:PO (1:2) mixture in a
268 chemical fume hood for 4 hours with the vial caps off and then overnight with the caps on. The next day,
269 the mixture was replaced with a Spurr's:PO (1:1) mixture and cured for 8 hours in a chemical fume hood
270 with vial caps off. This mixture was then replaced twice with new, pure resin and incubated in a 60 °C
271 oven for at least 10 minutes each time. For embedding, fresh resin was placed in a flat multi-well
272 embedding mold with liver portions positioned near the tips and were allowed to cure in a 60 °C oven for
273 24 hours. These blocks were thin sectioned at 70 nm to 90 nm thickness, placed on 150 square mesh
274 copper gilder grids (#G150-CU, EMS), and then stained with lead citrate. Thin sections were examined
275 and imaged at 80 kV using a FEI Tecnai G² Twin transmission electron microscope with a single tilt
276 stage, and a spot size of 2.

277 2.6 Data Analysis

278 Morphologic alterations were recorded as presence/absence data for *in vivo* (*i.e.*, whole animal)
279 and in histology (Table 1; n=4). For these data, Pearson Chi-squared pairwise tests were conducted using
280 the categorical response analysis tool in JMP Pro 14 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) comparing incidence of
281 individual changes between experimental groups. For clarity, statistical differences and associated p-
282 values described within the text of section 3 (Results) are comparisons to control fish. Percentages

283 reported herein represent incidences of fish within a group with the alteration. A full comparison,
284 including proportions of affected fish and any statistical differences between other treatment groups, for
285 histological alteration can be found in Table 1. P-values of ≤ 0.05 were considered to be statistically
286 significant.

287 3. RESULTS

288 3.1 *In vivo observations*

289 An incidental single mortality of a male fish occurred in the 1000 $\mu\text{g/L}$ AgNP group on day 4
290 (after 2 doses). No other mortalities or behavior that would suggest stress (*e.g.*, cowering, gasping) were
291 observed in other fish during the experiment including prior to mortality in the single male fish. Fish were
292 not observed to regurgitate gavaged material upon recovery from anesthesia.

293 *In vivo* assessment showed that control fish had no alterations and exposed fish had a few types
294 of alterations (Figures 2-4). Discoloration, specifically lightened patches, of the head kidney was
295 observed following exposure to AgNPs but not in a significant number of individuals (Figure 2C₁).
296 Enlargement and/or discoloration (*i.e.*, darkened or mottled appearance) of the liver was observed in 75 %
297 of fish exposed to AgNPs (Figure 3C₁) and 60 % of fish exposed to 2 % composite (Figure 3D₁)
298 ($p=0.0177$ and 0.0384 , respectively). It should be noted that had the other male survived in the AgNP
299 group and had a normal liver, this group would still have had a statistically significant difference.
300 Gallbladder alterations (*i.e.*, darkened or altered color and/or enlargement) were not observed until after
301 the sixth dose in any group and persisted until after the final dose (Figure 3). The 2 % composite group
302 had significantly more fish with this change than the control group ($p=0.0098$, Figure 3D₁) and the 0.5 %
303 composite group ($p=0.0384$). Half of the fish in the AgNP group had this change (Figure 3C₁), which was
304 not statistically significant. Changes in the midgut (*i.e.*, large clear areas around a bolus, indicating
305 distention or discoloration of walls), or fluid accumulation in the peritoneal cavity, were not observed in
306 any fish.

307 *3.2 Histologic evaluation*

308 Proportions of individuals affected with various types of change are listed in Table 1. Alterations
309 within the branchial chamber were observed within all groups including control, which may have been the
310 result of anesthesia or the gavage procedure. While the exposure route was dietary, epithelial lifting on
311 primary and secondary lamellae in some groups suggested a small amount of silver may have interacted
312 with gill tissue. AgNO₃ and AgNPs caused damage to apical cells of the mucosal gut folds (p=0.0285 and
313 0.0082, respectively). The oval body of the swim bladder was significantly affected in fish exposed to
314 AgNO₃ (p=0.0285), with vacuolated, swollen, and injured cells observed. While not statistically
315 significant, it is worth noting that the only other treatment group that had any incidence of this change
316 was AgNPs, with injured cells in the swim bladder.

317 The head kidneys showed a variety of changes in exposed fish of each treatment group (Figure 2,
318 Table 1). Tubules were altered in all groups except control (p<0.05; Figure 2). Tubule alterations included
319 altered tubular epithelial cells, dilated lamina of tubules, and increased tubulogenesis. Alterations in
320 tubular epithelial cells were characterized by increased intercellular spaces, intermittent degeneration or
321 damage, and loss of contact with the basement membrane (Figure 2). The proportion of individuals with
322 this change was higher after exposure to AgNO₃ (p=0.0285), AgNPs (p=0.0082), 2 % composite
323 (p=0.0285), and 0.5 % composite (p=0.0047). It is noteworthy that the proportion of fish with altered
324 tubules was the only statistically significant change for fish exposed to PETG. Dilated tubules were
325 typically adjacent to large veins within the head kidney and occurred in all groups except control and
326 AgNO₃ but incidence was not statistically significant (p>0.05). Calcifications in the tubule lumens were
327 observed in fish exposed to PETG (p=0.0136) but were small and infrequent. When encountered,
328 alterations in the trunk kidney were infrequent and minor. However, these alterations occurred in more
329 fish exposed to AgNO₃ (p=0.0285).

330 Altered glomeruli were observed in fish exposed to AgNO₃ (p=0.0285), AgNPs (p=0.0082), 2 %
331 composite (p=0.0047), and 0.5 % composite (p=0.0047). Alterations within the glomerular tuft included
332 congestion of capillaries, calcifications, an abundance of eosinophilic material, altered Bowman's

333 capsule, and/or necrosis of glomerular cells (Figure 2C₂, Table 1). Except for congestion, there were no
334 statistical differences between treatment groups when these individual types of changes were analyzed
335 independently. All fish exposed to 0.5 % composite had congestion in the capillaries of the glomeruli
336 ($p=0.0047$). The only other group to have this change was AgNPs (33.3 %) although it was not
337 statistically significant. Alterations in Bowman's capsule were characterized by basophilic epithelium
338 within the visceral layer (*i.e.*, podocytes) and/or changes in Bowman's space that included expansion or
339 reduction and instances of fibrous material that extended through the space (Figure 2C₂, 2D₂). The latter
340 change is an unusual finding that only occurred in fish in composite groups (1 fish in each). The number
341 of fish that had alterations in Bowman's capsule was significantly higher in the 2 % composite and 0.5 %
342 composite groups ($p=0.0285$ in both groups).

343 When grouping all types of liver alterations together, both hepatocellular and biliary, fish exposed
344 to silver and composites were most affected. Fish in the PETG group were more similar to controls.
345 While a couple of the PETG exposed fish had either perivascular or peribiliary fluid accumulation, it was
346 minor, and they exhibited very few other liver changes. 75 % of fish exposed to AgNO₃ had liver
347 alterations ($p=0.0285$) while all fish exposed to AgNPs and composites had liver alterations ($p=0.0047$).
348 Hepatocellular alterations included swelling and shrinking, vacuolated hepatocytes, pyknotic nuclei,
349 necrosis, spongiosis hepatis, and/or perivascular fluid accumulation (Figure 3, Table 1). Several of these
350 changes often occurred within the same individual. Hepatocellular swelling was, by far, the most
351 extensive change both in terms of extent/severity within individuals and proportion of fish affected within
352 treatment groups. Swollen hepatocytes had rounded or irregular margins, and a majority of the cytoplasm
353 showed little staining or staining was restricted to a perinuclear region (Figure 3B₂, 3C₂). 75 % of fish in
354 the AgNO₃ group had this change ($p=0.0285$) as well as all fish exposed to AgNPs, 2 % composite, or 0.5
355 % composite ($p=0.0082$, 0.0047, and 0.0047, respectively). Areas of altered livers exhibited shrunken
356 hepatocytes, often with pyknotic nuclei, typically in the region closest to the foregut and porta hepatis
357 (Figure 3B₂). Interestingly, shrunken hepatocytes only occurred in fish exposed to composites, and to all
358 fish of these treatment groups ($p=0.0047$). For half of the individuals in the 2 % composite group, the

359 overall size of the liver appeared slightly to moderately larger, likely a result of these changes in cell
360 volume (Figure S3B). Scattered necrotic hepatocytes were observed in all treatment groups except for
361 control and PETG; all fish exposed to AgNPs had necrotic hepatocytes. Spongiosis hepatitis was found in
362 fish exposed to 0.5 % composite (75 %, $p=0.0285$). This alteration was characterized by hepatocyte
363 swelling such that cells became very large with nuclei displaced to the periphery by fluid and/or protein-
364 like material, forming lesions with sharp margins. This alteration may have given the liver a mottled or
365 lighter appearance in these individuals *in vivo*.

366 Biliary alterations included reactions around biliary structures, alterations of biliary epithelial
367 cells, and/or peribiliary fluid accumulation (Figure 3D₂, Table 1). Fish in the AgNO₃ and 0.5 % composite
368 groups had more instances of these alterations ($p=0.0285$ and 0.0047 , respectively; Figure 3). However,
369 individual types of biliary responses did not show significant differences (Table 1). That said, it is notable
370 that only fish in the 0.5 % composite had alterations of biliary epithelial cells. All groups containing silver
371 had at least one individual that showed reactions adjacent to or within biliary structures. While no
372 statistical differences were found in incidence of peribiliary fluid accumulation, it is notable that the
373 AgNP group was the only one apart from the control that did not have this change.

374 Inflammation was another major finding of this experiment and appeared to primarily be the
375 result of AgNP exposure, both in its pristine form as well as that associated with composites. For the
376 purposes of our analysis, we defined “inflammation” as a cellular response (*i.e.*, white blood cells)
377 because humoral components of the immune system are not visible in histologic sections. An
378 inflammatory response was observed in fish exposed to PETG or AgNO₃, but it was minor, and the
379 number of individuals with it was not significantly different from control. Inflammation was found in
380 more fish exposed to AgNPs ($p=0.0082$, Figure 4B₂), 2 % composite ($p=0.0047$, Figure 4C₂), and 0.5 %
381 composite ($p=0.0285$). When looking at specific locales of inflammation, some patterns emerged. More
382 fish in the 2 % composite ($p=0.0047$, Figure 4C₂) and 0.5 % composite ($p=0.0285$) groups had
383 inflammation within the liver, either perivascularly or peribiliary ($p=0.0047$ and 0.0285 for 2 %
384 composite and 0.5 % composite, respectively). Macrophage aggregates were present in a small number of

385 fish exposed to AgNPs and were generally small in size ($\leq 200 \mu\text{m}$) and extent. Inflammation also
386 occurred within the mesentery, specifically on the serosal surface of the gut and margins of the liver
387 (Figure 4A₂, 4B₂). This only occurred in groups exposed to silver, with all fish in the AgNP group
388 ($p=0.0082$) and 75 % of fish in the 2 % composite group ($p=0.0285$) having this response.

389 *3.3 Liver ultrastructure*

390 TEM of liver from the control fish (Figure 5A) had normal structure, with uniform hepatocytes,
391 each with a single nucleus containing a defined nucleolus; rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER) with
392 straight parallel cisternae with narrow cisternal spaces; and, hepatocytes arranged around bile canaliculi
393 with small, normal biliary epithelial cells. In addition, the space of Disse was narrow and sinusoids
394 contained abundant red blood cells; mitochondria were numerous, oval shaped with normal, irregularly
395 oriented cristae and narrow lumens; secondary lysosomes were rare and small, and lipid vacuoles were
396 moderately sized and few. Glycogen was present in small deposits toward the periphery of hepatocytes.

397 The liver from the PETG group was very similar to control. The only difference was an increase
398 in glycogen (Figure 5B). The AgNO₃ exposure group also had increased amounts of glycogen in their
399 livers and portions of RER had transformed into myelin-like bodies (Figure 5C). We did not observe
400 nanoparticles within the liver from fish exposed to AgNPs. However, when not in large aggregates, their
401 size, shape, and electron density can be similar to granules within glycogen deposits. It is possible that
402 some of these granules were actually AgNPs. Livers from the AgNP treatment group were the most
403 altered. While hepatocytes had increased amounts of glycogen similar to that observed in fish exposed to
404 PETG or AgNO₃, the deposits had wide, clear spaces between glycogen granules (Figures 5D). In
405 addition, plasma membranes and intercellular spaces were indistinct (Figures 5D), indicating
406 hepatocellular swelling. Lipid vesicles were also abundant and large throughout these cells (Figure 5D). 2
407 % composite exposure did not result in hepatocellular or biliary change apart from additional glycogen
408 (Figure 5E). However, macrophages were observed adjacent to biliary and sinusoidal spaces (Figure 5E).
409 Liver from the 0.5 % composite treatment (Figure 5F) was most similar to that of the liver from the PETG
410 treatment, showing only increased glycogen (Figures 5F).

411 4. DISCUSSION

412 Our study is the first to evaluate toxicity resulting from thermoplastics embedded with AgNPs in
413 a vertebrate. The benefits and risks associated with the use of AgNPs in various fields have been studied
414 for decades.⁶⁸ More recently, the number of studies on the impacts of MPs has surged due to increased
415 interest from regulatory agencies, non-profit organizations, and the public among others. As such, the
416 potential adverse impacts of MPs on humans and organisms the environment is becoming better
417 characterized.^{69, 70} These effects may occur through the plastics, chemicals internally incorporated in
418 them, and/or materials sorbed to their surface during their lifecycle.⁷¹⁻⁷³ However, exposure risks
419 associated with the generation of silver-containing nanocomposites has not been well studied despite the
420 incorporation of AgNPs into many industrial and consumer products, including plastics. In addition, the
421 oral exposure route is poorly understood.⁷⁴ The transparent medaka strain proved a useful tool for the
422 comparison of responses in individuals at multiple levels of biological organization and provided insights
423 into potential risks of oral exposure to AgNP nanocomposites in humans.^{45, 75}

424 Tissues composing the gut are both the first line of defense and potentially the most directly
425 impacted by oral exposure. Histology showed AgNO₃ and pristine AgNPs to cause changes in these
426 tissues. AgNPs are known to cross biological membranes such as the gut wall and distribute to multiple
427 organs.^{28, 76, 77} Macromolecules and nanoparticles are taken up by the gut epithelium via endocytosis and
428 transported to macrophages in the lamina propria and into circulation⁷⁸ For example, Scown *et al.*⁷⁹
429 demonstrated that increased concentrations of silver in the liver were likely the result of uptake by gut
430 epithelium during exposure to 10 µg/L to 100 µg/L AgNPs (49 and 65 nm, unspecified coating).
431 Likewise, high concentrations of silver in the intestine as well as liver and bile of common carp (*Cyprinus*
432 *carpio*) were found following aqueous exposure to 0.01 µg/L and 0.01 µg/L AgNPs (35 nm, unspecified
433 coating).⁸⁰ As fish gut anatomy and function are comparable to that of humans,⁸¹ this suggests similar
434 uptake may occur as a result of analogous exposures to silver in humans. A study of observed an increase
435 in goblet cell density in adult zebrafish exposed to chitosan AgNP nanocomposites via diet for 30 and 60

436 days.⁸² This is the only other study of gut morphologic changes in fish following AgNP nanocomposite
437 exposure.

438 Studies suggest that the kidney is one of the major sites for nanoparticle accumulation and
439 adverse effects following oral exposure.^{77, 83, 84} We observed a variety of changes in head kidneys, mostly
440 adjacent to large blood vessels that suggested injurious components systemically carried by blood.
441 Tubular epithelial cells were affected in all test groups except controls and were notably one of the few
442 changes observed in fish exposed to PETG. Cell damage and tubular necrosis is a common type of
443 xenobiotic-induced kidney damage in teleosts as there is a high capacity for membrane transport in these
444 cells as well as concentration of compounds in the tubule lumen.⁸⁵ In a dietary AgNP exposure in adult
445 zebrafish, Yazdanparast *et al.*⁸⁶ reported similar findings including damage to kidney cells and increased
446 Bowman's space. Flattening or fusion of the pedicels within Bowman's space was an unusual finding
447 unique to fish exposed to nanocomposites in our study. Podocytes with swollen and elongated pedicels
448 have been reported in male rats following intraperitoneal injection of AgNPs (2000 mg/kg; 20 nm to 65
449 nm, unspecified coating).⁸⁷ This change could have reduced filtration area and hydraulic permeability⁸⁵
450 and may have been linked to the congestion of glomerular capillaries observed in fish in our study. Such
451 reductions in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and plasma flow are also signs of malnutrition. These renal
452 changes can also result from perturbations in transmembrane signaling transduction,⁸⁸ which may have
453 occurred from silver interacting with cell membranes.⁸⁹ Boudreau *et al.*⁷⁷ reported significant
454 concentrations of silver in kidneys of rats exposed via oral gavage to AgNPs, higher even than the Ag+
455 control (as AgOAc). Within the kidney, they found AgNPs to remain intact and localize to renal tubular
456 epithelium while control AgOAc silver localized to basement membranes of glomeruli. This is in line
457 with the results of our study. Nanocomposites have been used as therapies to address kidney injury and
458 dysfunction. However, to date, there are no reports for kidney injury resulting from AgNP nanocomposite
459 exposure.

460 We observed substantial changes to the liver, consistent with reports in both mammals and fish
461 for AgNP accumulation and resultant damage. The liver has been shown to be a site of bioconcentration

462 of AgNPs in both adult medaka⁹⁰ and zebrafish,⁹¹ and it is the most likely route of nanomaterial
463 excretion.⁹² When adult zebrafish were exposed to aqueous AgNPs (25 nm, unspecified coating, 23.7
464 µg/L to 331.8 µg/L) for 14 days, hepatic parenchyma was less homogenous, with cells in loose contact or
465 irregular in shape.⁹³ Livers of Siberian sturgeon (*Acipenser baerii*) exposed to aqueous AgNPs (8 nm,
466 unspecified coating, 100 µg/L to 1500 µg/L) for 21 days developed dilations and blood congestion in
467 vessels as well as hepatocyte shrinkage and enlargement.⁹⁴ Vacuolated hepatocytes and necrotic foci were
468 also reported following dietary exposure of AgNPs (5 nm, PVP/PEI coating, 100 ng/L and 100 µg/L) via
469 *Artemia* in zebrafish.⁶² When Wu and Zhou⁹⁵ exposed adult medaka to aqueous AgNPs (30 nm, PVP
470 coated, 0.05 mg/L to 0.5 mg/L) for 14 days, they found congested blood vessels, enlarged hepatocytes,
471 dilation of sinusoidal space, focal necrosis, focal inflammation, and areas of vacuolation in the liver.
472 These findings correspond with what we observed in fish exposed to silver, either pristine or composite.
473 However, it is worthy of mention that some of these studies lack a Ag⁺ treatment group, so it is unclear if
474 their findings were the result of the nanoparticle or Ag⁺. There are also no AgNP nanocomposite studies
475 that focus on liver morphology that we can compare to our findings.

476 We observed hepatocellular alterations in most or all fish in treatment groups containing silver. It
477 was interesting that hepatocellular shrinkage was only observed in fish exposed to nanocomposite
478 materials, specifically near the porta hepatis. It is also noteworthy that we also observed shrunken
479 hepatocytes in our recent study of oral exposure to MWCNT-embedded nanocomposites.⁵⁵ This
480 morphology can be indicative of nutrient deprivation,⁹⁶ and it can be a symptom of cytoplasmic
481 alterations and aggregation of organelles and is characteristic sign of apoptotic cell death.⁹⁷ Importantly,
482 the fish in the control and PETG groups in our study were fasted for the same amounts of time as those in
483 other groups, and this change was not observed suggesting that the composites were the agent of this
484 change. Conversely, necrotic hepatocytes occurred with exposure to AgNPs but not nanocomposites,
485 suggesting there may be a threshold concentration of AgNPs released from composites to cause this type
486 of significant change. This is a possibility as AgNPs can have strong interactions with their polymer
487 matrices.¹⁴ There is evidence *in vitro* from rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) hepatocytes that AgNPs

488 inhibit cellular metabolic activity, reduce membrane integrity, and increase reactive oxygen species
489 (ROS) in a dose-dependent manner.⁹⁸ Swollen hepatocytes with clear or lightly staining cytoplasm in
490 histologic sections is indicative of glycogen accumulation but cannot be confirmed by H&E staining
491 alone.⁸⁸ This is where analyses of multiple levels of biological organization proved valuable. TEM
492 provided confirmation of glycogen accumulation, showing large areas of glycogen in hepatocytes of
493 exposed fish. In teleosts, hepatic intracellular glucose is channeled into glycogen and becomes a major
494 store of carbohydrates.⁹⁹ Increased glycogen such as that in fish in our study is a metabolic situation
495 typically associated with food deprivation⁹⁹, and supported our findings in kidney and liver tissues.

496 Also prevalent in fish of our study was widespread immunological responses. There are a number
497 of studies of toxicant-induced immunotoxicity, but the mechanisms underlying changes are not well
498 understood.¹⁰⁰ In our study, inflammation appeared to be the result of AgNP exposure, both in its pristine
499 form as well as that released from nanocomposites. Many metals are known to be immunotoxic, typically
500 in the disruption of ion influx or reactive oxygen formation.¹⁰¹ AgNPs can penetrate the serosal layer of
501 the gut and activate serosal macrophages located in the peritoneal cavity¹⁰¹ as suggested by the
502 macrophages we observed in these areas in histologic sections. Once inside macrophages, lysosomal fluid
503 can increase the amount of silver content on the nanoparticle surface and increase the rate of Ag⁺
504 production from AgNPs, a contributor to metallic cytotoxicity.⁷⁶ Additionally, lysosomal membrane
505 potential (LMP) is accompanied by the release of cathepsin B, initiating NLRP3 inflammasome assembly
506 and caspase-1 activation.¹⁰² This process leads to the maturation of IL-1 β and IL-18 and a subsequent
507 inflammatory response.¹⁰² The ability of AgNPs to initiate this inflammatory pathway via LMP disruption
508 has been demonstrated in human liver¹⁰³ and lung cells¹⁰⁴ as well as oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
509 hepatopancreas tissues.¹⁰⁵

510 Accumulation of white blood cells are indicative of sites of inflammation, reactive oxygen
511 formation, and lipid oxidation.¹⁰¹ The adaptive immune system in fish shows a slower response than that
512 of mammals, sometimes taking weeks instead of days. *In vitro* studies have shown AgNPs to induce an
513 inflammatory response of which an early stage is the cellular secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines.¹⁰⁶

514 It is possible that the inflammation that we observed was in the early stages and a more chronic exposure
515 may be necessary to determine full effects of AgNPs on the immune system. Future investigations should
516 include testing of humoral elements, as well as gene alterations for cell regulation, classes of
517 inflammatory and stress responses.¹⁰⁶

518 Considering the commonalities in toxicity of AgNPs across diverse biological systems, cross-
519 species extrapolations may be possible.⁸⁰ AgNPs have been shown to distribute, accumulate, and affect
520 more organs compared to other types of nanoparticles, such as gold nanoparticles (*e.g.*, Yang *et al.*¹⁰⁷).
521 AgNPs are added to various matrices (*e.g.*, polymers) so that their release provides bactericidal and
522 bacteriostatic effects.¹⁰⁸ This incorporation has been viewed as a way to reduce silver toxicity to humans
523 while still imparting antimicrobial benefits.¹⁰⁹ But to date, abrasion studies such as we have conducted
524 have not been done to support this statement. The observations made in our study showed that exposure
525 to pristine AgNPs resulted in the most morphological change as did AgNO₃, although to a lesser extent.
526 This suggests that silver is more toxic as a nanoparticle rather than AgNPs being a delivery mechanism
527 for Ag⁺. This was supported by our dissolution data, which showed that while dissolution increased
528 slightly over the course of 24 hours for AgNPs, the concentration of dissolved silver did not reach that of
529 equivalent AgNO₃. Additionally, the material matrix of the nanocomposites protected from total AgNP or
530 Ag⁺ release. Interestingly, the different responses we observed in nanocomposite exposure groups
531 indicate that these advanced materials should be viewed as unique mixtures that may alter the toxic
532 effects of the silvers particles incorporated on the material matrix.

533 **5. CONCLUSION**

534 Our study is the first to evaluate morphologic changes in a vertebrate resulting from oral exposure
535 to abraded AgNP nanocomposites, a unique mixture of nanoparticles and a plastic polymer. AgNPs and
536 AgNP composites affected a wide range of organs and prompted an immune response. These systemic
537 responses indicate that ingested silver was able to penetrate the gut wall and distribute throughout the
538 body. Effects in both the kidney and liver suggested much of this distribution occurred through the

539 bloodstream. While very few effects were observed with the PETG matrix alone, this component of
540 nanocomposites should not be disregarded as it may have impacted other mechanisms (*e.g.*,
541 microbiome¹¹⁰, reproduction¹¹¹). While effects of nanocomposites containing higher loads of AgNPs were
542 most similar to exposures with pristine AgNPs, we also observed nanocomposites caused different
543 morphological changes within some tissues suggesting unique mixture effects.

544

545 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

546 Funding for this work was obtained in part through cooperative agreement W912HZ-17-2-0002
547 between the US Army Engineer Research Development Center and the US Consumer Product Safety
548 Commission (CPSC). The content of this publication has not been reviewed or approved by and does not
549 necessarily reflect the views of the Commission, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products,
550 or organizations imply endorsement by the CPSC. Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or
551 materials are identified in this paper in order to specify the experimental procedure adequately. Such
552 identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the US Army Corps of
553 Engineers, NIST or by the Consumer Product Safety Commission, nor is it intended to imply that the
554 materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose. The authors also thank
555 the Center for the Environmental Implications of NanoTechnology (CEINT), supported by the National
556 Science Foundation (NSF), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under NSF Cooperative
557 Agreement DBI-1266252. Sample prep and imaging for transmission electron microscopy was performed
558 at the Duke University Shared Materials Instrumentation Facility (SMIF), a member of the North
559 Carolina Research Triangle Nanotechnology Network (RTNN), which is supported by the National
560 Science Foundation (Grant ECCS-1542015) as part of the National Nanotechnology Coordinated
561 Infrastructure (NNCI). The table of contents entry (graphical abstract) was created with BioRender.com.

562

563 **Conflicts of Interest**

564 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that
565 could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

566 References

- 567
- 568 1. A. Kennedy, J. Brame, T. Rycroft, M. Wood, V. Zemba, C. Weiss Jr., M. Hull, C. Hill,
569 C. Geraci and I. Linkov, A definition and categorization system for advanced materials:
570 The foundation for risk-informed environmental health and safety testing, *Risk Analysis*,
571 2019, **39**, 1783-1795.

 - 572 2. S. Berkner, K. Schwirn and D. Voelker, Too advanced for assessment? Advanced
573 materials, nanomedicine and the environment, *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 2022, **34**,
574 71.

 - 575 3. N. Karak, in *Nanomaterials and Polymer Nanocomposites*, ed. N. Karak, Elsevier, 2019,
576 DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-814615-6.00001-1, pp. 1-45.

 - 577 4. I. Pacheco and C. Buzea, in *Handbook of Nanomaterials and Nanocomposites for Energy*
578 *and Environmental Applications*, eds. O. V. Kharissova, L. M. Torres-Martínez and B. I.
579 Kharisov, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-
580 36268-3_1, ch. 1, pp. 3-39.

 - 581 5. V. Ojijo and S. Sinha Ray, in *Processing of Polymer-based Nanocomposites*, ed. S. Sinha
582 Ray, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-97779-
583 9_1, pp. 1-14.

 - 584 6. C. O'Connor, C. Barnes, L. Kent and D. Hammond, In-depth lab report: design and
585 evaluation of low cost, custom, retrofitted engineering controls for 3D printing. *Journal*,
586 2022.

 - 587 7. F. L. Chan, R. House, I. Kudla, J. C. Lipszyc, N. Rajaram and S. M. Tarlo, Health survey
588 of employees regularly using 3D printers, *Occup Med (Lond)*, 2018, **68**, 211-214.

 - 589 8. W. C. Hill, D. W. Seitz, M. S. Hull, M. L. Ballentine and A. J. Kennedy, Additives
590 influence 3D printer emission profiles: Implications for working safely with polymer
591 filament composites, *Indoor Air*, 2022, **32**, e13130.

 - 592 9. E. Alberts, M. Ballentine, E. Barnes and A. Kennedy, Impact of metal additives on
593 particle emission profiles from a fused filament fabrication 3D printer, *Atmospheric*
594 *Environment*, 2021, **244**, 117956.

 - 595 10. J. Pelley, Safety Standards Aim to Rein in 3-D Printer Emissions, *ACS Central Science*,
596 2018, **4**, 134-136.

- 597 11. E. Glassford, K. L. Dunn, K. H. Dunn, D. Hammond and J. Tyrawski, Health Effects
598 from Exposures To 3D Printer Emissions. *Journal*, 2020.
- 599 12. C. Buzea, I. I. Pacheco and K. Robbie, Nanomaterials and nanoparticles: Sources and
600 toxicity, *Biointerphases*, 2007, **2**, MR17-MR71.
- 601 13. N. Barrera, L. Guerrero, A. Debut and P. Santa-Cruz, Printable nanocomposites of
602 polymers and silver nanoparticles for antibacterial devices produced by DoD technology,
603 *PLoS ONE*, 2018, **13**, e0200918.
- 604 14. N. Karak, in *Nanomaterials and Polymer Nanocomposites*, ed. N. Karak, Elsevier, 2019,
605 DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-814615-6.00002-3, ch. 2, pp. 47-89.
- 606 15. P. Dallas, V. K. Sharma and R. Zboril, Silver polymeric nanocomposites as advanced
607 antimicrobial agents: classification, synthetic paths, applications, and perspectives,
608 *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 2011, **166**, 119-135.
- 609 16. A. Hazra Chowdhury, R. Debnath, S. Manirul Islam and T. Saha, in *Sustainable Polymer*
610 *Composites and Nanocomposites*, eds. Inamuddin, S. Thomas, R. Kumar Mishra and A.
611 M. Asiri, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-
612 05399-4_37, ch. 37, pp. 1067-1091.
- 613 17. S. Silver, Bacterial silver resistance: molecular biology and uses and misuses of silver
614 compounds, *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 2003, **27**, 341-353.
- 615 18. S. S. Ahmad, O. Yousuf, R. U. Islam and K. Younis, Silver nanoparticles as an active
616 packaging ingredient and its toxicity, *Packaging Technology and Science*, 2021, **34**, 653-
617 663.
- 618 19. Z. Ferdous and A. Nemmar, Health impact of silver nanoparticles: A review of the
619 biodistribution and toxicity following various routes of exposure, *International Journal*
620 *of Molecular Sciences*, 2020, **21**, 2375.
- 621 20. T. Yang, T. Paulose, B. W. Redan, J. C. Mabon and T. V. Duncan, Food and beverage
622 ingredients induce the formation of silver nanoparticles in products stored within
623 nanotechnology-enabled packaging, *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2021, **13**,
624 1398-1412.
- 625 21. T. V. Duncan and K. Pillai, Release of engineered nanomaterials from polymer
626 nanocomposites: Diffusion, dissolution, and desorption, *ACS Applied Materials &*
627 *Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 2-19.

- 628 22. E. O. Simbine, L. d. C. Rodrigues, J. Lapa-Guimaraes, E. S. Kamimura, C. H. Corassin
629 and C. A. F. de Oliveira, Application of silver nanoparticles in food packages: a review,
630 *Food Science and Technology*, 2019, **39**, 793-802.
- 631 23. M. E. Quadros, R. Pierson, N. S. Tolve, R. Willis, K. Rogers, T. A. Thomas and L. C.
632 Marr, Release of silver from nanotechnology-based consumer products for children,
633 *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2013, **47**, 8894-8901.
- 634 24. T. Benn, B. Cavanagh, K. Hristovski, J. D. Posner and P. Westerhoff, The release of
635 nanosilver from consumer products used in the home, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2010, **39**, 1875-
636 1882.
- 637 25. Z. Ouyang, R. Mao, E. Hu, C. Xiao, C. Yang and X. Guo, The indoor exposure of
638 microplastics in different environments, *Gondwana Research*, 2022, **108**, 193-199.
- 639 26. Y. Jiang, J. Han, J. Na, J. Fang, C. Qi, J. Lu, X. Liu, C. Zhou, J. Feng, W. Zhu, L. Liu, H.
640 Jiang, Z. Hua, G. Pan, L. Yan, W. Sun and Z. Yang, Exposure to microplastics in the
641 upper respiratory tract of indoor and outdoor workers, *Chemosphere*, 2022, **307**, 136067.
- 642 27. K. M. Kleinow and M. O. James, in *Target Organ Toxicity in Marine and Freshwater*
643 *Teleosts*, eds. D. Schlenk and W. H. Benson, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2001, vol.
644 Volume 1 - Organs, ch. 5, pp. 269-362.
- 645 28. J. G. Coleman, A. J. Kennedy, A. J. Bednar, J. F. Ranville, J. G. Laird, A. R. Harmon, C.
646 A. Hayes, E. P. Gray, C. P. Higgins, G. Lotufo and J. A. Steevens, Comparing the effects
647 of nanosilver size and coating variations on bioavailability, internalization, and
648 elimination, using *Lumbriculus variegatus*, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*,
649 2013, **32**, 2069-2077.
- 650 29. J. Fabrega, S. N. Luoma, C. R. Tyler, T. S. Galloway and J. R. Lead, Silver
651 nanoparticles: behaviour and effects in the aquatic environment, *Environment*
652 *International*, 2011, **37**, 517-531.
- 653 30. K. W. H. Kwok, M. Auffan, A. R. Badireddy, C. M. Nelson, M. R. Wiesner, A. Chilkoti,
654 J. Liu, S. M. Marinakos and D. E. Hinton, Uptake of silver nanoparticles and toxicity to
655 early life stages of Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*): effect of coating materials,
656 *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2012, **120**, 59-66.
- 657 31. J. M. Lacave, U. Vicario-Parés, E. Bilbao, D. Gilliland, F. Mura, L. Dini, M. P.
658 Cajaraville and A. Orbea, Waterborne exposure of adult zebrafish to silver nanoparticles
659 and to ionic silver results in differential silver accumulation and effects at cellular and
660 molecular levels, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2018, **642**, 1209-1220.

- 661 32. A. Bermejo-Nogales, M. Fernández, M. L. Fernández-Cruz and J. M. Navas, Effects of a
662 silver nanomaterial on cellular organelles and time course of oxidative stress in a fish cell
663 line (PLHC-1), *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology &*
664 *Pharmacology*, 2016, **190**, 54-65.
- 665 33. M. S. A. Darwish, M. H. Mostafa and L. M. Al-Harbi, Polymeric nanocomposites for
666 environmental and industrial applications, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*,
667 2022, **23**, 1023.
- 668 34. ERDC, Environmental Risk Assessment: Advance Materials, Tools and Resources,
669 <https://nano.el.ercd.dren.mil/tools.html>, (2021).
- 670 35. L. T. Haber, A. J. Bednar, A. J. Kennedy, M. L. Ballentine and R. A. Canady, *Methods*
671 *Evaluation for Assessing Release of Manufactured Nanomaterials from Polymers,*
672 *Consistent with the NanoGRID Framework: Advanced and Additive Materials:*
673 *Sustainability for Army Acquisitions*, Army Engineer Research and Development Center,
674 Vicksburg United States, 2019.
- 675 36. P. Byrley, B. J. George, W. K. Boyes and K. Rogers, Particle emissions from fused
676 deposition modeling 3D printers: Evaluation and meta-analysis, *Science of The Total*
677 *Environment*, 2019, **655**, 395-407.
- 678 37. N. Bossa, J. M. Sipe, W. Berger, K. Scott, A. J. Kennedy, T. Thomas, C. O. Hendren and
679 M. R. Wiesner, Quantifying mechanical abrasion of MWCNT nanocomposites used in
680 3D printing: Influence of CNT content on abrasion products and rate of microplastic
681 production, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2021, **55**, 10332-10342.
- 682 38. K. Szykiedans, W. Credo and D. Osiński, Selected mechanical properties of PETG 3-D
683 prints, *Xxi Polish-Slovak Scientific Conference Machine Modeling and Simulations Mms*
684 *2016*, 2017, **177**, 455-461.
- 685 39. G. Dolzyk and S. Jung, Tensile and fatigue analysis of 3D-printed polyethylene
686 terephthalate glycol, *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention*, 2019, **19**, 511-518.
- 687 40. J. C. Prata, J. P. da Costa, I. Lopes, A. C. Duarte and T. Rocha-Santos, Environmental
688 exposure to microplastics: An overview on possible human health effects, *Science of The*
689 *Total Environment*, 2020, **702**, 134455.
- 690 41. C. Pedà, L. Caccamo, M. C. Fossi, F. Gai, F. Andaloro, L. Genovese, A. Perdichizzi, T.
691 Romeo and G. Maricchiolo, Intestinal alterations in European sea bass *Dicentrarchus*
692 *labrax* (Linnaeus, 1758) exposed to microplastics: Preliminary results, *Environmental*
693 *Pollution*, 2016, **212**, 251-256.

- 694 42. H. Gu, S. Wang, X. Wang, X. Yu, M. Hu, W. Huang and Y. Wang, Nanoplastics impair
695 the intestinal health of the juvenile large yellow croaker *Larimichthys crocea*, *Journal of*
696 *Hazardous Materials*, 2020, **397**, 122773.
- 697 43. M. Zhu, M. Chernick, D. Rittschof and D. E. Hinton, Chronic dietary exposure to
698 polystyrene microplastics in maturing Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*), *Aquatic*
699 *Toxicology*, 2020, **220**, 105396.
- 700 44. A. M. Lopes, H.-U. Dahms, A. Converti and G. L. Mariottini, Role of model organisms
701 and nanocompounds in human health risk assessment, *Environmental Monitoring and*
702 *Assessment*, 2021, **193**, 285.
- 703 45. A. Planchart, C. J. Mattingly, D. Allen, P. Ceger, W. Casey, D. Hinton, J. Kanungo, S.
704 W. Kullman, T. Tal, M. Bondesson, S. M. Burgess, C. Sullivan, C. Kim, M. Behl, S.
705 Padilla, D. M. Reif, R. L. Tanguay and J. Hamm, Advancing toxicology research using *in*
706 *vivo* high throughput toxicology with small fish models, *ALTEX*, 2016, **33**, 435-452.
- 707 46. K. Dooley and L. I. Zon, Zebrafish: a model system for the study of human disease,
708 *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, 2000, **10**, 252-256.
- 709 47. R. B. Walter and T. Obara, Workshop report: The medaka model for comparative
710 assessment of human disease mechanisms, *Comparative biochemistry and physiology.*
711 *Toxicology & pharmacology : CBP*, 2015, **178**, 156-162.
- 712 48. N. Aghaallaei, F. Gruhl, C. Q. Schaefer, T. Wernet, V. Weinhardt, L. Centanin, F. Loosli,
713 T. Baumbach and J. Wittbrodt, Identification, visualization and clonal analysis of
714 intestinal stem cells in fish, *Development*, 2016, **143**, 3470-3480.
- 715 49. S. Brugman, The zebrafish as a model to study intestinal inflammation, *Developmental &*
716 *Comparative Immunology*, 2016, **64**, 82-92.
- 717 50. X. Zhao and M. Pack, in *Methods in Cell Biology*, eds. H. W. Detrich, M. Westerfield
718 and L. I. Zon, Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, 2017, vol. 138, pp. 241-270.
- 719 51. J. M. Sipe, W. Berger, N. Bossa, M. Chernick, K. Scott, A. Kennedy, T. Thomas, C. O.
720 Hendren and M. R. Wiesner, Quantifying mechanical abrasion of AgNP
721 Nanocomposites used in 3D Printing: Influence of AgNP content on abrasion products
722 and rate of microplastic production *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2024, **in press**.
- 723 52. D. E. Hinton and J. A. Couch, in *Fish Ecotoxicology*, eds. T. Braunbeck, D. E. Hinton
724 and B. Streit, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland, 1998, pp. 141-164.

- 725 53. S. Bao, W. Tang and T. Fang, Sex-dependent and organ-specific toxicity of silver
726 nanoparticles in livers and intestines of adult zebrafish, *Chemosphere*, 2020, **249**,
727 126172.
- 728 54. Y. Lu, Y. Zhang, Y. Deng, W. Jiang, Y. Zhao, J. Geng, L. Ding and H. Ren, Uptake and
729 accumulation of polystyrene microplastics in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and toxic effects in
730 liver, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2016, **50**, 4054-4060.
- 731 55. M. Chernick, A. J. Kennedy, T. Thomas, K. C. K. Scott, C. O. Hendren, M. R. Wiesner
732 and D. E. Hinton, Impacts of ingested MWCNT-Embedded nanocomposites in Japanese
733 medaka (*Oryzias latipes*), *Nanotoxicology*, 2021, **15**, 1403-1422.
- 734 56. J. M. Sipe, N. Bossa, W. Berger, N. von Windheim, K. Gall and M. R. Wiesner, From
735 bottle to microplastics: Can we estimate how our plastic products are breaking down?,
736 *Science of The Total Environment*, 2022, **814**, 152460.
- 737 57. K. C. K. Scott, M. R. Wiesner, J. M. Sipe and N. Bossa, Correlating mechanical abrasion
738 with power input, *NIST Special Publication*, 2022, **1200**, 30.
- 739 58. I. Fernando and Y. Zhou, Impact of pH on the stability, dissolution and aggregation
740 kinetics of silver nanoparticles, *Chemosphere*, 2019, **216**, 297-305.
- 741 59. J. M. Wilson and L. F. C. Castro, in *Fish Physiology*, eds. M. Grosell, A. P. Farrell and
742 C. J. Brauner, Academic Press, New York, NY, 2010, vol. 30, pp. 1-55.
- 743 60. M. K. Hamilton, E. S. Wall, C. D. Robinson, K. Guillemin and J. S. Eisen, Enteric
744 nervous system modulation of luminal pH modifies the microbial environment to
745 promote intestinal health, *PLOS Pathogens*, 2022, **18**, e1009989.
- 746 61. T. Sasado, M. Tanaka, K. Kobayashi, T. Sato, M. Sakaizumi and K. Naruse, Quintet
747 Strain Information, <https://shigen.nig.ac.jp/medaka/strainDetailAction.do?strainId=467>,
748 (accessed 11/28/2018, 2018).
- 749 62. J. M. Lacave, Á. Fanjul, E. Bilbao, N. Gutierrez, I. Barrio, I. Arostegui, M. P. Cajaraville
750 and A. Orbea, Acute toxicity, bioaccumulation and effects of dietary transfer of silver
751 from brine shrimp exposed to PVP/PEI-coated silver nanoparticles to zebrafish,
752 *Comparative biochemistry and physiology. Toxicology & pharmacology : CBP*, 2017,
753 **199**, 69-80.
- 754 63. D. L. Merrifield, B. J. Shaw, G. M. Harper, I. P. Saoud, S. J. Davies, R. D. Handy and T.
755 B. Henry, Ingestion of metal-nanoparticle contaminated food disrupts endogenous
756 microbiota in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), *Environmental Pollution*, 2013, **174**, 157-163.

- 757 64. J. Wang and W.-X. Wang, Low bioavailability of silver nanoparticles presents trophic
758 toxicity to marine medaka (*Oryzias melastigma*), *Environmental Science & Technology*,
759 2014, **48**, 8152-8161.
- 760 65. Z. Chen, X. Sheng, J. Wang and Y. Wen, Silver nanoparticles or free silver ions work?
761 An enantioselective phytotoxicity study with a chiral tool, *Science of The Total*
762 *Environment*, 2018, **610-611**, 77-83.
- 763 66. J. Liu and R. H. Hurt, Ion release kinetics and particle persistence in aqueous nano-silver
764 colloids, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2010, **44**, 2169-2175.
- 765 67. C. Levard, E. M. Hotze, G. V. Lowry and G. E. Brown, Jr., Environmental
766 transformations of silver nanoparticles: impact on stability and toxicity, *Environmental*
767 *Science & Technology*, 2012, **46**, 6900-6914.
- 768 68. S. W. P. Wijnhoven, W. J. G. M. Peijnenburg, C. A. Herberts, W. I. Hagens, A. G.
769 Oomen, E. H. W. Heugens, B. Roszek, J. Bisschops, I. Gosens, D. Van de Meent, S.
770 Dekkers, W. H. De Jong, M. Van Zijverden, A. J. A. M. Sips and R. E. Geertsma, Nano-
771 silver - A review of available data and knowledge gaps in human and environmental risk
772 assessment, *Nanotoxicology*, 2009, **3**, 109-U178.
- 773 69. S. Kacprzak and L. D. Tijing, Microplastics in indoor environment: Sources, mitigation
774 and fate, *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 2022, **10**, 107359.
- 775 70. M. D. Prokic, B. R. Gavrilovic, T. B. Radovanovic, J. P. Gavric, T. G. Petrovic, S. G.
776 Despotovic and C. Faggio, Studying microplastics: Lessons from evaluated literature on
777 animal model organisms and experimental approaches, *J Hazard Mater*, 2021, **414**,
778 125476.
- 779 71. M. D. Prokić, T. B. Radovanović, J. P. Gavrić and C. Faggio, Ecotoxicological effects of
780 microplastics: Examination of biomarkers, current state and future perspectives, *TrAC*
781 *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 2019, **111**, 37-46.
- 782 72. J. H. Bridson, E. C. Gaugler, D. A. Smith, G. L. Northcott and S. Gaw, Leaching and
783 extraction of additives from plastic pollution to inform environmental risk: A
784 multidisciplinary review of analytical approaches, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2021,
785 **414**, 125571.
- 786 73. X. Cousin, A. Batel, A. Bringer, S. Hess, M.-L. Bégout and T. Braunbeck, Microplastics
787 and sorbed contaminants – Trophic exposure in fish sensitive early life stages, *Marine*
788 *Environmental Research*, 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105126, 105126.

- 789 74. I. Zorraquín-Peña, C. Cueva, B. Bartolomé and M. V. Moreno-Arribas, Silver
790 nanoparticles against foodborne bacteria. Effects at intestinal level and health limitations,
791 *Microorganisms*, 2020, **8**, 132.
- 792 75. Y. Wakamatsu, S. Pristyazhnyuk, M. Kinoshita, M. Tanaka and K. Ozato, The see-
793 through medaka: A fish model that is transparent throughout life, *Proceedings of the*
794 *National Academy of Sciences*, 2001, **98**, 10046-10050.
- 795 76. L. K. Braydich-Stolle, E. K. Breitner, K. K. Comfort, J. J. Schlager and S. M. Hussain,
796 Dynamic characteristics of silver nanoparticles in physiological fluids: Toxicological
797 implications, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 15309-15316.
- 798 77. M. D. Boudreau, M. S. Imam, A. M. Paredes, M. S. Bryant, C. K. Cunningham, R. P.
799 Felton, M. Y. Jones, K. J. Davis and G. R. Olson, Differential effects of silver
800 nanoparticles and silver ions on tissue accumulation, distribution, and toxicity in the
801 Sprague Dawley rat following daily oral gavage administration for 13 weeks,
802 *Toxicological Sciences*, 2016, **150**, 131-160.
- 803 78. C. M. Press and Ø. Evensen, The morphology of the immune system in teleost fishes,
804 *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 1999, **9**, 309-318.
- 805 79. T. M. Scown, E. M. Santos, B. D. Johnston, B. Gaiser, M. Baalousha, S. Mitov, J. R.
806 Lead, V. Stone, T. F. Fernandes, M. Jepson, R. van Aerle and C. R. Tyler, Effects of
807 aqueous exposure to silver nanoparticles of different sizes in rainbow trout, *Toxicological*
808 *Sciences*, 2010, **115**, 521-534.
- 809 80. B. K. Gaiser, T. F. Fernandes, M. A. Jepson, J. R. Lead, C. R. Tyler, M. Baalousha, A.
810 Biswas, G. J. Britton, P. A. Cole, B. D. Johnston, Y. Ju-Nam, P. Rosenkranz, T. M.
811 Scown and V. Stone, Interspecies comparisons on the uptake and toxicity of silver and
812 cerium dioxide nanoparticles, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 2012, **31**, 144-
813 154.
- 814 81. K. N. Wallace, S. Akhter, E. M. Smith, K. Lorent and M. Pack, Intestinal growth and
815 differentiation in zebrafish, *Mechanisms of Development*, 2005, **122**, 157-173.
- 816 82. R. M. C. Udayangani, S. H. S. Dananjaya, C. Nikapitiya, G.-J. Heo, J. Lee and M. De
817 Zoysa, Metagenomics analysis of gut microbiota and immune modulation in zebrafish
818 (*Danio rerio*) fed chitosan silver nanocomposites, *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 2017,
819 **66**, 173-184.
- 820 83. S. B. Vasanth and G. A. Kurian, Toxicity evaluation of silver nanoparticles synthesized
821 by chemical and green route in different experimental models, *Artificial Cells,*
822 *Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology*, 2017, **45**, 1721-1727.

- 823 84. S. V. S. Rana, Recent advances on renal toxicity of engineered nanoparticles—a review,
824 *IJTRA*, 2021, **7**, 036.
- 825 85. B. K. Larsen and E. J. Perkins, in *Target Organ Toxicity in Marine and Freshwater*
826 *Teleosts*, eds. D. Schlenk and W. H. Benson, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2001, vol.
827 Volume 1 - Organs, ch. 2, pp. 90-150.
- 828 86. T. Yazdanparast, I. Sharifpour, M. Soltani and H. K. Esfahani, Evaluation of silver
829 retention in different organs of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) fed diet supplemented with silver
830 nanoparticles, *Int. J. Eng. Res.*, 2016, **5**, 269-274.
- 831 87. O. M. M. Sarhan and R. M. Hussein, Effects of intraperitoneally injected silver
832 nanoparticles on histological structures and blood parameters in the albino rat, *Int. J.*
833 *Nanomed.*, 2014, **9**, 1505-1517.
- 834 88. W. M. Haschek, C. G. Rousseaux and M. A. Wallig, *Fundamentals of Toxicologic*
835 *Pathology*, Academic Press, Burlington, MA, 2nd edition edn., 2010.
- 836 89. R. Tiwari, R. D. Singh, H. Khan, S. Gangopadhyay, S. Mittal, V. Singh, N. Arjaria, J.
837 Shankar, S. K. Roy, D. Singh and V. Srivastava, Oral subchronic exposure to silver
838 nanoparticles causes renal damage through apoptotic impairment and necrotic cell death,
839 *Nanotoxicology*, 2017, **11**, 671-686.
- 840 90. Y.-J. Jung, K.-T. Kim, J. Y. Kim, S.-Y. Yang, B.-G. Lee and S. D. Kim,
841 Bioconcentration and distribution of silver nanoparticles in Japanese medaka (*Oryzias*
842 *latipes*), *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2014, **267**, 206-213.
- 843 91. J. E. Choi, S. Kim, J. H. Ahn, P. Youn, J. S. Kang, K. Park, J. Yi and D.-Y. Ryu,
844 Induction of oxidative stress and apoptosis by silver nanoparticles in the liver of adult
845 zebrafish, *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2010, **100**, 151-159.
- 846 92. R. D. Handy, G. Al-Bairuty, A. Al-Jubory, C. S. Ramsden, D. Boyle, B. J. Shaw and T.
847 B. Henry, Effects of manufactured nanomaterials on fishes: a target organ and body
848 systems physiology approach, *Journal of Fish Biology*, 2011, **79**, 821-853.
- 849 93. C. Krishnaraj, S. L. Harper and S.-I. Yun, *In vivo* toxicological assessment of biologically
850 synthesized silver nanoparticles in adult Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), *Journal of Hazardous*
851 *Materials*, 2016, **301**, 480-491.
- 852 94. T. Ostaszewska, M. Chojnacki, M. Kamaszewski and E. Sawosz-Chwalibóg,
853 Histopathological effects of silver and copper nanoparticles on the epidermis, gills, and
854 liver of Siberian sturgeon, *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 2016, **23**, 1621-1633.

- 855 95. Y. Wu and Q. Zhou, Silver nanoparticles cause oxidative damage and histological
856 changes in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) after 14 days of exposure, *Environmental*
857 *Toxicology and Chemistry*, 2013, **32**, 165-173.
- 858 96. S. Mumford, J. Heidel, C. Smith, J. Morrison, B. MacConnell and V. S. Blazer, *Fish*
859 *histology and histopathology*, US Fish and Wildlife Service's National Conservation
860 Training Center, Shepherdstown, WV, USA, 2007.
- 861 97. C. Benz, S. Angermüller, G. Otto, P. Sauer, W. Stremmel and A. Stiehl, Effect of
862 tauroursodeoxycholic acid on bile acid-induced apoptosis in primary human hepatocytes,
863 *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 2000, **30**, 203-209.
- 864 98. J. Farkas, P. Christian, J. A. G. Urrea, N. Roos, M. Hassellöv, K. E. Tollefsen and K. V.
865 Thomas, Effects of silver and gold nanoparticles on rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)
866 hepatocytes, *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2010, **96**, 44-52.
- 867 99. D. E. Hinton, H. Segner and T. Braunbeck, in *Target Organ Toxicity in Marine and*
868 *Freshwater Teleosts*, eds. D. Schlenk and W. H. Benson, Taylor and Francis, London,
869 2001, vol. 1, pp. 224-268.
- 870 100. H. Segner, A. M. Möller, M. Wenger and A. Casanova-Nakayama, in *Interdisciplinary*
871 *Studies on Environmental Chemistry—Environmental Pollution and Ecotoxicology*, eds.
872 M. Kawaguchi, K. Misaki, H. Sato, T. Yokokawa, T. Itai, T. M. Nguyen, J. Ono and S.
873 Tanabe, TERRAPUB, 2012, pp. 1-12.
- 874 101. C. D. Rice, in *Target Organ Toxicity in Marine and Freshwater Teleosts*, eds. D. Schlenk
875 and W. H. Benson, Taylor & Francis, New York, NY, 2001, vol. Volume 2 - Systems, ch.
876 3, pp. 96-138.
- 877 102. OECD, in *Series on the Safety of Manufactured Nanomaterials, No. 92*, OECD
878 Publishing, Paris, 2020, vol. ENV/JM/MONO(2020)32.
- 879 103. A. R. Mishra, J. Zheng, X. Tang and P. L. Goering, Silver nanoparticle-induced
880 autophagic-lysosomal disruption and NLRP3-inflammasome activation in HepG2 cells is
881 size-dependent, *Toxicological Sciences*, 2016, **150**, 473-487.
- 882 104. T. Miyayama and M. Matsuoka, Involvement of lysosomal dysfunction in silver
883 nanoparticle-induced cellular damage in A549 human lung alveolar epithelial cells,
884 *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*, 2016, **11**, 1.
- 885 105. M. P. McCarthy, D. L. Carroll and A. H. Ringwood, Tissue specific responses of oysters,
886 *Crassostrea virginica*, to silver nanoparticles, *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2013, **138-139**, 123-
887 128.

- 888 106. K. K. Comfort, L. K. Braydich-Stolle, E. I. Maurer and S. M. Hussain, Less is more:
889 long-term in vitro exposure to low levels of silver nanoparticles provides new insights for
890 nanomaterial evaluation, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 3260-3271.
- 891 107. L. Yang, H. Kuang, W. Zhang, Z. P. Aguilar, H. Wei and H. Xu, Comparisons of the
892 biodistribution and toxicological examinations after repeated intravenous administration
893 of silver and gold nanoparticles in mice, *Scientific reports*, 2017, **7**, 3303-3303.
- 894 108. L. A. Tamayo, P. A. Zapata, N. D. Vejar, M. I. Azócar, M. A. Gulppi, X. Zhou, G. E.
895 Thompson, F. M. Rabagliati and M. A. Páez, Release of silver and copper nanoparticles
896 from polyethylene nanocomposites and their penetration into *Listeria monocytogenes*,
897 *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl*, 2014, **40**, 24-31.
- 898 109. K. Naik and M. Kowshik, The silver lining: towards the responsible and limited usage of
899 silver, *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 2017, **123**, 1068-1087.
- 900 110. C. Zhang, F. Li, X. Liu, L. Xie, Y. T. Zhang and J. Mu, Polylactic acid (PLA),
901 polyethylene terephthalate (PET), and polystyrene (PS) microplastics differently affect
902 the gut microbiota of marine medaka (*Oryzias melastigma*) after individual and combined
903 exposure with sulfamethazine, *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2023, *in press*, 106522.
- 904 111. Y. Cong, F. Jin, M. Tian, J. Wang, H. Shi, Y. Wang and J. Mu, Ingestion, egestion and
905 post-exposure effects of polystyrene microspheres on marine medaka (*Oryzias*
906 *melastigma*), *Chemosphere*, 2019, **228**, 93-100.
907