

# ASHRAE's New Position Document on Indoor Carbon Dioxide

Andrew Persily<sup>1,\*</sup>, William P. Bahnfleth<sup>2</sup>, Howard Kipen<sup>3</sup>, Josephine Lau<sup>4</sup>, Corinne Mandin<sup>5</sup>, Chandra Sekhar<sup>6</sup>, Pawel Wargocki<sup>7</sup>, Lan Chi Nguyen Weekes<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland USA

<sup>2</sup> The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania USA

<sup>3</sup> Rutgers University - School of Public Health, Piscataway, New Jersey USA

<sup>4</sup> University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska USA

<sup>5</sup> Scientific and Technical Centre for Building (CSTB), Paris, France

<sup>6</sup> National University of Singapore, Singapore

<sup>7</sup> Technical University of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>8</sup> La Cité College, Ottawa, Canada

\*Corresponding email: [andyp@nist.gov](mailto:andyp@nist.gov)

## SUMMARY

Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are often discussed in the context of ventilation and IAQ, most recently in relation to airborne infectious disease transmission. However, many applications of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> measurements do not reflect a sound technical understanding of the relationship between indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, ventilation and IAQ. ASHRAE has recently issued a Position Document that addresses the role of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> as a metric of IAQ and ventilation, the impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on building occupants, the need for accurate indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurement, the use of CO<sub>2</sub> for controlling outdoor air intake, and how indoor CO<sub>2</sub> relates to airborne infectious disease transmission.

**KEYWORDS:** *Indoor CO<sub>2</sub>, Guidance, IAQ metrics, Ventilation*

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> has been considered in the context of building ventilation and indoor air quality (IAQ) for centuries. Many of these discussions have focused on how CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations relate to occupant perception of human bioeffluents and the use of CO<sub>2</sub> to evaluate outdoor air ventilation rates. While these topics have been studied for decades, misinterpretation of CO<sub>2</sub> as an indicator of IAQ and ventilation still occurs. Recent research has examined the impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on human cognitive performance at commonly observed indoor concentrations that were previously thought not to be of concern. Other recent studies have examined physiological impacts at these lower concentrations. Moreover, a variety of organizations and government bodies have issued standards and regulations for indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in workplaces, and CO<sub>2</sub> sensors have become less expensive and more widely deployed. Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring has also been promoted as a ventilation indicator in the context of managing the risks of airborne disease transmission. Most of these applications of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> require values for the rate at which building occupants generate CO<sub>2</sub>, and the uncertainty of these rates has not been well characterized. These developments and longstanding issues regarding the application of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations motivated the development of the new ASHRAE Position Document.

## 2 CONTENT OF THE POSITION DOCUMENT

The full ASHRAE Position Document on Indoor Carbon Dioxide can be found at the following URL: <https://www.ashrae.org/about/position-documents>. The position document is much more detailed than this paper and contains complete references to all statements therein. The

document describes the motivations for its development and contains a background section that discusses the history of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the context of ventilation and IAQ, health and cognitive impacts of exposure to CO<sub>2</sub>, existing standards and regulations for indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, CO<sub>2</sub> as an indicator of IAQ and ventilation, use of CO<sub>2</sub> as a tracer gas for estimating ventilation rates, increases in outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, air cleaning directed at CO<sub>2</sub> removal alone, and CO<sub>2</sub> as an indicator of the risk of airborne disease transmission.

The Position Document states the following positions, paraphrased for this summary:

- Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations do not provide an overall indication of IAQ, but they can be a useful tool in IAQ assessments if users understand the limits in these applications.
- Existing evidence for impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on health, well-being, learning outcomes and work performance at commonly observed indoor concentrations is inconsistent and does not currently justify changes to ventilation and IAQ standards, regulations, and guidelines.
- The use of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> measurements to assess and control the risk of airborne disease transmission must account for the definition of acceptable risk, space type and occupancy, and differences between CO<sub>2</sub> and infectious aerosols.
- Differences between indoor and outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations can be used to evaluate ventilation rates and air distribution using established tracer gas measurement methods, but accurate results require the validity of several assumptions and accurate input values.
- Sensor accuracy, location and calibration are all critical for drawing meaningful inferences from measured indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.
- Air cleaning technologies that remove only CO<sub>2</sub> will not necessarily improve overall IAQ and can interfere with systems using CO<sub>2</sub> for ventilation control or IAQ monitoring.

The Position Document recommends research in the following areas, paraphrased again:

- How CO<sub>2</sub> exposure modifies human responses to other environmental factors
- The development of IAQ metrics that cover the wide range of indoor contaminants
- Health and performance impacts of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> in concentration ranges typical of non-industrial indoor environments
- Physiological impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations
- The relationship between indoor CO<sub>2</sub> and airborne infectious disease transmission
- Indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurement including sensor performance and sensor locations for different applications
- The use of occupant-generated CO<sub>2</sub> as a tracer gas to estimate ventilation rates, including approaches that account for transient effects, multiple space systems and air distribution
- Strategies for demand control ventilation using CO<sub>2</sub> and other indicators of occupancy
- Surveys of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, ventilation rates and occupancy for different building and system types to establish benchmark data and better understand the impacts of new building and system designs

The position document also recommends the development of guidance on indoor CO<sub>2</sub> measurement, the application of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> for demand control ventilation, ventilation measurement using CO<sub>2</sub> as a tracer gas and related topics.

#### **4 CONCLUSIONS**

This new ASHRAE position document helps to clarify the role of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> in the context of ventilation and IAQ and should be useful to practitioners, researchers and policymakers. As the recommendations for additional research and guidance are implemented, the information generated will further improve the application of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in improving IAQ.