Dalton Transactions

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2021, 50, 17611

Received 21st September 2021, Accepted 10th November 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1dt03218f

rsc.li/dalton

Published on 10 November 2021. Downloaded by National Institutes of Standards & Technology on 10/12/2022 2:49:47 PM.

Introduction

Chalcogenides continue to be of interest for a variety of applications due to the unique physical properties that are directly related to specific bonding schemes and attributes, including optoelectronics and photovoltaics,1-7 topological insulators,8,9 thermoelectrics,^{10,11} superconductivity¹²⁻¹⁴ and magnetoferroics.15,16 New chalcogenide compositions expand our library of materials, and provide potential new discoveries through modification of the physical properties, transport mechanisms and processing conditions. Quaternary chalcogenides have recently been intensely investigated due to their promising potential for energy conversion applications. Many compositions have been explored taking into consideration environmental implications, while atypical transport properties for certain materials have also been reported.17-24 Some quaternary chalcogenides are known copper rich compositions,²⁵⁻²⁸ while others are derivatives of II-VI binaries that can be thought of as being formed by specific cation sub-

^aDepartment of Physics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, 33620, USA. E-mail: gnolas@usf.edu

^bFaculty of Chemistry and Food Chemistry, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

Synthesis, structure, electronic and thermal properties of sphalerite CuZn₂InS₄

Oluwagbemiga P. Ojo, ¹ Wilarachchige D. C. B. Gunatilleke,^a Hagen Poddig,^b Hsin Wang,^c Joshua Martin,^d Dylan J. Kirsch^{d,e} and George S. Nolas ¹ *^a

Quaternary chalcogenides continue to be of interest due to the variety of physical properties they possess, as well as their potential for different applications of interest. Investigations on materials with the sphalerite crystal structure have only recently begun. In this study we have synthesized sulfur-based sphalerite quaternary chalcogenides, including off-stoichiometric compositions, and investigated the temperature-dependent electronic, thermal and structural properties of these materials. Insulating to semiconducting transport is observed with stoichiometric variation, and analyses of heat capacity and thermal expansion revealed lattice anharmonicity that contributes to the low thermal conductivity these materials possess. We include similar analyses for CuZn₂lnSe₄ and compare these sphalerite quaternary chalcogenides to that of zinc blende binaries in order to fully understand the origin of the low thermal conductivity these quaternary chalcogenides possess.

lattice substitution.²⁹⁻³¹ For this latter case, compositions with the well-known kesterite crystal structure (I₂-II-IV-VI₄, where I = Cu or Ag, II = Zn or Cd, IV = Si, Ge or Sn, and VI = S, Se or Te) are an example.³² Another family of quaternary chalcogenides, $I-II_2-III-VI_4$ with III = Ga or In, can be understood as derivatives from the II-VI binary zinc blende structure by cation substitutions, with four Zn²⁺ replaced by three cations $I^{1+}(II^{2+})_2III^{3+}$ such that the overall valence and charge neutrality is preserved from that of the "parent" II-VI composition.³² These materials are semiconductors, the selenides and tellurides having 1 to 1.5 eV bandgaps that can be tuned via doping to optimize their desired properties.³³⁻³⁶ Thus far only selenide and telluride compositions have been investigated. Herein we report the synthesis and temperature-dependent structural, thermal and electrical properties of $Cu_{1+x}Zn_{2-x}InS_4$, with x = 0, 0.15, and 0.3. The approach to doping via variation in composition resulted in dramatic changes in the electronic transport. Furthermore, the temperature-dependent structural and thermal properties of CuZn₂InSe₄ were also investigated in this study and the Grüneisen parameters for both CuZn₂InS₄ and CuZn₂InSe₄ were evaluated in order to quantify the anharmonicity in these materials. Our findings are compared with thermal properties of zinc blende binaries in order to undertake a more comprehensive investigation of the structure-property relationships of these materials and present a study that contributes to the fundamental understanding of the physical properties of these quaternary chalcogenides.



View Article Online

^cMaterials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

^dMaterial Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

^eDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Experimental

The quaternary chalcogenides $Cu_{1+x}Zn_{2-x}InS_4$ (x = 0, 0.15, and 0.3) were prepared by direct reaction of commercially available high purity elements. Copper powder (99.9%, Alfa Aesar), Zn powder (99.9999%, Alfa Aesar), In shot (99.99%, Alfa Aesar) and S pieces (99.999%, Alfa Aesar) were loaded into silica ampoules in the nominal compositions $CuZn_2InS_4$, Cu_{1.15}Zn_{1.85}InS₄ and Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS₄.³⁷ Each reaction ampoule was inserted in a quartz tube and evacuated before sealing. CuZn₂InS₄ was reacted at 973 K for 4 days while the off-stoichiometric compositions were reacted at 1073 K for 4 days, all with a heating rate of 20 K h⁻¹. The furnaces were subsequently turned off allowing the specimens to cool down to room temperature. The specimens were then ground, cold pressed into pellets and sealed in a quartz tube under vacuum for annealing at 873 K for 7 days. Densification of the finely ground and sieved (325 mesh; 45 µm) specimens was accomplished by spark plasma sintering (SPS, Thermal Technology model #10-3). Each specimen was loaded into a customdesigned WC punch-die assembly and densified at 400 MPa and 723 K. The temperature ramp rate was 25 K min⁻¹. The pressure and current were maintained for 30 minutes once this temperature was reached resulting in dense polycrystalline materials >95% of theoretical density for all specimens.

Crystal structure analyses of $Cu_{1+x}Zn_{2-x}InS_4$ (x = 0, 0.15, and 0.3) were done by Rietveld structure refinement of X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements and the stoichiometries were corroborated by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). Powder XRD data were collected with a Bruker-AXS D8 Focus diffractometer in Bragg-Brentano geometry with Cu K_a radiation and a graphite monochromator. Rietveld refinement was performed using GSAS II software.38 The initial parameters for structure refinement were based on structural data previously reported for selenide and telluride materials.33,35 EDS of the sintered specimens were performed using an Oxford INCA X-Sight 7852 M equipped scanning electron microscope (SEM JEOL JSM-6390LV). Before SPS densification, differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) under nitrogen gas flow were performed using a TA Instruments Q600 apparatus and showed that these sphalerite quaternary chalcogenides are stable up to 823 K. Temperature dependent electrical resistivity, ρ , and Seebeck coefficient, S, were measured on parallelepipeds (2 mm × 2 mm × 10 mm) using a ULVAC ZEM-3 system. The experimental uncertainty was estimated to be $\pm 5\%$ and $\pm 8\%$ for ρ and S, respectively. All stated uncertainties are 1 standard deviation (no expansion). Thermal diffusivity, α , was measured employing the laser flash diffusivity method (NETZSCH LFA457 system) under Ar flow. The experimental uncertainty in α measurements were estimated to be ±5%. Temperature dependent thermal conductivity, κ , was calculated using the equation $\kappa = D\alpha C_p$, where D and $C_{\rm p}$ are the measured density from geometry and specific heat capacity, respectively. Specific heat capacity $C_{\rm p}$ ($\approx C_{\rm v}$) was estimated from the Dulong-Petit limit,³⁹ $C_v = 3nR$, where n and R are the number of atoms per formula unit and the ideal

gas constant, respectively. At high temperature this may sometimes result in an underestimation of C_p , thus affecting κ , however for CuZn₂InS₄ we estimate C_p - C_v to be less than 1% at room temperature and smaller at lower temperatures. Room temperature four-probe Hall measurements (0.5 mm × 2 mm × 5 mm) were collected in magnetic fields up to 1.2 T. Alternating the polarity of the field eliminated voltage probe misalignment effects. Isobaric heat capacity, C_p , measurements from 300 K to 2 K were performed using a commercial Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System using thermal N-grease and appropriate addenda. The uncertainty across the entire measured temperature range was within ±5%.

The low temperature XRD data was obtained using a specialized Empyrean powder X-ray diffractometer (parallel beam, hybrid 220-Ge Monochromator, Cu-Ka₁ radiation) and Pixcel3D detector with a customized sample holder in an ARS DE-102 closed-cycle helium cryostat with beryllium windows, assuring isothermal conditions in the temperature range of 50 K to 300(1) K. The powder specimens were prepared between amorphous tapes and fixed in the measurement position. The XRD patterns were measured in transmission geometry in the 2θ range of 10° to 90° . Rietveld refinement was carried out using TOPAS Academic (version 5)⁴⁰ with peak profiles modeled with the fundamental parameter approach implemented in TOPAS. The geometry employed can produce a systematic deviation as compared to our room temperature measurements. The instrumental resolution function was therefore determined using NIST SRM 640d silicon powder as a standard to reduce such effects.

Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the room temperature experimentally observed, calculated and difference patterns from our Rietveld refinement results of the three compositions investigated for this study. CuZn₂InS₄ was phase-pure while trace amounts of CuS was refined to be $\approx 2\%$ for Cu_{1.15}Zn_{1.85}InS₄ and Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS₄. Our refinement results indicated compositions very close to that of the nominal compositions. The small broadening in the XRD peaks is due to disorder on the cation sublattice. These quaternary chalcogenides compounds crystallize in the sphalerite structure with space group $F\bar{4}3m$. There are two crystallographic sites, with the metal cations (Cu, Zn, In) and S occupying the 4*a* (0, 0, 0) and 4*c* $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ crystallographic sites, respectively. Each S atom is surrounded by four cation atoms in a tetrahedral geometry, as shown in Fig. 2. Cation-S bond distances are 2.3920(6) Å, 2.3788(4) Å and 2.3788(4) Å, for CuZn₂InS₄, Cu_{1.15}Zn_{1.85}InS₄ and Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS₄, respectively. The decrease in bond distance and lattice constant are directly associated with the different ionic radii of Cu and Zn. The results of our structure refinements are summarized in Table 1.

Temperature dependent *S* and ρ data are shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b), respectively. The high electrical resistance of CuZn₂InS₄ prevented ρ and *S* measurements for this compo-



Fig. 1 XRD data for (a) $CuZn_2InS_4$, (b) $Cu_{1.15}Zn_{1.85}InS_4$ and (c) $Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS_4$, including the profile fit and profile difference from Rietveld refinement.

sition. The ρ and *S* values decrease with increasing (decreasing) Cu (Zn) content for the off-stoichiometric compositions. The decrease in ρ with stoichiometric variation, shown in Fig. 3(a), is directly related to the increase in carrier concentration with increasing Cu content (*i.e.*, increasing ratio of Cu-to-Zn content). Our Hall measurements indicated room temperature hole concentrations, *p*, of 1.5×10^{18} cm⁻³ and 2.0×10^{20} cm⁻³ for Cu_{1.15}ZnInS₄ and Cu_{1.3}ZnInS₄, respectively. This relatively low doping efficiency, estimated to be approximately



Fig. 2 The crystal structure of sphalerite CuZnInS₄ illustrating the cation atoms (Cu/Zn/In) and the S atoms occupying the 4*a* (0, 0, 0) and 4*c* $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ Wyckoff positions, respectively.

25% assuming one carrier per excess Cu, is typical for such inorganic materials. As shown in the inset in Fig. 3(a), a fit of the form $\rho = \rho_0 e^{E_a/k_BT}$, where E_a is the activation energy and k_B is the Boltzmann constant, result in $E_a = 0.24$ and 0.10 eV for Cu_{1.15}Zn_{1.85}InS₄ and Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS₄, respectively, and indicates a shift of the Fermi level towards the valence band with increasing Cu content. The effective mass, m^* , may be estimated assuming a single parabolic band model, where

$$S = \frac{k_{\rm B}}{e} \left(\frac{(2+r)F_{r+1}(\eta)}{(1+r)F_r(\eta)} - \eta \right)$$
(1)

$$p = \frac{4\pi (2m^* k_{\rm B}T)^{3/2}}{h^3} F_{1/2}(\eta)$$
(2)

and

$$F_r(\eta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{E^{*r}}{e^{(E^* - \eta)} + 1} \mathrm{d}E^*$$
(3)

Here $E^* (= E/k_{\rm B}T)$ is the reduced energy, $\eta (= E_{\rm F}/k_{\rm B}T)$ is the reduced Fermi energy, F_r is the Fermi integral of order r, h is the Planck constant and r is the scattering parameter with values of r = 0 for acoustic phonon scattering and r = 2 for ionized impurity scattering. The intermediate value of r = 1was used for estimations of m^* . Utilizing the room temperatures p values from our Hall measurements, m^* values $(0.1m_e)$ for Cu_{1.15}Zn_{1.85}InS₄ and Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS₄ are over an order of magnitude smaller than that reported for tellurium-based sphalerite quaternary chalcogenides,^{33–35} indicating relatively steep curvature of the valence band for the sulfur-based materials. In addition, these compositions have relatively low room temperature Hall mobilities, μ , of 1.5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ and $0.1 \ \ cm^2 \ \ V^{-1} \ \ s^{-1} \ \ for \ \ Cu_{1.15} Zn_{1.85} InS_4 \ \ and \ \ Cu_{1.3} Zn_{1.7} InS_4,$ respectively. These μ values are smaller compared to that of CuZn₂InTe₄ and CuCd₂InTe,³³ and may indicate shorter carrier relaxation times as compared to the telluride compositions. In addition, our lower temperature p and S data suggests a

Fable 1	Rietveld refinement	results for	Cu _{1+x} Zn _{2-x}	$_{c}$ InS ₄ (x =	0, 0.15,	and 0.30)
---------	---------------------	-------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------	----------	-----------

Nominal composition	CuZn ₂ InS ₄	$Cu_{1,15}Zn_{1,85}InS_4$	Cu _{1.3} Zn _{1.7} InS ₄
Refined composition	$CuZn_2InS_4$	Cu _{1.15} Zn _{1.85} InS ₄	$Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS_4$
Space group, Z	F43m (#216), 1		
Lattice constant (Å)	5.5240 (12)	5.4937 (8)	5.4912 (4)
$V(Å^3)$	168.56(11)	165.80 (7)	165.58 (4)
$D_{\rm cal} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	4.3086	4.3775	4.3807
Radiation	Graphite monochromated C	u Kα (1.54056 Å)	
2θ range (°)	20-100		
Step width (°)	0.02		
$WR_{\rm p}, R_{\rm p}$	0.06322, 0.05053	0.05975, 0.04615	0.04996, 0.03726
Reduced χ^2	1.548	1.450	1.336
$U_{\rm iso}$ (Å ²) for Cu/Zn/In	0.0244	0.0247	0.0211
$U_{\rm iso}({\rm \AA}^2)$ for S	0.0142	0.0236	0.0174

Atomic positions: Cu/Zn/In, 4*a* (0, 0, 0); S, 4*c* $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$.



Fig. 3 Temperature-dependent (a) ρ and (b) *S* for Cu_{1.15}Zn_{1.85}lnS₄ (**A**) and Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}lnS₄ (**D**). The inset shows Ln ρ versus 1000/*T* data where the solid line is a fit of the form $\rho = \rho_o e^{E_a/k_BT}$.

second band, with lower activation energy, may be involved in the electrical transport. Theoretical investigations of the band structure would be useful in elucidating further specifics of these findings.

Fig. 4 shows the temperature dependent κ data for the three specimens prepared for this investigation. An excess of Cu resulted in a small increase in κ , partly due to an increase in the electronic contribution to κ , implying that low κ values are intrinsic to these materials. Fig. 5 shows the C_p versus *T* data for CuZn₂InS₄. The C_p data approaches the Dulong–Petit limit near room temperature, an indication that the optic and acoustic mode frequencies are fully excited at 300 K. The inset



Fig. 4 Temperature-dependent κ for CuZn₂InS₄ (\diamond), Cu1_{.15}Zn_{1.85}InS₄ (\blacktriangle) and Cu_{1.3}Zn_{1.7}InS₄ (\square).



Fig. 5 Temperature-dependent C_p data for CuZn₂lnS₄ with the inset showing C_p/T versus T^2 data at low temperatures and the solid line is a fit of the form $C_p/T = \alpha + \beta T^2$.

shows C_p/T versus T^2 data with a straight line fit of the form $C_p = \alpha T + \beta T^3$, where α is the Sommerfeld coefficient of the electronic contribution to C_p and β is the lattice contribution. A Debye temperature, θ_D , of 296 K was obtained employing $\theta_D =$



Fig. 6 Temperature-dependent lattice parameter for $CuZn_2lnS_4$.

 $(12\pi^4 Rn/5\beta)^{1/3}$,⁴¹ where *R* is the molar gas constant, *n* is the number atoms per formula unit and $\beta = 0.6 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$ obtained from the fit shown in Fig. 5. The null α value $(0.05 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$ indicates a very small density of states at the Fermi level, consistent with the very high resistance for this material. Fig. 6 shows the lattice parameter, a_0 , as a function of temperature for CuZn₂InS₄. The temperature dependent XRD data are summarized in Table 2. As shown in the figure, a_0 increases with temperature. A least squares fit to a second order polynomial $a_0 = a_0 + a_1T + a_2T^2$ was used to model the temperature dependence of a_0 , where the a_0 fit values are listed in Table 3. The linear thermal expansion, CTE, was calculated to be 8.7×10^{-6} K⁻¹ at 300 K using the measured lattice parameters over the entire temperature region, with CTE = $1/a_0 (\delta a_0/\delta T)_P$. The Grüneisen parameter, γ , can then be estimated from the relation $\gamma = \alpha_V BV/C_V$, where α_V is volume thermal expansion coefficient ($\alpha_{\rm V}$ = 3CTE for cubic materials), B is the bulk modulus, V is the unit cell volume and $C_{\rm V}$ is the isochoric heat capacity. Using the room temperature value of *B* for ZnS^{42} we estimate $\gamma = 1$, in reasonable agreement with the calculated value by Shi et al. for sphalerite CuZn₂InTe₄.⁴³ In order to extend our investigation of these sphalerite quaternary chalcogenides, and more completely investigate the structure-property relationships and low κ in these materials, we also measured and analyzed the temperature dependent structural data and C_p of CuZn₂InSe₄ in a similar manner as described above for $CuZn_2InS_4$. The C_p data is shown in Fig. 7, with the inset showing $a_{\rm o}$ as a function of

Table 3 Parameters for the polynomial function $a_0 = a_0 + a_1T + a_2T^2$ from the temperature dependent lattice parameter data fit

	a_0 (Å)	$a_1 (10^{-5} \text{ Å K}^{-1})$	$a_2 (10^{-8} \text{ Å K}^{-2})$
CuZn ₂ InS ₄	5.47	0.165	7.68
CuZn ₂ InSe ₄	5.72	2.39	4.67



Fig. 7 Temperature-dependent C_p for CuZn₂InSe₄ with data approaching the Dulong–Petit limit near room temperature. The inset shows temperature dependent a_0 for CuZn₂InSe₄ together with a fit of the form $a_0 = a_0 + a_1T + a_2T^2$.

temperature from our temperature dependent XRD data for CuZn₂InSe₄ summarized in Table 4. From our analyses $\beta = 0.9 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$ and $\theta_{\rm D} = 259 \text{ K}$ for CuZn₂InSe₄. The heavier chalcogen (Se) in the sphalerite structure results in a decrease in $\theta_{\rm D}$. The CTE of CuZn₂InSe₄ at 300 K is $9.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ resulting in $\gamma = 1$, and suggests that the crystal lattices of CuZn₂InSe₄ and CuZn₂InSe₄ display similar anharmonicity at high temperatures.

Over four decades ago Slack showed that the lattice contribution to κ is $BMV^{1/3}\theta_D{}^3n^{-2/3}T^{-1}\gamma^{-2}$ for materials with similar crystal structures, where *B* is a constant, *M* is the average mass of an atom in a crystal, *V* is the unit cell volume, *n* is the number of atoms per primitive cell and *T* is the absolute temperature.⁴⁴ Fig. 8 shows room temperature κ versus $MV^{1/3}\theta_D{}^3$ for zinc blende binary semiconductors,⁴² as well as for CuZn₂InS₄ and CuZn₂InSe₄. We include CuZn₂InTe₄ for completeness of this comparision.^{34,43} As shown in the figure, the quaternary

 Table 2
 Rietveld Refinement Results for CuZn₂InS₄

T (K)	298 K	200 K	150 K	100 K	90 K	80 K	70 K	60 K	50 K
a (Å)	5.4782(2)	5.4747(2)	5.4726(2)	5.472(2)	5.4714(2)	5.4717(2)	5.4716(2)	5.4704(2)	5.4701(2)
$V(Å^3)$	164.407(19)	164.094(19)	163.900(19)	163.849(19)	163.792(19)	163.82(2)	163.81(2)	163.70(2)	163.68(2)
$U_{\rm iso}$ (Å ²) for Cu/Zn/In	0.046(2)	0.0400(6)	0.0372(6)	0.0367(6)	0.0361(6)	0.0347(6)	0.0343(6)	0.0358(6)	0.0343(6)
$U_{\rm iso}$ (Å ²) for S	0.047(3)	0.0432(13)	0.0441(13)	0.0366(12)	0.0401(13)	0.0417(13)	0.0385(13)	0.0389(13)	0.0389(13)
R _{Bragg}	4.72	4.08	3.66	3.8	3.63	4.3	3.67	3.71	3.69
GOF	1.264	1.28	1.299	1.323	1.298	1.326	1.326	1.329	1.337

Atomic positions: Cu/Zn/In, 4a (0, 0, 0); S, 4c $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$.

Table 4 Rietveld Refinement Results for CuZn₂InSe₄

T (K)	298 K	200 K	150 K	100 K	90 K	80 K	70 K	60 K	50 K
a (Å)	5.7337(3)	5.72930(4)	5.72741(4)	5.72499(4)	5.72473(4)	5.72455(4)	5.72462(4)	5.72418(4)	5.72403(4)
$V(Å^3)$	188.50(3)	188.064(4)	187.877(4)	187.640(4)	187.614(4)	187.596(4)	187.603(4)	187.560(4)	187.545(4)
$U_{\rm iso}$ (Å ²) for Cu/Zn/In	0.039(3)	0.0337(10)	0.0294(9)	0.0305(8)	0.0263(10)	0.0287(9)	0.0298(9)	0.0303(10)	0.0305(9)
$U_{\rm iso}({\rm \AA}^2)$ for Se	0.025(3)	0.0228(8)	0.0166(7)	0.0154(6)	0.0168(8)	0.0160(6)	0.0189(7)	0.0213(8)	0.0173(6)
R _{Bragg}	4.52	5.53	5.97	4.1	4.66	4.83	4.63	5	5.99
GOF	1.291	1.314	1.327	1.329	1.34	1.338	1.321	1.347	1.353

Atomic positions: Cu/Zn/In, 4*a* (0, 0, 0); Se, 4*c* $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$.



Fig. 8 Room temperature κ versus $MV^{1/3}\theta_D^3$ for III–V and II–VI binaries, CuZn₂InS₄, CuZn₂InSe₄ and CuZn₂InTe₄.

chalcogenides clearly have lower κ values. Although γ for the sphalerite quaternary chalcogenides is somewhat larger in comparison to the binary zinc blende compositions, the much lower κ values for the quaternary chalcogenides must be related to their similar phonon scattering mechanisms. First principles simulations on CuZn₂InTe₄ revealed a suppression of acoustic modes by low frequency optical phonons leading to enhanced phonon scattering.⁴³ A similar phonon-scattering mechanism is presumably the case for all sphalerite quaternary chalcogenides (*i.e.*, S, Se and Te-based), and together with a larger γ results in the lower κ values for these materials.

Conclusions

Polycrystalline quaternary chalcogenides $Cu_{1+x}Zn_{2-x}InS_4$ (x = 0, 0.15, and 0.3) were synthesized and their temperature dependent structural, electronic, and thermal properties investigated. A transition from insulating to semiconducting behavior was revealed with excess Cu, and the intrinsically low thermal conductivity did not change substantially with carrier concentration. Temperature-dependent structural and thermal properties were investigated for $CuZn_2InS_4$ as well as $CuZn_2InSe_4$ in order to investigate and compare the anharmonicity of the sphalerites with that of the "parent" zinc blende binaries. Similar lattice anharmonicity, together with a comparable average atomic mass and unit cell volume for the sphalerites properties were investigated to the sphalerites with the sphalerites with the sphalerites with each properties with a comparable average atomic mass and unit cell volume for the sphalerites with each properties with the sphalerites with the sphaler

lerite quaternary chalcogenides, suggest similar phonon-scattering mechanisms occurring for $CuZn_2InS_4$ and $CuZn_2InSe_4$ as that for $CuZn_2InTe_4$ resulting in much lower κ for these quaternary chalcogenides as compared to the "parent" binary compositions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. DMR-1748188. O. P. O. and W. D. C. B. G acknowledges support from the II-VI Foundation Block-Gift Program. The authors thank N. Alzahrani at USF for synthesis of $CuZn_2InS_4$. H. W. acknowledges support of the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Vehicle Technologies Office, Propulsion Materials Program. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by UT-Battelle LLC under contract DE-AC050000R22725.

Notes and references

- A. Swarnkar, W. J. Mir, R. Chakraborty, M. Jagadeeswararao, T. Sheikh and A. Nag, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 565–575.
- 2 A. A. Rockett, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci., 2010, 14, 143–148.
- 3 D. Fuertes Marrón, E. Cánovas, M. Y. Levy, A. Martí, A. Luque, M. Afshar, J. Albert, S. Lehmann, D. Abou-Ras, S. Sadewasser and N. Barreau, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2010, 94, 1912–1918.
- 4 Q. Cao, O. Gunawan, M. Copel, K. B. Reuter, S. J. Chey, V. R. Deline and D. B. Mitzi, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, 1, 845–853.
- 5 T. Todorov and D. B. Mitzi, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **2010**, 17–28.
- 6 S. Fiechter, Y. Tomm, M. Kanis, R. Scheer and W. Kautek, *Phys. Status Solidi*, 2008, **245**, 1761–1771.
- 7 D. Kerroum, H. Bouafia, B. Sahli, S. Hiadsi, B. Abidri, A. Bouaza and M. A. Timaoui, *Optik*, 2017, **139**, 315–327.
- 8 W. Feng, D. Xiao, J. Ding and Y. Yao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2011, **106**, 016402.

- 9 S. Chen, X. G. Gong, C. G. Duan, Z. Q. Zhu, J. H. Chu, A. Walsh, Y. G. Yao, J. Ma and S. H. Wei, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, 83, 245202.
- 10 Y. Shi, C. Sturm and H. Kleinke, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2019, **270**, 273–279.
- 11 W. Shi, A. R. Khabibullin, D. Hobbis, G. S. Nolas and L. M. Woods, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2019, **125**, 155101.
- 12 D. V. Denisov and K. D. Tsendin, J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater., 2003, 5, 1011-1016.
- 13 K. Deguchi, Y. Takano and Y. Mizuguchi, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **13**, 054303.
- 14 Y. Mizuguchi and Y. Takano, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 2010, 79, 102001.
- 15 G. Nénert and T. T. M. Palstra, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2009, 21, 176002.
- 16 T. Fries, Y. Shapira, F. Palacio and M. C. Morón, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1997, 56, 5424–5431.
- 17 P. Kush and S. Deka, *ChemNanoMat*, 2019, 5, 373–402.
- 18 D. S. Dhawale, A. Ali and A. C. Lokhande, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2019, 3, 1365–1383.
- 19 K. Wei, L. Beauchemin, H. Wang, W. D. Porter, J. Martin and G. S. Nolas, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2015, **650**, 844–847.
- 20 Y. Dong, H. Wang and G. S. Nolas, *Phys. Status Solidi RRL*, 2014, 8, 61–64.
- 21 Y. Dong, H. Wang and G. S. Nolas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52, 14364–14367.
- 22 K. Wei, A. R. Khabibullin, T. Stedman, L. M. Woods and G. S. Nolas, J. Appl. Phys., 2017, 122, 105109.
- 23 K. Wei and G. S. Nolas, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2015, **226**, 215–218.
- 24 Y. Dong, B. Eckert, H. Wang, X. Zeng, T. M. Tritt and G. S. Nolas, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 9014–9019.
- 25 V. Pavan Kumar, G. Guélou, P. Lemoine, B. Raveau, A. R. Supka, R. Al Rahal Al Orabi, M. Fornari, K. Suekuni and E. Guilmeau, *Angew. Chemie*, 2019, **131**, 15601– 15609.
- 26 Y. Bouyrie, V. Ohorodniichuk, S. Sassi, P. Masschelein,
 A. Dauscher, C. Candolfi and B. Lenoir, *J. Electron. Mater.*,
 2017, 46, 2684–2690.
- 27 X. Lu, D. T. Morelli, Y. Xia, F. Zhou, V. Ozolins, H. Chi, X. Zhou and C. Uher, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2013, 3, 342–348.

- 28 T. Barbier, S. Rollin-Martinet, P. Lemoine, F. Gascoin, A. Kaltzoglou, P. Vaqueiro, A. V. Powell and E. Guilmeau, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2016, 99, 51–56.
- 29 S. Chen, X. G. Gong, A. Walsh and S. H. Wei, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2009, **79**, 165211.
- 30 Y. Dong, A. R. Khabibullin, K. Wei, Z.-H. Ge, J. Martin, J. R. Salvador, L. M. Woods and G. S. Nolas, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**, 252107.
- 31 S. Chen, A. Walsh, Y. Luo, J. H. Yang, X. G. Gong and S. H. Wei, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2010, 82, 195203.
- 32 B. R. Pamplin, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 1964, 25, 675-684.
- 33 G. S. Nolas, M. S. Hassan, Y. Dong and J. Martin, J. Solid State Chem., 2016, 242, 50–54.
- 34 D. Hobbis, K. Wei, H. Wang and G. S. Nolas, J. Alloys Compd., 2018, 743, 543–546.
- 35 D. Hobbis, W. Shi, A. Popescu, K. Wei, R. E. Baumbach, H. Wang, L. M. Woods and G. S. Nolas, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, 49, 2273–2279.
- 36 G. S. Nolas, H. Poddig, W. Shi, L. M. Woods, J. Martin and H. Wang, J. Solid State Chem., 2021, 297, 122058.
- 37 Certain commercial equipment, instruments, software, or materials are identified in this document. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.
- 38 B. H. Toby and R. B. Von Dreele, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2013, 46, 544–549.
- 39 H. Wang, W. D. Porter, H. Böttner, J. König, L. Chen, S. Bai, T. M. Tritt, A. Mayolet, J. Senawiratne, C. Smith, F. Harris, P. Gilbert, J. Sharp, J. Lo, H. Kleinke and L. Kiss, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2013, 42, 1073–1084.
- 40 A. A. Coelho, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2018, 51, 210–218.
- 41 C. Kittel, *Introduction to solid state physics*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2005.
- 42 S. Adachi, *Properties of Group-IV, III-V II-VI Semiconductors*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, West Sussex, 2005.
- 43 W. Shi, T. Pandey, L. Lindsay and L. M. Woods, *Phys. Rev. Mater.*, 2021, **5**, 045401.
- 44 G. A. Slack, Solid State Phys., 1979, 34, 1-71.