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Abstract

The Fearless Steps Challenge (FSC) initiative was designed to host a series of progressively complex tasks to promote advanced speech research across naturalistic “Big Data” corpora. The Center for Robust Speech Systems at UT-Dallas in collaboration with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and Linguistic Data Consortium (LDC) conducted Phase-3 of the FSC series (FSC P3), with a focus on motivating speaking and language technology (SLT) system generalizability across channel and mission diversity under the same training conditions as in Phase-2. The FSC P3 introduced 10 hours of a previously unseen channel audio from Apollo-11 and 5 hours of novel audio from Apollo-13 to be evaluated over previously established and newly introduced SLT tasks with streamlined tracks. This paper presents an overview of the newly introduced conversational analysis tracks, Apollo-13 data, and analysis of system performance for matched and mismatched challenge conditions. We also discuss the Phase-3 challenge results and evolution of system performance across the three Phases, and next steps in the Challenge Series.
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1. Introduction

The importance of naturalistic datasets in the advent of a technology revolution led by deep neural networks has become paramount. With deep learning system performance linearly scaling with the amount of data provided, naturalistic “Big Data” corpora have become a benchmark in determining a competitive edge in the domain of artificial intelligence (AI). Developing good quality naturalistic datasets is challenging [1, 2, 3, 4].

There are additional challenges in developing data with rich information content in multiple SLT domains [5, 6]. The NASA Apollo missions data is a collection of 150,000+ hours of audio with unprompted multi-party conversations recorded over 30 channels. The preserved data contains over 450 personnel in constant air-to-air, air-to-ground, and ground-to-ground communication working collaboratively to solve time-critical challenges. Speech and natural language systems can significantly benefit from this preserved data and vice versa. The Fearless Steps Challenge (FSC) series has led the efforts in promoting such system development by annotating a small portion of this Apollo corpus (115 hours) and establishing challenge tasks to benchmark SLT systems [6, 7, 8].

The goal of FSC Phase-3 (FSC P3) is to assess the ability of speech and language systems in providing consistent performance across channel, noise, speech, speaker, and semantic variabilities. The FSC P3 evaluation set provides such a test platform, sourcing its data from multiple channels from Apollo-11 and Apollo-13 missions [9, 10, 11].

FSC P3 was conducted in collaboration with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and Linguistic Data Consortium (LDC) from February through March of 2021. Nine participating organizations, with 14 task-specific teams contributed 235 system submissions. Phase-3 evaluation reported state-of-the-art (SOTA) results on 3 of the 8 challenge tracks. The current edition of the FSC was run entirely online using the NIST OpenSAT evaluation platform (https://sat.nist.gov/fsc3). The web platform supported a variety of services including evaluation registration, data distribution, system output submission, submission validation, scoring, and system description/presentation uploads [5, 12, 13].

2. Challenge Tasks

The NASA Apollo Missions audio data were recorded on 30-channel analog tapes ranging from 14 to 17 hours in duration. Large data streams of this nature are often a hindrance in effective development of SLT systems. In an effort to streamline such development, 30-minute audio chunks were annotated from the most high-impact events in Apollo 11 and Apollo 13 missions. These chunks have been presented in the FSC corpus as “audio streams”. These streams of undiarized audio are supplemented by annotation files with diarized labels. Essentially, audio streams for Training (Train) and Development (Dev) sets provided to challenge participants contain a single markup-styled annotation file per stream. Each annotation file contains a ground-truth label or transcription per single-speaker utterance. Participants are expected to diarize the Evaluation (Eval) set audio streams in addition to the primary task. This requires using multiple systems to process downstream tasks, often propagating error at each functional block. The FSC P2 established the necessity for developing separate speaker diarization (SD) and automatic speech recognition (ASR) tracks to streamline the development of effective clustering and acoustic models/language models respectively [3, 4, 14].

This format has been extended for the FSC P3, which provides separate tracks for diarized “audio segments” in the speaker diarization (SD), automatic speech recognition (ASR), and conversational analysis (CONV) tasks. The entire list of tasks and
tracks available for the FSC P3 are presented below. The five original channels (FD, MOCR, EECOM, GNC, NTWK) used in the FSC P1 and P2 have been preserved in Train and Dev sets, with no additions to the data-sets [6, 7, 8].

- TASK 1: Speech Activity Detection (SAD)
- TASK 2: Speaker Identification (SID)
- TASK 3: Speaker Diarization
  - (3.a) Track 1: using system SAD (SD_track1)
  - (3.b) Track 2: using reference SAD (SD_track2)
- TASK 4: Automatic Speech Recognition
  - (4.a) Track 1: using system SAD (ASR_track1)
  - (4.b) Track 2: using diarized audio (ASR_track2)
- TASK 5: Conversational Analysis
  - (5.a) Track 1: using system SAD (CONV_track1)
  - (5.b) Track 2: using diarized audio (CONV_track2)

Tasks established in previous challenges are still core speech tasks, and do not directly benefit spoken language understanding (SLU) of the multi-party conversations. With SOTA word error rates (WER) as high as 24%, SLU systems cannot be expected to effectively extract meaningful information regarding topic, sentiment, emotion, or semantic context. This effect was observed in the FSC P1 sentiment detection task. Accordingly, a new task with separate tracks was created with an aim to identify key conversational moments in the data [9, 15, 16, 17].

### 2.1. Conversational Analysis

Methodologies to identify salient events in continuous audio streams can significantly reduce the cost of information retrieval [18, 19, 20, 21]. The significance of such methodologies is more pronounced for the Apollo Missions, which can have intermittent time-critical events, followed by large periods of inactivity or normal conversations. Identification of such “Hotspots” in over 150,000 hours of audio data can help both STEM and non-STEM researchers in efficient retrieval and analysis of high value content. These hotspot events are essentially an extractive summarization of conversations between Mission Control personnel. A total of 25 conversational cues critical to successful deployment of the Apollo Missions were identified as conversational “Hotspots” and presented as diarized segments for a classification task termed “Hotspot Detection” (CONV_track2). The task of finding salient events and providing accurate extractive summarization in continuous audio streams is presented as a separate track for the FSC P3 (CONV_track1).

### 2.2. Challenge Deployment

The NIST OpenSAT web platform (https://sat.nist.gov) was used to conduct the FSC P3. Participants were allowed to download Train, Dev, and Eval sets after agreeing to the terms and conditions of the Challenge. The scoring toolkit developed for the FSC P2 was used in the validation and scoring back-end for the platform. Participants were provided with basic analytics for their submissions to assist in their system development efforts. Every team was allocated a single submission slot per task/track with multiple submissions (up to 3 per day) allowed to update the system performance.

### 2.3. Performance Metrics

The performance metrics and conditions for the FSC P3 were largely the same as the conditions for the FSC P2. A NIST defined detection cost function (DCF) measure was used for scoring the speech activity detection (SAD) task, with a forgiveness collar of 0.25 seconds, which was reduced from the collar duration of 0.5 seconds for the FSC P2. Both tracks for SD and ASR were evaluated using diarization error rate (DER) and word error rate (WER) for the same testing conditions as the FSC P2. Speaker Identification (SID) task performance metric was updated from Top-5 % Accuracy (Top-5 Acc.) to Top-3 % Accuracy (Top-3 Acc.). The newly introduced task tracks CONV_track-1 and track-2 were evaluated using separate metrics. Track-2 using diarized segments was evaluated using Top-3 % Accuracy, and track-1 using audio streams was tested using the measure Jaccard error rate (JER) [22, 23, 24]. The Jaccard index has been traditionally used in image segmentation and more recently in speaker diarization in the DIHARD Challenge series [22, 25]. JER as an initial measure provides a good representation of diarizing and identifying conversational labels for the diarized segments. For each reference speaker ref the speaker-specific JER_{ref} is computed as:

\[
\text{JER}_{\text{ref}}(\%) = \left( \frac{FA + Miss}{Total} \right) \times 100, \tag{1}
\]

where
- \(Total\) is the total reference speaker time; that is, the sum of the durations of all reference speaker,
- \(FA\) is the total system speaker time not attributed to a reference speaker,
- \(Miss\) is the total reference speaker time not attributed to a system speaker.

The JER metric for CONV_track1 could be replaced with a different metric for future Phases depending on the evolution of the data and labels developed for this task.

### 3. Data

The amount of raw unlabelled data generated in the world has been exponentially increasing compared to the available ground-truth annotated data. A key feature of robust SLT systems is their ability to adapt to such real-world data with varying acoustic characteristics, in low-resource training conditions.

#### 3.1. Unseen Channel & Mission

The operations and propulsion (OPS&PRO) channel from Apollo-11 was annotated for testing system performance over unseen noise characteristics. It provides a good basis for testing multiple tasks, since the content of speech due to the technical nature of the channel will be different from the other Apollo-11 channels which have more general mission status related conversations. A total of 5 hours of Apollo-13 data was selected from three separate channels, providing additional variability in channel noise, air-to-ground communication noise, different speakers, and speech conversations of a very different nature compared to the conversations seen in Apollo-11.

#### 3.2. General Statistics

Table 3 summarizes the overall statistics for the audio streams data. The key feature we notice is the variability in the Eval set even for basic analysis parameters. Tables 1 and 2 present...
Table 1: General statistics for the SID task. The mean, median, minimum, and maximum values for cumulative speaker durations, and individual speaker utterances are all expressed in seconds [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th># Spkrs</th>
<th>Spkr. Duration (s)</th>
<th>Spkr. Utterances (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mean median (min, max)</td>
<td>mean (min, max) total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>505.5 106.7 (6.89, 11254.36)</td>
<td>4.03 (1.84, 16.95) 27336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dev</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>118.1 24.2 (3.13, 2596.18)</td>
<td>4.04 (1.78, 16.95) 6373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eval</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>264.3 38.2 (3.19, 5834.46)</td>
<td>4.09 (1.8, 16.22) 14077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: General statistics for the CONV track2 task. The mean, median, minimum, and maximum values for cumulative label durations, and individual labels are all expressed in seconds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th># Hotspots</th>
<th>Lab. Duration (s)</th>
<th>Lab. Utterances (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mean median (min, max)</td>
<td>mean (min, max) total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1546.8 796.4 (193.26, 6274.85)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.5, 30.4) 16059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dev</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>464.8 233.7 (45.11, 2626.05)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.5, 33.2) 4662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eval</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>976.3 435.9 (59.05, 8036.36)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.5, 29.96) 9360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Overall Statistics of audio streams for the FSC P3. The mean, min, and max values are expressed in seconds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th># Streams</th>
<th>Dur. (hrs)</th>
<th>% Speech</th>
<th>#Spkrs/Stream</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-11</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unseen</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Duration Statistics of audio segments for ASR track2. The mean, min, and max values are expressed in seconds [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>Utterance Duration (s)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mean min max</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>35,473</td>
<td>2.85 0.10 70.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dev</td>
<td>9,203</td>
<td>2.97 0.12 67.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eval</td>
<td>21,846</td>
<td>2.98 0.10 162.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this section, we analyze baseline performance for all tasks, and evaluate the system generalizability factor for submissions from all challenge participants.

4.1. Baseline Results

All the original FSC2 baseline systems were used without modifications for evaluating the FSC3 Eval set [27, 28, 29] with the exception of the extractive summarization tasks (CONV track1 and track2). The baseline results for all tasks are reported in Table 5. We notice a degradation in performance on the FSC3 Eval sets for all tasks/tracks, caused by the addition of the unseen channel and mission data. Since most of the baseline systems are unsupervised and rely on core acoustic features [30, 31], their degraded performance indicates added acoustic complexity in the Eval set due to the unseen mission and channel inclusion.

The baseline system used for both tracks of the conversational analysis task was originally developed for emotion detection [26]. The convolutional network illustrated in Figure 1 is designed to aggregate high level context over time (in this case, log-Mel-Filterbank feature frames) to generate a single summarization, which fits well with the structure of this task.

4.2. Best Systems Comparison

Table 6 provides a comparative illustration of the improvements in SOTA for Phase-2 and Phase-3 [32, 33, 34, 35]. We report significant improvements in FSC3 Top system performance over FSC2 for SID task, ASR track2 and both SD tracks. However, the SOTA for SAD and ASR track1 tasks are maintained from FSC2. Comparisons to the FSC2 systems have been conducted.
over the same data evaluated by participants in FSC2. We also observe that the top systems for every task/track were able to adapt efficiently to the unseen channel data.

### 4.3. System Generalizability

Figure 2 displays a comparative performance of all system submissions across the Apollo-11, Unseen Channel, and Apollo-13 sub-sets of the Eval set. We observe that while the top systems were able to generalize well to the unseen mission and channel data, a majority of the systems had degraded performance. The unseen channel performance for all tasks was seen to be closely related to the seen channel data, and in some cases even showed improved performance. Based on this analysis, we hypothesize that system generalizability is a key component in developing systems for the remaining Apollo Missions.

### 5. Discussion

We notice that system generalizability was positively correlated with a better overall performance. We report that the systems competing in the FSC P3 showed improved performance for channel variabilities, but significantly lacked the ability to generalize to the unseen mission data. It should be noted that the imbalance in the Eval sub-sets could have caused some bias in the overall submission results. We plan to create and provide equally weighted channel and mission data for the next challenge.

### 6. Conclusions

Through the Phase-3 of the FSC, we introduced a new challenge task that aims to extract high level context from conversations. We tested system capability to generalize for previously unseen channel and mission variability. In the next Phase of the Challenge, we plan to extend the Training and Development datasets to include more data from the Apollo missions 8 and 10. In conclusion, we assert the need for further development in SLT systems for naturalistic data. Future efforts in the Fearless Steps Challenge series will increasingly involve the goal of developing systems that are highly adaptable and robust to out-of-domain data.
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