

Porous metal-organic frameworks for gas storage and separation: Status and challenges

Hao Li,^{a,b} Libo Li,^{b,c,d} Rui-Biao Lin,^{*,b} Wei Zhou,^e Zhangjing Zhang,^a Shengchang Xiang,^{*,a} and Banglin Chen^{*,b}

^a Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, Fujian, PR China

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, San Antonio, TX 78249-0698, United States

^c College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, Shanxi, PR China

^d Shanxi Key Laboratory of Gas Energy Efficient and Clean Utilization, Taiyuan 030024, Shanxi, PR China

^e NIST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-6102, United States

*Correspondence: ruibiao.lin@utsa.edu (R.-B. L.), scxiang@fjnu.edu.cn (S. X.), banglin.chen@utsa.edu, banglin2010@gmail.com (B. C.)

ABSTRACT: Gases are widely used as energy resources for industry and our daily life. Developing energy cost efficient porous materials for gas storage and separation is of fundamentally and industrially important, and is one of the most important aspects of energy chemistry and materials. Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), representing a novel class of porous materials, feature unique pore structure, such as exceptional porosity, tunable pore structures, ready functionalization, which not only enables high density energy storage of clean fuel gas in MOF adsorbents, but also facilitates distinct host-guest interactions and/or sieving effects to differentiate different molecules for energy-efficient separation economy. In this review, we summarize and highlight the recent advances in the arena of gas storage and separation using MOFs as adsorbents, including progresses in MOF-based membranes for gas separation, which could afford broader concepts to the current status and challenges in this field.

KEYWORDS: Metal-organic frameworks, Gas separation, Gas storage, Fuel gas, Membrane

■ INTRODUCTION

Modern civilization requires energy to function, giving a worldwide energy consumption about 575 quadrillion British thermal units (Btu, 1 Btu is about 1.055 kJ or 0.0003 kW-h) in 2015.¹ As important energy sources and energy carriers, gases (e.g., natural gas and biogas) make a major contribution to the energy production.² For example, natural gas (main component is methane) accounted for about 29% of the U.S. primary energy production in 2017.³ In terms of energy production, the strongly growing worldwide demand on fossil fuels has brought significant environmental issues, especially the climate change caused by carbon emissions. Compared to liquid petroleum and solid coal, gases fuels are more environmentally friendly given to their lower carbon emissions and higher gravimetric energy densities. For hydrogen (H₂) and methane (CH₄), their gravimetric heats of combustion are 123 and 55.7 MJ/kg, respectively, comparing to that of 47.2 MJ/kg for gasoline.⁴ The main challenge for gases fuels lies in their transportation, storage, and conversion that usually realize under require harsh conditions and consume massive amounts of energy, owing to their extremely low boiling point, low density, high critical pressure and high diffusivity. The current storage technologies for gas fuel involve liquefaction at low temperature (−253 °C for liquefied hydrogen) or compression under high pressure (hundreds of atmospheres) at ambient temperature, featuring high facility requirement. To realize cheap, safe and portable

storage and transportation, an alternative approach is to employ porous materials for adsorbed storage systems that operate under moderate conditions.


Besides as energy sources, some gases (e.g. olefins gases and aromatic hydrocarbons vapors) are also important feedstocks in chemical industry. For instance, olefins (mainly ethylene, propylene and butadiene) are the cornerstone of many important manufacturing, with a worldwide production exceeding 200 million metric tons, equivalent to about 30 kg for each person on the planet.⁵ The productions of these important industrial chemical are highly energy-intensive, e.g., the energy consumption in ethylene production is roughly estimated at 26 GJ per ton of ethylene.⁶ Prior to the direct use of gas commodities, separation and purification processes are required, which conventionally accomplished by repeated distillation-compression cycling of the mixture. These heat-driven separation processes consume up to 10 times more energy than membrane-based and/or adsorptive separation technologies.⁵ Given that the current energy use by separation and purification processes is more than 40% of total energy use in chemical industry,⁵ tremendous energy savings can be expected by applying advanced

Received: December 31, 2018

Revised: April 23, 2019

Accepted: May 4, 2019

Published: 7 May 2019



Scheme 1. Timeline of important breakthroughs in gas storage using MOFs.

adsorptive separation technologies based on porous materials. The key feature of these environmentally friendly, energy efficient separation technologies is the porous adsorbent or porous media (e.g. membrane).

Many common substances, such as charcoal, zeolites and ceramics, have long been used as porous media for adsorbents. To well handle gases, developing porous materials that show large storage capacity and high separation efficiency are urgent,⁷ especially those with high porosity, and good modularity over different dimensions. In this context, many novel types of porous adsorbents have been developed over the last two decades, namely, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs),^{8,9} covalent organic frameworks (COFs),^{10–13} and hydrogen-bonded organic frameworks (HOFs).¹⁴ Among different types of framework materials, MOFs are organic-inorganic hybrid solids that are self-assembled through the coordination of organic linkers to metal ions/clusters. The moderate linkage strength (90–350 kJ/mol, comparing to 1–170 and 300–600 kJ/mol for those in HOFs and COFs, respectively)¹⁴ and vast components variety in MOFs endow them with high crystallinity, exceptional porosity, high modularity and diverse functionality. Thus, MOFs represent the preeminent platform for developing novel multifunctional materials, referring to applications in gas storage and separation,^{15–19} optical,^{20,21} electric and magnetic materials,²² chemical sensing,^{23–27} catalysis,^{28–38} biomedicine^{39,40} and so on.^{41–46}


MOFs are well recognized for gas storage and gas separation, owing to their ultrahigh porosity with surface area ranging from 100 to 10,000 m²/g,^{47,48} tunable pore size of 3 to 100 Å, high thermal stability (up to 500 °C) and even exceptional chemical stability.⁹ The establishment of permanent porosity for MOFs was realized in late 1990s,^{49,50} which initiated their applications as adsorbents. The rapidly refreshing records of pore surface area highlight this type of adsorbents very promising for gas processing. The initial efforts to utilize MOFs for fuel gas storage can be dated back to 1997 (for methane).⁴⁹ Similar adsorptive systems were subsequently applied for hydrogen storage started at 2003 and acetylene storage at 2005.^{51,52}

Since those pioneering attempts on MOFs for fuel gas storage, intensive research endeavors have been devoted to increase corresponding storage capacity, including enhancing binding affinity and optimization over porosity. Significant progresses have been made (Scheme 1),^{53–56} affording superior performance to those of zeolites and porous carbon. Simultaneously, in MOFs, highly uniform pore size distribution, various functional sites and highly tunable pore size render them the most promising candidates for gas separation. Such potential has been well demonstrated by few selective gas adsorption examples based on single-component sorption isotherms for different gases. Structurally, the development of isorecticular principle^{57,58} and framework interpenetration^{59–62} enable the pore structures of MOFs to be controlled at very accurate level,⁶³ while the accessibility of functional sites especially open metal sites^{64–66} and the implementation of post-synthetic modification^{67–70} affording approaches to improve selective molecular recognition over different gases. Technically, the utilization of gas chromatography at 2006,⁷¹ fixed-bed breakthrough at about 2006–2007 for separating gas mixtures,^{72–75} and gas sorption site determination^{52,76,77} by crystallography technologies dramatically facilitates MOFs in actual separation of gas mixtures. Since then, great efforts have been devoted to the field of MOFs for gas separation (Scheme 2)^{15–17,78–82} resulting in lots of progresses especially for the industrially significant hydrocarbon separation.

To date, numerous MOFs have been applied for gas storage and separation. This active field represents one of the most important aspects of energy chemistry and materials. In this review, we focus on current status by selected MOFs examples to provide broad insight for new readers, especially highlight recent advances on addressing challenging storage and separation.

■ POROUS MOFS FOR GAS STORAGE

MOFs have been foreseen as prospective adsorbents for gas storage right after the discovery of their permanent porosities. Compared with the traditional porous materials, such as activated



Scheme 2. Timeline of important breakthroughs in using MOFs for separating representative gases.

carbons and zeolites, MOFs are endowed with some advantageous structural features like high porosity, high surface area, tunable pore size and geometry, and functionalizable pore surface. Thus, the emergence of MOFs provides great promise to store some important gases such as hydrogen (H_2), methane (CH_4), and acetylene (C_2H_2).⁸³ In this section, we will summarize the recent advances in these three aspects.

H_2 storage

With the increase in population and the development in economy, human society has a growing demand for energy resources. Exploitation of fossil fuels has incurred various environmental issues including climate change, which propels people to seek new energy carriers to minimize carbon footprint. H_2 has been regarded as one promising alternative because H_2 has the highest energy per mass of any fuel and emits zero carbon dioxide. However, the principal challenge lies in the comparatively low volumetric energy density at ambient conditions, especially for

onboard vehicular applications. This prompts the development of advanced methods to store H_2 efficiently at near ambient conditions.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has released 2020 target capacities for H_2 storage in light-duty fuel cell vehicles.⁹ The system gravimetric and volumetric H_2 capacities are required to be 4.5 wt% and 30 g/L, respectively, at an operating temperature of -40 – 60 °C. While pressure ranges are not given explicitly, operating under a maximum pressure of 100 bar is necessary to reduce the expenses of compression and storage vessel, while maintaining reasonable compactness.

The first example of H_2 storage in MOFs (MOF-5) was reported by Yaghi et al. in 2003 (although the uptake capacity was corrected until 2007).^{51,84} After that, hundreds of MOF materials have been investigated for their potential in H_2 storage (Table 1).^{85–90} Research has shown that the gravimetric H_2 storage capacities at 77 K and high pressure are mostly proportional to the pore volumes and/or surface areas of MOFs. For in-

Table 1. Selected examples of MOFs for H_2 storage.

MOFs	BET surface area (m ² /g)	Temperature (K)	Pressure (bar)	Total gravimetric capacity (wt%)	Total volumetric capacity (g/L)	Refs.
MOF-5	3800	77	100	10	66	84
NOTT-112	3800	77	77	10	50.3	91
NU-111	5930	77	70	13.6	–	92
NU-100/PCN-610 ⁹⁴	6143	77	70	16.4	–	93
MOF-210	6240	77	80	17.6	44	48
IRMOF-20	4073	–	–	9.1 ^a	51.0 ^a	95
Ni ₂ (<i>m</i> -dobdc)	1321	298	–	–	11.0 ^b	96
SNU-16	2590	77	70	10.0	–	97
MOF-200	4530	77	80	16.3	36	48
MOF-205	4460	77	80	12.0	46	48
Mg-MOF-74	1510	77	100	4.9	49	98
PCN-46	2500	77	97	6.88	45.7	99
NOTT-115	3394	78	60	7.5	49.3	100
Mn-BTT	2100	77	90	6.9	60	66

^a Measured usable capacities with a temperature-pressure swing from 77 K and 100 bar to 160 K and 5 bar.
^b Measured usable volumetric capacity between 100 bar and 5 bar.

stance, NOTT-112, NU-111, and NU-100/PCN-610 are all rht-type MOFs with BET surface areas as 3800, 4930, and 6143 m²/g respectively. Their total gravimetric H₂ uptakes at 77 K and 70 bar are 10.0, 13.6, and 16.4 wt%, which follows the same sequence as their surface areas.^{91–94} The record total H₂ gravimetric uptake was achieved in MOF-210 reported by Furukawa et al. in 2010.⁴⁸ With a BET surface area of over 6200 m²/g, MOF-210 exhibits a total gravimetric H₂ uptake of 17.6 wt% at 77 K and 80 bar.

For onboard applications of H₂ fuel cell, H₂ storage is required to be operated at near ambient temperatures. This task is extraordinarily challenging because the interactions between MOFs and H₂ are mainly weak van der Waals interactions. So far, no MOFs reported have met the DOE targets for gravimetric capacity. Though in some cases, the immobilization of open metal sites can enhance the interactions between MOF and H₂ and raise the isosteric heat of H₂ adsorption to about 12 kJ/mol.⁴ Theoretical studies indicated that the minimum heat of H₂ adsorption is required to be 15 kJ/mol in order to realize high H₂ storage capacities at reasonable temperatures.¹⁰¹

Apart from gravimetric capacity, volumetric capacity is another important aspect to consider when evaluating MOFs for H₂ storage, because the available volume in light-duty vehicles for a tank containing H₂ adsorbent is very limited and is a critical factor in determining the driving range of a vehicle. In 2017, Ahmed et al. employed empirical correlations and direct atomistic simulations to predict the H₂ capacities of 5309 MOFs drawn from databases of known compounds.⁹⁵ Despite the fact that MOFs with high gravimetric capacities usually have moderate volumetric capacities due to their large pore diameters and concomitant weak MOF-H₂ interactions, these predictions indicated that a simultaneous high gravimetric and volumetric H₂ densities can be achieved in MOFs. Several promising MOFs were thus synthesized with their usable H₂ capacities assessed. Among them, IRMOF-20 was experimentally demonstrated to exhibit both high usable volumetric and gravimetric capacities (51.0 g/L and 9.1 wt%, respectively, at a combined temperature and pressure swing from 77 K and 100 bar to 160 K and 5 bar), which represents the value of using computational screening to guide experimental efforts towards MOFs with desired storage performance.

Very recently, Kapelewski et al. evaluated the usable volumetric H₂ capacities of Ni₂(*m*-dobdc) (*m*-dobdc^{4−} = 4,6-dioxido-1,3-benzenedicarboxylate), which has a high density of coordinatively unsaturated metal sites that can interact with H₂ strongly.⁹⁶ Its adsorption isotherms were measured in the pressure range of 0–100 bar at near-ambient temperatures. Based on adsorption isotherm data, Ni₂(*m*-dobdc) was found to have a usable volumetric capacity between 100 and 5 bar of 11.0 g/L at 25 °C and 23.0 g/L with a temperature swing from −75 to 25 °C, making it the top-performing physisorptive storage material so far. Its high capacity is attributed to the presence of highly polarizing open Ni^{II} sites, which lead to strong binding interactions and a dense packing of H₂ within the MOF. This work may provide some guidance to develop new MOFs with high volumetric capacities for the ultimate purpose of realizing high gravimetric and volumetric H₂ storage capacities simultaneously.

CH₄ storage

Natural gas, whose main component is CH₄, is an abundant natural resource. The high research octane number (RON) of CH₄ and its low CO₂ emission make natural gas an appealing vehicular fuel. This motivates extensive efforts to study effective methods for CH₄ storage (Table 2).⁵⁴ Since the interactions between MOFs and CH₄ are moderate, storing CH₄ in MOFs can be realized at room temperature and reasonably high pressure, which is practically feasible and holds great promise.

To direct the research of ANG (adsorbed natural gas) technology, DOE in 2012 set the total CH₄ storage targets to be 700 cm³ (STP)/g or 0.5 g/g gravimetrically and 350 cm³ (STP)/cm³ volumetrically at room temperature.¹¹² It is worth noting that a 25% adsorbent packing loss is considered. If the packing loss is neglected, the volumetric target corresponds to 263 cm³ (STP)/cm³, which is still ambitiously high.

High gravimetric CH₄ capacity

After Kitagawa and Yaghi independently realized the first two examples of MOFs for CH₄ storage,^{49,57} considerable progress has been made in this field. Based on the abundant experimental data, it was found that the gravimetric CH₄ storage capacities

Table 2. Selected examples of MOFs for CH₄ storage.

MOFs	Framework density <i>D_c</i> (g/cm ³)	BET surface area (m ² /g)	Total uptake ^a		Working capacity ^b		Refs.
			(cm ³ /g)	(cm ³ /cm ³)	(cm ³ /g)	(cm ³ /cm ³)	
HKUST-1	0.883	1850	302 (257)	267 (227)	215 (170)	190 (150)	102
UTSA-76	0.699	2820	363 (302)	257 (211)	282 (216)	197 (151)	103
Co(bdp)	–	2911	–	203 (161)	–	197 (155) ^c	104
Al-soc-MOF-1	0.34	5585	579 (362)	197 (123)	518 (306)	176 (104)	105
MOF-177	0.427	4500	475 (307)	203 (131)	426 (258)	182 (110)	53
UTSA-110	0.600	3241	402 (312)	241 (187)	317 (227) ^c	190 (136) ^c	106
NJU-Bai 43	0.639	3090	396 (315)	254 (202)	308 (228)	198 (146)	107
MOF-905	0.549	3490	377 (264)	207 (145)	331 (219)	182 (120)	108
MAF-38	0.761	2022	346 (297)	263 (226)	246 (197)	187 (150)	109
MFM-115a	0.611	3394	389 (204)	238 (186)	312 (226)	191 (138)	110
LIFM-82	0.922	1624	267 (214)	245 (196)	209 (156)	192 (143)	111
NU-125	0.589	3286	395 (315)	232 (182)	312 (226)	183 (133)	102
NU-111	0.409	4930	503 (337)	206 (138)	437 (271)	179 (111)	102

^a At room temperature and 65 (35) bar.

^b Defined as the difference of CH₄ uptake between 65 (35) bar and 5 bar.

^c Between 65 (35) bar and 5.8 bar.




Fig. 1. (a) Structure of Al-soc-MOF-1. (b) Single-component CH₄ sorption isotherms at different temperatures for Al-soc-MOF-1. Reprinted with permission from Alezi et al.¹⁰⁵ Copyright 2015, ACS Publications.

of MOFs at high pressure are basically proportional to their pore volumes or surface areas.¹¹³ This is straightforward to rationalize because larger porosities indicate more space in MOFs to accommodate larger amounts of CH₄ molecules. Several empirical relationships between the CH₄ capacities of rigid MOFs and their pore volumes have been developed, which provide useful information to guide the discovery of promising MOF candidates for CH₄ storage.

In 2013, He et al. examined the gravimetric CH₄ capacities of a series of copper-tetracarboxylate frameworks having similar structures and topologies (NOTT-100, NOTT-101, NOTT-102, NOTT-103, and NOTT-109).¹¹⁴ Their excess gravimetric CH₄ uptakes were found to rise progressively with the increasing porosities. An empirical equation was even derived through in-depth analysis to predict the CH₄ storage capacity of a specific MOF: $C_{\text{excess}} = -126.69 \times V_p^2 + 381.62 \times V_p - 12.57$, where C_{excess} is the excess gravimetric CH₄ capacity at 300 K and 35 bar in cm³ (STP)/g, and V_p is the pore volume of a MOF in cm³/g. By comparing the experimental and predicted excess gravimetric CH₄ uptakes of previously reported MOFs with V_p smaller than 1.5 cm³/g, the established empirical equation was found to work reasonably well, which offers a convenient way to screen MOF materials for CH₄ storage.

Six reported MOFs with broad types of structure (HKUST-1, NiMOF-74, PCN-14, UTSA-20, NU-111, and NU-125) were also investigated for their CH₄ storage properties using a standardized measurement protocol by Peng et al. in 2013.¹⁰² Their results revealed that the total gravimetric CH₄ uptake (at 298 K and 65 bar), pore volume, and inverse density of MOFs were linearly correlated with the BET surface area. Among the series, NU-111 with a measured BET surface area of 4930 m²/g has a highest gravimetric uptake of 0.36 g/g. They also estimated that a hypothetical MOF with a surface area of 7500 m²/g and a pore volume of 3.2 cm³/g was able to reach the gravimetric target according to the linear correlation.

In 2016 Li et al. studied the gravimetric CH₄ capacities of MOFs at a slightly lower temperature of 270 K.⁵³ They found that slightly reducing the storage temperature to 270 K can considerably enhance the total gravimetric capacity at 65 bar. Moreover, an empirical equation ($C_{\text{total}} = -70.463 \times V_p^2 + 460.543 \times V_p - 2.709$, where C_{total} is the total gravimetric CH₄ capacity at 270 K and 65 bar, and V_p

is the pore volume in cm³/g) was also developed to predict CH₄ uptakes at 270 K.

These established empirical relationships are very useful because they can provide clues about the gravimetric CH₄ capacity of a specific MOF simply with information such as its pore volume and surface area. Evidently, MOFs with higher pore volumes and surface areas are more likely to have higher gravimetric CH₄ capacities. A viable strategy to improve the gravimetric CH₄ capacity in MOFs is to seek or develop MOFs with high porosities. In this regard, Alezi et al. reported a novel aluminum MOF (Al-soc-MOF-1) in 2015, which has an exceptionally high pore volume of 2.3 cm³/g and a BET surface area of 5585 m²/g (Fig. 1a).¹⁰⁵ This MOF thus exhibits the highest total gravimetric CH₄ uptake of ~580 cm³ (STP)/g (0.42 g/g) reported so far at 298 K and 65 bar, and achieves 83% of the DOE gravimetric target (Fig. 1b).

High volumetric CH₄ capacity

Considering that the vehicles have limited space for CH₄ storage tanks, a high volumetric CH₄ capacities is even more important than gravimetric storage capacities. The highly porous feature of MOFs showing high gravimetric CH₄ uptakes usually leads to moderate or low volumetric capacities, because such materials tend to have large pores and weak MOF-CH₄ interactions. Studies have shown that the ideal MOFs for high volumetric CH₄ capacities should have balanced porosities and framework densities as well as high densities of suitable pore cages for the recognition of CH₄ molecules.¹¹³ Accordingly, strategies like optimizing pore spaces and integrating functional sites into MOFs have been developed to target high total and working (deliverable amount between 5 and 65 bar) volumetric capacities.

HKUST-1 is one typical example illustrating the importance of optimal pore sizes for high volumetric CH₄ capacities. This MOF contains three types of cages with pore diameters as ~4, 10, and 11 Å, respectively, which are very suitable for CH₄ storage.¹¹⁵ HKUST-1 was found to exhibit a remarkably high total volumetric CH₄ capacity of 267 cm³ (STP)/cm³ at 298 K and 65 bar by Peng et al. in 2013.¹⁰² This value meets the volumetric target set by DOE on condition that the packing loss is ignored, and is still the highest reported so far. Wu et al. uncovered that the CH₄ adsorption primarily took place at the windows of the

small octahedral cages and the open Cu^{II} sites.¹¹⁶ Additionally, Hulvey et al. revealed that the small octahedral cages were even preferred over the OMSs as primary CH_4 adsorption sites, as evidenced by in situ neutron powder diffraction data.¹¹⁷ These results demonstrate the beneficial role of suitable pore spaces in the high volumetric capacity of a MOF.

MAF-38, a MOF with no OMSs developed by Lin et al. in 2016, more evidently reveals the contribution from suitable pore sizes/shapes to the CH_4 storage in MOFs.¹¹⁸ MAF-38 has two types of nanocages with internal free diameters of ~ 6.2 and 8.6 Å, respectively. These suitable pores give rise to an exceptionally high volumetric total CH_4 uptake of $263 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ and a working capacity of $187 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ in MAF-38 at 298 K and 65 bar, which are among the highest values in MOFs. Computational simulations confirmed that the suitable pore sizes/shapes and organic binding sites in MAF-38 synergistically reinforce the MOF- CH_4 and CH_4 - CH_4 interactions, leading to a dense packing of CH_4 molecules.

Establishing MOFs with suitable pore sizes can also be realized by shrinking the organic linkers of known MOFs whose pore diameters are too large to confine CH_4 molecules. This is exemplified by Jiang et al. in 2016 who replaced the peripheral phenylene ring of benzene-1,3,5-tribenzoate (BTB) linker in MOF-205 with a shorter double-bond spacer, and constructed a series of new MOFs with acrylate links and different functional groups (MOF-905, MOF-905- Me_2 , MOF-905-Naph, and MOF-905- NO_2).¹⁰⁸ With the same topology but smaller cages, the MOF-905 series show 11–21% increase on total volumetric CH_4 uptake over MOF-205. Among them, MOF-905 has the highest volumetric working capacity (desorption at 5 bar) of $203 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ at 298 K and 80 bar, a value rivaling that of HKUST-1 ($200 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$).

Besides developing MOFs with suitable pore sizes, incorporating functional groups/sites into MOFs is another approach to enhancing their volumetric CH_4 capacities. In 2014, Li et al. reported a new MOF UTSA-76 containing pyrimidine functional groups and having exactly the same structure and comparable pore volume with respect to the isoreticular NOTT-101.¹⁰³ However, the total volumetric CH_4 capacity at 298 K and 65 bar was considerably increased from $237 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ in NOTT-101 to $257 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ in UTSA-76. Moreover, UTSA-76 achieved a record high volumetric working capacity of $\sim 200 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ between 5 bar and 65 bar. Computational studies and neutron scattering measurements revealed that the central “dynamic” pyrimidine groups within UTSA-76 may be responsible for its exceptionally high working capacity, because these pyrimidine groups were believed to adjust their orientations to optimize the CH_4 packing at high pressure. In 2015, Li et al. further expanded the idea and constructed a series of NOTT-101 isostructures containing Lewis basic nitrogen sites, which also exhibited enhanced volumetric total and working capacities at 298 K and 65 bar.¹¹⁹

In 2017 Yan et al. reported that the molecular dynamics within MOFs is a tunable factor to tailor their volumetric CH_4 capacities as well. In this work, three (3,24)-connected MOFs, MFM-112a, MFM-115a, and MFM-132a, with different linker backbone functionalization were synthesized.¹¹⁰ Both MFM-112a and MFM-115a exhibit high total volumetric CH_4 uptakes of 236 and $256 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ at 298 K and 80 bar. More importantly, MFM-115a also displays a remarkably high working capacity of $208 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ at 298 K between 5 and 80 bar. Based on the solid-state ^2H NMR spectroscopy,

these three MOFs contain molecular rotors exhibiting motion in fast, medium, and slow regimes, respectively. From in situ neutron powder diffraction data, the primary binding site in MFM-115a was located within the small pocket enclosed by the $[(\text{Cu}_2)_3(\text{isophthalate})_3]$ window and three phenyl panels. These experimental evidence reveals that the optimal molecular dynamics combined with suitable pore geometry/size accounted for the high volumetric CH_4 capacity in MFM-115a.

To realize high CH_4 working capacities in MOFs, it is essential to maximize the CH_4 uptake at the adsorption pressure of 65 bar in the isotherm and minimize the uptake at the desorption pressure of 5.0 or 5.8 bar. In this regard, Mason et al. in 2015 attempted to employ flexible MOFs to achieve the goal.¹⁰⁴ They noticed that flexible MOFs usually exhibit “gate-opening” behavior, which makes a non-porous structure expand to a porous framework as the gas pressure reaches a certain threshold.¹²⁰ Accordingly, a flexible MOF displays an “S-shaped” or “stepped” CH_4 adsorption isotherm. Thus, they proposed that if a responsive MOF can be designed to expand and store a large amount of CH_4 at 35–65 bar, and collapse to push out the adsorbed CH_4 molecules as the pressure is reduced to near 5.8 bar, it would be possible to target an extraordinarily high CH_4 working capacity. The flexible MOF they chose was $\text{Co}(\text{bdp})$ ($\text{bdp}^{2-} = 1,4\text{-benzenedipyrzolate}$). In situ powder X-ray diffraction experiments confirmed its reversible structural phase transition, and a sharp step was observed at 16 bar in the CH_4 adsorption isotherm of $\text{Co}(\text{bdp})$ at 298 K with a very low CH_4 adsorbed amount of 0.2 mmol/g at 5.8 bar. Consequently, the working capacities of $\text{Co}(\text{bdp})$ at 298 K reach $155 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ at 35 bar and $197 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ at 65 bar, which are among the highest values at these conditions. In 2016, the same group further systematically controlled the pressure of the CH_4 -induced framework expansion by ligand functionalization, which provides a tool to optimize the phase-change MOFs for better CH_4 storage performance.¹²¹

In 2018, Yang et al. report another new flexible MOF, NiL_2 ($L = 4\text{-(4-pyridyl)-biphenyl-4-carboxylic acid}$). NiL_2 is capable to switch between non-porous (closed) and porous (open) phases at a specific CH_4 pressure.¹²² The material thus exhibited a total volumetric CH_4 uptake of $189 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ at 298 K and 65 bar and a working capacity of $149 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP})/\text{cm}^3$ (5–65 bar). These examples open another route to develop MOFs targeting high CH_4 volumetric working capacities.

Both high gravimetric and volumetric CH_4 capacity

Highly porous MOFs with large nanospaces are apparently favored for high gravimetric CH_4 capacities; however, their large pore diameters would lead to relatively weak CH_4 -framework interactions and result in limited volumetric capacities.¹¹³ On the other hand, MOFs with small nanospaces and moderate porosities would pack CH_4 molecules densely through strong CH_4 -framework interactions for high volumetric capacities; however, their relatively low pore volumes would restrict the gravimetric CH_4 uptakes. The rationalization suggests the existence of an intrinsic trade-off and mutual compromise between gravimetric and volumetric CH_4 capacities in MOFs. In fact, most MOFs with the highest gravimetric (volumetric) uptakes generally exhibit moderate volumetric (gravimetric) capacities.⁸⁰ Though developing an ideal MOF with both high gravimetric and volumetric CH_4 capacities is very challenging, some progress has still been made in the past two years.




Fig. 2. (a) Comparison of the crystal structures of NOTT-101, UTSA-76, and UTSA-110. (b) Total CH₄ gravimetric and volumetric capacities at 298 K and 65 bar for UTSA-110a in comparison with those of some benchmark MOFs. Reprinted with permission from Wen et al.¹⁰⁶ Copyright 2018, John Wiley and Sons.

HKUST-1 exhibits an exceptionally high volumetric CH₄ capacity of 267 cm³ (STP)/cm³ at 298 K and 65 bar but modest gravimetric capacity due to the limited pore space. To overcome this trade-off, Spanopoulos et al. in 2016 implemented the strategy of reticular chemistry to construct an expanded HKUST-1-like tbo-MOF (Cu-tbo-MOF-5).¹²³ The gravimetric and volumetric BET surface areas of Cu-tbo-MOF-5 were enlarged to 3971 m²/g and 2363 m²/cm³, which are 115% and 47% higher than the prototypical HKUST-1, respectively. As expected, Cu-tbo-MOF-5 was found to exhibit both high total gravimetric and volumetric CH₄ capacities at 298 K and 85 bar, which are 372 cm³ (STP)/g and 221 cm³ (STP)/cm³, respectively. The gravimetric and volumetric working capacities between 5 and 80 bar are also as high as 294 cm³ (STP)/g (0.217 g/g) and 175 cm³ (STP)/cm³, respectively, suggesting the conducive effect of judiciously enhanced surface areas on CH₄ capacities.

In 2017, Moreau et al. constructed a series of isorecticular octacarboxylate MOFs (MFM-180 to MFM-185) with linkers ranging from 19 to 30 Å in dimension for CH₄ storage.¹²⁴ The elongation of the linker in this series allows selective pore extension along one dimension, with BET surface areas systematically increased from 2610 to 4730 m²/g. CH₄ adsorption studies showed that there is no uptake loss in the low-pressure region with increasing linker length, and both gravimetric and volumetric uptakes are enhanced at high pressure. This trend affords an impressively high CH₄ working capacity of 0.24 g/g and 163 cm³ (STP)/cm³ (298 K, 5–65 bar) for activated MFM-185a. The simultaneous high gravimetric and volumetric CH₄ capacities in MFM-185a are mainly ascribed to the selective elongation of the tubular cages with fixed diameter, which not only contributes to the enlarged pore volume but also guarantees the efficient packing of CH₄ molecules within the framework. Similarly, Zhang et al. in 2017 reported the fine-tuning of the pore space and chemistry in a family of isomorphous MOFs based on PCN-14 for CH₄ storage.¹⁰⁷ The resulting NJU-Bai 43 achieves both high volumetric and gravimetric working capacities of 198 cm³ (STP)/cm³ and 0.221 g/g, respectively, at 298 K and between 5 and 65 bar.

A combined strategy of elongating organic linker as well as introducing functional sites into MOFs was also found effective

in increasing CH₄ capacities. In 2018, Wen et al. synthesized a new MOF named UTSA-110a, which was constructed by an extended linker containing a higher density of functional nitrogen sites with respect to UTSA-76 (Fig. 2a).¹⁰⁶ Consequently, UTSA-110a had a larger surface area and a higher content of functional N sites (3241 m²/g and 3.94 mmol/cm³, respectively) than UTSA-76 (2820 m²/g and 2.64 mmol/cm³, respectively). The enhanced porosity may improve the gravimetric total uptake while the high density of functional nitrogen sites may exert a positive influence in the CH₄-framework interactions for optimal volumetric uptake. As expected, UTSA-110a exhibited both high gravimetric (402 cm³ (STP)/g) and volumetric (241 cm³ (STP)/cm³) total CH₄ capacities at 298 K and 65 bar. With a relatively low CH₄ uptake at 5.8 bar, UTSA-110a was also able to realize both high gravimetric and volumetric working capacities of 317 cm³ (STP)/g and 190 cm³ (STP)/cm³, respectively, significantly outperforming those of HKUST-1 and UTSA-76 (Fig. 2b). Computational studies revealed that the synergistic effect of optimized porosity and favorable binding sites was the main reason for this excellent CH₄ storage performance in UTSA-110a.

C₂H₂ storage

C₂H₂ has been widely used for the manufacture of many chemical products and electric materials in modern industry. However, the safe storage of C₂H₂ still remains challenging due to its very explosiveness when compressed under pressures over 0.2 MPa. Currently, C₂H₂ in industry is stored in special cylinders filled with acetone and porous materials, which suffers from the acetone pollutant and high expenses. Therefore, developing new methods to safely store C₂H₂ is very necessary.

MOFs have been explored as C₂H₂ storage adsorbents.⁵⁶ Since the first example of C₂H₂ storage in MOF Cu₂(pzdc)₂(pyz) (pzdc = pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylate; pyz = pyrazine) was reported by Matsuda et al. in 2005,⁵² a number of MOFs have been developed as C₂H₂ storage materials (Table 3). Some strategies have been found useful to enhance the C₂H₂-framework interactions so as to realize high C₂H₂ storage capacities in MOFs, which include (1) incorporation of open metal sites, (2) optimization of pore space/size, and

Table 3. The C₂H₂ uptake capacity of selected examples of MOFs at ambient temperature and 1 bar.

MOFs	BET surface area (m ² /g)	Temperature (K)	Gravimetric uptake (cm ³ /g)	Volumetric uptake (cm ³ /cm ³)	Refs.
HKUST-1	1780	295	201	177	125
MOF-505	1694	295	148	137	125
Co-MOF-74	1056 ¹²⁶	295	197	230	127
Mn-MOF-74	1102 ¹²⁶	295	168	182	127
Mg-MOF-74	1332 ¹²⁶	295	184	167	127
NOTT-101	2316	296	184	–	20
SIFSIX-1-Cu	1178	298	190	164	128
ZJU-5	2823	298	193	–	129
ZJU-8	2501	298	195	134	130
ZJU-40	2858	298	216	–	131
NJU-Bai 17	2423	296	222.4	176	132
FJI-H8	2025	295	224	196	133
MFM-188	2568	295	232	–	134
MAF-2	–	298	70	82	135
[Cd ₃ (vtz) ₆]	–	298	50	77	136

(3) functionalization of organic linkers to provide specific binding sites for C₂H₂ molecule, detailed below.

The employment of open metal sites in MOFs for high C₂H₂ uptake was first reported by Xiang et al. in 2009.¹²⁵ They examined C₂H₂ storage properties of six MOF materials having different structures and porosities (HKUST-1, MOF-505, MOF-508, MIL-53, MOF-5, and ZIF-8). The results showed that HKUST-1 displays the highest C₂H₂ capacity of 201 cm³/g at 295 K and 1 atm. The strong binding positions are located at open Cu^{II} sites, supported by neutron powder diffraction studies. These open Cu^{II} sites have strong preferred interactions with C₂H₂ molecules to result in a high C₂H₂ storage capacity. The same strategy was also utilized in the M-MOF-74 series (M = Co^{II}, Mn^{II}, Mg^{II}, and Zn^{II}) and showed high C₂H₂ uptakes as well, with Co-MOF-74 having 197 cm³/g at 298 K and 1 bar.¹²⁷

Introducing organic linkers containing functional sites/groups, such as basic pyridyl sites and amide groups, provides additional binding sites to interact with C₂H₂ molecules strongly, which is beneficial for high C₂H₂ storage capacities.^{129,134} In 2013, Rao et al. reported the replacement of the central benzene spacer in the ligand of NOTT-101 with a pyridine ring having functional N sites, which resulted in an isorecticular NbO-type MOF ZJU-5.¹²⁹ ZJU-5 shows an extraordinarily high acetylene uptake of 193 cm³/g at 298 K and 1 bar, higher than that of the prototypical NOTT-101 (184 cm³/g). Following this work, Wen et al. in 2016 used the pyrazine ring to replace the central benzene to further increase the density of two Lewis basic nitrogen sites in the MOF and generate another isorecticular MOF ZJUT-40.¹³¹ Consequently, ZJUT-40 exhibited a further enhanced C₂H₂ uptake of 216 cm³/g (at 298 K and 1 bar) than those of NOTT-101 and ZJU-5. Since these isorecticular MOFs have almost the same structures, the increased C₂H₂ uptake in ZJUT-40 should be ascribed to its higher concentrations of Lewis basic nitrogen sites, which might have strong affinity with C₂H₂ molecule through the H–C≡C–H⋯N hydrogen bonding.

In addition to pyridyl sites, amide groups have also been found to strengthen the C₂H₂-framework interactions for higher C₂H₂ storage capacities. In 2017 Moreau et al. designed and synthesized a new copper (II) MOF MFM-188 using a judiciously designed tetra-amide octacarboxylate ligand

5,5',5'',5'''-([1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3',5,5''-tetracarboxyl)tetraakis (azanediyl)tetrakisphthalic acid.¹³⁴ MFM-188 has moderate porosity but an extremely high C₂H₂ uptake of 232 cm³/g at 295 K and 1 bar, which represents the highest value observed to date for porous materials. Neutron diffraction and inelastic neutron scattering studies provide cogent evidence of C₂D₂-amide binding interactions. Comprehensive investigation revealed that the high C₂H₂ uptake was attributed to a highly cooperative binding mechanism intrigued by the high density of free amide groups and open metal sites, as well as the appropriate pore geometry. Similarly, in 2016 Zhang et al. reported the construction of a MOF-505 analog with amide functional group in the linker. The resulting NJU-Bai 17 achieved an almost record high C₂H₂ uptake of 222.4 cm³/g at 296 K and 1 bar.¹³² Computational simulations also indicated the existence of H-bonding between C₂H₂ and amide group.

Suitable nanopore space/size is also an important factor in determining the C₂H₂ capacities of MOF materials. In 2015 Pang et al. developed a new MOF FJI-H8 with both suitable pore spaces (8, 12, and 15 Å in diameter, respectively) and open metal sites (3.59 mmol/g). FJI-H8 exhibits a record-high gravimetric C₂H₂ uptake of 224 cm³ (STP)/g and a second-highest volumetric capacity of 196 cm³ (STP)/cm³ at 295 K and 1 atm.¹³³ Supposing each open Cu^{II} site binds one C₂H₂ molecule, the open Cu^{II} sites in FJI-H8 can only contribute 87 cm³ of the total 224 cm³ for the C₂H₂ storage capacity at 295 K and 1 atm. The remaining C₂H₂ uptake should be attributed to the suitable pore size in FJI-H8, which accounts for >60% of the total C₂H₂ uptake in FJI-H8. The contribution from suitable pore size was further confirmed by theoretical studies. This work represents as a good example to illustrate another structural feature that can be employed to design new MOF materials for high C₂H₂ storage capacities.

It should be noted that the operating pressure interval for rechargeable C₂H₂ delivery is strictly limited owing to its highly explosive nature. Therefore, the C₂H₂ working capacity of MOF adsorbents is very limited despite having very high total C₂H₂ uptake capacity. For practical application, the C₂H₂ working capacity or usable storage capacity has been proposed to be equivalent to the deliverable amount between 1.0–1.5 atm. In 2009, utilizing a unique MOF MAF-2 with kinetically controlled

flexibility, Zhang et al. investigated the working capacity of MAF-2 in C_2H_2 storage.¹³⁵ This MOF exhibits a moderate C_2H_2 uptake of $70\text{ cm}^3(\text{STP})/\text{g}$ at 298 K and 1 atm, with a remarkable working capacity of 40-fold increase from gas cylinder between 1.0–1.5 atm. Single-crystal structure of gas-loaded MAF-2 reveals the formation of unprecedented C_2H_2 hexamers. Later, the record of C_2H_2 working capacity was promoted by the same group using a unique metal-organic zeolite MAF-7 that features uncoordinated functional N sites.¹³⁷ MAF-7 exhibits a C_2H_2 working capacity of 26.8 g/L at 298 K and between 1.0–1.5 atm, corresponding to about 50 times higher than that of a gas cylinder (0.532 g/L). Recently, based on comprehensive investigation of several isostructural ultramicroporous MOFs with pore size difference of hundredth-nanometer, He et al. discovered that MOF $[\text{Cd}_3(\text{vtz})_6]$ shows a record-high C_2H_2 working capacity of 1.99 mmol/cm^3 (51.7 g/L), corresponding to about 98 times of that of a gas cylinder.¹³⁶

■ PORE CHEMISTRY IN MOFS FOR GAS SEPARATION

Besides gas storage, MOFs have also shown great potential to perform gas separations as adsorbents based on their unique pore chemistry. The active field has experienced rapid developments over the past decade.^{15,78,81,138–141} In this section, we will highlight some of the significant progresses made in recent years concerning several important gas separation scenarios (Table 4), including ethylene/ethane (C_2H_4/C_2H_6) separation, propylene/propane (C_3H_6/C_3H_8) separation, acetylene/ethylene (C_2H_2/C_2H_4) separation, acetylene/carbon dioxide (C_2H_2/CO_2) separation, propyne/propylene (C_3H_4/C_3H_6) separation, C_4 hydrocarbon separation, C_6 isomer separation, C_8 isomer separation, CO_2 capture and separation, and toxic gas removal.

C_2H_4/C_2H_6 separation

C_2H_4/C_2H_6 separation is an important aspect in olefin-paraffin separation, because C_2H_4 is a primary raw material in petrochemical industry. Traditional methods largely depend on energy-intensive cryogenic distillation. To separate C_2H_4/C_2H_6 mixtures efficiently, utilizing adsorbents such as MOFs to realize the separation has been perceived as a promising alternative. A number of MOFs have been studied to exhibit excellent separations mainly by one or more of the following mechanisms: (1) equilibrium-based mechanism; (2) kinetic-based mechanism; (3) gate-opening effect; and (4) molecular sieving effect. Depending on whether C_2H_4 or C_2H_6 is captured by adsorbents, MOF materials can be categorized into C_2H_4 - or C_2H_6 -selective adsorbents, detailed below.

C_2H_4 -selective adsorbent

C_2H_4 -selective adsorbents extract C_2H_4 from C_2H_4/C_2H_6 mixtures, primarily owing to the stronger framework- C_2H_4 interactions and smaller molecular size of C_2H_4 .¹⁷³

To achieve strong framework- C_2H_4 interactions, a high density of open metal sites in MOF adsorbents is a favorable feature. In 2012, Bloch et al. examined adsorption ability of light hydrocarbons in $Fe_2(\text{dobdc})$ ($\text{dobdc}^{4-} = 2,5\text{-dioxido-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate}$) and found that the material can achieve C_2H_4/C_2H_6 separation.¹⁴² As evidenced by the steep rise in the isotherms, $Fe_2(\text{dobdc})$ exhibits a strong affinity towards the unsaturated hydrocarbons, including C_2H_2 , C_2H_4 , and C_3H_6 .

Neutron powder diffraction studies indicate that unsaturated hydrocarbons display side-on binding modes, with Fe-C distances in the range of $2.42(2)\text{--}2.60(2)\text{ \AA}$ (Fig. 3). While the interactions of C_2H_6 and CH_4 with the metal cations in $Fe_2(\text{dobdc})$ are weaker, as suggested by the elongated Fe-C distance of around $3\text{ \AA}$. The C_2H_4/C_2H_6 selectivity was calculated to be 13–18 for equimolar mixture at 318 K using ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST). Breakthrough experiments further demonstrate that $Fe_2(\text{dobdc})$ is capable to separate equimolar mixture of C_2H_4 and C_2H_6 into pure component gases with a purity of 99%–99.5%. This work represents as a good example to illustrate the role of open metal sites in C_2H_4/C_2H_6 separation. The same group in 2018 reported the selective adsorption of C_2H_4 in $Mn_2(m\text{-dobdc})_2$ ($m\text{-dobdc}^{4-} = 4,6\text{-dioxido-1,3-benzenedicarboxylate}$) also featuring a high density of open metal sites.¹⁷⁴

In 2014, Yang et al. developed a hydroxyl-functionalized Al-MOF (NOTT-300) with high selectivity for C_2H_4/C_2H_6 separation.¹⁸ The C_2H_4 and C_2H_6 adsorption amounts at 293 K and 1.0 bar in NOTT-300 were measured to be 4.28 and 0.85 mmol/g, respectively, giving rise to a notable uptake difference of 3.43 mmol/g as well as a very high C_2H_4/C_2H_6 selectivity of 48.7. This value is notably higher than that observed in Fe-MOF-74 (13.6), Co-MOF-74 (6), HKUST-1 (4), and PAF-1- SO_3Ag (26.9).¹⁷⁵ *In situ* synchrotron X-ray and neutron powder diffraction experiments reveal that the M-OH groups, aromatic -CH groups, and phenyl rings in NOTT-300 can introduce weak additive, supramolecular interactions with the adsorbed C_2H_4 molecules, wherein the $C\cdots HO$ distance between C_2H_4 molecule and the hydroxyl group is about $4.62\text{ \AA}$. However, C_2H_6 is aligned at a very long distance to the OH group ($C\cdots O = 5.07\text{ \AA}$), thus resulting in weaker interactions with the NOTT-300 host. The stronger binding strengths toward C_2H_4 over C_2H_6 afforded the remarkable C_2H_4/C_2H_6 selectivity.

Precise tuning the pore size of MOFs to separate C_2H_4 from C_2H_6 based on molecular sieving effect is another powerful strategy for the targeted separation. In 2018, Lin et al. reported an ultramicroporous MOF $[\text{Ca}(\text{C}_4\text{O}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (termed as UTSA-280) synthesized from calcium nitrate and squaric acid.¹⁴³ UTSA-280 possesses rigid one-dimensional channels with the cross-sectional areas of $\sim 14.4\text{ \AA}^2$ (Fig. 4), which falls right between the minimum cross-sectional area of C_2H_4 ($13.7\text{ \AA}^2$) and C_2H_6 ($15.5\text{ \AA}^2$). Thus, the pores of UTSA-280 serve as molecular sieves to impede the transport of C_2H_6 , and allow the passage of C_2H_4 , achieving an ideal separation of C_2H_4/C_2H_6 mixtures. Breakthrough experiments validates the efficacy of this molecular sieve to separate C_2H_4/C_2H_6 mixtures with high C_2H_4 productivity at 298 K and 1 bar. Very importantly, UTSA-280 is water-stable and can be readily prepared at the kilogram scale in an environmentally friendly method. These features are important for potential industrial implementation.

Subsequently, Bao et al. in 2018 reported a family of gallate-based MOF materials, M-gallate ($M = \text{Ni, Mg, Co}$), featuring three-dimensionally interconnected zigzag channels, whose aperture sizes ($3.47\text{--}3.69\text{ \AA}$) are highly suitable separate C_2H_4 ($3.28 \times 4.18 \times 4.84\text{ \AA}^3$) from C_2H_6 ($3.81 \times 4.08 \times 4.82\text{ \AA}^3$) through a partial sieving mechanism.¹⁴⁴ Particularly, Co-gallate shows a high C_2H_4/C_2H_6 IAST selectivity of 52 with a C_2H_4 uptake of 3.37 mmol/g at 298 K and 1 bar, and is confirmed by direct breakthrough experiments with equimolar C_2H_4/C_2H_6 mixtures.

Table 4. Selected examples of MOFs for gas separation.

Gas separation	MOF	Adsorption amount ^a (mmol/g)	Temperature (K)	Selectivity ^b	Refs.
C ₂ H ₄ /C ₂ H ₆	Fe-MOF-74	6.24 ^c /5.19 ^c	318	13.6	142
	Fe ₂ (<i>m</i> -dobdc)	6.9 ^c /5.9 ^c	298	>25	19
	NOTT-300	4.28/0.85	293	48.7	18
	UTSA-280	2.5/0.098	298	Molecular sieving; > 10,000 ^d	143
	Co-gallate	3.37/0.3 ^c	298	52	144
C ₂ H ₆ /C ₂ H ₄	MAF-49	1.7 ^c /1.6 ^c	316	9	145
	Cu(Qc) ₂	1.85/0.78	298	3.4	146
	PCN-250	5.21/4.22	298	1.9	147
	Fe ₂ (O ₂)(dobdc)	3.32/2.54 ^c	298	4.4	148
C ₃ H ₆ /C ₃ H ₈	Co-MOF-74	7.29/5.4 ^c	298	46	149
	Fe ₂ (<i>m</i> -dobdc)	7.3 ^c /5.9 ^c	298	>55	19
	NbOFFIVE-1-Ni	1.4 ^c /0.04 ^c	298	Molecular sieving	150
	Y-abtc	2.0 ^c /0 ^c	298	Molecular sieving	151
C ₂ H ₂ /C ₂ H ₄	M' MOF-3a	1.8 ^c /0.4 ^c	295	24	152
	UTSA-100	4.27/1.66	296	10.72	153
	[Mn ₃ (bipy) ₃ (H ₂ O) ₄][Mn(CN) ₆] ₂ · 2bpy	3.2/0.24	283	16 ^e	154
	SIFSIX-1-Cu	8.50/4.11	298	10.63	128
	SIFSIX-2-Cu-i	4.02/2.19	298	44.54	128
	SIFSIX-3-Zn	3.64/2.24	298	8.82	128
	SIFSIX-3-Ni	3.30/1.75	298	5.03	128
	UTSA-200	3.65/0.63	298	Molecular sieving; 6320 ^d	155
	UTSA-74	4.8 ^c /3.1 ^c	298	9	156
	UTSA-300	3.08/0.14	298	Molecular sieving; 743 ^d	157
CO ₂ /C ₂ H ₂	TiFSIX-2-Cu-i	2.9 ^f /1.6 ^f	298	6.5	158
	Mn(bdc)(dpe)	2.14 ^f /0.36 ^c	273	8.8	159
C ₃ H ₄ /C ₃ H ₆	SIFSIX-3-Ni	2.5 ^f /2.0 ^f	298	7.7	158
	ELM-12	2.74/1.38 ^c	298	84	160
	SIFSIX-1-Cu	8.76/5.9 ^c	298	9	161
	SIFSIX-2-Cu-i	3.8 ^c /2.6 ^c	298	25	161
	SIFSIX-3-Ni	2.98/2.67	298	290 ^d	161
	ZU-62	3.6 ^c /2.6 ^c	298	48	162
	UTSA-200	3.57 ^c /1.16 ^c	298	Molecular sieving; > 20,000 ^d	185
	Tb ₆ (μ ₃ -OH) ₈ (fum) ₆ (H ₂ O) ₆	1.32 ^c /0 ^c	293	Molecular sieving	163
	Y ₆ (μ ₃ -OH) ₈ (fum) ₆ (H ₂ O) ₆	1.97 ^c /0 ^c	293	Molecular sieving	163
	GeFSIX-2-Cu-i	3.3 ^c /1.2 ^c	298	2.26	164
C ₄ H ₆ /iso-C ₄ H ₈ ^g	NbFSIX-2-Cu-i	2.2 ^c /0.5 ^c	298	–	164
	GeFSIX-2-Cu-i	3.6 ^c /1.2 ^c	298	2.94	164
	NbFSIX-2-Cu-i	2.6 ^c /0.5 ^c	298	–	164
	GeFSIX-14-Cu-i	2.6 ^c /0.4 ^c	298	–	164
C ₄ H ₆ / <i>n</i> -C ₄ H ₈ ^g	GeFSIX-14-Cu-i	2.6 ^c /0.6 ^c	298	–	164
	SIFSIX-2-Cu-i	5.41/0.15	298	140	165
	SIFSIX-3-Zn	2.54/0.23	298	1818	165
	FJI-14H	12.5 ^c /–	298	51	166
	Qc-5-Cu-sql-β	2.16/0.01	293	Molecular sieving; 40,000 ^d	167
	mnen-Mg ₂ (dobpdc)	4.1 ^c /–	298	–	168
	[Mg ₂ (dobdc)(N ₂ H ₄) _{1.8}]	5.51/–	298	–	169
	[Mn ^{II} Mn ^{III} (OH)Cl ₂ (bbta)]	7.1/–	298	250	170
	SIFSIX-2-Cu-i	5.41/0.47	298	33	165
	SIFSIX-3-Zn	2.54/0.79	298	231	165
CO ₂ /CH ₄	Mg2V-DHBDc	10.37/1.7 ^c	273	5.15	171
	Qc-5-Cu-sql-β	2.16/0.06	293	Molecular sieving; 3300 ^d	172

^a Adsorption amount at 1 bar in isotherms.^b Calculated by ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) at ambient temperature and 1 bar.^c Estimated from pure-component adsorption isotherm.^d The value is only for qualitative comparison.^e The selectivity was calculated at 293 K.^f The value is collected from adsorption isotherm of 298 K at 0.1 bar.^g C₄H₆ refers to 1,3-butadiene.




Fig. 3. (a) A portion of the solid-state structure of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{dobdc}) \cdot 2\text{C}_2\text{D}_4$, determined from neutron powder diffraction analysis. Orange, red, grey, and blue spheres represent Fe, O, C, D atoms, respectively. (b) $\text{H}_4(\text{dobdc})$ ligand and the first coordination spheres for Fe center upon dosing $\text{Fe}_2(\text{dobdc})$ with C_2H_2 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_6 , C_3H_6 , and C_3H_8 . Gas sorption isotherms for (c) CH_4 , C_2H_6 , C_2H_4 , and C_2H_2 (d) C_3H_8 and C_3H_6 in $\text{Fe}_2(\text{dobdc})$ at 318 K. Reprinted with permission from Bloch et al.¹⁴² Copyright 2012, the American Association for the Advancement of Science. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

C_2H_6 selective adsorbents

Typically, C_2H_4 is adsorbed preferentially over C_2H_6 in MOF materials, because unsaturated C_2H_4 often have stronger interactions with the framework due to its π -electron density and larger polarity. The desired high-purity C_2H_4 products are thus obtained by releasing the captured C_2H_4 from the adsorbents, which consumes considerable energy. To find an energy-economic approach, efforts have been devoted to seeking adsorbents that selectively extract C_2H_6 from the mixture, so that pure C_2H_4 can be produced directly from the outlet of the adsorbent fixed-bed.

In this regard, Liao et al. in 2015 reported the construction of a Zn-azolate MOF $[\text{Zn}(\text{batz})]$ (H_2batz = bis(5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methane), denoted as MAF-49, for rarely selective adsorption of C_2H_6 over C_2H_4 .¹⁴⁵ Based on single crystal X-ray

diffraction analysis, MAF-49 is a three-dimensional framework with narrow one-dimensional zigzag channels (Fig. 5). Since there are only four out of eight nitrogen donors of batz^{2-} participating in the coordination with Zn^{II} , the pore surface is rich with electronegative nitrogen atoms. Gas adsorption studies showed that MAF-49 had a higher C_2H_6 uptake than C_2H_4 under low pressure at 316 K, leading to an unusual inverse IAST $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ selectivity of 9 (316 K and 1 bar). Computational simulations indicated that C_2H_6 molecule forms three strong $\text{C}-\text{H} \cdots \text{N}$ hydrogen bonds and three weak $\text{C}-\text{H} \cdots \text{N}$ electrostatic interactions with MAF-49. By contrast, for C_2H_4 molecule only two less strong $\text{C}-\text{H} \cdots \text{N}$ hydrogen bonds and two very weak $\text{C}-\text{H} \cdots \text{N}$ electrostatic interactions were formed. The different binding strengths and numbers of interaction sites in the cases of C_2H_6 and C_2H_4 thus resulted in the selective




Fig. 4. (a) The crystal structure of guest-free UTSA-280 determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction, showing one-dimensional channels viewed along the [001] direction. Green, light coral and grey nodes represent Ca, O and C atoms, respectively. (b) The local coordination environments of the squarate linker and calcium atoms. (c) A schematic diagram showing the coordination constraints applied on the $C_4O_4^{2-}$ linker. (d) Single-component sorption isotherms of CO_2 at 195 K and N_2 at 77 K for UTSA-280. (e) Single-component sorption isotherms of C_2H_4 and C_2H_6 at 298 K for UTSA-280. (f) Qualitative comparison of IAST adsorption selectivities of different MOFs for an equimolar C_2H_4/C_2H_6 mixture at 298 K. Reprinted with permission from Lin et al.¹⁴³ Copyright 2018, Springer Nature. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

adsorption of C_2H_6 over C_2H_4 in MAF-49, which was further confirmed by the single-crystal structures of $MAF-49 \cdot C_2H_6$ and $MAF-49 \cdot C_2H_4$.

Similarly, Hao et al. in 2018 reported a MOF TJT-100 that is capable to selectively adsorb C_2H_6 over C_2H_4 .¹⁷⁶ Based on computational studies, the reversed C_2H_6/C_2H_4 selectivity stem from the formation of multiple $C-H \cdots O$ electrostatic interactions between C_2H_6 and the uncoordinated carboxylate oxygen atoms and coordinated water molecules towards the pore. While the corresponding “ C_2H_4 -framework” interaction is unfavorable.

Apart from hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions, van der Waals interactions can also be employed in the design and fabrication of MOFs for selective adsorption of C_2H_6 over C_2H_4 . In 2018, Lin et al. discovered that controlling the pore structures in isoreticular ultramicroporous MOFs to enlarge the weakly polar interaction surface of MOFs can strengthen their binding affinities towards C_2H_6 and lead to higher C_2H_6/C_2H_4 selectivities.¹⁴⁶ It was found that compared with the prototypical $Cu(ina)_2$, the smaller-pore isoreticular analogue $Cu(Qc)_2$ exhibits an apparently larger C_2H_6/C_2H_4 uptake ratio of 237% ($60.0/25.3 \text{ cm}^3/\text{cm}^3$) at ambient conditions, remarkably increasing the C_2H_6/C_2H_4 selectivity. Neutron powder diffraction studies clearly reveal that the $Cu(Qc)_2$ displays a self-adaptive sorption behavior towards C_2H_6 , which enables its continuous maintenance of the close van der Waals contacts with C_2H_6 molecules in its optimized pore structure, and thus the preferential binding of C_2H_6 over C_2H_4 .

Similarly, Chen et al. in 2018 reported that PCN-250 can be utilized as a C_2H_6 -selective adsorbent for efficient purification of C_2H_4 from C_2H_4/C_2H_6 mixtures.¹⁴⁷ Configurational-biased grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation reveal that both the pore effect and the van der Waals adsorption energy govern the separation of C_2H_6 over C_2H_4 for PCN-250, especially at low pressures.

Introducing peroxo sites into MOFs is another effective method to achieve C_2H_6/C_2H_4 separations. In 2018, Li et al. reported a microporous MOF, $[Fe_2(O_2)(dobdc)]$ ($dobdc^{4-} = 2,5$ -dioxido-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate) with Fe-peroxo sites for the preferential binding of C_2H_6 over C_2H_4 (Fig. 6), and thus achieved highly selective separation of C_2H_6/C_2H_4 .¹⁴⁸ The C_2H_6 binding affinity was first exhibited in the single-component sorption isotherms of $Fe_2(O_2)(dobdc)$ at 298 K, in which C_2H_6 has a much higher adsorption capacity ($74.3 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$) than C_2H_4 (around $57 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$) at 1 bar. To structurally elucidate how C_2H_6 and C_2H_4 are adsorbed in the framework to result in “reversed C_2H_6/C_2H_4 adsorption”, high-resolution neutron powder diffraction experiments were conducted on C_2D_6 -loaded and C_2D_4 -loaded $Fe_2(O_2)(dobdc)$ sample. The preferential binding of C_2H_6 with peroxo sites were found to establish through $C-D \cdots O$ hydrogen bonding ($D \cdots O$ 2.17–2.22 Å), with $D \cdots O$ distance much shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii of oxygen (1.52 Å) and hydrogen (1.20 Å). Additionally, the nonplanar C_2D_6 molecule sterically match better with to the uneven pore surface in $Fe_2(O_2)(dobdc)$ than the planar C_2D_4 molecule, leading to stronger H bonds with the active site of




Fig. 5. (a) X-ray crystal structure of MAF-49·H₂O. Zn purple, C dark grey, H light grey, N blue; and yellow/grey curved surface represents pore surface. Guest molecules are omitted for clarity. (b) Local environment and hydrogen-bonding interactions of the narrowest channel neck (highlighted by green dashed lines). (c) Gas adsorption isotherms for C₂H₆, C₂H₄, CO₂ and CH₄ in MAF-49 at 313 K. (d) C₂H₄/C₂H₆ (1:1) mixture breakthrough curves of MAF-49 measured at 313 K and 1 bar. Solid symbols: C₂H₄, Open symbols: C₂H₆. Reprinted with permission from Liao et al.¹⁴⁵ Copyright 2015, Springer Nature. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fe-peroxo and stronger van der Waals interactions with the ligand surface. Fe₂(O₂)(dobdc) exhibits a IAST selectivity of 4.4 for C₂H₆/C₂H₄ (50/50) adsorption, which sets a new benchmark. The clean and sharp separation of C₂H₆/C₂H₄ in the column breakthrough experiments performed with a C₂H₆/C₂H₄ (50/50) mixture further demonstrates that Fe₂(O₂)(dobdc) can readily produce high-purity C₂H₄ (≥99.99%) from C₂H₄/C₂H₆ mixtures.

Gate-opening effect can give rise to the preferential adsorption of C₂H₆ from C₂H₄/C₂H₆ mixtures as well. Gücüyener et al. in 2010 reported that C₂H₆ can be selectively adsorbed over C₂H₄ on ZIF-7.¹⁷⁷ This reversed adsorption mainly arises from a gate-opening effect in which specific threshold pressures control the uptake and release of adsorbates. Similar phenomenon was also observed in another flexible MOF, Zn₂(bpdcc)₂(bpee) (bpdcc = 4,4'-biphenyldicarboxylate, bpee = 1,2-bipyriylethylene).¹⁷⁸

C₃H₆/C₃H₈ separation

C₃H₆/C₃H₈ separation is a very challenging and industrially important process. Like C₂H₄/C₂H₆ separation, one or more of the four mechanisms (equilibrium-based mechanism, kinetic-

based mechanism, gate-opening effect, and molecular sieving effect) account for the high C₃H₆/C₃H₈ selectivities achieved in MOFs. Much progress has been made in recent years based on distinct separation mechanisms.

Creating high densities of open metal sites in the pores of MOFs is a powerful general strategy to realize high C₃H₆/C₃H₈ selectivities. In 2012, Bae et al. examined the selective adsorption of C₃H₆ over C₃H₈ in M-MOF-74 materials (M = Co, Mn, and Mg), which all bear high densities of open metal sites.¹⁴⁹ Among the series, Co-MOF-74 exhibits the highest thermodynamic C₃H₆/C₃H₈ selectivity. Similarly, Bachman et al. in 2017 evaluated the C₃H₆/C₃H₈ separation ability of several M₂(*m*-dobdc) (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni; *m*-dobdc⁴⁻ = 4,6-dioxido-1,3-benzenedicarboxylate).¹⁹ These materials are structural isomers to the well-known M-MOF-74, but exhibit an increased affinity for C₃H₆ over C₃H₈ relative to their M-MOF-74 isomers, leading to the enhanced selectivities for C₃H₆/C₃H₈ separations. Among them, Fe₂(*m*-dobdc) displays the highest C₃H₆/C₃H₈ (>55) selectivity at ambient conditions, with C₃H₆ adsorption capacity higher than 7 mmol/g. Based on in situ single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, the notable improvement in C₃H₆ affinity mainly results from the enhanced metal-C₃H₆ interactions




Fig. 6. Structures of (a) $\text{Fe}_2(\text{dobdc})$, (b) $\text{Fe}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{dobdc})$ and (c) $\text{Fe}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{dobdc}) \supset \text{C}_2\text{D}_6$ at 7 K. Note the change from the open Fe^{II} site to the Fe^{III} -peroxo site for the preferential binding of C_2H_6 . Fe, green; C, dark gray; O, pink; O_2^{2-} , red; H or D, white; C in C_2D_6 , blue. (d) Adsorption (solid) and desorption (open) isotherms of C_2H_6 (red circles) and C_2H_4 (blue circles) in $\text{Fe}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{dobdc})$ at 298 K. (e) Comparison of the IAST selectivities of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{dobdc})$ with those of previously reported best-performing materials for $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ (50/50) mixtures. (f) Experimental column breakthrough curves for a $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6/\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ (50/50) mixture in an absorber bed packed with $\text{Fe}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{dobdc})$ at 298 K and 1.01 bar. Reprinted with permission from Li et al.¹⁴⁸ Copyright 2018, the American Association for the Advancement of Science. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

induced by increased charge density at the metal site in $\text{M}_2(m\text{-dobdc})$. It should be noted that $\text{Fe}_2(m\text{-dobdc})$ also displays quite high $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ selectivity (>25) as well.

While equilibrium-based separations are attained by the preferential equilibrated uptake of one component over the other, kinetic separation are accomplished by the diffusion rate difference of the adsorbates in and out of the adsorbents. In 2009, Li et al. realized the first the kinetic separation of C_3H_6 and C_3H_8 on three ZIFs.¹⁷⁹ Since then, a number of $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ separation based on this mechanism were reported.

In 2011, Lee et al. synthesized a series of isostructural, noncatenated, zinc-pillared-paddlewheel MOFs from 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(carboxyphenyl)benzene and trans-1,2-dipyridylethene struts.¹⁸⁰ Substantial $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ kinetic selectivity was observed in the adsorption. They discovered that the tuning of the pore apertures (via the introduction of Br atoms), the modulation of channel congestion (via the presence of the

trimethylsilane group), the thin rectangular shape of the MOF crystals, as well as the fortuitous manner in which the modified channel is oriented perpendicular to the largest faces of the crystals are all responsible for the high $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ kinetic selectivities achieved.

In 2017, Peng et al. reported an excellent performance for the kinetic separation of C_3H_6 and C_3H_8 realized in $\text{Zn}(\text{ox})_{0.5}(\text{trz})$ at 323 K (ox = oxalate, trz = 1,2,4-triazole).¹⁸¹ The considerable difference in diffusivity of C_3H_6 and C_3H_8 results in a kinetic separation factor of 1565, which is primarily ascribed to the appropriate pore apertures. Similarly, ELM-12 reported by Li et al. in 2018 with appropriate channels also achieved the desired kinetic separation performance.¹⁸²

The size and shape of pore aperture is also tunable by adjusting the topology of the framework for kinetic separation purposes. In 2018, Xue et al. reported the adjustment the rectangular pore aperture size induced by the ftw topology using either the BPTC or ABTC ligand (H_4BPTC = biphenyl-3,3',5,5'-tetracarboxylic




Fig. 7. (a) Structure description of NbOFFIVE-1-Ni highlighting the building blocks arrangement and its comparison with the parent SIFSIX-3-Ni. (b) The pure C_3H_8 (pink), pure C_3H_6 (purple), and equimolar mixture of $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ 50/50 (orange) isotherms of NbOFFIVE-1-Ni have been collected at 298 K, demonstrating the full C_3H_6 from C_3H_8 sieving ability of this adsorbent at 1 bar. (c) $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ 50/50 mixed-gas experiment using a packed column bed at 298 K and a 1 bar total pressure and $4 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$ total flow, confirming the infinite $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ separation factor. Reprinted with permission from Cadiau et al.¹⁵⁰ Copyright 2016, the American Association for the Advancement of Science. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

acid; H_4ABTC = azobenzenetetracarboxylic acid).¹⁸³ The obtained ftw-MOF-ABTC, thus has the ability to kinetically separate C_3H_6 from C_3H_8 .

Cadieu et al. in 2016 reported the fabrication of a fluorinated porous MOF (NbOFFIVE-1-Ni, denoted as KAUST-7) for the molecular exclusion of C_3H_6 from C_3H_8 at ambient conditions.¹⁵⁰ Built from $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ -pyrazine square-grid layers and NbOF_5^{2-} pillars, NbOFFIVE-1-Ni is isostructural to SIFSIX-3-Ni. The rational use of NbOF_5^{2-} rather than SiF_6^{2-} gives rise to a longer metal-fluorine distance (1.95 Å) and a concomitant tilting of pyrazine molecules. Consequently, NbOFFIVE-1-Ni

shows a smaller pore aperture size (3.0471 Å) than SIFSIX-3-Ni (4.965 Å, Fig. 7a). The passing of C_3H_6 gas molecules suggests the plausible gate size associated with the extra tilting of the pyrazine under C_3H_6 gas stimuli. Single-component adsorption measurements indicate that NbOFFIVE-1-Ni adsorbs C_3H_6 but exclude C_3H_8 from entering the framework at 298 K and up to 1 bar (Fig. 7b). The molecular sieving based $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ separation was further confirmed by breakthrough experiments with a 50/50 $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ mixture (Fig. 7c), resulting in a C_3H_6 productivity of 0.6 mmol/g for a given cycle. The looked-for hindrance in NbOFFIVE-1-Ni dictates the pore size




Fig. 8. (a) Building units and structure of Y-abtc. (b) Adsorption-desorption isotherms of C₃H₈ and C₃H₆ at 25 °C for Y-abtc. (c) Multicomponent column breakthrough results for Y-abtc at 25 °C for an equimolar mixture of C₃H₈ and C₃H₆. Reprinted with permission from Wang et al.¹⁵¹ Copyright 2018, John Wiley and Sons.

and its maximum opening, and facilitates the selective molecular exclusion of C₃H₈ from C₃H₆.

The molecular sieving of C₃H₆ from C₃H₈ was also well exemplified by Wang et al. in 2018 with a novel material Y₆(OH)₈(abtc)₃(H₂O)₆(DMA)₂ (denoted as Y-abtc, abtc = 3,3',5,5'-azobenzene-tetracarboxylates; DMA = dimethylammonium).¹⁵¹ Y-abtc was synthesized through a topology-guided strategy to replacement of inorganic metal cluster (Fig. 8a). The resultant Y-abtc has optimal pore window size (4.72 Å), which falls right between the kinetic diameters of C₃H₆ (4.68 Å) and C₃H₈ (5.1 Å). This imparts Y-abtc with excellent ability to separate C₃H₈ from C₃H₆ based on the molecular sieving mechanism (Fig. 8b). Under ambient temperature and pressure, Y-abtc adsorbs C₃H₆ with fast kinetics but fully excludes C₃H₈. Multicomponent breakthrough experiments indicated that polymer-grade C₃H₆ (99.5%) can be obtained from a typical mixture concentration of cracking product (Fig. 8c). Considering the high thermal and hydrothermal stability of Y-abtc and its complete C₃H₆/C₃H₈ separation, this work demonstrates the true potential of Y-abtc as an alternative sorbent for efficient separation of C₃H₈/C₃H₆ mixtures.

C₂H₂/C₂H₄ separation

Separating C₂H₂ from C₂H₂/C₂H₄ mixtures is one of the crucial but challenging industrial-scale processes. Current commercial technologies entail partial hydrogenation and solvent extraction, which are highly costly and energy-consuming. Adsorption-based separation with porous materials offer an exceedingly efficient route to performing the targeted separation.

Since the first example for C₂H₂/C₂H₄ separation using MOFs was realized with M'MOF series by Xiang et al. in 2011,¹⁵² a large number of MOF materials have been developed for this separation. However, most reported MOFs suffer from a trade-off between C₂H₂ adsorption capacity and C₂H₂/C₂H₄ selectivity. For instance, although the open metal sites in Fe-MOF-74 notably enhance its C₂H₂ uptake capacity, the large nanopores lead to a low selectivity of 2.08.^{20,142} The same phenomenon was also observed in NOTT-300.¹¹² In contrast, the M'MOFs series have high selectivities up to 24, but very limited C₂H₂ uptakes owing to their narrow pore space.¹⁸⁴

Great efforts have been devoted to dealing with the trade-off in C₂H₂/C₂H₄ separations in recent years. Hu et al. in 2015 synthesized a dual-functionalized MOF (UTSA-100) with narrow windows of 3.3 Å and suitable cages of 4.0 Å. These structural features enforce its high adsorption selectivity (10.72). Moreover, the NH₂ groups within the framework have preferential interactions with C₂H₂ molecules to give rise to a moderately high C₂H₂ uptake.¹⁵³ UTSA-100 thus achieves a balanced high selectivity and high C₂H₂ uptake. Hazra et al. in 2017 reported a pillar-layered porous MOF bearing small windows of 5.5 × 4.0 Å². The MOF has a C₂H₂/C₂H₄ selectivity of 17 and moderate C₂H₂ capacity of 3.2 mmol/g at 283 K and 1 bar.¹⁵⁴

In 2016, the trade-off was significantly reduced by Cui et al. in a series of SIFSIX materials. This work presented a comprehensive study on the C₂H₂ and C₂H₄ adsorption properties of SIFSIX-1-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu-1, SIFSIX-3-Cu, SIFSIX-3-Zn, and SIFSIX-3-Ni.¹²⁸ All these SIFSIX materials display apparently higher C₂H₂ uptake than C₂H₄. Among them,




Fig. 9. (a) Neutron crystal structure of SIFSIX-1-Cu·4C₂D₂ at 200 K, determined from Rietveld analysis. (b) Adsorption isotherms of C₂H₂ (filled circles) and C₂H₄ (triangles) in SIFSIX-1-Cu (red), SIFSIX-2-Cu (green), SIFSIX-2-Cu-i (blue), SIFSIX-3-Zn (light blue), and SIFSIX-3-Ni (orange) at 298 K in a range of 0–1.0 bar (c) Comparison of the IAST selectivities at varying pressures for a 1% C₂H₂ mixture of SIFSIX materials versus those of previously reported best-performing materials for C₂H₂/C₂H₄ mixtures. (d) C₂H₂ adsorption binding sites in SIFSIX-2-Cu-i calculated by dispersion-corrected density functional theory (the different nets are highlighted in magenta and green for clarity). (e) Experimental column breakthrough curves for C₂H₂/C₂H₄ (1/99) separations with SIFSIX-1-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu, and SIFSIX-3-Zn at 298 K and 1.01 bar. (f) Plots of the amount of C₂H₂ captured from C₂H₂/C₂H₄ 1/99 mixture as a function of τ_{break} in the simulated column breakthrough. Reprinted with permission from Cui et al.¹²⁸ Copyright 2016, the American Association for the Advancement of Science. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

SIFSIX-2-Cu-i exhibits a remarkably high C₂H₂ adsorption capacity of 2.1 mmol/g at 298 K and 0.025 bar, with a C₂H₂/C₂H₄ selectivity as high as 39.7–44.8 (Fig. 9). Density functional theory calculations and neutron powder diffraction studies indicate that each C₂H₂ molecule was simultaneously bound by two F atoms from different nets through hydrogen bonding to afford strong interactions between C₂H₂ and the framework, thus leading to the exceptionally high C₂H₂ uptake and selectivity. Column breakthrough experiments performed with 1/99 and 50/50 C₂H₂/C₂H₄ mixtures further confirm the excellent separation ability of SIFSIX-2-Cu-i.

To fully address the issue of trade-off in C₂H₂/C₂H₄ separation, Li et al. in 2018 constructed an ideal C₂H₂ molecular sieve SIFSIX-14-Cu-i (also termed as UTSA-200) using a shorter organic linker of 4,4'-azopyridine (9.0 Å) instead of 4,4'-dipyridylacetylene (9.6 Å) in SIFSIX-2-Cu-i.¹⁵⁵ UTSA-200 is isoreticular to the net of SIFSIX-2-Cu-i, but possesses contracted pore size (3.4 Å). Structural and modeling studies revealed that this smaller pore size in UTSA-200 can completely block the entrance of C₂H₄ molecules (Fig. 10a). Consequently, UTSA-200a exhibits a steep and high C₂H₂ uptake of 116 cm³/cm³ at 298 K and 1 bar in pure component adsorption isotherms (Fig. 10b). By contrast, the C₂H₄ uptake is about merely 0.25 mmol/g at 298 K and at a pressure up to 0.7 bar, which is dramatically lower than that of SIFSIX-2-Cu-i. Based on neutron powder diffraction studies, the ultrastrong C₂H₂ adsorption in UTSA-

200 mainly originates from the stronger C–D···F hydrogen bonding (1.921 Å) compared to SIFSIX-2-Cu-i (2.015 Å). Due to the molecular exclusion of C₂H₄ and ultrastrong C₂H₂ capture ability, UTSA-200a exhibits the record selectivity of over 6000 at 298 K and 1 bar for 1/99 C₂H₂/C₂H₄ mixture, and also displays the highest C₂H₂ adsorption amount (1.74 mmol/g) from the gas mixture of this component. In breakthrough experiments, UTSA-200a achieves a record purification capacity for removal of trace C₂H₂ from C₂H₄ with a C₂H₂ uptake capacity of 1.18 mmol/g from 1/99 C₂H₂/C₂H₄ mixture to produce C₂H₄ with a purity of 99.9999% (Fig. 10c). UTSA-200a thus successfully overcomes the trade-off in C₂H₂/C₂H₄ separation and sets up new benchmarks in terms of both the capacity and selectivity.

C₂H₂/CO₂ separation

C₂H₂, as a critical feedstock chemical and fuel gas, is generally produced by partial combustion of CH₄ or cracking of hydrocarbons. Since CO₂ commonly exists in industrial processes, it is important to remove CO₂ from C₂H₂/CO₂ mixtures to generate C₂H₂ with high-purity. Compared with the traditional method of cryogenic distillation or solvent extraction, separation realized by selective adsorption of one species over the other is eco-friendly and energy-economic. However, exploration of such adsorbents remains a great challenge, owing to their very similar physical properties like molecular size (C₂H₂, 3.32 × 3.34 × 5.70 Å³;




Fig. 10. (a) Structure description of UTSA-200a. (b) Adsorption isotherms of C_2H_2 (circles) and C_2H_4 (triangles) for UTSA-200a and SIFSIX-2-Cu-i at 298 K in 0–1.0 bar. (c) Experimental column breakthrough curves for C_2H_2/C_2H_4 separations with UTSA-200a, SIFSIX-2-Cu-i, SIFSIX-1-Cu, and SIFSIX-3-Zn at 298 K and 1.01 bar. Reprinted with permission from Li et al.¹⁵⁵ Copyright 2017, John Wiley and Sons.

CO_2 , $3.18 \times 3.33 \times 5.36 \text{ \AA}^3$) and boiling point (C_2H_2 , $-84^\circ C$; CO_2 , $-78.5^\circ C$). Nevertheless, some MOFs still realized the desired C_2H_2/CO_2 separation in recent years.

The immobilization of functional sites in MOFs has been well documented as a promising strategy to enhance the recognition ability of MOFs for C_2H_2 over CO_2 . In 2016, Luo et al. reported $Zn_2(H_2O)(dobdc) \cdot 0.5(H_2O)$ (termed as UTSA-74), a new isomeric Zn-MOF-74, for a highly selective C_2H_2/CO_2 separation.¹⁵⁶ Unlike the metal sites in Zn-MOF-74 that only bear the five-coordinate square pyramidal coordination geometry, the ones in UTSA-74 have two categories. Within the binuclear secondary building units in UTSA-74, one Zn^{II} (Zn1) is a tetrahedral while the other (Zn2) is an octahedral coordination geometry. After activation, the two axial water molecules on

Zn2 sites can be removed to produce two binding sites per Zn2 ion. Accordingly, UTSA-74 adsorbs comparably amount of C_2H_2 ($145 \text{ cm}^3/\text{cm}^3$) with respect to Zn-MOF-74. In terms of CO_2 adsorption, Zn2 sites in UTSA-74 are bridged by CO_2 molecules, as opposed to being terminally bound in Zn-MOF-74. This feature leads to its much lower CO_2 uptake ($90 \text{ cm}^3/\text{cm}^3$) and a high C_2H_2/CO_2 selectivity of 9 at 100 kPa and 298 K.

In 2017, Lin et al. discovered a novel microporous SIFSIX-MOF, $[Zn(dps)_2(SiF_6)]$ ($dps = 4,4'$ -dipyridylsulfide; termed as UTSA-300) for the optimized separation of C_2H_2 from CO_2 and C_2H_4 .¹⁵⁷ UTSA-300 possesses two-dimensional channels of $\sim 3.3 \text{ \AA}$ (Fig. 11), which transforms into its closed-pore phase after activation/desolvation. The shrunk structure is locked by strong $C-H \cdots F$ hydrogen bonds and $\pi-\pi$ interactions.




Fig. 11. (a) Perspective views of cage unit in UTSA-300 showing aperture size of 3.3 Å (Zn, Si, F, S, N, and C are represented by purple, orange, green, bright yellow, light blue, and gray, respectively, and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity). (b) C₂H₂, CO₂, and C₂H₄ single-component sorption isotherms for UTSA-300a at 273 K. (c) Comparison of uptakes ratio among UTSA-300a and representative MOFs at ambient conditions. (d) Preferential binding sites in UTSA-300 for C₂D₂ molecules shown with Hirshfeld surface (d_i) displaying C–D···F interactions (red area). (e) Packing diagram of UTSA-300⊃C₂D₂ from neutron powder diffraction data. C₂D₂ molecules are shown in a CPK model. (f) Experimental column breakthrough curves for equimolar C₂H₂/CO₂ (purple) and C₂H₂/C₂H₄ (orange) mixtures (298 K, 1 bar) in an adsorber bed packed with UTSA-300a. Reprinted with permission from Lin et al.¹⁵⁷ Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Interestingly, UTSA-300a adsorbs 76.4 cm³/g C₂H₂ but negligible amounts of CO₂ and C₂H₄ under ambient conditions. High-resolution neutron powder diffraction studies and molecular modeling reveal that this phenomenon is attributed to the breaking of the original intranetwork hydrogen bond by C₂H₂ molecules. Specifically, one C₂H₂ molecules primarily bind to two hexafluorosilicate F atoms in a head-on orientation, and consequently expand the framework to its pore-open structure. Such pore-opening behavior was not observed in the cases of CO₂ and C₂H₄ due to the different binding modes and unfavorable pore size. Breakthrough Experiments further confirm the excellent separation ability of UTSA-300a for C₂H₂/CO₂ and C₂H₂/C₂H₄ mixtures.

While most MOFs preferentially adsorb C₂H₂ over CO₂. Pure C₂H₂ is produced from the release of the captured C₂H₂, which inevitably consumes considerable energy. In an ideal C₂H₂/CO₂ separation scenario, adsorbents are required to selectively adsorb CO₂ over C₂H₂, so that pure C₂H₂ can be generated directly during the “adsorption” process. In 2016, Foo et al. reported a new flexible MOF [Mn(bdc)(dpe)] (H₂bdc = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid, dpe = 1,2-di(4-pyridyl)ethylene) with preferential adsorption of CO₂ over C₂H₂.¹⁵⁹ Interestingly, this MOF has a moderate CO₂ uptake of 48 cm³/g at 273 K and 1 bar with no gate-opening effect. By contrast, a gate-opening effect was observed in the C₂H₂ adsorption isotherm at 273 K with a steep step occurring at over 1 bar. The low C₂H₂ uptake at 1 bar (10 cm³/g) suggests that this MOF is capable to separate

CO₂ from C₂H₂ at 273 K, as confirmed by simulated and experimental breakthrough experiments.

In 2016, Chen et al. reported two structurally close-related MOFs (SIFSIX-3-Ni and TIFSIX-2-Cu-i) that display distinct preferential adsorption behaviors of C₂H₂/CO₂ mixtures.¹⁵⁸ SIFSIX-3-Ni is a non-interpenetrated MOF with pore size of ~4.2 Å, while TIFSIX-2-Cu-i is a double-interpenetrated framework with a slightly large pore size of ~5.2 Å. Gas sorption analysis indicates that TIFSIX-2-Cu-i exhibits a preferential adsorption of C₂H₂ over CO₂, while SIFSIX-3-Ni has higher CO₂ uptake than C₂H₂ below 0.3 bar at 298 K. Such distinct adsorption behaviors are associated with the different pore sizes of the frameworks and the concomitant different binding sites, which are evidenced by molecular simulation experiments. Specifically, the pores in SIFSIX-3-Ni match well with CO₂ molecules to result in strong interactions between CO₂ and SiF₆²⁻ sites, whereas TIFSIX-2-Cu-i has more pore space to trap the slightly longer C₂H₂ molecules and thus exhibits preferential adsorption of C₂H₂ over CO₂.

C₃H₄/C₃H₆ separation

C₃H₆ is one prime raw materials for petrochemical production, and is primarily produced by steam cracking. The raw C₃H₆ product is unavoidably impurified by a trace amount of C₃H₄. To meet the criterion of polymer-grade C₃H₆, the C₃H₄ concentration is required to be less than 5 ppm. Compared with traditional cryogenic distillation, adsorptive separation of C₃H₄




Fig. 12. Schematic diagrams of (a) Type I and (b) Type II cavities in ELM-12 (Cu, green; C, gray; O, red; S, yellow; F, light green). Preferential binding sites for C₃H₄ molecules in (c) site I and (d) site II, as determined by neutron powder diffraction data. (e) C₃H₄ and C₃H₆ adsorption isotherms of ELM-12. (f) Predicted selectivity of ELM-12 for C₃H₄/C₃H₆ (1/99) at 298 K. Reprinted with permission from Li et al.¹⁶⁰ Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

from C₃H₆ with porous materials is deemed as a more economic and energy-efficient method. However, the removal of trace C₃H₄ (typically 1%) from C₃H₆ is very challenging because of their similar molecular sizes (C₃H₄ 6.2 × 3.8 × 3.8 Å³; C₃H₆ 6.5 × 4.0 × 4.2 Å³) and other physical properties. So far, only several MOFs have been developed and show potential in this important but challenging separation.

In 2017, Li et al. reported the first example of using a flexible-robust MOF, [Cu(bpy)₂(OTf)₂] (ELM-12, bpy = 4,4'-bipyridine, OTf⁻ = trifluoromethanesulfonate), to separate C₃H₄ from C₃H₄/C₃H₆ mixture (1/99, v/v).¹⁶⁰ ELM-12 is composed of a rigid square-grid copper bipyridine scaffold with dangling OTf groups. It has two types of cavities bearing a dumbbell-shape (Type I, pocket size 6.1 Å × 4.3 Å × 4.3 Å) and an ellipsoid-shaped (Type II, 6.8 Å × 4.0 Å × 4.2 Å), respectively (Fig. 12). These cavities match evidently better with the size and shape of C₃H₄ (6.2 Å × 3.8 Å × 3.8 Å) than those of C₃H₆ (6.5 Å × 4.0 Å × 3.8 Å), consequently ELM-12 exhibits notably a higher C₃H₄ uptake (1.83 mmol/g) than that of C₃H₆ (0.67 mmol/g) in gas sorption isotherms at 298 K and 0.01 bar, with adsorption selectivity reaching up to 84 for 1/99 mixture. Breakthrough experiments revealed that ELM-12 can effectively remove trace C₃H₄ from 1/99 C₃H₄/C₃H₆ mixtures at ambient conditions to produce C₃H₆ with a purity of 99.9998%. High-resolution neutron powder diffraction data indicated the existence of two C₃H₄ binding sites in ELM-12, in both of which C₃H₄ molecules interact with OTf⁻ groups through C–D⋯O hydrogen bonds. The strong binding affinity and suitable pore confinement for C₃H₄ in ELM-12 account for its high C₃H₄/C₃H₆ separation ability.

In 2018, Yang et al. investigated C₃H₄/C₃H₆ separation performance of a series of SIFSIX MOFs (SIFSIX-1-Cu, SIFSIX-

2-Cu-i, and SIFSIX-3-Ni).¹⁶¹ All three SIFSIX materials displayed steep C₃H₄ uptakes in the low-pressure region of the adsorption isotherms at 298 K, and larger adsorption amounts of C₃H₄ than C₃H₆ at 1 bar. In particular, the pyrazine based ultramicroporous SIFSIX-3-Ni has a near-saturation C₃H₄ uptake of 2.65 mmol/g at an extremely low pressure (0.003 bar) with C₃H₄/C₃H₆ selectivity over 250, suggesting the existence of strong C₃H₄ interaction sites within SIFSIX-3-Ni. Based on the first-principle calculations and X-ray diffraction experiments, the pore space (7.5 × 4.6 × 4.6 Å³) and the geometric disposition of SiF₆²⁻ anions in SIFSIX-3-Ni match well with the C₃H₄ molecule in terms of both molecular shape and hydrogen bonding sites, enabling the efficient single molecular trap of C₃H₄ for C₃H₄/C₃H₆ separation. Breakthrough experiments further confirm that SIFSIX-3-Ni can remove trace C₃H₄ from C₃H₆ with a purification capacity of 1187 mL/g at ultralow concentration of 1000 ppm.

In 2018, Yang et al. further reported the one-step purification of C₃H₆ from multicomponent gases using a single material NbOFFIVE-2-Cu-i (also termed as ZU-62).¹⁶² ZU-62 features asymmetric O/F node coordination, which leads to the formation of three types of nanopores bearing aperture sizes of 6.75 Å (Site I), 6.94 Å (Site II), 7.20 Å (Site III), respectively. These multiple binding sites enabled the simultaneously preferential adsorption of C₃H₄ (1.87 mmol/g) and propadiene (1.74 mmol/g) over C₃H₆ in gas sorption isotherms at 298 K and ultra-low pressure (5000 ppm) in ZU-62. The multisite capture mechanism was revealed by dispersion-corrected density functional theory calculations. This work provides some clues for the one-step purification from multicomponent mixtures.

In 2018, Li et al. conducted a comprehensive screening of a series of MOFs with broad types of structures, pore sizes, and




Fig. 13. (a) The pore aperture and pore chemistry of a series of SIFSIX materials. (b) C_3H_4 and C_3H_6 adsorption isotherms of the corresponding SIFSIX material at 298 K. Reprinted with permission from Li et al.¹⁸⁵ Copyright 2018, John Wiley and Sons.

functionalities (Fig. 13a).¹⁸⁵ Among them, the ultramicroporous UTSA-200 ($[Cu(azpy)_2(SiF_6)]_n$, azpy = 4,4'-azopyridine) was identified as the best-performing material to remove trace amount of C_3H_4 from C_3H_4/C_3H_6 mixtures. UTSA-200 exhibits by far the highest C_3H_4 uptake ($95\text{ cm}^3/\text{cm}^3$ at 0.01 bar and 298 K) and record high C_3H_4/C_3H_6 selectivity (over 20,000) based on gas sorption isotherms (Fig. 13b), which set new benchmarks among all types of materials. Breakthrough experiments revealed that UTSA-200 can completely remove trace C_3H_4 from 1:99 and 0.1:99.9 (v/v) mixtures, thus producing 99.9999% pure C_3H_6 with productivities of 62.0 and 142.8 mmol/g, respectively. The strong C_3H_4/C_3H_6 separation ability mainly originates from the suitable dynamic pore size of UTSA-200 to block the passage of larger C_3H_6 molecules, as well as the strong binding sites and pore flexibility associated with the rotation of pyridine rings to effectively capture smaller-sized C_3H_4 .

C_4 hydrocarbon separation

C_4 hydrocarbon separation is an important but challenging process in hydrocarbon purifications, because 1,3-butadiene (C_4H_6), 1-butene ($n\text{-C}_4H_8$), and isobutene ($iso\text{-C}_4H_8$) are essential raw materials for the production of a variety of synthetic rubbers and chemicals. However, their very similar structures bring considerable difficulty in efficient separation. Nevertheless, some MOFs still have been fabricated to address this challenging task.

In 2015, Assen et al. synthesized a novel rare-earth metal (RE) fcu-MOF with a suitable aperture size to achieve the practical steric adsorptive separation of branched paraffins from normal ones.¹⁶³ They judiciously chose a short linker fumarate (fum)

to construct RE-fum-fcu-MOF with a contracted triangular aperture size of about 4.7 Å. Because the optimal aperture is the sole access to the framework cages, they function as sorbate-size cutoffs, enabling a complete molecular sieving of branched paraffins from normal ones. Consequently, RE-fum-fcu-MOF displays a fully reversible Type-I gas sorption isotherm for $n\text{-C}_4H_{10}$ at 293 K, while no $iso\text{-C}_4H_{10}$ is adsorbed. The $n\text{-C}_4H_{10}/iso\text{-C}_4H_{10}$ separation ability in RE-fum-fcu-MOF is further confirmed by breakthrough experiments using $n\text{-C}_4H_{10}/iso\text{-C}_4H_{10}/N_2$: 5/5/90 gas mixture performed at 298 K and 1 bar. As expected, $iso\text{-C}_4H_{10}$ is not retained in the column, while $n\text{-C}_4H_{10}$ is retained in the column for about 17 min with an adsorbed amount of 0.8 mmol/g.

In 2017, Zhang et al. reported a series of SIFSIX materials (GeFSIX-2-Cu-i (ZU-32), NbFSIX-2-Cu-i (ZU-52), GeFSIX-14-Cu-i (ZU-33)), which exhibit selective recognition of specific C_4 olefins.¹⁶⁴ They successfully fine-tuned the cavity size and functional site disposition with increments in 0.2 Å scale in the MOFs by rationally altering the anion pillars and organic linkers. GeFSIX-14-Cu-i, in particular, whose pores can have a size of 4.2 Å, if the plausible complete omission of the occurring steric hindrance of azpy (azpy = 4,4'-azopyridine) linker is considered. This pore is larger than the smallest cross sections of C_4H_6 and narrower than those of $n\text{-C}_4H_8$ and $iso\text{-C}_4H_8$, which makes the size exclusion of specific C_4 olefins in GeFSIX-14-Cu-i feasible. With the combined effect of molecular recognition via hydrogen bonding and size-sieving, these materials unexpectedly achieve the sieving separation of $C_4H_6/n\text{-C}_4H_8$, $C_4H_6/iso\text{-C}_4H_8$, and $n\text{-C}_4H_8/iso\text{-C}_4H_8$ with high capacities, as confirmed by breakthrough experiments.




Fig. 14. (a) Controlling the guest conformations by variation of the pore size, shape, and dimensionality. (b) Breakthrough curve of Zn-BTM for a 5:2:2:1 C₄H₆/n-C₄H₈/i-C₄H₈/C₄H₁₀ mixture. (c) Single crystal X-ray host-guest structures of C₄H₆, n-C₄H₈, i-C₄H₈, and C₄H₁₀. Reprinted with permission from Liao et al.¹⁸⁶ Copyright 2017, the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

MOFs with functional sites/groups commonly adsorb C₄H₆ preferentially over other C₄ hydrocarbons, because of its high degree of unsaturation and strong coordination ability. C₄H₆ is thus purified in a two-step process consisting of C₄H₆ capture and its subsequent release, which is energy-intensive and might induce undesired polymerization as well. To deal with this drawback, Liao et al. in 2017 fabricated a hydrophilic MOF [Zn₂(btm)₂] also termed as Zn-BTM, (H₂btm = bis(5-methyl-1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)methane)) featuring flexible quasi-discrete pores and achieved the reversed preferential adsorption of n-C₄H₈, iso-C₄H₈ and C₄H₁₀ over C₄H₆ with the MOF (Fig. 14a).¹⁸⁶ Zn-BTM display the C₄ hydrocarbon adsorption slopes in the sequence of C₄H₆ < C₄H₁₀ < n-C₄H₈ < i-C₄H₈ at low pressure region in their single-component isotherms (Fig. 14b), which clearly indicates the preferential adsorption of C₄H₁₀, n-C₄H₈, and i-C₄H₈ over C₄H₆. Based on single crystal X-ray diffraction studies and computational simulations, the preferential adsorption derives from the conformational changes induced in the flexible C₄ molecules by quasi-discrete pores in Zn-BTM (Fig. 14c). While such a conformational change is difficult to occur in C₄H₆ due to a large bending energy penalty. In breakthrough experiments with Zn-BTM, C₄H₆ eluted first, followed by C₄H₁₀, n-C₄H₈, and i-C₄H₈, further demonstrating that Zn-BTM can efficiently purify C₄H₆ with a desired purity (>99.5%).

Separation of C₆ isomers

Separating hexane isomers is regarded as a crucial process involved in petroleum industry, because it is closely related to the enhancement of octane ratings in gasoline. Hexane isomers include unreacted n-hexane (nHEX), monobranched isomers 2-methylpentane (2MP), 3-methylpentane (3MP), dibranched isomers 2,2-dimethylbutane (22DMB) and 2,3-dimethylbutane (23DMB). At certain temperatures in ambient conditions, C₆

isomers exist in the form of gas vapor. In this scenario, employing MOFs to perform adsorption-based separation of these C₆ isomers are favorable.

The first example of using microporous MOF to separate alkane isomers was reported by Chen et al. in 2006.⁷¹ They successfully separated C₆ hydrocarbons by means of gas chromatography with MOF-508 as the column filler. In the same year, Pan et al. realized the separation of C₄–C₆ hydrocarbons using a Cu-based microporous MOF.¹⁸⁷ After that, B rcia et al. achieved a kinetic separation of hexane isomers using Zn(bdc)(dabco)_{0.5} (bdc²⁻ = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate; dabco = 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) by fixed-bed adsorption.⁷³ Recently, a number of interesting works have been reported successively.

In 2013 Herm et al. reported utilizing a framework Fe₂(BDP)₃ (BDP²⁻ = 1,4-benzenedipyrazolate) featuring triangular channels to separate hexane isomers (Fig. 15).¹⁸⁸ Single-component adsorption isotherms and isosteric heat of adsorption of these isomers suggest that the higher degree of branching would lead to stronger adsorption strength. In breakthrough experiments conducted with a equimolar mixture of nHEX, 2MP, 3MP, 23DMB, and 22DMB, dibranched isomers eluted first, followed by monobranched isomer, and finally the linear isomer. Configurational-bias Monte Carlo simulations indicates that the origin of separation lies in the fact that the number of carbon atoms that can interact with the pore surface of Fe₂(BDP)₃ reduces with the increasing degree of branching. Thus, DMB isomers have the weakest interactions with MOF surface and wedge along the triangular channels most quickly.

In 2018, Wang et al. for the first time achieved a temperature- and adsorbate-dependent molecular sieving of linear, monobranched, and dibranched hexane isomers using a calcium-based MOF Ca(H₂tcpb) (tcpb = 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(4-




Fig. 15. (a) Structure of H_2BDP ligand. (b) A portion of $Fe_2(BDP)_3$ structure, as determined by analysis of powder x-ray diffraction data. Orange, blue, and gray spheres represent Fe, N, and C atoms, respectively; H atoms are omitted for clarity. (c) A perpendicular view of the one-dimensional chains of pyrazolate-bridged Fe^{3+} octahedra, excluding C and H atoms. (d) The van der Waals surfaces associated with the corrugated triangular channels running through the structure. (e) Snapshots of the hexane isomers within the channels of $Fe_2(BDP)_3$ for a loading of four molecules per unit cell at 160 °C, as observed in Configurational-bias Monte Carlo simulations. Reprinted with permission from Herm et al.¹⁸⁸ Copyright 2013, the American Association for the Advancement of Science. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

carboxyphenyl)-benzene) that exhibits.¹⁸⁹ $Ca(H_2tcpb)$ possesses one-dimensional channels bearing a size of 5.5–6 Å, which is close to the kinetic diameters of branched hexane isomers (5.0–6.2 Å). By screening the adsorption amounts of nHEX, 3MP, and 22DMB at various temperatures in $Ca(H_2tcpb)$, they found that the MOF is able to adsorb nHEX but exclude 3MP and 22DMB at 120 °C. Interestingly at 60 °C, $Ca(H_2tcpb)$ takes up nHEX and 3MP but blocks 22DMB. Taking advantage of the adsorption properties of $Ca(H_2tcpb)$, a successful separation of the ternary mixture into pure components was thus achieved through a two-column (120 °C and 60 °C respectively) breakthrough system. The same group further reported a topologically guided synthesis of a series of Zr-MOFs with rationally tuned pore structures for C_6 isomer separation. Among the series, $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(bptc)_3$ ($bptc^{4-} = 3,3',5,5'$ -biphenyltetracarboxylate) adsorbs a large amount of nHEX but excludes branched isomers. While $Zr_6O_4(OH)_8(-H_2O)_4(abtc)_2$ ($abtc^{4-} = 3,3',5,5'$ -azobenzene-tetracarboxylate) is able to discriminate all three C_6 isomers with a high 3MP/22DMB separation factor.¹⁹⁰

CO₂ capture and separation

The atmospheric CO₂ concentration has risen sharply due to anthropogenic activities, with the primary emission coming from the combustion of fossil fuels. Considerable research efforts have been devoted to developing MOFs as adsorbents for CO₂ capture.¹⁹¹ Some thematic reviews have outlined the advances in this active field and summarized useful strategies to improve the CO₂ capture performance and separation capacities of MOFs.^{79,192–198} In the following section, we provide a summary of the advancements achieved in recent years in this arena.

The SIFSIX MOFs have recently been demonstrated to be very promising physical CO₂ adsorbents. In 2013, Nugent et al. fabricated three SIFSIX MOFs (SIFSIX-2-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu-i,

and SIFSIX-3-Zn) and studied their CO₂ capture abilities.¹⁶⁵ Although SIFSIX-2-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu-i, and SIFSIX-3-Zn all possess periodically arrayed functional SiF_6^{2-} moieties (Fig. 16), their pore sizes are different, which are $13.05 \times 13.05 \text{ Å}^2$, $5.15 \times 5.15 \text{ Å}^2$, and $3.84 \times 3.84 \text{ Å}^2$, respectively. Gas sorption studies indicate that SIFSIX-3-Zn has the best CO₂ capture properties among the three MOFs, with not only a high volumetric CO₂ uptake of 84 cm³/cm³ at 298 K and 0.1 bar but also very high CO₂/N₂ (post-combustion separation), CO₂/CH₄ (natural gas upgrading) and CO₂/H₂ (pre-combustion separation) selectivities. Such adsorption performances are ascribed to a combined result of judiciously tailored pore size and functional fluorine moieties that significantly improve the interaction strength between CO₂ and SIFSIX-3-Zn, and was further validated by breakthrough experiments. Most importantly, these SIFSIX MOFs well maintain their selective adsorption CO₂ over N₂, H₂, and CH₄, even in the presence of moisture, which is very crucial in practical CO₂ capture scenarios. Subsequent endeavors have also been made by altering the metals species and/or anion pillars to further contract the pore size for better performance.^{199,200} The resulting NbOFFIVE-1-Ni displays the highest CO₂ uptake (1.3 mmol/g and 51.4 cm³ (STP)/cm³ at 298 K and 400 ppm) as a physical adsorbent.

In 2017, Liang et al. constructed a new Cu-based MOF, FJI-H14, from 2,5-di(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)terephthalic acid (H_2BTTA).¹⁶⁶ FJI-H14 has a high density of open metal sites (3.07 mol/L) and Lewis basic sites (LBS, 6.15 mol/L), which is anticipated to have a high CO₂ uptake. Consequently, FJI-H14 displays an extraordinarily high volumetric CO₂ capacity of 171 cm³/cm³ at 298 K and 1 atm with CO₂/N₂ selectivity as high as 51 for a 15/85 CO₂/N₂ mixture. Based on simulation studies, the preferential CO₂ binding sites within FJI-H14 were further demonstrated to be open Cu^{II} sites and O atoms of




Fig. 16. (a) Structures and organic linkers of SIFSIX-2-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu-i and SIFSIX-3-Zn. (b) CO₂ sorption isotherms of SIFSIX-2-Cu-i at various temperatures. (c) CO₂ sorption isotherms of SIFSIX-3-Zn at various temperatures. (d) Column breakthrough experiment on SIFSIX-2-Cu-i and SIFSIX-3-Zn with a CO₂/N₂:10/90 gas mixture (298 K, 1 bar). Reprinted with permission from Nugent et al.¹⁶⁵ Copyright 2013, Springer Nature.

carboxyl groups that function as Lewis bases. They can have strong Cu–O and C–O interactions with CO₂ molecules that give rise to high CO₂ capacity. Additionally, FJI-H14 is highly resistant to water and acid/base environments, making it a very promising material as a practical adsorbent for post-combustion CO₂ capture.

In 2015, Zhao et al. developed a strategy named pore space partition by regularly inserting symmetry-matching ligands into large pores to split them into smaller ones for enhanced CO₂ uptakes.²⁰¹ They introduced the C3-symmetric 2,4,6-tri(4-pyridyl)-1,3,5-triazine (TPT) ligand into large pores of the MIL-88-type structures. The obtained the CPM-33 series show apparently superior CO₂ uptake capacity in gas sorption studies. Among them, CPM-33b in particular, has a gravimetric CO₂ uptake of 126 cm³/g at 298 K and 1 bar, which is among the highest in MOFs without open metal sites. In 2016, Zhai et al. applied this strategy to construct a series of homo- or hetero-metallic MIL-88-type MOFs, and that found that Mg₂V-DHBDC (DHBDC²⁻ = 2,5-dihydroxy-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate) can achieve a CO₂ adsorption amount as high as 151.6 cm³/g 298 K and 1 atm with good CO₂/CH₄ selectivity.¹⁷¹ It should be noted that the high CO₂ capacity is accomplished with isosteric heat of adsorption as low as 20 kJ/mol, which would make the regeneration of the adsorbent consume less energy.

In 2016, Chen et al. realized the molecular sieving of CO₂ through a MOF [Cu(quinoline-5-carboxylate)₂]_n named Qc-5-Cu-sql (sql = square lattice) with fine-tuned pore-sizes.¹⁷²

Qc-5-Cu-sql undergoes a phase transformation upon desolvation. The solvated (Qc-5-Cu-sql- α) and desolvated (Qc-5-Cu-sql- β) phase have pore size of around 3.8 and 3.3 Å, respectively. Since the kinetic diameters of CO₂, N₂ and CH₄ are 3.3, 3.64, and 3.8 Å, respectively, the narrower pore in Qc-5-Cu-sql- β is anticipated to exhibit a molecular sieving effect towards CO₂ over N₂ and CH₄. As expected, Qc-5-Cu-sql- β only adsorbs CO₂ with a moderate uptake of 48.4 cm³/g but completely block N₂ (0.3 cm³/g) and CH₄ (1.3 cm³/g), thus achieving a remarkably high CO₂/N₂ (40,000) and CO₂/CH₄ (3300) selectivities at ambient conditions. Moreover, Qc-5-Cu-sql is stable in water vapor. Its separation ability was further validated by breakthrough experiments performed in dry and wet CO₂/N₂ gas mixtures.

Grafting alkylamine groups onto the pore surface of MOFs has been demonstrated to be an effective strategy to achieve highly selective adsorption of CO₂ over CH₄ or N₂ at low pressure.^{169,202–205} Particularly, in 2015 McDonald et al. reported the observation of unusual step-shaped CO₂ adsorption isotherms in *N,N'*-dimethylethylenediamine (mmen) functionalized M₂(dobpdc) (M = Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Zn; dobpdc⁴⁻ = 4,4'-dioxidobiphenyl-3,3'-dicarboxylate), which is indicative of “phase-change” during CO₂ adsorption.¹⁶⁸ Spectroscopic, diffraction, and computational studies suggested that the sharp adsorption step was associated with an unprecedented cooperative process in which CO₂ molecules insert into metal-amine bonds at a metal- and temperature-dependent pressure, triggering a reorganization of the amines

into well-ordered chains of ammonium carbamate. Consequently, large CO₂ separation capacities can be achieved with small temperature swings and concomitant low regeneration energies. Then, an ultrahigh concentration of amine functional sites has been achieved in [Mg₂(dobdc)(N₂H₄)_{1.8}] by Liao et al. using hydrazine as the shortest diamine in amine grafting for low-concentration CO₂ capture.¹⁶⁹ Rely on the well-established carbamic acid formation, this new material has set a series of new records for CO₂ capture (Table 4), as demonstrated by gas sorption isotherms, NMR spectra, cycling sorption kinetic and breakthrough experiments.

Another state-of-the-art strategy for CO₂ capture is represented by biomimicking of carbonic anhydrase, which is an important and ubiquitous metalloenzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of carbon dioxide into bicarbonate species. The key structural feature is the monodentate hydroxide at the metal nodes, which affords strong and reversible CO₂ binding. In 2015, Liao et al. firstly established the proof-of-concept of enzyme-like active site in MOFs for CO₂ capture, which is achieved in oxidized [M₂Cl₂(bbta)] (H₂bbta = 1*H*,5*H*-benzo(1,2-*d*:4,5-*d'*)bistriazole; *M* = Mn, MAF-X25; *M* = Co, MAF-X27).¹⁷⁰ The post-synthetic immobilized M-OH sites afford chemisorption mechanism for CO₂ capture, resulting in very high CO₂ adsorption affinity and uptake capacity. These materials are also applicable under humidity condition as demonstrated by breakthrough studies. In 2018, the Wade group and Dincă group separately demonstrated a more specific biomimetic MOF model of carbonic anhydrase for trace CO₂ capture, featuring terminal N₃Zn-OH units as the only active sites.^{206,207} These resultant materials show remarkable CO₂ capture performance in the CO₂ mixture of 400 ppm concentration. These demonstrations of biomimetic behavior in MOF media afford an ideal platform at new dimension to understand the enzyme activity and develop novel enzyme-inspired materials.

Simultaneously, in 2017, Lu et al. reported a redox-active MOF [MFM-300(V^{III})] which can be oxidized to its isostructural MFM-300(V^{IV}).²⁰⁸ The bridging hydroxyl group V^{III}-(OH)-V^{III} in MFM-300(V^{III}) was deprotonated to V^{IV}-O-V^{IV} in MFM-300(V^{IV}). MFM-300(V^{III}) achieved a CO₂ adsorption amount of 6.0 mmol/g at 298 K and 1 bar based on gas adsorption analysis, while MFM-300(V^{IV}) only has CO₂ uptake of 3.54 mmol/g. Such pronounced difference in CO₂ capacities mainly derives from the different binding sites and subsequent packing modes of adsorbed CO₂ molecules in the two MOFs, which was revealed by neutron diffraction and inelastic scattering data. Specifically, MFM-300(V^{III}) forms hydrogen bonds with the guest via the OH group and the O-donor of CO₂ in an end-on manner. By contrast, CO₂-loaded MFM-300(V^{IV}) exhibits CO₂ bound side-on to the oxy group and sandwiched between two phenyl groups. This work well illustrates the conducive role the protons in hydroxyl groups in CO₂ adsorption in MOFs.

Toxic gas removal

The capture and separation of toxic gases with MOFs have attracted increasing attention in recent years. Commonly encountered toxic gases include sulfur dioxide (SO₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), chlorine (Cl₂), nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and ammonia (NH₃). Most of these gases are released as waste gases from industrial processes, which incur severe environmental issues and cause great harm to human health. Some thematic reviews have summarized the advances in this field.²⁰⁹⁻²¹⁴ In this section, we will highlight several

MOFs showing good potential to effectively remove these toxic gases.

In 2012, Yang et al. reported that NOTT-300 containing no amine groups can selectively bind SO₂ with the hydroxyl groups in pores.²¹⁵ Several characterization methods, including in situ powder X-ray diffraction, inelastic neutron scattering studies and modelling, indicate that the hydroxyl groups bind SO₂ via the formation of O=S=O(δ⁻)⋯H(δ⁺)-O hydrogen bonds. Such hydrogen bonds are reinforced by the weak supramolecular interactions with C-H atoms on the aromatic rings of the framework. Since the SO₂ binding strength is not as strong as H₂N(δ⁻)⋯C(δ⁺)O₂ in carbamates (that result from the interaction between amine groups and CO₂), NOTT-300 has the potential to be utilized as an “easy-on/easy-off” SO₂ capture systems, which carry fewer economic and environmental penalties.

SO₂ capture can also be achieved in SIFSIX MOFs. In 2017, Cui et al. investigated the SO₂ capture ability of SIFSIX-1-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu, SIFSIX-2-Cu-i, SIFSIX-3-Zn, and SIFSIX-3-Ni.²¹⁶ SIFSIX-1-Cu and SIFSIX-2-Cu-i display higher SO₂ uptake than those of CO₂ and N₂ at ambient conditions. Especially the SO₂ uptake of SIFSIX-1-Cu (11.01 mmol/g at 298 K and 1 bar) is remarkably high, and ultrahigh low-pressure SO₂ uptakes (4.16 mmol/g at 298 K and 0.01 bar; 2.31 mmol/g at 298 K and 0.002 bar) can be achieved in SIFSIX-2-Cu-i. The SO₂/CO₂ selectivity on SIFSIX-2-Cu-i was calculated to be 86–89. While SO₂/N₂ selectivities on SIFSIX-2-Cu-i and SIFSIX-1-Cu were 1285–3103 and 2510–3145, respectively. These excellent SO₂ separation performances result from strong host-guest interactions through S^{δ+}⋯F^{δ-} electrostatic interaction and multiple O^{δ-}⋯H^{δ+} dipole-dipole interactions, as elucidated by first principles density functional theory calculations and powder X-ray diffraction experiments. Breakthrough experiments further validate their ability to remove trace SO₂ from CO₂ or N₂.

The capture and separation of NH₃ is found to be associated with the open metal sites within MOFs. In 2016 Rieth et al. reported the high and reversible NH₃ uptake in mesoporous triazolate MOFs M₂Cl₂BTDD (*M* = Mn, Co, Ni; BTDD = bis(1*H*-1,2,3-triazole[4,5-*b*],[4',5'-*i*])dibenzo[1,4]dioxin).²¹⁷ The same group in 2018 further studied a contracted series of triazolate MOFs, that is, microporous M₂Cl₂BBTA (*M* = Co, Ni, Cu; BBTA = 1*H*,5*H*-benzo(1,2-*d*),(4,5-*d'*)bistriazole) for NH₃ capture and separation.²¹⁸ Both M₂Cl₂BBTA and M₂Cl₂BTDD feature one dimensional hexagonal pores with regularly spaced open metal sites. The smaller pore diameter of M₂Cl₂BBTA (1.3 nm) than that of M₂Cl₂BTDD (2.3 nm) leads to the higher density of open metal sites in M₂Cl₂BBTA, which is beneficial for higher NH₃ capacities. Under equilibrium conditions at 298 K and 1 bar, Cu₂Cl₂BBTA displayed a record static NH₃ capacity of 19.79 mmol/g, which is more than twice the industry standard. In dynamic breakthrough experiments, dynamic NH₃ capacity of Co₂Cl₂BBTA can reach 8.56 mmol/g. They attributed the higher NH₃ capacity in smaller-pore Co₂Cl₂BBTA not only to the increase in open metal site density but also to the cooperative proximity effects that enhance the hydrogen bonding interactions between adsorbed NH₃ molecules. In terms of stability upon NH₃ adsorption, the trends are in line with the water substitution rates in simple metal-aquo complexes, which can act as an easy guide for designing future NH₃ capture adsorbents.

In 2018, Han et al. reported a reversible and selective adsorption of NO₂ in a robust MOF, MFM-300(Al).¹⁴⁸ MFM-300(Al) shows a NO₂ uptake as high as 14.1 mmol/g at 298 K and 1 bar. Moreover, the NO₂/SO₂, NO₂/CO₂, and NO₂/N₂

IAST selectivities at these conditions were calculated to be 18.1, 248, and >10,000, respectively. Based on the results from various characterization methods, they found that there exists five different types of soft supramolecular interaction that cooperatively bind both NO₂ and N₂O₄ molecules within MFM-300(Al), which take the main responsibility for the highly selective adsorption of NO₂ over other gas species. The captured NO₂ and N₂O₄ afford one-dimensional helical (NO₂·N₂O₄)_∞ chains that are stabilized by multiple weak intermolecular dipole interactions. Breakthrough experiments with several diluted NO₂ streams (5000 ppm diluted in He/N₂, 4000 ppm in He/CO₂, or 1666 ppm in He/SO₂) further confirmed that MFM-300(Al) is capable to efficiently separate the NO₂ in low concentration from these gas mixtures.

In 2010, Shimomura et al. reported the selective absorption of NO by a structurally and electronically dynamic MOF [Zn(TCNQ-TCNQ)bpy] (TCNQ = tetracyanoquinodimethane; bpy = 4,4'-bipyridyl). This unprecedented preference arises from the concerted effect of the charge-transfer interaction between TCNQ and NO molecules as well as the switchable gate opening and closing of the pores in the framework.²¹⁹

In 2015, Bloch et al. reported the strong binding of NO at 298 K in Fe₂(dobdc) featuring redox-active open metal sites.¹⁶⁸ Fe₂(dobdc) displays an extraordinarily steep step at the low-pressure region in the NO adsorption isotherm, with adsorption capacity high higher than 16 wt% at 298 K and 1.2 bar (corresponding to one NO molecule per open Fe site). The fact that Fe₂(dobdc) approaches its saturation capacity (corresponding to 0.95 NO molecules per Fe site) near 0.007 bar indicates the strong binding of NO molecule in the framework. Characterized by infrared, UV-Vis, and Mössbauer spectroscopies, neutron powder diffraction, and magnetic susceptibility studies, the strong binding mainly results from the electron transfer from the Fe^{II} sites to form Fe^{III} NO⁻ adducts. Furthermore, it was found that Fe₂(NO)₂(dobdc) can release bound NO steadily under humid conditions over the course of more than 10 days, suggesting its potential to be applied for NO storage and release, such as in certain biomedical applications.

MOFs have also been investigated to capture fluorocarbons and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). In this aspect, Chen et al. in 2015 fabricated a mesoporous fluorinated MOFs, MOFF-5, from an extensively fluorinated tritopic tetrazolate-based ligand.²²⁰ MOFF-5 has an accessible surface area of 2445 m²/g, which translates into remarkably high adsorption capacities of fluorocarbons and CFCs. Their weight capacities can be up to 225%. Similarly, Lin et al. in 2015 studied the adsorption of a typical fluorocarbon R22 (CHClF₂) in a new series of isoreticular constructed from 3,3',5,5'-tetramethyl-4,4'-bipyrzolate and different linear dicarboxylate ligands.²²¹ It was found that the pore size and/or porosity of the MOFs can affect the R22 adsorption performance, with a highest achieved uptake of 0.73–0.97 g/g (0.62–0.65 g/cm) at 298 K and 1 bar.

In 2018, Xie et al. constructed two Zr-MOFs named BUT-66 and BUT-67 from two long and angular ligands 4,4'-(benzene-1,3-diyl)dibenzoate (BDB²⁻) and 4,4'-(naphthalene-2,7-diyl)dibenzoate (NDB²⁻), respectively.²²² BUT-66 and BUT-67 possess two-fold interpenetrated pcu network structures and feature small hydrophobic pores that are suitable to capture aromatic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from humid air (Fig. 17). BUT-66, in particular, displayed apparently higher benzene adsorption capacity (1.75 mmol/cm³) at high temperature and low pressure than some benchmark materials,

like MCM-41, MIL-101(Cr), ZIF-8, PAF-1, and Carboxen 1000. Additionally, BUT-66 is also capable to capture trace benzene (several parts per million) in humid air (relative humidity 50%) with a high capacity of ca. 0.28 mmol/cm³. Single-crystal analyses of BUT-66 loaded with guest molecules suggest that its high performance originates from combined result of the small hydrophobic pores, mutually less interference between hydrophobic and hydrophilic adsorption sites, and the local rotatable phenyl rings within the rigid overall framework. This work demonstrates the promise of MOFs as advanced materials for practical application in air cleaning.

Carbon monoxide (CO) is an industrially important gas, either as valuable carbon products or as catalyst poisoning impurities during important chemical production. There are various needs to enrich/removal CO from the gaseous products before any further applications. The molecular size and properties of CO make it very challenging to separate from other small gas molecules such as N₂, CO₂, H₂, and CH₄. But by virtue of some unique structural features of MOFs, relevant separations can be still realized. For example, Sato et al. reported a soft nanoporous MOF [Cu(aip)(H₂O)] (aip = 5-azidoisophthalate) for CO/N₂ separation.²²³ This MOF can undergo a global structural transformation during the binding interaction of CO with open Cu²⁺ sites, which collaboratively enables the implementation of selective CO capture from N₂. Similar CO sorption behavior was also observed in Fe₂Cl₂(bbta) (H₂bbta = 1*H*,5*H*-benzo(1,2-*d*:4,5-*d'*)bistriazole) by Reed et al.²²⁴ This MOF features coordinatively unsaturated Fe(II) sites, which can undergo a cooperative spin transition during CO adsorption, resulting in stepwise CO sorption with large separation capacity and selectivity over N₂ and H₂.

There are also needs for purification of CO during emerging chemical CO₂ conversion. In this context, the removal of easily polarized CO₂ from the mixture would be much more directly realized. Chen et al. demonstrated such purification can be readily achieved by using CO₂-selective MOFs as adsorbents,²²⁵ referring to SIFSIX-3-Ni and TIFSIX-2-Cu-i, which showing remarkable CO₂ uptake capacity and CO₂/CO selectivity. Thus high-purity CO can be readily obtained as demonstrated by breakthrough experiments.

Some other gas/vapor separations

Xenon/krypton (Xe/Kr) separation is of great industrial significance, affording important noble gases for applications in lighting, electronics, and the medical industry. Current industrial Xe production relies on cryogenic distillation of a by-product Xe/Kr mixture during air liquefaction, which is high energy-intensive and capital-intensive. In recent years, MOFs-based adsorptive separation technology shows great potential in energy-effective separation of Xe/Kr. There are more than 20 MOFs that have been carefully investigated for Xe/Kr separation. Comprehensive evaluation of these candidates has been performed by Banerjee and Thallapally et al.²²⁶ Here we aim to briefly introduce this application by presenting selected examples.

The proof-of-concept of MOFs for Xe/Kr separation was established by Mueller et al. in 2006, as demonstrated by pressure-swing adsorption process on HKUST-1 in 6/94 Xe/Kr mixture at 55 °C and 40 bar.⁷² The breakthrough results shows that the Xe can be capture from the mixture to a level of 50 ppm. Great progresses have been achieved under continuous efforts on this separation since 2012.²²⁶ For example, in 2016, Banerjee et al. identified and affirmed a calcium 4,4'-sulfonyldibenzoate MOF SBMOF-1 as one of the most selective adsorbent for Xe/Kr




Fig. 17. (a) BUT-66 structure. (b) Vapor adsorption isotherms of toluene, EB, OX, MX, and PX at 80 °C for BUT-66. (c) Breakthrough curves at 25 °C for dry air and humid air (relative humidity = 50% and 80%, respectively) containing 10 ppm benzene flowed through a column packed with BUT-66. Reprinted with permission from Xie et al.²²² Copyright 2018, Elsevier.

separation after a high-throughput computational screening of large MOF database.²²⁷ The microporous SBMOF-1 shows a pore size of about 4.2 Å, and well accommodation for Xe atoms with diameter of about 4.0 Å as revealed by crystallographic results of Xe-loaded SBMOF-1, affording a very high adsorption affinity of ~35 kJ/mol. Hence, SBMOF-1 shows remarkable capture capacity and Xe/Kr selectivity (~16) for trace Xe removal. Breakthrough analysis demonstrated the highest capture capacity of 13.2 mmol/kg for trace Xe from waste gas simulant processing. Further incorporation with functional sites into ultramicroporous MOFs can enhance the separation performance, as demonstrated by CROFOUR-1/2-Ni reported in 2016.²²⁸ The optimal pore size and CrO₄²⁻ moiety enable CROFOUR-1-Ni to show a highest Q_{st} of 37.4 kJ/mol at zero loading and a Xe/Kr selectivity of 22.

The inert nature of noble gases appears to limit boosting of the binding affinity of MOFs for these gases, while the Kr-selective sorbents afford another approach for efficient Xe separation from Kr. Fernandez et al. reported a flexible MOF (FMOFCu, also [Cu(hfipbb)(H₂hfipbb)_{0.5}]) as a rare example for inverse Kr/Xe separation.²²⁹ This MOF is described to exhibit temperature-dependent gating effect, referring to the shrinking of pore aperture size and simultaneous decreasing flexibility at lower temperature, which would restrict the diffusion of the larger Xe atoms (4.0 Å) and thus separate Xe/Kr mixture under

kinetic nonequilibrium status. Accordingly, FMOFCu shows decreasing Xe uptake upon temperature drop below 273 K, and simultaneously keep continuous increasing uptake for Kr, resulting in inverse Kr/Xe separation. This inverse sorption behavior disappears at temperature above 273 K.

Purification of the C₈ alkylaromatics *o*-xylene (OX), *m*-xylene (MX), *p*-xylene (PX), and ethylbenzene (EB) is perceived as a very crucial task. However, the separation remains very challenging owing to the similar shapes, polarities and boiling points of the molecules. At relatively high ambient temperatures, C₈ alkylaromatics are in the form of gas vapor, which makes adsorption-based separation of C₈ isomers feasible. As a type of highly porous materials, MOFs are regarded as favorable adsorbents to realize the separation of xylene isomers in gas phase. Some progress has been made in recent years, which have been well summarized.^{15,16} Here we aim to update this application by selected examples.

In 2018, Gonzalez et al. investigated two MOFs, Co₂(dobdc) and Co₂(*m*-dobdc), for the separation of xylene isomers.²³⁰ Based on the single component isotherms, Co₂(dobdc) is found to have different binding affinities of these C₈ alkylaromatics molecules, which follows the order of OX > EB > MX > PX. Multicomponent vapor-phase breakthrough experiments show that Co₂(dobdc) is able to distinguish these species. Single crystal X-ray diffraction measurement reveal that separation is mainly

attributed to the nuanced differences in the interactions of OX, EB, and MX with two adjacent Co^{II} sites and the inability of PX to interact with a second Co^{II} center.

Deuterium is widely used in a number of commercial and scientific applications, especially shows great potential as an important energy source. Owing to its extremely low natural abundance of 0.0156%, the industrial deuterium production is currently based on enrichment from isotope mixtures through chemical exchange method (Girdler sulfide process) and cryogenic distillation (at 24 K), which are complicate and energy-intensive. In contrast, kinetic adsorptive separation based on kinetic quantum molecular sieving mechanism in porous materials at elevated temperatures (e.g. 77 K) is proposed to be more energy-efficient, which originates from different diffusion barriers related to the difference in the zero-point energy of the adsorbed H_2/D_2 isotopes. Accordingly, adsorbents with ultramicroporous structure showing a size difference of the de Broglie wavelength with the gas diameter are more favorable.⁸² The first experimental example of MOFs for quantum sieving of D_2/H_2 is demonstrated by Chen et al. in 2008 using a metalloligand MOF (M'MOF-1) that integrates narrow confined pore structure with open metal sites.¹⁴⁰ The pore size of M'MOF-1 is about 5.6 Å. Although gas sorption study reveals that M'MOF-1 shows slightly higher adsorption enthalpy for D_2 over H_2 , the D_2 still shows a significantly faster adsorption kinetic than H_2 , resulting in potential D_2/H_2 separation. This is because the heavier D_2 shows lower diffusion activation energy than that of H_2 , which originate from its shorter de Broglie wavelength in confined pore channels at cryogenic temperature. In 2013, Teufel et al. reported abnormal hydrogen sorption phenomena in an ultramicroporous MOF [$\text{Zn}_5\text{Cl}_4(\text{BBTA})_3$] (MFU-4) featuring temperature-triggered gate opening for D_2/H_2 separation.²³¹ The experimental D_2/H_2 selectivity under non-equilibrium condition was firstly realized in this work by using a thermal-desorption spectroscopy apparatus. Owing to extremely confined pore aperture, this MOF shows a non-equilibrium D_2/H_2 selectivity of 7.5 at 10 mbar and 60 K upon gas mixture exposure for 15 min before achieving equilibrium.

MOFs with open metal sites for enhanced hydrogen binding affinity have also been evaluated for selective quantum sieving of D_2 from H_2 . For example, Fitz-Gerald et al. demonstrated that Ni-MOF-74 shows a largest adsorption heat difference of 1.4 kJ/mol for D_2/H_2 , resulting in a selectivity of 5 at low pressure and 77 K.²³² In a recent work, Weinrauch et al. revealed that Cu(I)-MFU-4l with open Cu(I) sites shows remarkable adsorption enthalpy for D_2 (35 kJ/mol) with a difference of 2.5 kJ/mol with H_2 ,²³³ which enables this MOF to capture D_2 with an experimental selectivity of 11.1 at 100 K for 1:1 D_2/H_2 mixture.

■ MOF-BASED MEMBRANES FOR GAS SEPARATION

To explore a highly efficient way to separate gas mixtures, membrane separation stands out as a promising strategy. Increasing research attention has been attracted worldwide to developing novel MOF-based membranes to accomplish the challenging separation tasks in an energy-economic manner. The separation performance of membranes is evaluated by two criteria, namely, permeability and selectivity. Some reviews have summarized the fabrication of MOF-based membranes,^{234,235} and the advances in their separation performance over the years.²³⁶⁻²⁴⁰ In this section,

we will highlight some significant progress made in recent years in this active research field.

In 2014, Peng et al. reported the preparation of nanosheets from a layered MOF $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$ ($\text{bim}^- = \text{benzimidazolate}$) with maintenance of its morphological and structural integrity.²⁴¹ The exfoliation technique they employed was a soft-physical process in which the pristine layered MOF was wet-ball milled and exfoliated in volatile solvent subsequently. The resulting nanosheets thus possesses large lateral areas and high crystallinities, and were utilized as the building blocks for ultrathin molecular sieving membranes. They intentionally suppressed the lamellar stacking of nanosheets by a hot-drop coating process to minimize the blockage of gas molecule pathways in restacking. Since the aperture size of a single-layered $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$ nanosheet is around 2.1 Å whose effective pore size might be larger due to structural flexibility, the generated membrane achieved an effective separation of H_2 (2.9 Å) and CO_2 (3.3 Å) through molecular sieving (Fig. 18). By optimizing the $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ disk temperature to maximize the disordered stacking between $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$ nanosheet for higher H_2 permeance, the molecular sieving membrane eventually achieved a high H_2 permeance (2700 GPU) and a high H_2/CO_2 selectivity (291) simultaneously, which surpasses the Robeson's upper-bound for H_2/CO_2 gas pair.

Similarly, a membrane based on pure MOF nanosheets was also realized by Wang et al. in 2017. They fabricated nm-thick molecular sieving membranes derived from porous two-dimensional MAMS-1 nanosheets.²⁴² These membranes possess pore openings parallel to gas concentration gradient to allow high gas permeation flux and high selectivity for H_2/CO_2 separation. Furthermore, the membrane shows a reversed thermo-switchable H_2 permeation, which originate from the molecular flexibility of the building metal-organic nanosheets.

To guarantee the mechanical stability of the membranes, most MOF-based membranes are produced in the form of mixed matrix membrane as composite materials. Typically, the matrix is a type of polymer, such as 6FDA-DAM. In 2010, Bae et al. reported introducing ZIF-90 crystals with submicrometer into 6FDA-DAM polymer to fabricate ZIF-90/6FDA-DAM membranes with high performance for CO_2/CH_4 separation.²⁴³

In 2014, Rodenas et al. employed a bottom-up strategy to synthesize CuBDC MOF nanosheets with lamellae of micrometer lateral dimensions. By incorporating MOF nanosheets into polymer matrices, they fabricated a mixed matrix membrane possessing excellent ability to separate CO_2 from CO_2/CH_4 gas mixtures with an unusual increase in selectivity with pressure.²⁴⁴ Compared with isotropic crystals, the superior occupation of the membrane cross-section by the MOF nanosheets endows the membrane with better molecular discriminating ability and eliminates unselective permeation pathways.

In 2016, Bachman et al. prepared some composite materials by dispersing $\text{Co}_2(\text{dobdc})$ and $\text{Ni}_2(\text{dobdc})$ MOF nanocrystals within 6FDA-DAM polyimide. These membranes display enhanced $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ selectivity and greater C_2H_4 permeability and improved membrane stability than pure polymer membrane. Additionally, the framework-polymer interactions were found to reduce the chain mobility of the polymer and boost the separation performance of the membrane as well.²⁴⁵

In 2018, Liu et al. reported incorporation of submicrometer-sized RE-fcu-MOF crystals into 6FDA-DAM polymer matrix to generate hybrid membrane materials.²⁴⁶ The hybrid membrane showed significantly enhanced performance in removing CO_2




Fig. 18. (a) Scanning electron microscopy image of as-synthesized $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$ crystals. (b) Architecture of the layered MOF precursor. (c) Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$. (d) Transmission electron microscopy image of $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$ MSNs. (e) Illustration of the grid-like structure of the $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$ molecular sieving nanosheet. (f) Space-filling representation of a four-membered ring of the $\text{Zn}_2(\text{bim})_4$ molecular sieving nanosheet. Reprinted with permission from Peng et al.²⁴¹ Copyright 2014, the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

and H_2S from CH_4 and separating butane isomers ($n\text{C}_4$ and $i\text{C}_4$). The property originates from precise control of the linker from 1,4-naphthalenedicarboxylate (naph) to fumarate (fum) to give rise to contracted MOF pore apertures and delimit the size of the triangular window (Fig. 19). As the sole entrance to the fcu-MOF pore, these triangular windows discriminate gas molecules and achieve separation purposes. This rational-design hybrid approach offers a toolbox for improving the transport properties of membranes containing hybridized MOF fillers with optimal apertures as molecular sieves. Notably, the Y-fum-fcu-MOF/6FDA-DAM membrane was also reported to exhibit good CO_2/CH_4 separation performance.²⁴⁷

In 2018, Liu et al. fabricated a new fluorinated MOF-based mixed-matrix membrane by incorporating the NbOFFIVE-1-Ni and AlFIVE-1-Ni MOF crystals into 6FDA-DAM polymer.²⁴⁸ The molecular transport in the channels was finely tuned through the MOF apertures, with the resulting fluorinated MOF-based membranes displaying excellent molecular sieving properties for the simultaneous removal of H_2S and CO_2 from CH_4 .

While membranes in a dense film geometry achieve the gas separation purpose with good selectivity, fabricating the mixed matrix membranes into a hollow fiber geometry can further boost the performance of these materials. In 2012, Zhang et al. achieved significantly enhanced $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ selectivity in mixed matrix membranes ZIF-8/6FDA-DAM.²⁴⁹ In 2014, they further improved the $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ separation performance by upgrading

the material from the dense film geometry to the scalable hollow fiber geometry.²⁵⁰ It is worth noting that this is the first example of the successful formation of high-loading mixed matrix hollow fiber membranes with significantly enhanced selectivity for $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ separation.

In 2014, Brown et al. reported an approach named interfacial microfluidic membrane processing to fabricate MOF membranes in polymeric hollow fibers.²⁵¹ This method features the in situ synthesis of ZIF-8 film in the membrane module. In addition, the interface between two solvents was taken good advantage of to realize positional control over membrane formation. This scalable approach thus afford continuous molecular sieving ZIF-8 membranes in single and multiple poly(amide-imide) hollow fibers have good $\text{H}_2/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ and $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ separation performance, with separation factors of 370 and 12, respectively.

In 2017, Kong et al. reported the fabrication of MOF/organosilica hybrid membrane on tubular ceramic substrates.²⁵² This is the first time that organosilica was utilized as the matrix to construct MOF/organosilica membrane. Because of its unique nature, organosilica networks enabled the preparation of ultrathin hybrid membrane, and optimized gas permeance and selectivity as well. MOF particles and organosilica match well with each other for their organic-inorganic hybrid feature. The generated thin and compact MOF/organosilica nanolayers displayed the collaborative advantages inherited from the two component such as high affinity towards ceramic




Fig. 19. (a) Schematic of designing the hybrid membrane based on incorporating RE-fcu-MOF molecular sieve crystals into 6FDA-DAM polymer matrix. (b) nC_4 permeability and nC_4/iC_4 selectivity of Y-fum-fcu-MOF and Eu-naph-fcu-MOF-incorporated 6FDA-DAM hybrid membranes with various MOF loading by wt%. (c) CO_2 or H_2S permeability (x -axis), and CO_2/CH_4 or H_2S/CH_4 selectivity (y -axis) of Y-fum-fcu-MOF/polymer hybrid membranes (blue symbols from left to right represent MOF loadings in 6FDA-DAM of 10.6, 17.8, 30.1 and 40 wt%; in 6FDA-DAM-DABA of 9.9, 17.7, 30 and 40 wt%; in PEBAX of 10.1, 20.0, 30 and 40 wt%, respectively). Reprinted with permission from Liu et al.²⁴⁶ Copyright 2018, Springer Nature. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

substrate, tunable porous structure, and specific functional sites for the recognition and selective separation of gas molecules. It is worth noting that the as-prepared ZIF-8 and MIL-53-NH₂ incorporated organosilica nanocomposite membrane exhibited excellent H_2/CH_4 (H_2/CH_4 selectivity = 26.5; H_2 permeance = $1.06 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$) and CO_2/CH_4 (CO_2/CH_4 selectivity = 18.2; CO_2 permeance = $1.44 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$) separations, respectively, which are among the best-performing MOF-based membranes. This work provides a new synthetic strategy to develop MOF-based membranes for desired separation performance.

CHALLENGES AND PERSPECTIVES

The development of MOFs to satisfy the demands of gas storage and separation has captured the imagination of scientists and engineers, with significant progresses being made in this field. Compared with traditional porous adsorbents, referring to zeolites and activated carbons, MOFs are indeed promising for high-density fuel gas storage owing to their unique structural features including exceptional porosity and high modularity. The record uptake capacities of MOFs for H_2 , CH_4 , and C_2H_2 are

far superior to those of traditional porous adsorbents. Although optimizing porosity, immobilizing functional sites and even gate-opening effect have been demonstrated to be feasible for increasing the total and working capacity of MOFs, there seem to be a ceiling for these capacity to further increase, and still no MOF material can meet the US Department of Energy ambitious targets set to guide relevant applications. In this regard, unless disruptive mechanisms emerge, the feasible approach seem to be storing gas under slightly harsher operating conditions, either under higher pressures or at higher temperatures. It should be noted that it remains much to be addressed before MOF-gas onboard systems to be commercially affordable. To name a few, thermal management during gas charging and discharging should be taken into accounts considering corresponding exothermic and endothermic phenomenon; packing efficiency of bulk MOF adsorbents should be improved for compact concern. Therefore, an ideal adsorbent for gas storage would be those with both high gravimetric and volumetric storage capacities under mild conditions. If approved, great global benefits can be expected, considering the high energy density of these clean fuel gases.

In terms of gas separation, the emergence of energy-efficient adsorptive systems represented by MOFs has revolutionized

this field. The unique pore features of MOFs render them as an ideal platform for exploring novel adsorbent materials. A rapid development of MOFs for gas separation has been witnessed in the last decade, especially during the last three years. The implementation of some important technologies such as gas chromatography, fixed-bed breakthrough experiments and crystallographic determination of gas adsorption sites has facilitated the development of this field. By virtue of precious control over the pore chemistry and size, significant progresses including molecular sieving for some important, challenging and highly energy-intensive gas separations have been achieved, referring to CO₂ capture, C₂H₂/C₂H₄, C₂H₄/C₂H₆, C₃H₄/C₃H₆, C₃H₆/C₃H₈, and C₄ or C₆ alkene or alkane isomers. In regards of high separation efficiency, MOFs simultaneously showing large uptake capacity and high selectivity hold the greatest promise. Therefore, the combination of functional binding sites and optimal pore size in single MOF, so called dual functionality, not only maximize interactions with goal gas molecules but also exclude other competing ones, which represents an exciting research directions. With continuous development in the field, the current focus of fundamental aspects is gradually shifting to practical considerations. In this context, there are still many challenges need to be overcome prior to further industrial application, including water resistance, purity tolerance, long-term durability, regeneration, adsorption kinetics, mechanical properties, large-scale deployment, processability and defect in membrane fabrication and so on. Under real conditions, the actual mixed gas streams can be humid under high pressure and/or high temperature, with various contaminants. Obviously, in this case, MOFs should be structurally stable while their separation performance keeps steady as expected. In fact, there are already many stable MOFs showing remarkable chemical stability. Another prerequisite is scale up MOFs production for large-scale deployment, in a low-cost way. Using simple precipitation reaction in water solution under ambient conditions or solvent-free reactions, certain MOFs have already been easily synthesized in large scale. For thin films and membranes fabrication, the key for practical application lies in addressing poor processability, membrane defects, low permeability and scaling up membranes to industrially large.

Overall, the spectrum of storage and separations technologies with energy efficient prospects has been significantly broadened. MOFs are taking their steps from laboratories to factories and from academia to industrial applications. Worldwide efforts from certain commercial companies have been dedicated to promote MOF adsorbents towards industrial applications. It is expected that the close collaborations among scientists, engineers and industrial partners on this field will generate great energy benefits.

■ ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (21673039, 21573042, 21606163, 21878205), Fujian Science and Technology Department (2018J07001), and the Welch Foundation (AX-1730).

■ AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.L., R.-B.L. and B. Chen co-wrote the manuscript. H.L. and L.L. contributed equally, R.-B.L., Z.Z., S.X., W.Z. and B. Chen discussed and commented on the manuscript.

■ CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

■ REFERENCES

1. *International Energy Outlook 2018* (US Energy Information Administration, 2018).
2. Chu, S., Cui, Y., Liu, N. The path towards sustainable energy. *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 16 (2016).
3. https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/?page=us_energy_home.
4. Suh, M. P., Park, H. J., Prasad, T. K., Lim, D.-W. Hydrogen storage in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 782–835 (2012).
5. Sholl, D. S., Lively, R. P. Seven chemical separations to change the world. *Nature* **532**, 435–437 (2016).
6. Worrell, E., Phylipsen, D., Einstein, D., Martin, N. Energy use and energy intensity of the U.S. chemical industry. LBNL-44314; Energy Analysis Department, Environmental Energy Technologies Division, 94720, Berkeley, California: Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. LBNL-44314.
7. Kitagawa, S. Porous materials and the age of gas. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 10686–10687 (2015).
8. Li, B., Wen, H.-M., Cui, Y., Zhou, W., Qian, G., Chen, B. Emerging multifunctional metal-organic framework materials. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 8819–8860 (2016).
9. Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O’Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O. M. The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Science* **341**, 1230444 (2013).
10. Ding, S.-Y., Wang, W. Covalent organic frameworks (COFs): from design to applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **42**, 548–568 (2013).
11. Feng, X., Ding, X., Jiang, D. Covalent organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **41**, 6010–6022 (2012).
12. Jiang, J., Zhao, Y., Yaghi, O. M. Covalent chemistry beyond molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 3255–3265 (2016).
13. Diercks, C. S., Yaghi, O. M. The atom, the molecule, and the covalent organic framework. *Science* **355** (2017).
14. Lin, R.-B., He, Y., Li, P., Wang, H., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Multifunctional porous hydrogen-bonded organic framework materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **48**, 1362–1389 (2019).
15. Bao, Z., Chang, G., Xing, H., Krishna, R., Ren, Q., Chen, B. Potential of microporous metal-organic frameworks for separation of hydrocarbon mixtures. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **9**, 3612–3641 (2016).
16. Adil, K., Belmabkhout, Y., Pillai, R. S., Cadiau, A., Bhatt, P. M., Assen, A. H., Maurin, G., Eddaoudi, M. Gas/vapour separation using ultra-microporous metal-organic frameworks: insights into the structure/separation relationship. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **46**, 3402–3430 (2017).
17. Wu, H., Gong, Q., Olson, D. H., Li, J. Commensurate adsorption of hydrocarbons and alcohols in microporous metal organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 836–868 (2012).
18. Yang, S., Ramirez-Cuesta, A. J., Newby, R., Garcia-Sakai, V., Manuel, P., Callear, S. K., Campbell, S. I., Tang, C. C., Schröder, M. Supramolecular binding and separation of hydrocarbons within a functionalized porous metal-organic framework. *Nat. Chem.* **7**, 121 (2014).
19. Bachman, J. E., Kapelewski, M. T., Reed, D. A., Gonzalez, M. L., Long, J. R. M(*m*-dobdc) (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) Metal-organic frameworks as highly selective, high-capacity adsorbents for olefin/paraffin separations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 15363–15370 (2017).
20. Cui, Y., Yue, Y., Qian, G., Chen, B. Luminescent functional metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 1126–1162 (2012).
21. Sun, C.-Y., Wang, X.-L., Zhang, X., Qin, C., Li, P., Su, Z.-M., Zhu, D.-X., Shan, G.-G., Shao, K.-Z., Wu, H., et al. Efficient and tunable white-light emission of metal-organic frameworks by iridium-complex encapsulation. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2717 (2013).

22. Talin, A. A., Centrone, A., Ford, A. C., Foster, M. E., Stavila, V., Haney, P., Kinney, R. A., Szalai, V., El Gabaly, F., Yoon, H. P., et al. Tunable electrical conductivity in metal-organic framework thin-film devices. *Science* **343**, 66 (2014).
23. Kreno, L. E., Leong, K., Farha, O. K., Allendorf, M., Van Duyne, R. P., Hupp, J. T. Metal-organic framework materials as chemical sensors. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 1105–1125 (2012).
24. Hu, Z., Deibert, B. J., Li, J. Luminescent metal-organic frameworks for chemical sensing and explosive detection. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 5815–5840 (2014).
25. Campbell, M. G., Sheberla, D., Liu, S. F., Swager, T. M., Dincă, M. Cu(hexaiminotriphenylene)₂: an electrically conductive 2D metal-organic framework for chemiresistive sensing. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 4349–4352 (2015).
26. Lin, R.-B., Liu, S.-Y., Ye, J.-W., Li, X.-Y., Zhang, J.-P. Photoluminescent metal-organic frameworks for gas sensing. *Adv. Sci.* **3** (2016) 1500434–1500434.
27. Xu, R., Wang, Y., Duan, X., Lu, K., Micheroni, D., Hu, A., Lin, W. Nanoscale metal-organic frameworks for ratiometric oxygen sensing in live cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 2158–2161 (2016).
28. Yang, Q., Xu, Q., Jiang, H.-L. Metal-organic frameworks meet metal nanoparticles: synergistic effect for enhanced catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **46**, 4774–4808 (2017).
29. Zhao, C., Dai, X., Yao, T., Chen, W., Wang, X., Wang, J., Yang, J., Wei, S., Wu, Y., Li, Y. Ionic exchange of metal-organic frameworks to access single nickel sites for efficient electroreduction of CO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 8078–8081 (2017).
30. Lin, R.-G., Lin, R.-B., Chen, B. A microporous metal-organic framework for selective C₂H₂ and CO₂ separation. *J. Solid State Chem.* **252**, 138–141 (2017).
31. Zhao, M., Yuan, K., Wang, Y., Li, G., Guo, J., Gu, L., Hu, W., Zhao, H., Tang, Z. Metal-organic frameworks as selectivity regulators for hydrogenation reactions. *Nature* **539**, 76 (2016).
32. Xu, H.-Q., Hu, J., Wang, D., Li, Z., Zhang, Q., Luo, Y., Yu, S.-H., Jiang, H.-L. Visible-light photoreduction of CO₂ in a metal-organic framework: boosting electron-hole separation via electron trap states. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 13440–13443 (2015).
33. Li, B., Leng, K., Zhang, Y., Dynes, J. J., Wang, J., Hu, Y., Ma, D., Shi, Z., Zhu, L., Zhang, D., et al. Metal-organic framework based upon the synergy of a brønsted acid framework and lewis acid centers as a highly efficient heterogeneous catalyst for fixed-bed reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 4243–4248 (2015).
34. Hod, I., Deria, P., Bury, W., Mondloch, J. E., Kung, C.-W., So, M., Sampson, M. D., Peters, A. W., Kubiak, C. P., Farha, O. K., et al. A porous proton-relaying metal-organic framework material that accelerates electrochemical hydrogen evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8304 (2015).
35. Zhu, Q.-L., Li, J., Xu, Q. Immobilizing metal nanoparticles to metal-organic frameworks with size and location control for optimizing catalytic performance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 10210–10213 (2013).
36. Feng, D., Gu, Z.-Y., Li, J.-R., Jiang, H.-L., Wei, Z., Zhou, H.-C. Zirconium-metalloporphyrin PCN-222: mesoporous metal-organic frameworks with ultrahigh stability as biomimetic catalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 10307–10310 (2012).
37. Zou, R.-Q., Sakurai, H., Xu, Q. Preparation, adsorption properties, and catalytic activity of 3D porous metal-organic frameworks composed of cubic building blocks and alkali-metal ions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 2542–2546 (2006).
38. Zhu, J., Usov, P. M., Xu, W., Celis-Salazar, P. J., Lin, S., Kessinger, M. C., Landaverde-Alvarado, C., Cai, M., May, A. M., Slebodnick, C., et al. A New class of metal-cyclam-based zirconium metal-organic frameworks for CO₂ adsorption and chemical fixation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 993–1003 (2018).
39. Lu, K., Aung, T., Guo, N., Weichselbaum, R., Lin, W. Nanoscale metal-organic frameworks for therapeutic, imaging, and sensing applications. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1707634 (2018).
40. Simon-Yarza, T., Mielcarek, A., Couvreur, P., Serre, C. Nanoparticles of metal-organic frameworks: on the road to in vivo efficacy in biomedicine. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1707365 (2018).
41. Xia, W., Mahmood, A., Zou, R., Xu, Q. Metal-organic frameworks and their derived nanostructures for electrochemical energy storage and conversion. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 1837–1866 (2015).
42. Zhu, Q.-L., Xu, Q. Metal-organic framework composites. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 5468–5512 (2014).
43. Li, S.-L., Xu, Q. Metal-organic frameworks as platforms for clean energy. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **6**, 1656–1683 (2013).
44. Horike, S., Umeyama, D., Kitagawa, S. Ion conductivity and transport by porous coordination polymers and metal-organic frameworks. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **46**, 2376–2384 (2013).
45. Chen, B., Xiang, S., Qian, G. Metal-organic frameworks with functional pores for recognition of small molecules. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **43**, 1115–1124 (2010).
46. Cui, Y., Li, B., He, H., Zhou, W., Chen, B., Qian, G. Metal-organic frameworks as platforms for functional materials. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **49**, 483–493 (2016).
47. Farha, O. K., Eryazici, I., Jeong, N. C., Hauser, B. G., Wilmer, C. E., Sarjeant, A. A., Snurr, R. Q., Nguyen, S. T., Yazaydin, A. Ö., Hupp, J. T. Metal-organic framework materials with ultrahigh surface areas: is the sky the limit? *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 15016–15021 (2012).
48. Furukawa, H., Ko, N., Go, Y. B., Aratani, N., Choi, S. B., Choi, E., Yazaydin, A. Ö., Snurr, R. Q., O’Keeffe, M., Kim, J., et al. Ultrahigh porosity in metal-organic frameworks. *Science* **329**, 424–428 (2010).
49. Kondo, M., Yoshitomi, T., Matsuzaka, H., Kitagawa, S., Seki, K. Three-dimensional framework with channeling cavities for small molecules: {[M₂(4, 4’-bpy)₃(NO₃)₄]·xH₂O}_n (M = Co, Ni, Zn). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **36**, 1725–1727 (1997).
- The first work demonstrating the porosity of MOF-type materials and relevant application on high-pressure methane storage.**
50. Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., Groy, T. L., Yaghi, O. M. Establishing microporosity in open metal-organic frameworks: gas sorption isotherms for Zn(BDC) (BDC = 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylate). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **120**, 8571–8572 (1998).
51. Rosi, N. L., Eckert, J., Eddaoudi, M., Vodak, D. T., Kim, J., O’Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O. M. Hydrogen storage in microporous metal-organic frameworks. *Science* **300**, 1127–1129 (2003).
- The first work reporting MOFs for high-pressure hydrogen storage, with uptake capacity correction in reference 84 (in 2007).**
52. Matsuda, R., Kitaura, R., Kitagawa, S., Kubota, Y., Belosludov, R. V., Kobayashi, T. C., Sakamoto, H., Chiba, T., Takata, M., Kawazoe, Y., et al. Highly controlled acetylene accommodation in a metal-organic microporous material. *Nature* **436**, 238 (2005).
- The first work reporting MOFs for acetylene storage and selective acetylene adsorption over carbon dioxide.**
53. Li, B., Wen, H.-M., Zhou, W., Xu, J., Chen, B. Porous metal-organic frameworks: promising materials for methane storage. *Chem* **1**, 557–580 (2016).
54. He, Y., Zhou, W., Qian, G., Chen, B. Methane storage in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 5657–5678 (2014).
55. He, Y. B., Chen, F. L., Li, B., Qian, G. D., Zhou, W., Chen, B. L. Porous metal-organic frameworks for fuel storage. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **373**, 167–198 (2018).
56. Zhang, Z., Xiang, S., Chen, B. Microporous metal-organic frameworks for acetylene storage and separation. *CrystEngComm* **13**, 5983–5992 (2011).
57. Eddaoudi, M., Kim, J., Rosi, N., Vodak, D., Wachter, J., O’Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O. M. Systematic design of pore size and functionality in isorecticular MOFs and their application in methane storage. *Science* **295**, 469–472 (2002).

58. Delgado-Friedrichs, O., Foster, M. D., O'Keeffe, M., Proserpio, D. M., Treacy, M. M. J., Yaghi, O. M. What do we know about three-periodic nets? *J. Solid State Chem.* **178**, 2533–2554 (2005).
59. Chen, B., Eddaoudi, M., Hyde, S. T., Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O. M. Interwoven metal-organic framework on a periodic minimal surface with extra-large pores. *Science* **291**, 1021–1023 (2001).
60. Chen, B., Ma, S., Hurtado, E. J., Lobkovsky, E. B., Zhou, H.-C. A triply interpenetrated microporous metal-organic framework for selective sorption of gas molecules. *Inorg. Chem.* **46**, 8490–8492 (2007).
61. Chen, B., Ji, Y., Xue, M., Fronczek, F. R., Hurtado, E. J., Mondal, J. U., Liang, C., Dai, S. Metal-organic framework with rationally tuned micropores for selective adsorption of water over methanol. *Inorg. Chem.* **47**, 5543–5545 (2008).
62. Xue, M., Ma, S., Jin, Z., Schaffino, R. M., Zhu, G.-S., Lobkovsky, E. B., Qiu, S.-L., Chen, B. Robust metal-organic framework enforced by triple-framework interpenetration exhibiting high H₂ storage density. *Inorg. Chem.* **47**, 6825–6828 (2008).
63. Zhai, Q.-G., Bu, X., Zhao, X., Li, D.-S., Feng, P. Pore space partition in metal-organic frameworks. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **50**, 407–417 (2017).
64. Chen, B., Eddaoudi, M., Reineke, T. M., Kampf, J. W., O'Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O. M. Cu(ATC)·6H₂O: design of open metal sites in porous metal-organic crystals (ATC: 1,3,5,7-adamantane tetracarboxylate). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **122**, 11559–11560 (2000).
65. Chen, B., Ockwig, N. W., Millward, A. R., Contreras, D. S., Yaghi, O. M. High H₂ adsorption in a microporous metal-organic framework with open metal sites. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **44**, 4745–4749 (2005).
66. Dincă, M., Dailly, A., Liu, Y., Brown, C. M., Neumann, D. A., Long, J. R. Hydrogen storage in a microporous metal-organic framework with exposed Mn²⁺ coordination sites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 16876–16883 (2006).
67. Wang, Z., Cohen, S. M. Postsynthetic covalent modification of a neutral metal-organic framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 12368–12369 (2007).
68. Cohen, S. M. Postsynthetic methods for the functionalization of metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 970–1000 (2012).
69. Brozek, C. K., Dincă, M. Cation exchange at the secondary building units of metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 5456–5467 (2014).
70. Wei, Y.-S., Zhang, M., Liao, P.-Q., Lin, R.-B., Li, T.-Y., Shao, G., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Coordination templated [2+2+2] cyclotrimerization in a porous coordination framework. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8348 (2015).
71. Chen, B., Liang, C., Yang, J., Contreras, D. S., Clancy, Y. L., Lobkovsky, E. B., Yaghi, O. M., Dai, S. A microporous metal-organic framework for gas-chromatographic separation of alkanes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 1390–1393 (2006).
- The first work reporting neat gas separation in MOFs by using gas chromatography to separate mixtures.**
72. Mueller, U., Schubert, M., Teich, F., Puetter, H., Schierle-Arndt, K., Pastré, J. Metal-organic frameworks—prospective industrial applications. *J. Mater. Chem.* **16**, 626–636 (2006).
73. Bárcia, P. S., Zapata, F., Silva, J. A. C., Rodrigues, A. E., Chen, B. Kinetic separation of hexane isomers by fixed-bed adsorption with a microporous metal-organic framework. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **111**, 6101–6103 (2007).
74. Hayashi, H., Côté, A. P., Furukawa, H., O'Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O. M. Zeolite A imidazolate frameworks. *Nat. Mater.* **6**, 501 (2007).
75. Banerjee, R., Phan, A., Wang, B., Knobler, C., Furukawa, H., O'Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O. M. High-throughput synthesis of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks and application to CO₂ capture. *Science* **319**, 939 (2008).
76. Rowsell, J. L. C., Spencer, E. C., Eckert, J., Howard, J. A. K., Yaghi, O. M. Gas adsorption sites in a large-pore metal-organic framework. *Science* **309**, 1350–1354 (2005).
77. Vaidhyanathan, R., Iremonger, S. S., Shimizu, G. K. H., Boyd, P. G., Alavi, S., Woo, T. K. Direct observation and quantification of CO₂ binding within an amine-functionalized nanoporous solid. *Science* **330**, 650 (2010).
78. Li, J.-R., Sculley, J., Zhou, H.-C. Metal-organic frameworks for separations. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 869–932 (2012).
79. Sumida, K., Rogow, D. L., Mason, J. A., McDonald, T. M., Bloch, E. D., Herm, Z. R., Bae, T.-H., Long, J. R. Carbon dioxide capture in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 724–781 (2012).
80. Li, B., Wen, H. M., Yu, Y., Cui, Y., Zhou, W., Chen, B., Qian, G. Nanospace within metal-organic frameworks for gas storage and separation. *Mater. Today Nano* **2**, 21–49 (2018).
81. Zhao, X., Wang, Y., Li, D.-S., Bu, X., Feng, P. Metal-organic frameworks for separation. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1705189 (2018).
82. Lin, R.-B., Xiang, S., Xing, H., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Exploration of porous metal-organic frameworks for gas separation and purification. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **378**, 87–103 (2019).
83. Getman, R. B., Bae, Y.-S., Wilmer, C. E., Snurr, R. Q. Review and analysis of molecular simulations of methane, hydrogen, and acetylene storage in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 703–723 (2012).
84. Kaye, S. S., Dailly, A., Yaghi, O. M., Long, J. R. Impact of preparation and handling on the hydrogen storage properties of Zn₄O(1,4-benzenedicarboxylate)₃ (MOF-5). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 14176–14177 (2007).
85. Allendorf, M. D., Hulvey, Z., Gennett, T., Ahmed, A., Autrey, T., Camp, J., Seon Cho, E., Furukawa, H., Haranczyk, M., Head-Gordon, M., et al. An assessment of strategies for the development of solid-state adsorbents for vehicular hydrogen storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **11**, 2784–2812 (2018).
86. Gómez-Gualdrón, D. A., Colón, Y. J., Zhang, X., Wang, T. C., Chen, Y.-S., Hupp, J. T., Yildirim, T., Farha, O. K., Zhang, J., Snurr, R. Q. Evaluating topologically diverse metal-organic frameworks for cryo-adsorbed hydrogen storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **9**, 3279–3289 (2016).
87. Yan, Y., Yang, S., Blake, A. J., Schröder, M. Studies on Metal-organic frameworks of Cu(II) with isophthalate linkers for hydrogen storage. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **47**, 296–307 (2014).
88. Dincă, M., Long, J. R. Hydrogen storage in microporous metal-organic frameworks with exposed metal sites. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **47**, 6766–6779 (2008).
89. Xiao, B., Wheatley, P. S., Zhao, X. B., Fletcher, A. J., Fox, S., Rossi, A. G., Megson, I. L., Bordiga, S., Regli, L., Thomas, K. M., et al. High-capacity hydrogen and nitric oxide adsorption and storage in a metal-organic framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 1203–1209 (2007).
90. Murray, L. J., Dinca, M., Long, J. R. Hydrogen storage in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **38**, 1294–1314 (2009).
91. Yan, Y., Lin, X., Yang, S., Blake, A. J., Dailly, A., Champness, N. R., Hubbertstey, P., Schröder, M. Exceptionally high H₂ storage by a metal-organic polyhedral framework. *Chem. Commun.*, 1025–1027 (2009).
92. Farha, O. K., Wilmer, C. E., Eryazici, I., Hauser, B. G., Parilla, P. A., O'Neill, K., Sarjeant, A. A., Nguyen, S. T., Snurr, R. Q., Hupp, J. T. Designing higher surface area metal-organic frameworks: are triple bonds better than phenyls? *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 9860–9863 (2012).
93. Farha, O. K., Özgür Yazaydın, A., Eryazici, I., Malliakas, C. D., Hauser, B. G., Kanatzidis, M. G., Nguyen, S. T., Snurr, R. Q., Hupp, J. T. De novo synthesis of a metal-organic framework material featuring ultrahigh surface area and gas storage capacities. *Nat. Chem.* **2**, 944 (2010).

94. Yuan, D., Zhao, D., Sun, D., Zhou, H.-C. An isoreticular series of metal–organic frameworks with dendritic hexacarboxylate ligands and exceptionally high gas-uptake capacity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **49**, 5357–5361 (2010).
95. Ahmed, A., Liu, Y., Purewal, J., Tran, L. D., Wong-Foy, A. G., Veenstra, M., Matzger, A. J., Siegel, D. J. Balancing gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen density in MOFs. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **10**, 2459–2471 (2017).
96. Kapelewski, M. T., Runčevski, T., Tarver, J. D., Jiang, H. Z. H., Hurst, K. E., Parilla, P. A., Ayala, A., Gennett, T., FitzGerald, S. A., Brown, C. M., et al. Record high hydrogen storage capacity in the metal–organic framework $\text{Ni}_2(m\text{-dobdc})$ at near-ambient temperatures. *Chem. Mater.* **30**, 8179–8189 (2018).
97. Park, H. J., Suh, M. P. Mixed-ligand metal–organic frameworks with large pores: gas sorption properties and single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformation on guest exchange. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **14**, 8812–8821 (2008).
98. Sumida, K., Brown, C. M., Herm, Z. R., Chavan, S., Bordiga, S., Long, J. R. Hydrogen storage properties and neutron scattering studies of $\text{Mg}_2(\text{dobdc})$ —a metal–organic framework with open Mg^{2+} adsorption sites. *Chem. Commun.* **47**, 1157–1159 (2011).
99. Zhao, D., Yuan, D., Yakovenko, A., Zhou, H.-C. A NbO-type metal–organic framework derived from a polyyne-coupled di-isophthalate linker formed in situ. *Chem. Commun.* **46**, 4196–4198 (2010).
100. Yan, Y., Blake, A. J., Lewis, W., Barnett, S. A., Dailly, A., Champness, N. R., Schröder, M. Modifying cage structures in metal–organic polyhedral frameworks for H_2 storage. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **17**, 11162–11170 (2011).
101. Bhatia, S. K., Myers, A. L. Optimum conditions for adsorptive storage. *Langmuir* **22**, 1688–1700 (2006).
102. Peng, Y., Krungleviciute, V., Eryazici, I., Hupp, J. T., Farha, O. K., Yildirim, T. Methane storage in metal–organic frameworks: current records, surprise findings, and challenges. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 11887–11894 (2013).
103. Li, B., Wen, H.-M., Wang, H., Wu, H., Tyagi, M., Yildirim, T., Zhou, W., Chen, B. A porous metal–organic framework with dynamic pyrimidine groups exhibiting record high methane storage working capacity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 6207–6210 (2014).
104. Mason, J. A., Oktawiec, J., Taylor, M. K., Hudson, M. R., Rodriguez, J., Bachman, J. E., Gonzalez, M. I., Cervellino, A., Guagliardi, A., Brown, C. M., et al. Methane storage in flexible metal–organic frameworks with intrinsic thermal management. *Nature* **527**, 357 (2015).
105. Alezi, D., Belmabkhout, Y., Suyetin, M., Bhatt, P. M., Wseliński, Ł. J., Solovyeva, V., Adil, K., Spanopoulos, I., Trikalitis, P. N., Emwas, A.-H., et al. MOF crystal chemistry paving the way to gas storage needs: aluminum-based soc-MOF for CH_4 , O_2 , and CO_2 storage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 13308–13318 (2015).
106. Wen, H.-M., Li, B., Li, L., Lin, R.-B., Zhou, W., Qian, G., Chen, B. A metal–organic framework with optimized porosity and functional sites for high gravimetric and volumetric methane storage working capacities. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1704792 (2018).
107. Zhang, M., Zhou, W., Pham, T., Forrest, K. A., Liu, W., He, Y., Wu, H., Yildirim, T., Chen, B., Space, B., et al. Fine tuning of MOF-505 analogues to reduce low-pressure methane uptake and enhance methane working capacity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 11426–11430 (2017).
108. Jiang, J., Furukawa, H., Zhang, Y.-B., Yaghi, O. M. High methane storage working capacity in metal–organic frameworks with acrylate links. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 10244–10251 (2016).
109. Lin, J.-M., He, C.-T., Liu, Y., Liao, P.-Q., Zhou, D.-D., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. A metal–organic framework with a pore size/shape suitable for strong binding and close packing of methane. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**, 4674–4678 (2016).
110. Yan, Y., Kolokolov, D. I., da Silva, I., Stepanov, A. G., Blake, A. J., Dailly, A., Manuel, P., Tang, C. C., Yang, S., Schröder, M. Porous metal–organic polyhedral frameworks with optimal molecular dynamics and pore geometry for methane storage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 13349–13360 (2017).
111. Chen, C.-X., Wei, Z.-W., Jiang, J.-J., Zheng, S.-P., Wang, H.-P., Qiu, Q.-F., Cao, C.-C., Fenske, D., Su, C.-Y. Dynamic spacer installation for multirole metal–organic frameworks: a new direction toward multifunctional MOFs achieving ultrahigh methane storage working capacity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 6034–6037 (2017).
112. Li, P., Chen, Q., Wang, T. C., Vermeulen, N. A., Mehdi, B. L., Dohnalkova, A., Browning, N. D., Shen, D., Anderson, R., Gómez-Gualdrón, D. A., et al. Hierarchically engineered mesoporous metal–organic frameworks toward cell-free immobilized enzyme systems. *Chem* **4**, 1022–1034 (2018).
113. Li, B., Wen, H.-M., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Porous metal–organic frameworks for gas storage and separation: what, how, and why? *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **5**, 3468–3479 (2014).
114. He, Y., Zhou, W., Yildirim, T., Chen, B. A series of metal–organic frameworks with high methane uptake and an empirical equation for predicting methane storage capacity. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **6**, 2735–2744 (2013).
115. Chui, S. S.-Y., Lo, S. M.-F., Charmant, J. P. H., Orpen, A. G., Williams, I. D. A chemically functionalizable nanoporous material $[\text{Cu}_3(\text{TMA})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]_n$. *Science* **283**, 1148–1150 (1999).
116. Wu, H., Simmons, J. M., Liu, Y., Brown, C. M., Wang, X.-S., Ma, S., Peterson, V. K., Southon, P. D., Kepert, C. J., Zhou, H.-C., et al. Metal–organic frameworks with exceptionally high methane uptake: where and how is methane stored? *Chem. Eur. J.* **16**, 5205–5214 (2010).
117. Hulvey, Z., Vlaisavljevich, B., Mason, J. A., Tsivion, E., Dougherty, T. P., Bloch, E. D., Head-Gordon, M., Smit, B., Long, J. R., Brown, C. M. Critical factors driving the high volumetric uptake of methane in $\text{Cu}_3(\text{btc})_2$. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 10816–10825 (2015).
118. Cui, X., Chen, K., Xing, H., Yang, Q., Krishna, R., Bao, Z., Wu, H., Zhou, W., Dong, X., Han, Y., et al. Pore chemistry and size control in hybrid porous materials for acetylene capture from ethylene. *Science* **353**, 141–144 (2016).
119. Li, B., Wen, H.-M., Wang, H., Wu, H., Yildirim, T., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Porous metal–organic frameworks with Lewis basic nitrogen sites for high-capacity methane storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 2504–2511 (2015).
120. Kitaura, R., Seki, K., Akiyama, G., Kitagawa, S. Porous coordination-polymer crystals with gated channels specific for supercritical gases. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **42**, 428–431 (2003).
121. Taylor, M. K., Runčevski, T., Oktawiec, J., Gonzalez, M. I., Siegelman, R. L., Mason, J. A., Ye, J., Brown, C. M., Long, J. R. Tuning the adsorption-induced phase change in the flexible metal–organic framework $\text{Co}(\text{bdp})$. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 15019–15026 (2016).
122. Yang, Q.-Y., Lama, P., Sen, S., Lusi, M., Chen, K.-J., Gao, W.-Y., Shivanna, M., Pham, T., Hosono, N., Kusaka, S., et al. Reversible switching between highly porous and nonporous phases of an interpenetrated diamondoid coordination network that exhibits gate-opening at methane storage pressures. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 5684–5689 (2018).
123. Spanopoulos, I., Tsangarakis, C., Klontzas, E., Tylanakis, E., Froudakis, G., Adil, K., Belmabkhout, Y., Eddaoudi, M., Trikalitis, P. N. Reticular synthesis of HKUST-like tbo-MOFs with enhanced CH_4 storage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 1568–1574 (2016).
124. Moreau, F., Kolokolov, D. I., Stepanov, A. G., Easun, T. L., Dailly, A., Lewis, W., Blake, A. J., Nowell, H., Lennox, M. J., Besley, E., et al. Tailoring porosity and rotational dynamics in a series of octacarboxylate metal–organic frameworks. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 3056–3061 (2017).
125. Xiang, S., Zhou, W., Gallegos, J. M., Liu, Y., Chen, B. Exceptionally high acetylene uptake in a microporous metal–organic framework with open metal sites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 12415–12419 (2009).
126. Wu, H., Zhou, W., Yildirim, T. High-capacity methane storage in metal–organic frameworks $\text{M}_2(\text{dhtp})$: the important role of open metal sites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 4995–5000 (2009).

127. Xiang, S., Zhou, W., Zhang, Z., Green, M. A., Liu, Y., Chen, B. Open metal sites within isostructural metal–organic frameworks for differential recognition of acetylene and extraordinarily high acetylene storage capacity at room temperature. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **49**, 4615–4618 (2010).
128. Cui, X., Chen, K., Xing, H., Yang, Q., Krishna, R., Bao, Z., Wu, H., Zhou, W., Dong, X., Han, Y., et al. Pore chemistry and size control in hybrid porous materials for acetylene capture from ethylene. *Science* **353**, 141–144 (2016).
129. Rao, X., Cai, J., Yu, J., He, Y., Wu, C., Zhou, W., Yildirim, T., Chen, B., Qian, G. A microporous metal–organic framework with both open metal and Lewis basic pyridyl sites for high C₂H₂ and CH₄ storage at room temperature. *Chem. Commun.* **49**, 6719–6721 (2013).
130. Cai, J., Wang, H., Wang, H., Duan, X., Wang, Z., Cui, Y., Yang, Y., Chen, B., Qian, G. An amino-decorated NbO-type metal–organic framework for high C₂H₂ storage and selective CO₂ capture. *RSC Adv* **5**, 77417–77422 (2015).
131. Wen, H.-M., Wang, H., Li, B., Cui, Y., Wang, H., Qian, G., Chen, B. A microporous metal–organic framework with Lewis basic nitrogen sites for high C₂H₂ storage and significantly enhanced C₂H₂/CO₂ separation at ambient conditions. *Inorg. Chem.* **55**, 7214–7218 (2016).
132. Zhang, M., Li, B., Li, Y., Wang, Q., Zhang, W., Chen, B., Li, S., Pan, Y., You, X., Bai, J. Finely tuning MOFs towards high performance in C₂H₂ storage: synthesis and properties of a new MOF-505 analogue with an inserted amide functional group. *Chem. Commun.* **52**, 7241–7244 (2016).
133. Pang, J., Jiang, F., Wu, M., Liu, C., Su, K., Lu, W., Yuan, D., Hong, M. A porous metal–organic framework with ultrahigh acetylene uptake capacity under ambient conditions. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7575 (2015).
134. Moreau, F., da Silva, I., Al Smail, N. H., Easun, T. L., Savage, M., Godfrey, H. G. W., Parker, S. F., Manuel, P., Yang, S., Schröder, M. Unravelling exceptional acetylene and carbon dioxide adsorption within a tetra-amide functionalized metal–organic framework. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14085 (2017).
135. Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Optimized acetylene/carbon dioxide sorption in a dynamic porous crystal. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 5516–5521 (2009).
136. He, C.-T., Ye, Z.-M., Xu, Y.-T., Zhou, D.-D., Zhou, H.-L., Chen, D., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Hyperfine adjustment of flexible pore-surface pockets enables smart recognition of gas size and quadrupole moment. *Chem. Sci.* **8**, 7560–7565 (2017).
137. Zhang, J.-P., Zhu, A.-X., Lin, R.-B., Qi, X.-L., Chen, X.-M. Pore surface tailored SOD-type metal–organic zeolites. *Adv. Mater.* **23**, 1268–1271 (2011).
138. Herm, Z. R., Bloch, E. D., Long, J. R. Hydrocarbon separations in metal–organic frameworks. *Chem. Mater.* **26**, 323–338 (2014).
139. Li, J.-R., Kuppler, R. J., Zhou, H.-C. Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal–organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **38**, 1477–1504 (2009).
140. Chen, B., Zhao, X., Putkham, A., Hong, K., Lobkovsky, E. B., Hurtado, E. J., Fletcher, A. J., Thomas, K. M. Surface interactions and quantum kinetic molecular sieving for H₂ and D₂ adsorption on a mixed metal–organic framework material. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 6411–6423 (2008).
141. Yoon, J. W., Chang, H., Lee, S. J., Hwang, Y. K., Hong, D. Y., Lee, S. K., Lee, J. S., Jang, S., Yoon, T. U., Kwac, K., et al. Selective nitrogen capture by porous hybrid materials containing accessible transition metal ion sites. *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 526–531 (2017).
142. Bloch, E. D., Queen, W. L., Krishna, R., Zadrozny, J. M., Brown, C. M., Long, J. R. Hydrocarbon separations in a metal–organic framework with open iron(II) coordination sites. *Science* **335**, 1606–1610 (2012).
143. Lin, R.-B., Li, L., Zhou, H.-L., Wu, H., He, C., Li, S., Krishna, R., Li, J., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Molecular sieving of ethylene from ethane using a rigid metal–organic framework. *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 1128–1133 (2018).
- A work describing a cheap and stable MOF material for potential industrial ethylene/ethane separation.**
144. Bao, Z., Wang, J., Zhang, Z., Xing, H., Yang, Q., Yang, Y., Wu, H., Krishna, R., Zhou, W., Chen, B., et al. Molecular sieving of ethane from ethylene through the molecular cross-section size differentiation in gallate-based metal–organic frameworks. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 16020–16025 (2018).
145. Liao, P.-Q., Zhang, W.-X., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Efficient purification of ethene by an ethane-trapping metal–organic framework. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8697 (2015).
146. Lin, R.-B., Wu, H., Li, L., Tang, X.-L., Li, Z., Gao, J., Cui, H., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Boosting ethane/ethylene separation within isorecticular ultramicroporous metal–organic frameworks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 12940–12946 (2018).
147. Chen, Y., Qiao, Z., Wu, H., Lv, D., Shi, R., Xia, Q., Zhou, J., Li, Z. An ethane-trapping MOF PCN-250 for highly selective adsorption of ethane over ethylene. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **175**, 110–117 (2018).
148. Li, L., Lin, R.-B., Krishna, R., Li, H., Xiang, S., Wu, H., Li, J., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Ethane/ethylene separation in a metal–organic framework with iron-peroxo sites. *Science* **362**, 443 (2018).
- A work reporting ethane-selective MOF towards energy efficient separating adsorbent for the ethylene production.**
149. Bae, Y.-S., Lee, C. Y., Kim, K. C., Farha, O. K., Nickias, P., Hupp, J. T., Nguyen, S. T., Snurr, R. Q. High propene/propane selectivity in isostructural metal–organic frameworks with high densities of open metal sites. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 1857–1860 (2012).
150. Cadiau, A., Adil, K., Bhatt, P. M., Belmabkhout, Y., Eddaoudi, M. A metal–organic framework–based splitter for separating propylene from propane. *Science* **353**, 137–140 (2016).
- A work reporting a MOF sieve for potential industrial propylene/propane separation.**
151. Wang, H., Dong, X., Colombo, V., Wang, Q., Liu, Y., Liu, W., Wang, X.-L., Huang, X.-Y., Proserpio, D. M., Sironi, A., et al. Tailor-made microporous metal–organic frameworks for the full separation of propane from propylene through selective size exclusion. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1805088 (2018).
152. Xiang, S.-C., Zhang, Z., Zhao, C.-G., Hong, K., Zhao, X., Ding, D.-R., Xie, M.-H., Wu, C.-D., Das, M. C., Gill, R., et al. Rationally tuned micropores within enantiopure metal–organic frameworks for highly selective separation of acetylene and ethylene. *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 204 (2011).
153. Hu, T.-L., Wang, H., Li, B., Krishna, R., Wu, H., Zhou, W., Zhao, Y., Han, Y., Wang, X., Zhu, W., et al. Microporous metal–organic framework with dual functionalities for highly efficient removal of acetylene from ethylene/acetylene mixtures. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7328 (2015).
154. Hazra, A., Jana, S., Bonakala, S., Balasubramanian, S., Maji, T. K. Separation/purification of ethylene from an acetylene/ethylene mixture in a pillared-layer porous metal–organic framework. *Chem. Commun.* **53**, 4907–4910 (2017).
155. Li, B., Cui, X., O’Nolan, D., Wen, H.-M., Jiang, M., Krishna, R., Wu, H., Lin, R.-B., Chen, Y.-S., Yuan, D., et al. An ideal molecular sieve for acetylene removal from ethylene with record selectivity and productivity. *Adv. Mater.* **29**, 1704210 (2017).
156. Luo, F., Yan, C., Dang, L., Krishna, R., Zhou, W., Wu, H., Dong, X., Han, Y., Hu, T.-L., O’Keeffe, M. UTSA-74: a MOF-74 isomer with two accessible binding sites per metal center for highly selective gas separation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 5678–5684 (2016).
157. Lin, R.-B., Li, L., Wu, H., Arman, H., Li, B., Lin, R.-G., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Optimized separation of acetylene from carbon dioxide and ethylene in a microporous material. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 8022–8028 (2017).
158. Chen, K.-J., Scott, H. S., Madden, D. G., Pham, T., Kumar, A., Bajpai, A., Lusi, M., Forrest, K. A., Space, B., Perry, J. J. IV, et al. Benchmark C₂H₂/CO₂ and CO₂/C₂H₂ separation by two closely related hybrid ultramicroporous materials. *Chem* **1**, 753–765 (2016).

159. Foo, M. L., Matsuda, R., Hijikata, Y., Krishna, R., Sato, H., Horike, S., Hori, A., Duan, J., Sato, Y., Kubota, Y., et al. An adsorbate discriminatory gate effect in a flexible porous coordination polymer for selective adsorption of CO₂ over C₂H₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 3022–3030 (2016).
160. Li, L., Lin, R.-B., Krishna, R., Wang, X., Li, B., Wu, H., Li, J., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Flexible–robust metal–organic framework for efficient removal of propyne from propylene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 7733–7736 (2017).
161. Yang, L., Cui, X., Yang, Q., Qian, S., Wu, H., Bao, Z., Zhang, Z., Ren, Q., Zhou, W., Chen, B. A single-molecule propyne trap: highly efficient removal of propyne from propylene with anion-pillared ultramicroporous materials. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1705374 (2018).
162. Yang, L., Cui, X., Zhang, Z., Yang, Q., Bao, Z., Ren, Q., Xing, H. An asymmetric anion-pillared metal–organic framework as a multisite adsorbent enables simultaneous removal of propyne and propadiene from propylene. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 13145–13149 (2018).
163. Assen, A. H., Belmabkhout, Y., Adil, K., Bhatt, P. M., Xue, D.-X., Jiang, H., Eddaoudi, M. Ultra-tuning of the rare-earth fcu-MOF aperture size for selective molecular exclusion of branched paraffins. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 14353–14358 (2015).
164. Zhang, Z., Yang, Q., Cui, X., Yang, L., Bao, Z., Ren, Q., Xing, H. Sorting of C₄ olefins with interpenetrated hybrid ultramicroporous materials by combining molecular recognition and size-sieving. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **56**, 16282–16287 (2017).
165. Nugent, P., Belmabkhout, Y., Burd, S. D., Cairns, A. J., Luebke, R., Forrest, K., Pham, T., Ma, S., Space, B., Wojtas, L., et al. Porous materials with optimal adsorption thermodynamics and kinetics for CO₂ separation. *Nature* **495**, 80 (2013).
166. Liang, L., Liu, C., Jiang, F., Chen, Q., Zhang, L., Xue, H., Jiang, H.-L., Qian, J., Yuan, D., Hong, M. Carbon dioxide capture and conversion by an acid-base resistant metal–organic framework. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1233 (2017).
167. Chen, K.-J., Madden, D. G., Pham, T., Forrest, K. A., Kumar, A., Yang, Q.-Y., Xue, W., Space, B., Perry, J. J., Zhang, J.-P., et al. Tuning pore size in square-lattice coordination networks for size-selective sieving of CO₂. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**, 10268–10272 (2016).
168. McDonald, T. M., Mason, J. A., Kong, X., Bloch, E. D., Gygi, D., Dani, A., Crocellà, V., Giordanino, F., Odoh, S. O., Drisdell, W. S., et al. Cooperative insertion of CO₂ in diamine-appended metal–organic frameworks. *Nature* **519**, 303 (2015).
169. Liao, P.-Q., Chen, X.-W., Liu, S.-Y., Li, X.-Y., Xu, Y.-T., Tang, M., Rui, Z., Ji, H., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Putting an ultrahigh concentration of amine groups into a metal–organic framework for CO₂ capture at low pressures. *Chem. Sci.* **7**, 6528–6533 (2016).
170. Liao, P.-Q., Chen, H., Zhou, D.-D., Liu, S.-Y., He, C.-T., Rui, Z., Ji, H., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Monodentate hydroxide as a super strong yet reversible active site for CO₂ capture from high-humidity flue gas. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 1011–1016 (2015).
171. Zhai, Q.-G., Bu, X., Mao, C., Zhao, X., Daemen, L., Cheng, Y., Ramirez-Cuesta, A. J., Feng, P. An ultra-tunable platform for molecular engineering of high-performance crystalline porous materials. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13645 (2016).
172. Cui, X., Chen, K., Xing, H., Yang, Q., Krishna, R., Bao, Z., Wu, H., Zhou, W., Dong, X., Han, Y., et al. Pore chemistry and size control in hybrid porous materials for acetylene capture from ethylene. *Science* **353**, 141 (2016).
173. Min Wang, Q., Shen, D., Bülow, M., Ling, Lau, M., Deng, S., Fitch, F. R., Lemcoff, N. O., Semanscin, J. Metallo-organic molecular sieve for gas separation and purification. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **55**, 217–230 (2002).
174. Bachman, J. E., Reed, D. A., Kapelewski, M. T., Chachra, G., Jonnavittula, D., Radaelli, G., Long, J. R. Enabling alternative ethylene production through its selective adsorption in the metal–organic framework Mn₂(m-dobdc). *Energy Environ. Sci.* **11**, 2423–2431 (2018).
175. Li, B., Zhang, Y., Krishna, R., Yao, K., Han, Y., Wu, Z., Ma, D., Shi, Z., Pham, T., Space, B., et al. Introduction of π -complexation into porous aromatic framework for highly selective adsorption of ethylene over ethane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 8654–8660 (2014).
176. Hao, H.-G., Zhao, Y.-F., Chen, D.-M., Yu, J.-M., Tan, K., Ma, S.-Q., Chabal, Y., Zhang, Z.-M., Dou, J.-M., Xiao, Z.-H., et al. Simultaneously trapping C₂H₂ and C₂H₆ into a robust metal–organic framework from a ternary mixture of C₂H₂/C₂H₄/C₂H₆ for purification of C₂H₄. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 16067–16071 (2018).
177. Gücüyener, C., van den Bergh, J., Gascon, J., Kapteijn, F. Ethane/ethene separation turned on its head: selective ethane adsorption on the metal–organic framework zif-7 through a gate-opening mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 17704–17706 (2010).
178. Nijem, N., Wu, H., Canepa, P., Marti, A., Balkus, K. J., Thonhauser, T., Li, J., Chabal, Y. J. Tuning the gate opening pressure of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) for the selective separation of hydrocarbons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 15201–15204 (2012).
179. Li, K., Olson, D. H., Seidel, J., Emge, T. J., Gong, H., Zeng, H., Li, J. Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks for kinetic separation of propane and propene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 10368–10369 (2009).
180. Lee, C. Y., Bae, Y.-S., Jeong, N. C., Farha, O. K., Sarjeant, A. A., Stern, C. L., Nickias, P., Snurr, R. Q., Hupp, J. T., Nguyen, S. T. Kinetic separation of propene and propane in metal–organic frameworks: controlling diffusion rates in plate-shaped crystals via tuning of pore apertures and crystallite aspect ratios. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 5228–5231 (2011).
181. Peng, J., Wang, H., Olson, D. H., Li, Z., Li, J. Efficient kinetic separation of propene and propane using two microporous metal organic frameworks. *Chem. Commun.* **53**, 9332–9335 (2017).
182. Li, L., Lin, R.-B., Wang, X., Zhou, W., Jia, L., Li, J., Chen, B. Kinetic separation of propylene over propane in a microporous metal–organic framework. *Chem. Eng. J.* **354**, 977–982 (2018).
183. Xue, D.-X., Cadiau, A., Weseliński, L. J., Jiang, H., Bhatt, P. M., Shkurenko, A., Wojtas, L., Zhijie, C., Belmabkhout, Y., Adil, K., et al. Topology meets MOF chemistry for pore-aperture fine tuning: ftw-MOF platform for energy-efficient separations via adsorption kinetics or molecular sieving. *Chem. Commun.* **54**, 6404–6407 (2018).
184. Das, M. C., Guo, Q., He, Y., Kim, J., Zhao, C.-G., Hong, K., Xiang, S., Zhang, Z., Thomas, K. M., Krishna, R., et al. Interplay of metalloligand and organic ligand to tune micropores within isostructural mixed-metal organic frameworks (M²MOFs) for their highly selective separation of chiral and achiral small molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 8703–8710 (2012).
185. Li, L., Wen, H.-M., He, C., Lin, R.-B., Krishna, R., Wu, H., Zhou, W., Li, J., Li, B., Chen, B. A Metal–Organic Framework with Suitable Pore Size and Specific Functional Sites for the Removal of Trace Propyne from Propylene. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 15183–15188 (2018).
186. Liao, P.-Q., Huang, N.-Y., Zhang, W.-X., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Controlling guest conformation for efficient purification of butadiene. *Science* **356**, 1193–1196 (2017).
- A work reporting a flexible MOF for inverse separation of butane and butene over butadiene.**
187. Pan, L., Olson, D. H., Ciemnomolonski, L. R., Heddy, R., Li, J. Separation of hydrocarbons with a microporous metal–organic framework. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 616–619 (2006).
188. Herm, Z. R., Wiers, B. M., Mason, J. A., van Baten, J. M., Hudson, M. R., Zajdel, P., Brown, C. M., Masciocchi, N., Krishna, R., Long, J. R. Separation of hexane isomers in a metal–organic framework with triangular channels. *Science* **340**, 960 (2013).
189. Wang, H., Dong, X., Velasco, E., Olson, D. H., Han, Y., Li, J. One-of-a-kind: a microporous metal–organic framework capable of adsorptive separation of linear, mono- and di-branched alkane isomers via temperature- and adsorbate-dependent molecular sieving. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **11**, 1226–1231 (2018).

190. Wang, H., Dong, X., Lin, J., Teat, S. J., Jensen, S., Cure, J., Alexandrov, E. V., Xia, Q., Tan, K., Wang, Q., et al. Topologically guided tuning of Zr-MOF pore structures for highly selective separation of C6 alkane isomers. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1745 (2018).
191. Bastin, L., Bárcia, P. S., Hurtado, E. J., Silva, J. A. C., Rodrigues, A. E., Chen, B. A microporous metal–organic framework for separation of CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/CH₄ by fixed-bed adsorption. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 1575–1581 (2008).
192. Kumar, A., Madden, D. G., Lusi, M., Chen, K.-J., Daniels, E. A., Curtin, T., Perry, J. J., Zaworotko, M. J. Direct air capture of CO₂ by physisorbent materials. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 14372–14377 (2015).
193. Wilmer, C. E., Farha, O. K., Bae, Y.-S., Hupp, J. T., Snurr, R. Q. Structure–property relationships of porous materials for carbon dioxide separation and capture. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **5**, 9849–9856 (2012).
194. Burd, S. D., Ma, S., Perman, J. A., Sikora, B. J., Snurr, R. Q., Thallapally, P. K., Tian, J., Wojtas, L., Zaworotko, M. J. Highly selective carbon dioxide uptake by [Cu(bpy-n)₂(SiF₆)] (bpy-1 = 4,4'-bipyridine; bpy-2 = 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethene). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 3663–3666 (2012).
195. Yanai, N., Kitayama, K., Hijikata, Y., Sato, H., Matsuda, R., Kubota, Y., Takata, M., Mizuno, M., Uemura, T., Kitagawa, S. Gas detection by structural variations of fluorescent guest molecules in a flexible porous coordination polymer. *Nat. Mater.* **10**, 787 (2011).
196. Hamon, L., Llewellyn, P. L., Devic, T., Ghoufi, A., Clet, G., Guillelm, V., Pirngruber, G. D., Maurin, G., Serre, C., Driver, G., et al. Co-adsorption and separation of CO₂–CH₄ mixtures in the highly flexible MIL-53(Cr) MOF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 17490–17499 (2009).
197. Lan, Y.-Q., Jiang, H.-L., Li, S.-L., Xu, Q. Mesoporous metal-organic frameworks with size-tunable cages: selective CO₂ uptake, encapsulation of Ln³⁺ cations for luminescence, and column-chromatographic dye separation. *Adv. Mater.* **23**, 5015–5020 (2011).
198. Caskey, S. R., Wong-Foy, A. G., Matzger, A. J. Dramatic tuning of carbon dioxide uptake via metal substitution in a coordination polymer with cylindrical pores. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 10870–10871 (2008).
199. Shekhah, O., Belmabkhout, Y., Chen, Z., Guillelm, V., Cairns, A., Adil, K., Eddaoudi, M. Made-to-order metal-organic frameworks for trace carbon dioxide removal and air capture. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4228 (2014).
200. Bhatt, P. M., Belmabkhout, Y., Cadiau, A., Adil, K., Shekhah, O., Shkurenko, A., Barbour, L. J., Eddaoudi, M. A fine-tuned fluorinated MOF addresses the needs for trace CO₂ removal and air capture using physisorption. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 9301–9307 (2016).
201. Zhao, X., Bu, X., Zhai, Q.-G., Tran, H., Feng, P. Pore space partition by symmetry-matching regulated ligand insertion and dramatic tuning on carbon dioxide uptake. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 1396–1399 (2015).
202. McDonald, T. M., Lee, W. R., Mason, J. A., Wiers, B. M., Hong, C. S., Long, J. R. Capture of carbon dioxide from air and flue gas in the alkylamine-appended metal–organic framework mmen-Mg₂(dobpdc). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 7056–7065 (2012).
203. Demessence, A., D'Alessandro, D. M., Foo, M. L., Long, J. R. Strong CO₂ binding in a water-stable, triazolate-bridged metal–organic framework functionalized with ethylenediamine. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 8784–8786 (2009).
204. Milner, P. J., Siegelman, R. L., Forse, A. C., Gonzalez, M. I., Runčevski, T., Martell, J. D., Reimer, J. A., Long, J. R. A diaminopropane-appended metal–organic framework enabling efficient CO₂ capture from coal flue gas via a mixed adsorption mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 13541–13553 (2017).
205. Siegelman, R. L., McDonald, T. M., Gonzalez, M. I., Martell, J. D., Milner, P. J., Mason, J. A., Berger, A. H., Bhowan, A. S., Long, J. R. Controlling cooperative CO₂ adsorption in diamine-appended Mg₂(dobpdc) metal–organic frameworks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 10526–10538 (2017).
206. Bien, C. E., Chen, K. K., Chien, S.-C., Reiner, B. R., Lin, L.-C., Wade, C. R., Ho, W. S. W. Bioinspired metal–organic framework for trace CO₂ capture. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 12662–12666 (2018).
207. Wright, A. M., Wu, Z., Zhang, G., Mancuso, J. L., Comito, R. J., Day, R. W., Hendon, C. H., Miller, J. T., Dincă, M. A structural mimic of carbonic anhydrase in a metal–organic framework. *Chem* **4**, 2894–2901 (2018).
208. Lu, Z., Godfrey, H. G. W., da Silva, I., Cheng, Y., Savage, M., Tuna, F., McInnes, E. J. L., Teat, S. J., Gagnon, K. J., Frogley, M. D., et al. Modulating supramolecular binding of carbon dioxide in a redox-active porous metal–organic framework. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14212 (2017).
209. Shah, M. S., Tsapatsis, M., Siepmann, J. I. Hydrogen sulfide capture: from absorption in polar liquids to oxide, zeolite, and metal–organic framework adsorbents and membranes. *Chem. Rev.* **117**, 9755–9803 (2017).
210. Woellner, M., Hausdorf, S., Klein, N., Mueller, P., Smith, M. W., Kaskel, S. Adsorption and detection of hazardous trace gases by metal–organic frameworks. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1704679 (2018).
211. Wang, H., Lustig, W. P., Li, J. Sensing and capture of toxic and hazardous gases and vapors by metal–organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **47**, 4729–4756 (2018).
212. DeCoste, J. B., Peterson, G. W. Metal–organic frameworks for air purification of toxic chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 5695–5727 (2014).
213. Barea, E., Montoro, C., Navarro, J. A. R. Toxic gas removal – metal–organic frameworks for the capture and degradation of toxic gases and vapours. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 5419–5430 (2014).
214. Bobbitt, N. S., Mendonca, M. L., Howarth, A. J., Islamoglu, T., Hupp, J. T., Farha, O. K., Snurr, R. Q. Metal–organic frameworks for the removal of toxic industrial chemicals and chemical warfare agents. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **46**, 3357–3385 (2017).
215. Yang, S., Sun, J., Ramirez-Cuesta, A. J., Callear, S. K., David, W. I. F., Anderson, D. P., Newby, R., Blake, A. J., Parker, J. E., Tang, C. C., et al. Selectivity and direct visualization of carbon dioxide and sulfur dioxide in a decorated porous host. *Nat. Chem.* **4**, 887 (2012).
216. Cui, X., Yang, Q., Yang, L., Krishna, R., Zhang, Z., Bao, Z., Wu, H., Ren, Q., Zhou, W., Chen, B., et al. Ultrahigh and selective SO₂ uptake in inorganic anion-pillared hybrid porous materials. *Adv. Mater.* **29**, 1606929 (2017).
217. Rieth, A. J., Tulchinsky, Y., Dincă, M. High and reversible ammonia uptake in mesoporous azolate metal–organic frameworks with open Mn, Co, and Ni sites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 9401–9404 (2016).
218. Rieth, A. J., Dincă, M. Controlled gas uptake in metal–organic frameworks with record ammonia sorption. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 3461–3466 (2018).
219. Shimomura, S., Higuchi, M., Matsuda, R., Yoneda, K., Hijikata, Y., Kubota, Y., Mita, Y., Kim, J., Takata, M., Kitagawa, S. Selective sorption of oxygen and nitric oxide by an electron-donating flexible porous coordination polymer. *Nat. Chem.* **2**, 633–637 (2010).
220. Li, B., Wen, H.-M., Wang, H., Wu, H., Yildirim, T., Zhou, W., Chen, B. Porous metal–organic frameworks with Lewis basic nitrogen sites for high-capacity methane storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **8**, 2504–2511 (2015).
221. Lin, R.-B., Li, T.-Y., Zhou, H.-L., He, C.-T., Zhang, J.-P., Chen, X.-M. Tuning fluorocarbon adsorption in new isoreticular porous coordination frameworks for heat transformation applications. *Chem. Sci.* **6**, 2516–2521 (2015).
222. Xie, L.-H., Liu, X.-M., He, T., Li, J.-R. Metal–organic frameworks for the capture of trace aromatic volatile organic compounds. *Chem* **4**, 1911–1927 (2018).

223. Sato, H., Kosaka, W., Matsuda, R., Hori, A., Hijikata, Y., Belosludov, R. V., Sakaki, S., Takata, M., Kitagawa, S. Self-accelerating CO sorption in a soft nanoporous crystal. *Science* **343**, 167 (2014).
224. Reed, D. A., Keitz, B. K., Oktawiec, J., Mason, J. A., Runčevski, T., Xiao, D. J., Darago, L. E., Crocellà, V., Bordiga, S., Long, J. R. A spin transition mechanism for cooperative adsorption in metal-organic frameworks. *Nature* **550**, 96 (2017).
225. Chen, K.-J., Yang, Q.-Y., Sen, S., Madden, D. G., Kumar, A., Pham, T., Forrest, K. A., Hosono, N., Space, B., Kitagawa, S., et al. Efficient CO₂ removal for ultra-pure CO production by two hybrid ultramicroporous materials. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 3332–3336 (2018).
226. Banerjee, D., Simon, C. M., Elsaidi, S. K., Haranczyk, M., Thallapally, P. K. Xenon gas separation and storage using metal-organic frameworks. *Chem* **4**, 466–494 (2018).
227. Banerjee, D., Simon, C. M., Plonka, A. M., Motkuri, R. K., Liu, J., Chen, X., Smit, B., Parise, J. B., Haranczyk, M., Thallapally, P. K. Metal-organic framework with optimally selective xenon adsorption and separation. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11831 (2016).
228. Mohamed, M. H., Elsaidi, S. K., Pham, T., Forrest, K. A., Schaeff, H. T., Hogan, A., Wojtas, L., Xu, W., Space, B., Zaworotko, M. J., et al. Hybrid ultra-microporous materials for selective xenon adsorption and separation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**, 8285–8289 (2016).
229. Fernandez, C. A., Liu, J., Thallapally, P. K., Strachan, D. M. Switching Kr/Xe selectivity with temperature in a metal-organic framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 9046–9049 (2012).
230. Gonzalez, M. I., Kapelewski, M. T., Bloch, E. D., Milner, P. J., Reed, D. A., Hudson, M. R., Mason, J. A., Barin, G., Brown, C. M., Long, J. R. Separation of xylene isomers through multiple metal site interactions in metal-organic frameworks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 3412–3422 (2018).
231. Teufel, J., Oh, H., Hirscher, M., Wahiduzzaman, M., Zhechkov, L., Kuc, A., Heine, T., Denysenko, D., Volkmer, D. MFU-4 – a metal-organic framework for highly effective H₂/D₂ separation. *Adv. Mater.* **25**, 635–639 (2013).
232. FitzGerald, S. A., Pierce, C. J., Rowsell, J. L. C., Bloch, E. D., Mason, J. A. Highly selective quantum sieving of D₂ from H₂ by a metal-organic framework as determined by gas manometry and infrared spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 9458–9464 (2013).
233. Weinrauch, I., Savchenko, I., Denysenko, D., Souliou, S. M., Kim, H. H., Le Tacon, M., Daemen, L. L., Cheng, Y., Mavrandonakis, A., Ramirez-Cuesta, A. J., et al. Capture of heavy hydrogen isotopes in a metal-organic framework with active Cu(I) sites. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14496 (2017).
234. Zhang, Y., Feng, X., Li, H., Chen, Y., Zhao, J., Wang, S., Wang, L., Wang, B. Photoinduced postsynthetic polymerization of a metal-organic framework toward a flexible stand-alone membrane. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 4259–4263 (2015).
235. Al-Maythaly, B. A., Shekhah, O., Swaidan, R., Belmabkhout, Y., Pinnau, I., Eddaoudi, M. Quest for anionic MOF membranes: continuous sod-ZMOF membrane with CO₂ adsorption-driven selectivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 1754–1757 (2015).
236. Seoane, B., Coronas, J., Gascon, I., Benavides, M. E., Karvan, O., Caro, J., Kapteijn, F., Gascon, J. Metal-organic framework based mixed matrix membranes: a solution for highly efficient CO₂ capture? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **44**, 2421–2454 (2015).
237. Park, H. B., Kamcev, J., Robeson, L. M., Elimelech, M., Freeman, B. D. Maximizing the right stuff: the trade-off between membrane permeability and selectivity. *Science* **356** (2017).
238. Koros, W. J., Zhang, C. Materials for next-generation molecularly selective synthetic membranes. *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 289 (2017).
239. Qiu, S., Xue, M., Zhu, G. Metal-organic framework membranes: from synthesis to separation application. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 6116–6140 (2014).
240. Knebel, A., Geppert, B., Volgmann, K., Kolokolov, D. I., Stepanov, A. G., Twiefel, J., Heitjans, P., Volkmer, D., Caro, J. Defibrillation of soft porous metal-organic frameworks with electric fields. *Science* **358**, 347–351 (2017).
241. Peng, Y., Li, Y., Ban, Y., Jin, H., Jiao, W., Liu, X., Yang, W. Metal-organic framework nanosheets as building blocks for molecular sieving membranes. *Science* **346**, 1356–1359 (2014).
242. Wang, X., Chi, C., Zhang, K., Qian, Y., Gupta, K. M., Kang, Z., Jiang, J., Zhao, D. Reversed thermo-switchable molecular sieving membranes composed of two-dimensional metal-organic nanosheets for gas separation. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14460 (2017).
243. Bae, T.-H., Lee, J. S., Qiu, W., Koros, W. J., Jones, C. W., Nair, S. A high-performance gas-separation membrane containing submicrometer-sized metal-organic framework crystals. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **49**, 9863–9866 (2010).
244. Rodenas, T., Luz, I., Prieto, G., Seoane, B., Miro, H., Corma, A., Kapteijn, F., Llabrés i Xamena, F. X., Gascon, J. Metal-organic framework nanosheets in polymer composite materials for gas separation. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 48 (2014).
245. Bachman, J. E., Smith, Z. P., Li, T., Xu, T., Long, J. R. Enhanced ethylene separation and plasticization resistance in polymer membranes incorporating metal-organic framework nanocrystals. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 845 (2016).
246. Liu, G., Chernikova, V., Liu, Y., Zhang, K., Belmabkhout, Y., Shekhah, O., Zhang, C., Yi, S., Eddaoudi, M., Koros, W. J. Mixed matrix formulations with MOF molecular sieving for key energy-intensive separations. *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 283–289 (2018).
247. Liu, Y., Liu, G., Zhang, C., Qiu, W., Yi, S., Chernikova, V., Chen, Z., Belmabkhout, Y., Shekhah, O., Eddaoudi, M., et al. Enhanced CO₂/CH₄ separation performance of a mixed matrix membrane based on tailored MOF-polymer formulations. *Adv. Sci.* **5**, 1800982 (2018).
248. Liu, G., Cadiau, A., Liu, Y., Adil, K., Chernikova, V., Carja, I.-D., Belmabkhout, Y., Karunakaran, M., Shekhah, O., Zhang, C., et al. Enabling fluorinated MOF-based membranes for simultaneous removal of H₂S and CO₂ from natural gas. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 14811–14816 (2018).
249. Zhang, C., Dai, Y., Johnson, J. R., Karvan, O., Koros, W. J. High performance ZIF-8/6FDA-DAM mixed matrix membrane for propylene/propane separations. *J. Membrane Sci.* **389**, 34–42 (2012).
250. Zhang, C., Zhang, K., Xu, L., Labreche, Y., Kraftschik, B., Koros, W. J. Highly scalable ZIF-based mixed-matrix hollow fiber membranes for advanced hydrocarbon separations. *AIChE J* **60**, 2625–2635 (2014).
251. Brown, A. J., Brunelli, N. A., Eum, K., Rashidi, F., Johnson, J. R., Koros, W. J., Jones, C. W., Nair, S. Interfacial microfluidic processing of metal-organic framework hollow fiber membranes. *Science* **345**, 72–75 (2014).
252. Kong, C., Du, H., Chen, L., Chen, B. Nanoscale MOF/organosilica membranes on tubular ceramic substrates for highly selective gas separation. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **10**, 1812–1819 (2017).

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Hao Li was born in Wuhan, China. She received her Ph.D. (2017) from Texas A&M University under the supervision of Prof. Hong-Cai Zhou. She joined Prof. Banglin Chen's group as a joint postdoctoral researcher under the guidance of Prof. Shengchang Xiang from Fujian Normal University and Prof. Banglin Chen from the University of Texas at San Antonio in 2017. Her current research interest lies in metal-organic frameworks for light hydrocarbon separations.



Libo Li was born in Henan, China. He received his Ph.D. in 2015 from the Taiyuan University of Technology under the supervision of Prof. Jinping Li. After obtaining his Ph.D. degree, he worked at Prof. Banglin Chen's group at the University of Texas at San Antonio as a postdoctoral fellow from 2016 to 2018. His current research interest focuses on the metal-organic frameworks for gas adsorption and separation.



Rui-Biao Lin obtained his B.Sc. in Chemistry from Sun Yat-Sen University (SYSU) in 2009. After studying the synthesis and crystal engineering of functional coordination polymers under the supervision of professors Xiao-Ming Chen and Jie-Peng Zhang, he obtained his Ph.D. in 2014 (SYSU). He is currently in the lab of Prof. Banglin Chen at the University of Texas at San Antonio as a post-doctoral fellow, where he is working on multifunctional porous materials.



Wei Zhou received his Ph.D. in 2005 from the University of Pennsylvania, under the supervision of Prof. John E. Fischer. From 2005 to 2007, he was a postdoctoral researcher at the NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR), working with Dr. Taner Yildirim. After that, he was appointed to his current position as a research scientist at NCNR. His research interests are in the areas of novel porous materials, computational materials design, and neutron diffraction/spectroscopy.



Shengchang Xiang received his Ph.D. degree in physical chemistry in 2003 from Fuzhou University. He joined Prof. XinTao Wu's Group at Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, as a postdoctoral Fellow and then associate professor (2003–2007). He then worked with Prof. Banglin Chen at the University of Texas at San Antonio as a postdoctoral fellow (2007–2012). He moved back to China in January 2012, and now he is the Professor of Chemistry at Fujian Normal University. His work is focused on multifunctional porous materials.



Banglin Chen was born in Zhejiang, China. He received B.S. (1985) and M.S. (1988) degrees in Chemistry from Zhejiang University in China, and his Ph.D. from National University of Singapore in 2000. He worked with professors Omar M. Yaghi at University of Michigan, Stephen Lee at Cornell University, and Andrew W. Maverick at Louisiana State University as a postdoctoral fellow during 2000–2003 before joining the University of Texas-Pan American in 2003. He moved to the University of Texas at San Antonio in August 2009, where he is a Dean's Distinguished Chair Professor of Chemistry.

