# The Influence of Additives on the Interfacial Width and Line Edge Roughness in Block Copolymer Lithography

Daniel F. Sunday,<sup>1†\*</sup> Xuanxuan Chen,<sup>2†</sup> Thomas R. Albrecht,<sup>3</sup> Derek Nowak,<sup>3</sup> Paulina Rincon Delgadillo,<sup>4</sup> Takahiro Dazai,<sup>5</sup> Ken Miyagi,<sup>5</sup> Takaya Maehashi,<sup>5</sup> Akiyoshi Yamazaki,<sup>5</sup> Paul F. Nealey,<sup>2</sup> R. Joseph Kline<sup>1</sup>

 Materials Science and Engineering Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899
Institute for Molecular Engineering, University of Chicago, 5801 S Ellis Ave, Chicago, IL 60637 3 Molecular Vista, San Jose, CA 95119, U.S.A 4 IMEC, Kapeldreef 75, Leuven B-3001, Belgium

5 Tokyo Ohka Kogyo, 1590 Tabata, Samukawa-Machi, Koza-Gun, Kanagawa 253-0114, Japan

# Abstract

The challenges of patterning next generation integrated circuits have driven the semiconductor industry to look outside of traditional lithographic methods in order to continue cost effective size scaling. The directed self-assembly (DSA) of block copolymers (BCPs) is a nanofabrication technique used to reduce the periodicity of patterns prepared with traditional optical methods. BCPs with large interaction parameters ( $\chi_{eff}$ ), provide access to smaller pitches and reduced interface widths. Larger  $\chi_{eff}$  is also expected to be correlated with reduced line edge roughness (LER), a critical performance parameter in integrated circuits. One approach to increasing  $\chi_{eff}$  is blending the BCP with a phase selective additive, such as an lonic liquid (IL). The IL does not impact the etching rates of either phase, and this enables a direct interrogation of whether the change in interface width driven by higher  $\chi_{eff}$  translates into lower LER. The effect of the IL on the layer thickness and interface width of a BCP are examined, along with the corresponding changes in LER in a DSA patterned sample. The results demonstrate that increased  $\chi_{eff}$  through additive blending will not necessarily translate to a lower LER, clarifying an important design criterion for future material systems.

### Introduction

The semiconductor industry has relied on advances in lithographic techniques to continue reducing the size of features in integrated circuits. Extreme ultraviolet lithography (EUV) has been projected as the replacement for 193 nm lithography, but technological and economic challenges have delayed its wide scale implementation.<sup>1</sup> As a result the industry has sought alternative solutions for patterning smaller features including multiple patterning steps,<sup>2</sup> nanoimprint<sup>3</sup> and the directed self-assembly (DSA) of block copolymers (BCPs). 4-7 DSA utilizes existing lithographic techniques to pattern a guiding template and the BCP is assembled on the guide, amplifying the pattern density. Polystyrene-b-poly(methyl methacrylate) (PS-b-PMMA) is the standard material used for DSA due to its ease of assembly and significant progress has been made in developing DSA processes using PS-b-PMMA for high volume manufacturing.<sup>8</sup> Unfortunately PS-b-PMMA cannot be used to pattern sub-10 nm features due to its small Flory-Huggins interaction parameter  $(\chi_{\text{eff}})$ . As a result, there is a need for materials which can pattern features with even smaller sizes and to resolve outstanding issues such as defect density and line edge roughness (LER).8-11 The synthetic community has responded to this challenge by developing BCPs with large  $\chi_{eff}$ , <sup>12–22</sup> and as a result there are now numerous demonstrations of DSA with sub-10 nm features.<sup>23-25</sup> Controlling LER is proving to be more complex, as LER originates from a combination of materials properties and processing steps, etching in particular.<sup>26</sup> While it is anticipated that the LER will be proportional to the interface width ( $w_m$ ), which is in turn proportional to  $\chi_{eff}$  -<sup>1/2</sup>, there has yet to be clear evidence that an decrease in  $w_m$  is sufficient for a reduction in LER. In addition to developing new BCP chemistries  $\chi_{eff}$  can be increased by blending BCPs with homopolymers,<sup>27–31</sup> small molecules,<sup>32–35</sup> salts<sup>36–38</sup> or ionic liquids (ILs).<sup>39–41</sup> Using an additive with specific interactions with one of the blocks enables an increase in  $\chi_{eff}$  without the challenge of developing a new chemistry. To investigate the relationship between  $\chi_{eff}$  and LER we studied a blend of PS-*b*-PMMA with an ionic liquid, N-butyl-N-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([BMPR][TFSI]) (Structures shown in Figure 1). In order to quantify the impact of the IL on PS-b-PMMA w<sub>m</sub> and L<sub>0</sub> were probed using resonant soft X-ray reflectivity (RSoXR) and infrared photoinduced force

microscopy (IR-PIFM). The results from this measurement are compared to the LER of a PS-*b*-PMMA/([BMPR][TFSI] blend patterned with DSA.



Figure 1: Structure of the polymer and ionic liquid, N-butyl-N-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([BMPR][TFSI]), used in this study.

The DSA of BCPs utilizes a combination of chemo and grapho epitaxy to align a BCP with an underlying pattern and reduce the periodicity. This approach has been successful for the two major integrated circuit features, line-space patterns<sup>4,6,7</sup> and contact holes.<sup>42,43</sup> The guiding pattern is formed with optical techniques such as 193 nm or EUV lithography. In the case of line-space patterns this generally involves etching a guiding stripe into a cross-linked polymer mat which has preferential affinity for one of the blocks, followed by backfilling the pattern with a random copolymer whose composition is tuned to be nearly neutral for both blocks.<sup>44</sup> The BCP is then deposited and in some cases solvent annealing<sup>45,46</sup> or a surface treatment<sup>47,48</sup> is used to promote vertical orientation of the lamellae. After annealing the BCP is then used as an etch mask to transfer the pattern into the underlying substrate. Etching will either rely on the intrinsic etch contrast between the BCP components or can be enhanced using an infiltration synthesis.<sup>49</sup> A variety of BCPs have been developed which have both high  $\chi_{eff}$  and intrinsic etch contrast, and

there have been successful demonstrations of DSA with these materials, reaching periodicities below 10 nm.<sup>22,50</sup> There are also a variety of techniques which are being developed to promote improved kinetics and reduced defects in the self-assembly process, particularly for high  $\chi_{eff}$  BCPs.<sup>51–53</sup>

Maintaining a sufficiently low LER is critically important for device performance, and as DSA has approached process integration it is a topic that has received more attention. LER is typically measured by taking critical dimension scanning electron microscopy (CDSEM) images of patterned lines and evaluating the edge fluctuations. The SEM image is converted to a power spectral density, which can be integrated to obtain a  $3\sigma_{LER}$ , which is typically the figure of merit. In much of the literature the discussion around reducing LER is focused on either process improvement<sup>54</sup> or increased  $\chi_{eff}$ .<sup>55,56</sup> A comparison of fin field effect transistors (FinFETs) fabricated using either PS-b-PMMA or PS-b-poly(pentamethyldisilylstyrene) (PS-b-PDSS) at 24 nm pitch resulted in a significantly lower LER for PS-b-PDSS (1.17 nm vs 2.79 nm for PS-b-PMMA), which was the higher  $\chi_{eff}$  material. The PS-*b*-PDSS system has a significantly higher etch contrast compared to the PS-b-PMMA system due to the silicon in the PDSS block.<sup>22</sup> As a result it is unclear if the origin of the improved LER was the change in  $\chi$  or the difference in the etching process. Graphoepitaxial patterning of PS-b-poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PS-b-PDMS) and poly(4vinylpyridine)-b-PDMS (P4VP-b-PDMS) resulted in an LER of 2.41 nm and 0.98 nm. In this case the systems are expected to have similar etch contrasts, suggesting that the higher  $\chi_{\text{eff}}$  between P4VP-b-PDMS is the driving force for the LER reduction. It is unclear how to directly compare these results to chemoepitaxial based processes, particularly as the BCPs were cylindrical and the confinement in the trench may impact long range fluctuations.<sup>57</sup> To further complicate matters, the template structure itself can impact transfer to the underlying substrate. X-ray measurements have demonstrated that interfacial roughness varies both between lamellae on the guiding stripe and lamellae on the neutral brush, as well as a function of distance from the surface and

substrate.<sup>11</sup> Variations in LER between lines on different portions of the guiding template have been experimentally observed.<sup>58</sup>

The impact of additives on BCP structure and thermodynamics have been well established. For systems where the additive is chemically identical to one of the components in the system the distribution will depend on the ratio of the molecular mass between the additive and its identical component in the BCP.<sup>59,60</sup> A-B/C type systems have also been explored, and in many cases are based on hydrogen bonding interactions between the additive and one of the blocks to promote miscibility. In this case the attractive interactions promote uniform distribution of the additive is uniformly distributed throughout its resident phase, as a result the block copolymer must rearrange to accommodate the additive. The interactions will cause the BCP chains in the mixed phase to stretch away from the interface, generally resulting in a reduction in the area per junction (the area occupied by each chain at the interface). Similar trends have been found for the addition of IL to BCPs. Addition of the IL to a lamellar BCP results in an increase in  $L_{0}$ , followed by transitions to cylindrical and spherical phases at sufficiently high concentrations.<sup>25,40,62</sup>

Reflectivity measurements are a common tool for evaluation of the interface width between two phases.<sup>61,63–67</sup> In order to be able to extract  $w_{m}$ , or the thickness of individual layers, there needs to be sufficient contrast between the phases. When using hard X-rays the contrast originates through the difference in the electron density, which for organic materials is often small. In neutron based measurements deuteration of one component can be used to alter the contrast, but this requires synthesizing deuterated components which can be expensive and in some cases impractical. Resonant Soft X-ray reflectivity (RSoXR) provides an opportunity to vary the contrast in thin films by changing the incident energy in the vicinity of an atomic absorption edge. Changing the energy in this region results in a shift in the real ( $\delta$ ) and imaginary ( $\beta$ ) components of the

complex refractive index ( $n=1-\delta-i\beta$ ) which are related through Kramers-Kronig. The contrast ( $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta = (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2 + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)^2$ ) can then be tuned as a function of energy in order to enhance the signal from specific layers or functional groups.<sup>68–71</sup> This approach has been previously utilized to examine blends of PS-*b*-PMMA with a homopolymer (poly(vinyl phenol) [PVPH]) which hydrogen bonds to the PMMA block. By varying the contrast the distribution of PVPH was evaluated it was observed that  $w_m$  was reduced from the native value of 50 Å to 41 Å at a volume fraction of 0.08.

The potential of DSA using a BCP/IL blend has been previously shown, including demonstrations that with low volumes of IL the DSA pattern can still be prepared with thermal annealing. In order to evaluate the potential of IL/BCP blends for reducing LER in DSA we measured the change in interface width as a function of IL volume fraction ( $\phi_{IL}$ ). BCPs with and without an IL additive were patterned using a DSA process and the LER was evaluated through SEM measurements.

# **Materials and Methods**

*Materials*: [BMPR][TFSI], PS-*b*-PMMA, and the polymer brushes were provided by Tokyo Ohka Kogyo (TOK), Japan.<sup>72</sup> Photoresist AIM5484, Orgsolv STR301 and RER600 were purchased from JSR Micro, BASF and Fujifilm, respectively. All materials were used as received. The properties of PS-*b*-PMMA are shown in Table 1.

Sample	Mn (PS) (kg/mol)	Mn (PMMA) (kg/mol)	f <sub>PS</sub>	PDI	<i>L</i> <sub>0</sub> (nm)	Morphology
SM(22.9,22.9)	22.9	22.9	0.53	1.02	24.6	Lamellae
SM(30.4,27.4)	30.4	27.4	0.555	1.02	28	Lamellae
SM(39.5,37)	39.5	37	0.545	1.02	34.3	Lamellae

*Parallel Lamellae*: Parallel lamellae for RSoXR measurements were prepared by spin coating solutions of SM(22.9,22.9)/[BMPR][TFSI] from 4.0-4.2 wt % solutions and a thickness of 5.5  $L_0$  was controlled by varying the spin coating speed. Optical microscopy was used to check the

surface for the presence of island/hole structure. The samples were annealed at 200 °C for 10 min in a nitrogen environment.

*Perpendicular Lamellae*: P(S-*r*-MMA-*r*-HEMA) with a PS fraction of 0.38 was spun coat from a 0.5% solution and grafted to a bare silicon wafer through a 5 min anneal at 250 °C in a nitrogen atmosphere. The addition of an IL can change the composition window of the neutral brush which leads to perpendicular orientation of the BCP/IL blend. Previous work has shown that this brush composition provides a neutral substrate for the volume fractions of IL investigated in this work.<sup>25</sup> Excess brush was removed via a rinse yielding a 6-7 nm thick brush. SM(39.5,37) and SM(39.5,37)/[BMPR][TFSI] blends ( $\phi = 10$  wt %) were spun coat from a 1 % solution to target a film thickness of 1  $L_0$ , the samples were then annealed for 5 min at 200 °C in a nitrogen environment.

Directed Self-Assembly: The DSA samples were fabricated on the 300 mm DSA process line in the production cleanroom at imec, Belgium. The chemical patterns were prepared according to a previously reported process on 300 mm Si wafers. An antireflective coating of silicon nitride (SiN) was deposited using a chemical vapor deposition process, The deposited SiN was 13 nm thick, and an 8 nm layer of XPS was deposited on top and crosslinked at 315 °C for 5 min. A photoresist (AIM5484) was coated on the sample (≈ 95 nm thick) using a SOKUDO DUO track and exposed with an ASML 1950 immersion scanner, then developed to yield line-space patters with pitches ranging between 78 nm and 86 nm. Oxygen plasma etch was used to trim the lines and remove unprotected XPS, residual photoresist was stripped with Orgasolv STR301 on a TEL ACT12 track. The pattern was backfilled with P(S-*r*-MMA-*r*-HEMA) and annealed for 5 min at 250 °C under nitrogen. The ungrafted brush was removed and the BCP or BCP/[BMPR][TFSI] was spun coat onto the pattern and annealed for 30 min at 215 °C in a nitrogen environment. PMMA domains were removed using dry etching on a TEL TACTRAS etcher. Hitachi High-Tech CG-5000 was used to capture multiple rectangular scans (0.45 by 2.25 µm<sup>2</sup>) of DSA samples post PMMA

removal. LER analysis was performed with LER DEMO software licensed from Demokritos National Center of Scientific Research.

*Infrared Photoinduced Force Microscopy (IR-PIFM):* A Vistascope (Molecular Vista Inc.) was coupled to a LaserTune QCL. The microscope was operated in dynamic mode using NCH-Au 300 kHz noncontact cantilevers from Nanosensors.

*RSoXR*: Resonant soft X-ray reflectivity measurements were conducted at beamline 6.3.2 at the Advanced Light Source at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Measurements at the carbon edge were conducted with a 600 mm<sup>-1</sup> grating and Ti filter. The low *q* data was collected with a photodiode and combined with high *q* data obtained from a Channeltron. The data was fit using the Refl1d software, using the directed evolution Monte-Carlo Markov Chain algorithm (MCMC).<sup>73</sup> Uncertainties were calculated from the population distribution sampled by the MCMC algorithm, the results presented here represent the 95% confidence intervals. The model includes the underlying silicon and silicon oxide layers. The model constrained all full period layers of PS and PMMA/IL to have equal thickness, and the top/bottom layers to be equal to ½ the full period layer thickness. *w*<sub>m</sub> is calculated according to Equation 1 by fitting the interface width using an error function of width  $\sigma$ .

$$w_M = \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} \tag{1}$$

#### **Results and Discussion**

In order to provide initial verification that the [BMPR][TFSI] is located primarily in the PMMA domain IR-PIFM was used to visualize the distribution of the additive. IR-PIFM uses IR absorption of individual components to shift the topological and mechanical properties of the film, enabling direct chemical mapping.<sup>74</sup> Imaging over the same area is conducted using a series of different wavelengths, each targeting an IR absorption band for different components in the film. PS and PMMA were targeted at 1493 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1733 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, while [BMPR][TFSI] was

targeted at 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>, using the IR spectra shown in the supporting information (SI). Vertical lamellae of native SM(39.5,37) and a blend of SM(39.5,37) with a weight fraction of 10 % [BMPR][TFSI] were prepared and imaged. This molecular weight was used so that the two phases could be clearly resolved in the measurement. Figure 2A shows the image of the native SM(39.5,37) taken using IR at 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup> (sensitive to the [BMPR][TFSI]), showing no distinct topology. The images of the SM(39.5,37)/[BMPR][TSFI] blend showing a matching behavior at the PMMA and [BMPS][TFSI] wavelengths, while the phase inverts for PS. This result is consistent with the presence of [BMPS][TFSI] in the PMMA phase.



Figure 2: IR-PIFM images of a) SM (39.5, 37) at 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>; b) SM (39.5, 37) and [BMPR][TFSI] blends at 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>; c) 1493 cm<sup>-1</sup>; and d) 1733 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Wavenumbers of 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1493 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1733 cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to the adsorption peaks of IL, PS and PMMA respectively.

RSoXR measurements were conducted near the carbon edge (280 eV) on SM(22.9,22.9) and SM(22.9,22.9)/[BMPR][TFSI] blends with [BMPR][TFSI] volume fractions ranging from  $\phi_{IL} = 0$ - 0.10, the results of these measurements are shown in Figure 3. The BCPs were oriented parallel to the substrate at a thickness of 5.5  $L_0$ . Polystyrene has a peak in the absorption spectra at  $\approx$ 285 eV, conducting the measurement below the edge takes advantage of the shift in  $\delta$  without an accompanying increase in  $\beta$  which occurs closer to the edge. The absorption peak for the carbonyl in PMMA takes place closer to 289 eV, as a result the shift in  $\delta$  for PMMA will be less pronounced at lower energies. 280 eV was therefore chosen as the measurement energy near the carbon edge in order to provide contrast while minimizing beam damage. The reflectivity curves show a multilayer signature, with high frequency fringes corresponding to the total film thickness and longrange modulations of those fringes indicated by the arrows, which correspond to the BCP periodicity. The rate of decay of those modulations is a function of  $w_m$ , with more rapid decay occurring for wider interfaces. Upon addition of the [BMPR][TFSI] the g spacing between the modulations decreases, corresponding to an increasing  $L_0$ . The low frequency modulations persist further into q with increasing ionic liquid concentration, this is particularly noticeable for  $\phi_{\rm IL}$  $\geq$  0.06, where the 4<sup>th</sup> order peak becomes more pronounced, and at  $\phi_{\rm IL}$  = 0.08 the fifth order peak becomes visible. This indicates a significant reduction in  $w_m$  with the addition of larger volume fractions of IL. The composition profiles used to fit the data are shown in the SI. As shown by the IR-PIFM measurements the [BMPR][TFSI] segregates to the PMMA layer and is uniformly distributed (models were used to check for the accumulation of [BMPR][TFSI] at the center of the PMMA layer and resulted in significantly worse fits compared to the uniform distribution model, also shown in SI).



Figure 3: RSoXR measurements conducted at 280 eV for PS-*b*-PMMA/[BMPR][TFSI] blends with  $\phi_{IL}$  ranging from 0 - 0.10 (labeled on the right side). Experimental data is shown with red circles ( $\circ$ ) and the simulated best fit shown in solid lines. The multilayer peak locations are indicated with arrows. Curves are vertically shifted arbitrarily for visual clarity.

The change in periodicity for each blend series is shown in Figure 4 (4A shows the absolute change in both the PS and PMMA layer thickness, 4B shows the relative change). One of the advantages of examining the BCP multilayers with reflectivity is that the individual layer thicknesses can be reliably determined. The PMMA layer shows a continuous expansion as a function of  $\phi_{IL}$ . The PS layer also expands, faster for  $\phi_{IL} < 0.06$  and slower for higher concentrations. This indicates that the IL causes the PMMA chains to stretch away from the interface, resulting in a reduction in the area/junction (shown in the SI). This forces the PS chains to stretch in order to accommodate this rearrangement, which results in the increased layer thickness in spite of the lack of IL in the PS phase. The change in the rate of expansion suggests that the reduction in the area/junction slows with the addition of larger volume fractions of the IL,

where the swelling in the lateral direction (relative to the interface) may begin to compete with the chain stretching in the perpendicular direction. This is consistent with previous measurements of AB/C blends where this type of structural change is observed.<sup>30,31</sup> Both experiment and theory have previously determined that  $L_0 \sim \phi_p^{\alpha}$  ( $\phi_p$  is the volume fraction of BCP), where  $\alpha$  acts as a measure of solvent selectivity, with more selective solvents resulting in more negative values of  $\alpha$ . Fitting this function to the  $L_0$  vs  $\phi_{IL}$  curves results in  $\alpha$  =-1.50. This is consistent with previous blends of PS-*b*-PMMA and [EMIM]-[TFSI], which found  $\alpha$ ~1.6 in the limit of large *N*.<sup>62</sup>



Figure 4: Change in total period and thickness of individual layers as a function of of  $\phi_{IL}$ . Changes are presented on both an absolute scale (A) and relative to the native BCP (B). Error bars are smaller than the symbols in all cases.

The measured  $w_{\rm m}$  is shown in Figure 5A, along with a comparison with literature values of PS-b-PMMA/poly(vinyl phenol) (PVPH) blends.<sup>61</sup> The PS-b-PMMA/[BMPR][TFSI] series shows a rapid initial decrease in  $w_m$ , falling below 35 Å at  $\phi_{\rm L} = 0.04$ , this is equivalent to the interface width for PS-b-P2VP. wm continues to decrease with added [BMPR][TFSI], although the majority of the change occurs below  $\phi_{\rm IL} = 0.06$ , above this value the change in  $w_{\rm m}$  slows considerably. For comparison this is a much larger change than for blends of PS-b-PMMA with PVPH, where the PVPH only reduces the interface width to 41 Å at an additive volume fraction of 0.08. From  $w_{\rm m}$ the  $\chi_{eff}$  between PS and PMMA/[BMPR][TFSI] can be estimated according to Eqs 2-4. In the limit of infinitely long chains the interface width  $(w_{\infty})$  is a function of the statistical segment length (a) and  $\chi_{\text{eff}}$ . This value is then corrected for finite chain length ( $w_{\text{F}}$ , Equation 3) and capillary wave fluctuations ( $w_P$ , Equation 4).<sup>57,75</sup> From this estimation PS-*b*-PMMA/[BMPR][TFSI] reaches  $\chi_{eff}$  = 0.134 at  $\phi_{IL}$  =0.1, well above what is achieved with the PS-*b*-PMMA/PVPH blend ( $\chi_{eff}$  = 0.056 at a volume fraction of 0.08). The origin of the difference between the two types of additives is not immediately clear. It is also known that only a fraction of the monomers of PVPH participate in hydrogen bonding, possible due to conformation restrictions, which could weaken the impact.<sup>31</sup> The IL is free of such restrictions and can configure as needed to minimize the free energy of the system. Similar shifts in  $\chi_{eff}$  to the IL blends have been achieved between bilayers of PS and PMMA/PVPH, but require PVPH volume fractions of upwards of 0.3, which would drive an orderorder transition to a cylindrical phase in a BCP. From these results it is clear the IL has significant advantages over the homopolymer additive in terms of the magnitude of the change in the  $w_{\rm m}$ and  $\chi_{\rm eff}$ .

$$w_{\infty} = \frac{2a}{\sqrt{6\chi_e f f}} \tag{2}$$

$$w_F = w_{\infty} \left[ 1 + 1.34 (\chi_{eff} N)^{-1/3} \right]$$
(3)

$$w_{P} = \left[w_{F}^{2} + \frac{3vw_{\infty}}{a^{2}}ln(L/w_{\infty})\right]^{1/2}$$
(4)



Figure 5: Change in interface width (A) and  $\chi_{eff}$  (B) as a function of  $\phi_{IL}$ . Additionally literature data on blends of poly(vinyl phenol) (PVPH) with a similar PS-*b*-PMMA to the one used in this study is included for comparison ( $\bullet$ ).<sup>61</sup>

A potentially useful metric in evaluating a system for DSA is the ratio of  $w_m$  to  $L_0$ , which describes how much of the BCP is composed of the interface region. In Figure 6  $w_m/L_0$  is plotted as a function of  $\chi_{eff}N$  along with results from a series of BCPs with  $\chi_{eff}$  ranging from  $\approx 0.045 -$ 0.25.<sup>67</sup> This series is shifted to slightly lower  $w_m/L_0$  compared to the native BCPs measured in the previous study, but the scaling relationship ( $w_m/L_0 \sim (\chi_{eff}N)^{\omega}$ ) follows a similar power law dependence, where  $\omega = -0.6$  a nearly identical to the previous data ( $\omega = -2/3$  for  $\chi_{eff}N > 35$ ). In this system the reduction in  $w_m$  significantly outpaces  $L_0$  growth, resulting in interface fractions that are comparable to BCPs with much higher native  $\chi_{eff}$ .





An increase in  $\chi_{eff}$  is expected to result in a reduction in LER for BCPs patterned in linespace arrays via the DSA process. The previous studies that have examined this hypothesis utilized systems where one of the components consisted of a silicon containing polymer, and as a result there was significant difference in the etch resistance between the two components and the contributions from  $\chi_{eff}$  could not be isolated. To test whether a change in  $\chi_{eff}$  alone was sufficient to reduce LER we prepared a DSA patterns and assembled three different BCP systems template. The BCPs included SM(22.9,22.9), SM(30.4,27.4) on the same and SM(22.9,22.9)/[BMPR][TFSI] at  $\phi_{\rm IL} = 0.08$ , this series was chosen in order to compare the blended sample both to its native BCP and to a PS-b-PMMA system with nearly equal pitch. The IL volume fraction was chosen so that the  $L_0$  of the BCP blend would be commensurate with the pitch of the template pattern. Significant deviations of the BCP  $L_0$  from the template pattern are known to influence LER.<sup>76</sup>The resulting patterns were measured with CDSEM after PMMA was removed and representative images are shown in Figure 7A, with the corresponding power spectral density (PSD) curves shown in Figure 7B. The curves show little change between the three samples, with only a slight reduction in the low frequency roughness for the blended samples. The area under the PSD curve can be converted to  $3\sigma_{LER}$ , which was found to be 2.88 nm for SM(22.9,22.9), 2.76 nm for SM(30.4,27.4) and 2.67 nm for SM(22.9,22.9)/[BMPR][TFSI].  $3\sigma_{LER}$  and normalized  $3\sigma_{LER}$  $(3\sigma_{\text{LER}}/L_0)$  are shown in the inset as a function of  $\chi_{\text{eff}}N$ . These results show that in spite of the significant change in  $\chi_{eff}$  and  $w_m$  when [BMPR][TFSI] is added to PS-*b*-PMMA this results in only a small change in LER.

This result stands in contrast to the high  $\chi_{eff}$  polymers that were discussed previously, where the increase in  $\chi_{eff}$  leads to a reduction in LER.<sup>55,56</sup> There are two potential origins of the divergence in these results. The first possibility is that the presence of the additive prevents the LER reduction. Modeling shown in the SI shows that the IL is uniformly distributed throughout the PMMA block, reducing the possibility that this is caused by heterogeneities in the IL distribution. The IL will also impact the properties of the PMMA block, such as the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) and segmental mobility. Changes in the mechanical properties of the polymer driven by these shifts could be a contributing factor. Studies of PMMA blended with 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide show reduced  $T_g$  and a shift in the mechanical response towards more liquid-like behavior.<sup>77</sup> The other possibility is that the increase in  $\chi_{eff}$  alone is insufficient to

change the LER and that it must be coupled with a higher etch contrast. In every case where the change in  $\chi_{\text{eff}}$  led to reduced LER the etch contrast was enhanced by the presence of silicon in one of the blocks. This suggests that a more promising design strategy for high  $\chi_{\text{eff}}$  systems is to focus on materials with larger intrinsic etch contrast.



**Figure 7. A)** Top-down SEM images in rectangular scan (0.45 um by 2.25 um area) of directed self-assembly of SM (22.9, 22.9), SM (30.4, 27.4) and SM (22.9, 22.9)/[BMPR][TFSI] ( $\phi_{IL} = 0.08$ ) blends; and B) corresponding PSD curves. The inset shows the  $3\sigma_{LER}$  and normalized  $3\sigma_{LER}$  (to  $L_0$ ) as a function of the calculated  $\chi_{eff}N$  value for the above three materials (a: SM (22.9, 22.9), b: SM (20.4,27.4), c: SM (22.9, 22.9)/[BMPR][TFSI]). 50 rectangular scans were analyzed for the PSD results. PMMA domains were removed for the measurements of line roughness.

# Conclusions

Blending additives is a promising strategy to produce higher  $\chi_{eff}$  systems, but as shown here the change in  $\chi_{eff}$  may not lead to lower LER in a DSA patterned system. Adding ([BMPR][TFSI]) to PS-*b*-PMMA resulted in reduced interface widths in parallel lamellae as a result of the increase in  $\chi_{eff}$ . This result was directly related to changes in LER between PS-*b*-PMMA and a PS-*b*-PMMA/[BMPR][TFSI] blend, where negligible changes in LER were observed upon removal of the PMMA/[BMPR][TFSI] blend, where negligible changes in LER were observed upon removal of the PMMA/[L block. This contrasts with previous results which interrogated DSA patterned BCP systems which had a higher native etch contrast. These results show that both increased etch contrast and  $\chi_{eff}$  will likely be necessary to reduce LER to levels required for optimum device performance, particularly in the case of sub-10 nm periodicities. To better understand this result companion experiments could be performed on all-organic high  $\chi_{eff}$ polymers, using sequential infiltration synthesis to control the etch contrast between the BCP components. This type of experiment would complement the results of this study by better quantifying the relationship between etch contrast and LER.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by award 70NHNB14H012 from the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, as part of the Center for Hierarchical Materials Design (CHiMaD). The Advanced Light Source is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. We thank Eric Gullikson for assistance at BL. 6.3.2. and Paul Kienzle for the work developing the RefI1D software.

# **Supporting Information**

The supporting information is available free of charge at <u>https://pubs.acs.org</u>.

FTIR spectra of [BMPR][TFSI], SLD profiles, additional reflectivity simulations, and the calculated BCP area/junction.

- <sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.
- \* Corresponding author: Daniel.Sunday@nist.gov

# References

- (1) Raley, A.; Mack, C. A.; Liu, E.; Thibaut, S.; Ko, A. Benchmarking of EUV Lithography Line/Space Patterning versus Immersion Lithography Multipatterning Schemes at Equivalent Pitch. In *International Conference on Extreme Ultraviolet Lithography 2018*; Ronse, K. G., Gargini, P. A., Hendrickx, E., Naulleau, P. P., Itani, T., Eds.; SPIE: Monterey, United States, 2018; p 1080915. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2501680.
- (2) van Veenhuizen, M.; Allen, G.; Harmes, M.; Indukuri, T.; Jezewski, C.; Krist, B.; Lang, H.; Myers, A.; Schenker, R.; Singh, K. J. Demonstration of an Electrically Functional 34 Nm Metal Pitch Interconnect in Ultralow-k ILD Using Spacer-Based Pitch Quartering. In *Proc. IEEE*; IEEE: San Jose, California, USA, 2012; pp 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1109/IITC.2012.6251665.
- Kono, T.; Hatano, M.; Tokue, H.; Kato, H.; Fukuhara, K.; Nakasugi, T. Half-Pitch 14nm Direct Patterning with Nanoimprint Lithography. In *Novel Patterning Technologies for Semiconductors, MEMS/NEMS, and MOEMS 2019*; Panning, E. M., Sanchez, M. I., Eds.; SPIE: San Jose, United States, 2019; p 10958OH. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2514685.
- (4) Kim, S. O.; Solak, H. H.; Stoykovich, M. P.; Ferrier, N. J.; de Pablo, J. J.; Nealey, P. F. Epitaxial Self-Assembly of Block Copolymers on Lithographically Defined Nanopatterned Substrates. *Nature* **2003**, *424* (6947), 411–414. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01775.
- (5) Stoykovich, M. P. Directed Assembly of Block Copolymer Blends into Nonregular Device-Oriented Structures. *Science* **2005**, *308* (5727), 1442–1446. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111041.
- Williamson, L. D.; Seidel, R. N.; Chen, X.; Suh, H. S.; Rincon Delgadillo, P.; Gronheid, R.; Nealey, P. F. Three-Tone Chemical Patterns for Block Copolymer Directed Self-Assembly. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8 (4), 2704–2712. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b10562.
- (7) Cushen, J.; Wan, L.; Blachut, G.; Maher, M. J.; Albrecht, T. R.; Ellison, C. J.; Willson, C. G.; Ruiz, R. Double-Patterned Sidewall Directed Self-Assembly and Pattern Transfer of Sub-10 Nm PTMSS- *b* -PMOST. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2015**, 7 (24), 13476–13483. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b02481.
- (8) Muramatsu, M.; Nishi, T.; You, G.; Ido, Y.; Kitano, T. Pattern Defect Reduction for Chemo-Epitaxy DSA Process. In Advances in Patterning Materials and Processes XXXVI; Gronheid, R., Sanders, D. P., Eds.; SPIE: San Jose, United States, 2019; p 109600W. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2514671.
- (9) Muramatsu, M.; Nishi, T.; You, G.; Saito, Y.; Ido, Y.; Oikawa, N.; Tobana, T.; Ito, K.; Morikita, S.; Kitano, T. Pattern Defect Reduction and LER Improvement of Chemo-Epitaxy DSA Process. In *Emerging Patterning Technologies*; Bencher, C., Cheng, J. Y., Eds.; San Jose, California, United States, 2017; p 101440Q. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2257952.
- (10) Li, J.; Rincon-Delgadillo, P. A.; Suh, H. S.; Mannaert, G.; Nealey, P. F. Kinetics of Defect Annihilation in Chemo-Epitaxy Directed Self-Assembly. In *Advances in Patterning Materials and Processes XXXVI*; Gronheid, R., Sanders, D. P., Eds.; SPIE: San Jose, United States, 2019; p 109600V. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2514731.
- (11) Khaira, G.; Doxastakis, M.; Bowen, A.; Ren, J.; Suh, H. S.; Segal-Peretz, T.; Chen, X.; Zhou, C.; Hannon, A. F.; Ferrier, N. J.; et al. Derivation of Multiple Covarying Material and

Process Parameters Using Physics-Based Modeling of X-Ray Data. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50* (19), 7783–7793. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b00691.

- (12) Sinturel, C.; Bates, F. S.; Hillmyer, M. A. High χ–Low N Block Polymers: How Far Can We Go? ACS Macro Lett. 2015, 4 (9), 1044–1050. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.5b00472.
- (13) Kwak, J.; Mishra, A. K.; Lee, J.; Lee, K. S.; Choi, C.; Maiti, S.; Kim, M.; Kim, J. K. Fabrication of Sub-3 Nm Feature Size Based on Block Copolymer Self-Assembly for Next-Generation Nanolithography. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50* (17), 6813–6818. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b00945.
- Jeong, G.; Yu, D. M.; Mapas, J. K. D.; Sun, Z.; Rzayev, J.; Russell, T. P. Realizing 5.4 Nm Full Pitch Lamellar Microdomains by a Solid-State Transformation. *Macromolecules* 2017, 50 (18), 7148–7154. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b01443.
- (15) Carter, M. C. D.; Jennings, J.; Speetjens, F. W.; Lynn, D. M.; Mahanthappa, M. K. A Reactive Platform Approach for the Rapid Synthesis and Discovery of High χ/Low N Block Polymers. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49* (17), 6268–6276. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.6b01268.
- (16) Zhou, S. X.; Janes, D. W.; Kim, C. B.; Willson, C. G.; Ellison, C. J. Designing Intrablock Attractions To Increase the χ Parameter of a Symmetric Diblock Copolymer. *Macromolecules* **2016**. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.6b01382.
- (17) Kanimozhi, C.; Kim, M.; Larson, S. R.; Choi, J. W.; Choo, Y.; Sweat, D. P.; Osuji, C. O.; Gopalan, P. Isomeric Effect Enabled Thermally Driven Self-Assembly of Hydroxystyrene-Based Block Copolymers. ACS Macro Lett. **2016**, *5* (7), 833–838. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.6b00376.
- (18) Yao, L.; Oquendo, L. E.; Schulze, M. W.; Lewis, R. M.; Gladfelter, W. L.; Hillmyer, M. A. Poly(Cyclohexylethylene)- *Block* -Poly(Lactide) Oligomers for Ultrasmall Nanopatterning Using Atomic Layer Deposition. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8* (11), 7431–7439. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b12785.
- (19) Minehara, H.; Pitet, L. M.; Kim, S.; Zha, R. H.; Meijer, E. W.; Hawker, C. J. Branched Block Copolymers for Tuning of Morphology and Feature Size in Thin Film Nanolithography. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49* (6), 2318–2326. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.5b02649.
- (20) Vora, A.; Wojtecki, R. J.; Schmidt, K.; Chunder, A.; Cheng, J. Y.; Nelson, A.; Sanders, D. P. Development of Polycarbonate-Containing Block Copolymers for Thin Film Self-Assembly Applications. *Polym Chem* **2016**, *7* (4), 940–950. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5PY01846C.
- (21) Shi, W.; Tateishi, Y.; Li, W.; Hawker, C. J.; Fredrickson, G. H.; Kramer, E. J. Producing Small Domain Features Using Miktoarm Block Copolymers with Large Interaction Parameters. ACS Macro Lett. 2015, 4 (11), 1287–1292. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.5b00712.
- (22) Durand, W. J.; Blachut, G.; Maher, M. J.; Sirard, S.; Tein, S.; Carlson, M. C.; Asano, Y.; Zhou, S. X.; Lane, A. P.; Bates, C. M.; et al. Design of High-χ Block Copolymers for Lithography. *J. Polym. Sci. Part Polym. Chem.* **2015**, *53* (2), 344–352. https://doi.org/10.1002/pola.27370.
- (23) Liu, C.-C.; Franke, E.; Mignot, Y.; Xie, R.; Yeung, C. W.; Zhang, J.; Chi, C.; Zhang, C.; Farrell, R.; Lai, K.; et al. Directed Self-Assembly of Block Copolymers for 7 Nanometre FinFET Technology and Beyond. *Nat. Electron.* **2018**, *1* (10), 562–569. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41928-018-0147-4.
- (24) Pang, Y.; Jin, X.; Huang, G.; Wan, L.; Ji, S. Directed Self-Assembly of Styrene-Methyl Acrylate Block Copolymers with Sub-7 Nm Features via Thermal Annealing. *Macromolecules* **2019**, 2987–2994. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b00174.

- (25) Chen, X.; Zhou, C.; Chen, S.-J.; Craig, G. S. W.; Rincon-Delgadillo, P.; Dazai, T.; Miyagi, K.; Maehashi, T.; Yamazaki, A.; Gronheid, R.; et al. Ionic Liquids as Additives to Polystyrene- *Block* -Poly(Methyl Methacrylate) Enabling Directed Self-Assembly of Patterns with Sub-10 Nm Features. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10* (19), 16747–16759. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b02990.
- (26) Pimenta Barros, P.; Barnola, S.; Gharbi, A.; Argoud, M.; Servin, I.; Tiron, R.; Chevalier, X.; Navarro, C.; Nicolet, C.; Lapeyre, C.; et al. Etch Challenges for DSA Implementation in CMOS via Patterning. In *Advanced Etch Technology for Nanopatterning III*,; SPIE: San Jose, California, USA, 2014; p 90540G. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2046267.
- (27) Tirumala, V. R.; Romang, A.; Agarwal, S.; Lin, E. K.; Watkins, J. J. Well Ordered Polymer Melts from Blends of Disordered Triblock Copolymer Surfactants and Functional Homopolymers. *Adv. Mater.* **2008**, *20* (9), 1603–1608. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200701577.
- (28) Dobrosielska, K.; Wakao, S.; Suzuki, J.; Noda, K.; Takano, A.; Matsushita, Y. Effect of Homopolymer Molecular Weight on Nanophase-Separated Structures of AB Block Copolymer/C Homopolymer Blends with Hydrogen-Bonding Interactions. *Macromolecules* 2009, 42 (18), 7098–7102. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma901212p.
- (29) Bosse, A. W.; Tirumala, V. R.; Lin, E. K. Tuning Block Copolymer Phase Behavior with a Selectively Associating Homopolymer Additive. *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* 2009, 47 (21), 2083–2090. https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.21805.
- (30) Sunday, D. F.; Hannon, A. F.; Tein, S.; Kline, R. J. Thermodynamic and Morphological Behavior of Block Copolymer Blends with Thermal Polymer Additives. *Macromolecules* 2016, *49* (13), 4898–4908. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.6b00651.
- (31) Chen, S.-C.; Kuo, S.-W.; Jeng, U.-S.; Su, C.-J.; Chang, F.-C. On Modulating the Phase Behavior of Block Copolymer/Homopolymer Blends via Hydrogen Bonding. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (2), 1083–1092. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma901729t.
- (32) Wang, J.; Odarchenko, Y. I.; Defaux, M.; Lejnieks, J.; Ahokhin, D. V.; Keul, H.; Ivanov, D. A.; Möller, M.; Mourran, A. Concurrent Order in a Semi-Crystalline Diblock Copolymer Involving Complexation with a Mesogen. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46* (15), 6159–6168. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma4008797.
- (33) Yao, L.; Lu, X.; Chen, S.; Watkins, J. J. Formation of Helical Phases in Achiral Block Copolymers by Simple Addition of Small Chiral Additives. *Macromolecules* 2014, 47 (19), 6547–6553. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma501714g.
- (34) Daga, V. K.; Watkins, J. J. Hydrogen-Bond-Mediated Phase Behavior of Complexes of Small Molecule Additives with Poly(Ethylene Oxide- *b* -Propylene Oxide- *b* -Ethylene Oxide) Triblock Copolymer Surfactants. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (23), 9990–9997. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma101694n.
- (35) Daga, V. K.; Anderson, E. R.; Gido, S. P.; Watkins, J. J. Hydrogen Bond Assisted Assembly of Well-Ordered Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane–Block Copolymer Composites. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44* (17), 6793–6799. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma200926n.
- (36) Gartner, T. E.; Kubo, T.; Seo, Y.; Tansky, M.; Hall, L. M.; Sumerlin, B. S.; Epps, T. H. Domain Spacing and Composition Profile Behavior in Salt-Doped Cyclic vs Linear Block Polymer Thin Films: A Joint Experimental and Simulation Study. *Macromolecules* 2017, 50 (18), 7169–7176. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b01338.
- (37) Thelen, J. L.; Teran, A. A.; Wang, X.; Garetz, B. A.; Nakamura, I.; Wang, Z.-G.; Balsara, N. P. Phase Behavior of a Block Copolymer/Salt Mixture through the Order-to-Disorder Transition. *Macromolecules* **2014**, *47* (8), 2666–2673. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma500292n.
- (38) Teran, A. A.; Balsara, N. P. Thermodynamics of Block Copolymers with and without Salt. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2014**, *118* (1), 4–17. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp408079z.

- (39) Virgili, J. M.; Hoarfrost, M. L.; Segalman, R. A. Effect of an Ionic Liquid Solvent on the Phase Behavior of Block Copolymers. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (12), 5417–5423. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma902804e.
- (40) Miranda, D. F.; Russell, T. P.; Watkins, J. J. Ordering in Mixtures of a Triblock Copolymer with a Room Temperature Ionic Liquid. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (24), 10528–10535. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma1015209.
- (41) Bennett, T. M.; Pei, K.; Cheng, H.-H.; Thurecht, K. J.; Jack, K. S.; Blakey, I. Can Ionic Liquid Additives Be Used to Extend the Scope of Poly (Styrene)-Block-Poly (Methyl Methacrylate) for Directed Self-Assembly? *J. MicroNanolithography MEMS MOEMS* **2014**, *13* (3), 031304–031304. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JMM.13.3.031304.
- (42) Tiron, R.; Chevalier, X.; Couderc, C.; Pradelles, J.; Bustos, J.; Pain, L.; Navarro, C.; Magnet, S.; Fleury, G.; Hadziioannou, G. Optimization of Block Copolymer Self-Assembly through Graphoepitaxy: A Defectivity Study. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B Microelectron. Nanometer Struct. 2011, 29 (6), 06F206. https://doi.org/10.1116/1.3659714.
- (43) Graves, T.; Vaglio Pret, A.; Robertson, S.; Smith, M.; Doise, J.; Bekaert, J.; Gronheid, R. DSA Graphoepitaxy Calibrations for Contact Hole Multiplication. In *Proc. SPIE*; Wallow, T. I., Hohle, C. K., Eds.; SPIE: San Jose, California, USA, 2015; p 94250Y. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2086137.
- Liu, C.-C.; Ramírez-Hernández, A.; Han, E.; Craig, G. S. W.; Tada, Y.; Yoshida, H.; Kang, H.; Ji, S.; Gopalan, P.; de Pablo, J. J.; et al. Chemical Patterns for Directed Self-Assembly of Lamellae-Forming Block Copolymers with Density Multiplication of Features. *Macromolecules* 2013, *4*6 (4), 1415–1424. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma302464n.
- (45) Wan, L.; Ji, S.; Liu, C.-C.; Craig, G. S. W.; Nealey, P. F. Directed Self-Assembly of Solvent-Vapor-Induced Non-Bulk Block Copolymer Morphologies on Nanopatterned Substrates. Soft Matter 2016, 12 (11), 2914–2922. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5SM02829A.
- (46) Jeong, J. W.; Park, W. I.; Kim, M.-J.; Ross, C. A.; Jung, Y. S. Highly Tunable Self-Assembled Nanostructures from a Poly(2-Vinylpyridine- *b*-Dimethylsiloxane) Block Copolymer. *Nano Lett.* 2011, *11* (10), 4095–4101. https://doi.org/10.1021/nl2016224.
- (47) Bates, C. M.; Seshimo, T.; Maher, M. J.; Durand, W. J.; Cushen, J. D.; Dean, L. M.; Blachut, G.; Ellison, C. J.; Willson, C. G. Polarity-Switching Top Coats Enable Orientation of Sub-10-Nm Block Copolymer Domains. *Science* **2012**, *338* (6108), 775–779. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226046.
- (48) Suh, H. S.; Kim, D. H.; Moni, P.; Xiong, S.; Ocola, L. E.; Zaluzec, N. J.; Gleason, K. K.; Nealey, P. F. Sub-10-Nm Patterning via Directed Self-Assembly of Block Copolymer Films with a Vapour-Phase Deposited Topcoat. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2017**, *12* (6), 575–581. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2017.34.
- (49) Tseng, Y.-C.; Peng, Q.; Ocola, L. E.; Czaplewski, D. A.; Elam, J. W.; Darling, S. B. Etch Properties of Resists Modified by Sequential Infiltration Synthesis. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B Microelectron. Nanometer Struct. 2011, 29 (6), 06FG01. https://doi.org/10.1116/1.3640758.
- (50) Lane, A. P.; Yang, X.; Maher, M. J.; Blachut, G.; Asano, Y.; Someya, Y.; Mallavarapu, A.; Sirard, S. M.; Ellison, C. J.; Willson, C. G. Directed Self-Assembly and Pattern Transfer of Five Nanometer Block Copolymer Lamellae. ACS Nano 2017, 11, 7656. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b02698.
- (51) Jiang, J.; Jacobs, A. G.; Wenning, B.; Liedel, C.; Thompson, M. O.; Ober, C. K. Ultrafast Self-Assembly of Sub-10 Nm Block Copolymer Nanostructures by Solvent-Free High-Temperature Laser Annealing. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9 (37), 31317–31324. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b00774.
- (52) Jin, H. M.; Lee, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Son, S.-W.; Kim, B. H.; Lee, H. K.; Mun, J. H.; Cha, S. K.; Kim, J. S.; Nealey, P. F.; et al. Laser Writing Block Copolymer Self-Assembly on

Graphene Light-Absorbing Layer. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10* (3), 3435–3442. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b07511.

- (53) Jin, H. M.; Park, D. Y.; Jeong, S.-J.; Lee, G. Y.; Kim, J. Y.; Mun, J. H.; Cha, S. K.; Lim, J.; Kim, J. S.; Kim, K. H.; et al. Flash Light Millisecond Self-Assembly of High χ Block Copolymers for Wafer-Scale Sub-10 Nm Nanopatterning. *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29* (32), 1700595. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201700595.
- (54) Xiong, S.; Chapuis, Y.-A.; Wan, L.; Gao, H.; Li, X.; Ruiz, R.; Nealey, P. F. Directed Self-Assembly of High-Chi Block Copolymer for Nano Fabrication of Bit Patterned Media via Solvent Annealing. *Nanotechnology* **2016**, *27* (41), 415601. https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/27/41/415601.
- (55) Tsai, H.; Miyazoe, H.; Vora, A.; Magbitang, T.; Arellano, N.; Liu, C.-C.; Maher, M. J.; Durand, W. J.; Dawes, S. J.; Bucchignano, J. J.; et al. High Chi Block Copolymer DSA to Improve Pattern Quality for FinFET Device Fabrication. In *Proc. SPIE*; Hohle, C. K., Younkin, T. R., Eds.; SPIE: San Jose, California, United States, 2016; p 977910. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2219544.
- (56) Kim, J. M.; Hur, Y. H.; Jeong, J. W.; Nam, T. W.; Lee, J. H.; Jeon, K.; Kim, Y.; Jung, Y. S. Block Copolymer with an Extremely High Block-to-Block Interaction for a Significant Reduction of Line-Edge Fluctuations in Self-Assembled Patterns. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b01731.
- (57) Sferrazza, M.; Xiao, C.; Jones, R. A. L.; Bucknall, D. G.; Webster, J.; Penfold, J. Evidence for Capillary Waves at Immiscible Polymer/Polymer Interfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, 78 (19), 3693–3966. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.3693.
- (58) Blachut, G.; Sirard, S. M.; Hymes, D.; Mack, C. A.; Maher, M. J.; Rincon-Delgadillo, P. A.; Chan, B. T.; Mannaert, G.; Vandenberghe, G.; Willson, G.; et al. Evolution of Roughness during the Pattern Transfer of High-Chi, 10nm Half-Pitch, Silicon-Containing Block Copolymer Structures. In *Advanced Etch Technology for Nanopatterning VII*; Engelmann, S. U., Wise, R. S., Eds.; SPIE: San Jose, United States, 2018; p 1058907. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2297489.
- (59) Winey, K. I.; Thomas, E. L.; Fetters, L. J. Swelling of Lamellar Diblock Copolymer by Homopolymer: Influences of Homopolymer Concentration and Molecular Weight. *Macromolecules* **1991**, *24* (23), 6182–6188. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma00023a020.
- (60) Tanaka, H.; Hasegawa, H.; Hashimoto, T. Ordered Structure in Mixtures of a Block Copolymer and Homopolymers. 1. Solubilization of Low Molecular Weight Homopolymers. *Macromolecules* **1991**, *24* (1), 240–251. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma00001a037.
- (61) Sunday, D. F.; Kline, R. J. Reducing Block Copolymer Interfacial Widths through Polymer Additives. *Macromolecules* **2015**, *48* (3), 679–686. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma502015u.
- (62) Bennett, T. M.; Jack, K. S.; Thurecht, K. J.; Blakey, I. Perturbation of the Experimental Phase Diagram of a Diblock Copolymer by Blending with an Ionic Liquid. *Macromolecules* 2016, 49 (1), 205–214. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.5b02041.
- (63) Anastasiadis, S. H.; Russell, T. P.; Satija, S. K.; Majkrzak, C. F. Neutron Reflectivity Studies of the Surface-Induced Ordering of Diblock Copolymer Films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1989**, *62* (16), 1852–1855. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1852.
- (64) Longanecker, M.; Modi, A.; Dobrynin, A.; Kim, S.; Yuan, G.; Jones, R.; Satija, S.; Bang, J.; Karim, A. Reduced Domain Size and Interfacial Width in Fast Ordering Nanofilled Block Copolymer Films by Direct Immersion Annealing. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49* (22), 8563–8571. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.6b01690.
- (65) Lin, E. K. Direct Measurement of the Reaction Front in Chemically Amplified Photoresists. *Science* **2002**, *297* (5580), *372–375*. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1072092.

- (66) Russell, T. P.; Menelle, A.; Hamilton, W. A.; Smith, G. S.; Satija, S. K.; Majkrzak, C. F. Width of Homopolymer Interfaces in the Presence of Symmetric Diblock Copolymers. *Macromolecules* **1991**, *24* (20), 5721–5726. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma00020a036.
- (67) Sunday, D. F.; Maher, M. J.; Hannon, A. F.; Liman, C. D.; Tein, S.; Blachut, G.; Asano, Y.; Ellison, C. J.; Willson, C. G.; Kline, R. J. Characterizing the Interface Scaling of High χ Block Copolymers near the Order–Disorder Transition. *Macromolecules* **2018**, *51* (1), 173–180. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b01982.
- (68) Ade, H.; Wang, C.; Garcia, A.; Yan, H.; Sohn, K. E.; Hexemer, A.; Bazan, G. C.; Nguyen, T.-Q.; Kramer, E. J. Characterization of Multicomponent Polymer Trilayers with Resonant Soft X-Ray Reflectivity. *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* 2009, *47* (13), 1291–1299. https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.21730.
- (69) Sunday, D. F.; Chang, A. B.; Liman, C. D.; Gann, E.; Delongchamp, D. M.; Thomsen, L.; Matsen, M. W.; Grubbs, R. H.; Soles, C. L. Self-Assembly of ABC Bottlebrush Triblock Terpolymers with Evidence for Looped Backbone Conformations. *Macromolecules* 2018, 51 (18), 7178–7185. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.8b01370.
- Macke, S.; Radi, A.; Hamann-Borrero, J. E.; Bluschke, M.; Brück, S.; Goering, E.; Sutarto, R.; He, F.; Cristiani, G.; Wu, M.; et al. Element Specific Monolayer Depth Profiling. *Adv. Mater.* 2014, 26 (38), 6554–6559. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201402028.
- (71) Sunday, D. F.; Chan, E. P.; Orski, S. V.; Nieuwendaal, R. C.; Stafford, C. M. Functional Group Quantification of Polymer Nanomembranes with Soft X-Rays. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 2018, 2 (3). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.2.032601.
- (72) Certain Commercial Equipment, Instruments, or Materials Are Identified in This Paper in Order to Specify the Experimental Procedure Adequately. Such Identification Is Not Intended to Imply Recommendation or Endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor Is It Intended to Imply That the Materials or Equipment Identified Are Necessarily the Best Available for the Purpose.
- (73) Vrugt, J. A.; Ter Braak, C. J. F. DREAM<Sub&gt;(D)&lt;/Sub&gt;: An Adaptive Markov Chain Monte Carlo Simulation Algorithm to Solve Discrete, Noncontinuous, and Combinatorial Posterior Parameter Estimation Problems. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 2011, 15 (12), 3701–3713. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-3701-2011.
- (74) Nowak, D.; Morrison, W.; Wickramasinghe, H. K.; Jahng, J.; Potma, E.; Wan, L.; Ruiz, R.; Albrecht, T. R.; Schmidt, K.; Frommer, J.; et al. Nanoscale Chemical Imaging by Photoinduced Force Microscopy. *Sci. Adv.* **2016**, *2* (3), e1501571. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501571.
- (75) Semenov, A. N. Theory of Block Copolymer Interfaces in the Strong Segregation Limit. *Macromolecules* **1993**, *26* (24), 6617–6621. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma00076a047.
- (76) Sunday, D. F.; Ashley, E.; Wan, L.; Patel, K. C.; Ruiz, R.; Kline, R. J. Template-Polymer Commensurability and Directed Self-Assembly Block Copolymer Lithography. *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* **2015**, *53* (8), 595–603. https://doi.org/10.1002/polb.23675.
- (77) Mok, M. M.; Liu, X.; Bai, Z.; Lei, Y.; Lodge, T. P. Effect of Concentration on the Glass Transition and Viscoelastic Properties of Poly(Methyl Methacrylate)/Ionic Liquid Solutions. *Macromolecules* 2011, 44 (4), 1016–1025. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma102503j.

TOC Graphic

