Body-in-a-Cube: a microphysiological system for multi-tissue co-culture with near-physiological amounts of blood surrogate

Longyi Chen^{1,2}, Yang Yang^{1,2}, Hidetaka Ueno^{1,3}, Mandy B. Esch¹

¹Biomedical Technologies Group, Physical Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA; ²Institute for Research in Electronics and Applied Physics & Maryland NanoCenter, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA; ³Biosensing Research Group, Health and Medical Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Takamatsu, Japan *Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: MB Esch, L Chen; (II) Administrative support: MB Esch; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: MB Esch; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: L Chen, Y Yang, H Ueno; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: L Chen, Y Yang, MB Esch; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Mandy B. Esch. Biomedical Technologies Group, Physical Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA. Email: mandy.esch@nist.gov.

Abstract: Microphysiological systems (MPS) that are operated with human tissues often only represent 1/100,000th to 1/50,000th of the human body, where the corresponding amounts of blood surrogate are 60 to 300 µL of cell culture medium. Operating microfluidic cell culture devices that house multiple tissues with such small amounts of recirculating liquid is technically challenging. Here, we have developed a microphysiological cell culture platform that supports the culture of four human tissues (kidney, GI tract, liver, and bone marrow) with 80 µL of cell culture medium. We used the platform to co-culture the tissues for up to 72 h. Cell viability data and the production of urea and albumin over the three days of co-culture indicate that the device we developed is a viable format for short-term operation of MPS with near-physiological amounts of blood surrogate. The system was also capable of reproducing acute liver toxicity to HepG2/C3A liver cells via acetaminophen and troglitazone.

Keywords: Biomimetics; microfluidics; biomedical technology; microphysiological system; body-on-a-chip; body cube

Received: 15 October 2019; Accepted: 07 April 2020. doi: 10.21037/mps-19-8 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/mps-19-8

2 Introduction

1

3 Drug toxicity testing with microphysiological systems (MPS) has the potential to replace animal experiments 5 in the drug development process (1,2). MPS are small 6 microfluidic cell-culture devices that house several 7 tissues as well as a recirculating blood surrogate. Adding 8 drugs to the blood surrogate mimics an intravenous 9 10 drug administration, and once the drug reaches the cocultured tissues, it is metabolized via the same pathways 11 that convert it into its metabolites and waste products 12 inside the human body. 13

Several groups have demonstrated that MPS canreplicate known metabolic pathways and produce

the expected metabolic products (3-11). Because both 17 efficacy and toxicity depend on drug metabolite 18 concentration profiles, predicting them with MPS 19 requires that metabolite concentrations produced with 20 the systems match those produced in the body. 21

The main strategies to producing in vivo drug 22 concentration profiles with MPS have been to design 23 the systems so that the ratios of functional units of 24 tissues match those present in vivo (12,13), and to 25 employ tissues of high quality with cellular activities 26 that approach in vivo activities (12). Tissues that 27 approach in vivo cellular activities often consist either 28 of patient-derived primary tissues, or tissues made from 29 stem cells (14-16). Both can be expensive and difficult 30

Page 2 of 13

to obtain in large quantities. MPS that only represent 31 a small fraction of the human body (1/100,000th to 32 1/50,000th) are best suited for use with such tissues 33 because they require a smaller number of cells to 34 satisfy the functional volume requirement than larger 35 systems. However, in such small systems, the volume 36 of cell culture medium that represents a physiological 37 equivalent of blood is small-60 to 300 uL-and 38 microfluidic systems are difficult to operate with such 39 small amounts of recirculating liquid. 40

Here we have developed a microphysiological 41 platform that contains chambers for four organs and 42 that can be operated with 80 µL of recirculating cell 43 culture medium. We demonstrate the device with 44 four tissues composed of cells from immortalized 45 cell lines: GI tract epithelial cells (Caco-2), liver 46 cells (HepG2/C3A), bone marrow cells (Meg01), and 47 kidney cells (HK-2). Each tissue was first cultured 48 separately on a 3D scaffold for 24 h, and then the 49 tissues were combined within the device for co-50 culture. The system supports the co-culture of 51 those tissues for up to 72 h, and recreates acute liver 52 toxicity of acetaminophen and troglitazone. The 53 54 platform is modular and allows for more tissues to be added in the future. Similar to previous systems 55 (8,17-19), the current design has the added advantage 56 of utilizing gravity to drive fluidic flow, making it 57 inexpensive, reliable, and easy to use. 58

60 61 Methods

59

⁶² MPS design

The system consists of a cube-shaped holder that has 64 two medium reservoirs, one on either side, and that 65 can hold four tissue chips (Figure 1). To assemble the 66 MPS, the four tissue chips are first loaded with cells, 67 then stacked on top of each other, and then inserted 68 into the holder. When the chips are stacked on top 69 of each other, the solid back of each chip effectively 70 closes the tissue chamber and fluidic channels of the 71 chip underneath. Each chip has a set of custom-sized 72 microfluidic channels that connect the tissue chamber 73 with the two reservoirs of the holder. When the holder 74 is tilted at a 45° angle, cell culture medium from one 75 reservoir flows through all tissue chips simultaneously, 76 but at individual flow rates. The flow rates are organ-77 specific and they are determined by the combined 78

hydraulic resistances of the fluidic channels and the cell 79 culture chamber on each chip. The cell culture medium 80 flows into the second reservoir, where it recombines 81 (*Figure 1*). When the holder is tilted by 45° in the other 82 direction, the recombined cell culture medium now 83 flows back through the tissue chips, exposing all tissues 84 to diluted metabolites. The tilting sequence is repeated 85 every sixty seconds, so that toxic metabolites produced 86 in any of the tissues are redistributed among all tissues. 87

88

89

90

119

120

121

Tissue volumes

We designed the system with the goal of achieving an 91 overall blood surrogate volume of 80 µL. This volume 92 of blood surrogate corresponds to 1/73000th of the blood 93 volume present in the body of an average person. We 94 designed the cell culture chambers so that they represent 95 1/73,000th of their *in vivo* volume. Since blood vessels are 96 represented in our system by microfluidic channels, we 97 subtracted the blood vessel volumes of in vivo organs to 98 obtain the organ volumes that are closer to their actual 99 functional volumes ($V_{functional}$) (Eq. [1]). The blood vessel 100 content is given by the blood plasma volume (V_{plasma}) , 101 the volume of blood cells ($V_{blood cells}$), and the volume 102 of endothelial cells ($V_{endothelial cells}$). Data for whole organ 103 volumes and blood vessel content were obtained from 104 Davies et al., Higashi et al., and Price et al. (20-22). 105

$$V_{functional} = V_{organ} - \left(V_{plasma} + V_{blood cells} + V_{endothelial cells}\right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 107 \\ 107 \end{bmatrix}$$

The tissue culture chamber volumes for the cube (V_{cube}) 108 were obtained by dividing the calculated *in vivo* functional 109 organ volumes ($V_{functional}$) by 73000 (scaling factor, *SF*) (Eq. [2]). 110

$$V_{cube} = V_{organ} / SF$$

$$[2] \frac{111}{112}$$

To create 3D tissues within each tissue culture chamber, 113 we seeded cells onto scaffolding that was 200 µm thick and 114 90% porous. Since the scaffold does not contribute to the 115 function of the tissue, but takes up 10% of the chamber, the 116 chamber volumes were increased by 10%. The final tissue 117 chamber sizes are listed in *Table 1*. 118

Microfluidic channels

Each individual tissue chip contains a set of microfluidic 122 channels that delivers cell culture medium to the tissue 123 chamber. When placed into the holder, the channels 124 line up with the two reservoirs on either side of the 125 chip, forming an interconnected system of tissue chips 126



Figure 1 Assembly and operation of the body cube. (A) Maturation of tissues on individual chips: a cell culture scaffold is placed into the tissue chamber of each chip and tissue maturation takes place in separate environments; (B) device assembly: once the tissues matured, the chips are stacked on top of each other and inserted into the cube-shaped holder so that the interconnecting channels line up with the medium reservoirs; (C) cut-away view of the tissue chips when assembled: each tissue is separated from other tissues, and microfluidic channels on top and below the tissue supply the tissue with recirculating cell culture medium; (D,E) the device is placed on a rocker platform that that periodically tilts by $\pm 45^{\circ}$. The culture medium flows through the upper reservoir through all tissue chips, and recombines in the lower reservoir. The process is then repeated in the other direction.

Table 1 Average human organ sizes (based on a 2	$70~\mathrm{kg}$ body) and tissue culture chamber sizes
---	---

Organ	Mean <i>in vivo</i> organ volume ± stdev. (20-22) (L)	Functional <i>in vivo</i> organ volume ± stdev. (L)	Cube organ volume ± stdev. (µL)	Square cube chamber area (with chamber depth =200 μ m) ± stdev. (mm ²)
GI tract	1.23±0.22	0.70±0.13	9.6±1.7	53.3±9.5
Liver	1.57±0.26	0.94±0.16	12.8±2.1	71.1±11.8
Kidney	0.32±0.07	0.19±0.040	2.5±0.53	13.9±3.0
Bone marrow	5.1±0.89	2.98±0.52	40.8±7.1	226.7±29.6
Blood	5.82±0.73	5.82±0.73	79.7±10.0	N/A

stdev., standard deviation.

Page 4 of 13

Table 2 In vivo and in vitro flow rates and cell culture medium residence times for the four tissues co-cultured in the body cube

Organ	Q _{in vivo} ± stdev. (20-22) (L/min)	$ au_{\rm phys} \pm$ stdev. (20-22) (min)	Needed $Q_{cube} \pm$ stdev. (µL/min)	Simulated average $Q_{_{cube}}\pm$ stdev. (µL/min)
GI tract	0.93±0.16	0.75±0.13	12.7±2.2	11.0±0.1
Liver	1.30±0.22	0.71±0.12	18.1±3.1	15.6±0.1
Kidneys	1.20±0.25	0.16±0.04	16.0±3.3	13.8±0.1
Bone marrow	0.59±0.10	5.05±0.87	8.1±1.4	7.0±0.1

stdev., standard deviation.

through which the cell culture medium recirculates(*Figure 1*).

129 The channel sizes were chosen to provide a passive hydraulic resistance that limits medium flow through 130 the chip's tissue chamber to near-physiological values. 131 Calculations of channel sizes followed our earlier 132 method (17), and are outlined below. Flow rates were 133 134 considered near-physiological when they created fluid residence times within each organ chamber that 135 are comparable to blood residence times in the same 136 137 volume of tissue in the body (Table 2).

Blood residence times (τ_{phys}) were calculated using the ratio of blood flow through each organ per time interval $(Q_{in\ vivo})$ and organ volumes (V_{organ}) (Eq. [3]).

$$\tau_{phys} = V_{organ} / Q_{in vivo}$$
[3]

143 We then calculated the needed *in vitro* flow rate (Q_{cube}) 144 using the functional volumes of the cell culture chambers 145 (V_{cube}) and the *in vivo* fluid residence time (τ_{pby}) (Eq. [4]).

$$\begin{array}{l} 146\\ 147 \end{array} \qquad \mathcal{Q}_{cube} = V_{cube} / \tau_{phys} \end{array}$$

The needed hydraulic channel resistances that allow us to achieve near-physiological fluid residence times with gravitydriven medium flow are determined via Eqs. [5] and [6]. The channel's needed hydraulic resistance (R) is calculated using the desired flow rate (Q_{cube}), and the pressure difference (ΔP) between the two medium reservoirs (Eq. [5]).

$$R = \Delta P / Q_{cube}$$

$$[5]$$

To create a pressure difference, we tilt the device at an angle so that the liquid levels between the two reservoirs become different. The resulting pressure difference is calculated using the density of the cell culture medium (ρ), the gravitational constant (g), and the resulting height difference between the liquid levels in the two reservoirs (H) (Eq. [6]).

$$\Delta P = \rho g H$$

$$[6]$$

In addition to the hydraulic resistances of the microfluidic 164 delivery channels, we must also consider the hydraulic 165 resistances provided by the channels inside the cell culture 166 chambers. Within each cell culture chamber, the fluidic 167 stream coming from the delivery channel branches out into 168 a set of parallel channels that are 200 µm wide, 200 µm 169 high, and 200 µm apart from each other. The total hydraulic 170 resistance provided by those channels is calculated using 171 Eq. [7]. Here R_n is the hydraulic resistance of each of the n 172 parallel channels that exist in a given cell culture chamber. 173

$$\frac{1}{R_{channels \cdot in \cdot tissue \cdot chamber}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{R_n}$$
[7] 174
175
176

174

194

195

196

The heights and widths of the microfluidic delivery 177 channels can be accurately controlled with microfabrication 178 techniques. The opportunity to adjust those dimensions 179 allows us to create customized hydraulic resistances for 180 each cell culture chamber (Eq. [8]). For channels with 181 rectangular cross-sectional shapes, Eq. [8] relates channel 182 height and width to their hydraulic resistance. We adjust the 183 height and width of each channel to achieve the hydraulic 184 resistance needed to create the desired flow rate (Q_{cube}) in 185 the tissue chamber. 186

$$R_{channels} = \frac{12\eta L}{wh^3} * \left[1 - \frac{192h}{\pi^5 w} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi w}{2h}\right) \right]^{-1}$$
[8] 187
[8] 188
[8] 189

In Eq. [8], η is the kinetic viscosity of the medium, *L* is 190 a length of the channel, *b* is the height of the channel, and 191 *w* is the channel's width. The calculated and actual organ 192 chamber and channel sizes are listed in *Table 3*. 193

Fabrication

The cube holder and all tissue chips were designed using 197 3D drawing software. Each tissue chip measured 20 mm × 198 20 mm × 1 mm. The tissue culture chambers were designed 199 as 200 µm deep, square cavities with lengths and widths listed 200

Table 3 Calculated and actual sizes of channel and chamber dimensions. Measured values represent means obtained from 4 devices ± standard deviations

Dovice feature	Organ	Calculated channel/chamber dimensions		Actual channel/chamber dimensions	
Device leature		Width (µm)	Height (µm)	Width ± stdev. (µm)	Height ± stdev. (µm)
Microfluidic channels	bone marrow	80	150	75.7±2.2	149.1±3.8
	liver	172		176.3±1.7	
	kidney	183		178.8±2.6	
	GI tract	143		147.3±2.9	
Organ chambers	bone marrow	15,060	200	14,859.3±41.3	207.9±4.6
	liver	8,430		8,368.3±20.9	
	kidney	3,730		3,635.7±8.2	
	GI tract	7,300		7,247.9±18.3	

stdev., standard deviation.

in Table 1. The microfluidic channels on the tissue chips 201 were all 200 µm deep, but of varying lengths and widths. 2.02 The lengths and widths are listed in Table 2. The holder and 203 the tissue chips were all 3D-printed by a commercial vendor 204 using a high-resolution material. The printed tissue chips as 205 well as the chip holder were then coated with a 1 µm thick 206 207 layer of parylene C. To build the final four-organ system the chips are loaded with cells, stacked against each other, and 208 209 placed into the cube holder (Figure 1).

210

²¹¹ 212 *Cell culture*

HepG2/C3A cells (ATCC) were cultured using Eagl's 213 Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) with 50 mL fetal 214 bovine serum. Caco-2 cells (ATCC, HTB-37TM) were 215 cultured using ATCC-formulated Eagle's Minimum 216 Essential Medium with 100 mL fetal bovine serum. MEG-217 01 cells (ATCC, CRL-2021TM) were cultured using 218 ATCC-formulated RPMI-1640 medium with 50 mL fetal 219 bovine serum. HK-2 cells (ATCC, CRL-2190TM) were 220 cultured using ATCC-formulated RPMI-1640 medium with 221 50 mL fetal bovine serum. All cells were maintained at 37 °C, 222 with a volume gas fraction of 5% CO₂. Once confluent, the 223 cells were detached from the cell culture flask with trypsin 224 (Trypsin-EDTA, 0.25% volume fraction), and separated 225 226 from the medium by centrifugation.

227

228 *Device setup and operation*

230 Tissue culture scaffolds designed for 3D cell culture were

obtained from Reprocell USA Inc. (Beltsville, MD). The 231 scaffolds are 200 µm thick, porous, cross-linked polystyrene 232 sheets with an average void size of 42 µm. The scaffolds 233 were loaded with either Caco-2, HepG2/C3A, MEG-01, 234 or HK-2 cells at the following densities: Caco-2: 279×10³ 235 cells per scaffold (10% of physiological density), HepG2/ 236 C3A: 45×10^3 cells per scaffold (20% of physiological 237 density), MEG-01: 2,000×10³ cells per scaffold (20% of 238 physiological density), HK-2: 12.7×10³ cells per scaffold 239 (10% of physiological density). The cell-loaded scaffolds 240 were placed into petri dishes and the cells were maintained 241 for 24 h at 37 °C, with a volume fraction of 5% CO₂ using 242 culture medium appropriate for each cell type. 243

After 24 h, the cell-loaded 3D scaffolds were aseptically 244 transferred into the tissue chambers of the appropriate 245 tissues chip. The chips were then placed on top of each 246 other, so that the back of one tissue chamber closed the 247 opening of the tissue chip below it. The tissue chip stack 248 was then inserted into the holder. The two reservoirs of 249 the cube were filled with 32.5 µL of EMEM (containing 250 a volume fraction of 10% FBS) each, and the device was 251 placed onto a rocker platform that tilted back and forth 252 at an angle of 45°. The platform changed its tilt from 45° 253 to -45° every 60 s continuously for 72 h. Culture medium 254 was collected and replaced with fresh medium after 1, 16, 255 24, 40, 48, and 64 h. The total amount of blood surrogate 256 (i.e., the amount of cell culture medium that we consider 257 blood surrogate) inside the system consisted of 2×32.5 µL 258 (medium in the reservoirs), plus 15 µL (medium inside the 259 microfluidic channels that deliver medium to the tissue 260

Page 6 of 13

261 culture chambers).

After 72 h of operation, the cube was disassembled,
and the cells on the scaffolds were stained with viability
stain (ReadyProbes[™] Cell Viability Imaging Kit, Blue/Red,
R37610[†]).

266

²⁶⁷ ²⁶⁸ Urea and albumin concentration measurements

Urea concentrations were measured in the collected cell 269 culture medium using an appropriate assay kit. To conduct 270 the measurement, 5 µL of the collected medium were 271 transferred into the wells of a 96-well plate, and 200 µL of 272 the working reagent was added. The plate was tapped lightly 273 to mix medium and reagents. After 50 min of incubation at 274 room temperature in the dark, the working reagent formed 275 a coloured complex specifically with urea. The absorbance 276 of the coloured complex was measured at 430 nm using a 277 plate reader. The results were obtained from the standard 278 curve and expressed as µg per million cells produced in 279 relation to a 1 h baseline measurement. 280

Albumin synthesis was evaluated by ELISA (enzyme-281 linked immunosorbent assay), using a kit and following the 2.82 manufacturer's directions. In short, the 96-well plate was 283 coated with goat anti-human albumin antibody and the 284 wells washed with buffer. Diluted samples and standards 285 were added into the coated wells. After incubation, the 286 wells were washed with buffer, horse radish peroxidase-287 conjugated goat anti-human antibody was added and 288 incubated for 1 h. Following another wash step, 100 µL of 289 enzyme substrate (tetramethylbenzidine) were added and 290 incubated for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 291 100 µL stop solution. Plates were measured at 450 nm using 292 a plate reader. The results are expressed as µg per million 293 cells produced in relation to a 1 h baseline measurement. 294

295

297

AST measurements

To estimate cell death during co-culture, we measured AST concentrations in the cell culture medium recovered from the devices after 1, 16, 40 and 64 h of co-culture. The AST activity was measured with an assay kit (Sigma MAK055, AST Activity Assay Kit[‡]). Briefly, standard samples and medium samples were added to the 96 well plate. We then added 100 μ L of working solution to each well and mixed both solutions by gently tapping the plate. 305 The plate was then incubated inside a plate reader in the 306 dark, at 37 °C. After 5 min of incubation, the absorbance at 307 450 nm was measured, and the measurement was repeated 308 every 5 minutes until absorbance of the most active sample 309 exceeded the standard curve's largest absorbance. We then 310 selected the initial absorbance value inside the linear range 311 and calculated the AST concentrations using the protocol 312 given in the kit. The results are expressed as µg per million 313 cells produced in relation to a 1 h baseline measurement. 314

315

316

317

333

334

335

345

346

347

Cell number measurement

The cell number in each tissue culture scaffold before 318 culture on the chip was measured by alamarBlue[™] HS Cell 319 Viability Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, A50101[‡]). 320 Briefly, the standard curve for each cell line was obtained 321 by seeding predetermined numbers of cells (counted by 322 Trypan Blue using Countess[™] II Automated Cell Counter, 323 AMQAX1000, ThermoFisher Scientific[‡]) into 6 well 324 plates and measuring the fluorescence of incubated culture 325 medium (ex/em is 560/590). The cell numbers on the 326 seeding scaffold was measured following a similar procedure 327 by incubation with alamar blue reagent and measurement 328 of the incubated culture medium. After co-culture 329 experiments, cell viability was determined by cell viability 330 stain (ReadyProbes[™] Cell Viability Imaging Kit, Blue/Red, 331 R37610[‡]) 332

Flow rate measurement

To determine the volume flow rate, we added 5 µL EBM-336 2 with growth factor to the bottom reservoir, then 65 µL 337 of EBM-2 with growth factor to the top reservoir. We then 338 let the medium flow through the device for thirty seconds. 339 The culture medium in the bottom reservoir was collected 340 and weighed. The volume change of culture medium in 341 the bottom reservoir and volume flow rate was calculated. 342 Then the flow rate was adjusted to account for the viscosity 343 difference at room temperature and 37 °C. 344

Computational simulation of flow dynamics

We simulated the fluidic flow inside the body cube using a 348

[‡] any mention of commercial products within this work is for information only. It does not imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST.

Page 7 of 13

395

396

397



Figure 2 Simulated flow rates (A) and shear forces (B) inside the tissue chambers of the body cube.

method we described earlier. In short, 3D software models 349 of the tissue chambers were imported into COMSOL 5.5[‡]. 350 Stationary total flow rates in the device under 6 different 351 liquid level differences were simulated in COMSOL. The 352 correlation of the liquid level differences and total flow 353 rate was determined by polynomial regression curve fitting. 354 Then one partial differential equation (PDE) for the total 355 flow rate in the device was built based on the liquid level 356 difference versus flow rate fitting curve, and another PDE 357 for the angular position of the device was built based on 358 359 the motion of the rocking platform. Those PDEs were solved with MATLAB PDE solver ode45 with absolute 360 tolerance 10⁻¹⁰ and a relative tolerance 10⁻⁷ in MATLAB 361 R2016b[‡]. Since the ratios of flow rate in each organ 362 chamber were equal to the ratios of the reciprocal of their 363 hydraulic resistances, the flow rate in each chamber could 364 be calculated. 365

367368**Results**

366

369 *System design* 370

To accommodate four tissues with 80 µL of recirculating 371 cell culture medium, we designed a cube-shaped holder 372 that held a total of four tissue chips (Figure 1). Stacking the 373 chips allowed us to shorten the interconnects and with that 374 the amount of liquid needed to operate the device. Medium 375 flow across the tissues was achieved via channels that were 376 etched into the tissue culture chamber lids, i.e., the back of 377 each adjacent tissue chip. The channels were 200 µm wide 378 on a 400 µm pitch. 379

The amount of recirculating cell culture medium inside the body cube consisted of three fractions. The combined amount of cell culture medium in the two reservoirs was 65 µL at any given time, while the amount of cell culture 383 medium inside the network of fluidic channels was 15 µL. 384 The system also contained a small amount of cell culture 385 medium that resided in each tissue culture chamber and 386 filled the space not occupied by either cells or scaffold. The 387 total flow rate measured was 3.36±0.40 µL/s. The flow in 388 each tissue culture chamber was simulated computationally 389 and is shown in Figure 2. The flow rate periodically increases 390 and then reverses direction as the device is rocked back 391 and forth. The average flow rates were 11.0±0.1 µL/min 392 (GI tract), 15.6±0.1 µL/min (liver), 13.8±0.1 µL/min 393 (kidney), and 7.0±0.1 µL/min (bone marrow). 394

Cell viability and function

We recovered all tissue scaffolds from the cube after 72 h 398 of co-culture, stained the cells with viability dyes, and 399 imaged the cells via fluorescence microscopy (Figure 3). 400 The images show that the scaffolds recovered from all four 401 tissue chambers are populated with live cells as well as a 402 smaller fraction of dead cells. Bone marrow and liver cells 403 were most amenable to 72 h of co-culture in the low-liquid 404 environment. Image analysis showed that 95.5%±3.2% of 405 liver cells, and 89.8%±4.7% of bone marrow cells were 406 still viable after the recovery (Figure 4). The numbers of 407 live cells after 72 h of operation were lower in the GI tract 408 tissue (82.8%±8.1%) and the kidney tissue (80.1%±11.5%) 409 (Figure 4). 410

To measure the production and secretion of albumin and 411 urea into the cell culture medium, we used a portion of the 412 cell culture medium recovered from the devices every day. 413 On the first day of culture, the medium albumin content 414 was 0.74 ± 0.11 µg per day per million cells, with lower 415

Page 8 of 13



Figure 3 Fluorescence microscopy images of cells co-cultured in the body cube for 72 h. Control cultures without drug: (A) bone marrow, (B) liver, (C) GI tract, (D) kidney. Co-cultures exposed to troglitazone: (E) bone marrow, (F) liver, (G) GI tract, (H) kidney. Co-cultures exposed to acetaminophen (APAP): (I) bone marrow, (J) liver, (K) GI tract, (L) kidney. The cells were stained with viability dye. Blue cells are live cells and pink cells are no longer viable. Scale bars represent 100 µm.



Figure 4 Percentage of bone marrow, liver, GI tract, and kidney428cells that are viable after three days of co-culture with medium429recirculation in the body-cube device. Column heights represent430means of $n \ge 3$ experiments, and error bars represent \pm standard431deviations. *, P<0.05 compared to control.</td>432

concentrations measured on days two $(0.55\pm0.13 \text{ µg per}$ day per million cells) and three $(0.31\pm0.04 \text{ µg per}$ day per million cells). Similarly, the urea content in the cell culture medium was $72.1\pm6.0 \text{ µg per}$ day per million cells on the first day, and lower on days two $(64.6\pm9.8 \text{ µg per}$ day per million cells) and three $(53.4\pm10.5 \text{ µg per}$ day per million cells) of co-culture.

Toxicity measurements

To determine whether the body cube can detect acute cellular toxicity, we challenged the device with two toxins that are known to cause damage to liver HepG2/C3A cells when exposed at high concentrations, acetaminophen (23), and troglitazone (24,25). Similar to the baseline experiments described above, we recovered cell cultures after 72 h of co-culture from the device. Fluorescence images confirm



Figure 5 Changes in reference to the 1 h timepoint in production of albumin (A) and urea (B) produced by HepG2/C3A liver cells when cultured together with bone marrow, GI tract and kidney cells inside the body-cube for 3 days. Changes in reference to the 1 h timepoint in AST concentrations (C) in cell culture medium recovered from the body-cube when liver, bone marrow, GI tract and kidney tissues were co-cultured for 3 days. Data points represent means of $n \ge 3$ experiments, and error bars represent \pm standard deviations. *, P<0.05 compared to control.

that both acetaminophen and troglitazone cause significant 433 434 liver damage when compared to control conditions without drug (Figures 3,4). This result is confirmed by the 435 differences in AST released from cells cultures treated with 436 acetaminophen and troglitazone. Compared to control 437 conditions without drug, the amount of AST in the cell 438 culture medium is significantly higher, indicating significant 439 liver cell death. In addition, urea production, but not 440 albumin production was decreased when drugs were added 441 to the cube (*Figure 5*). 442

⁴⁴⁴ **Discussion**

443

446 *System design*

Our goal was to design a microphysiological system that can 448 be operated with small amounts of cell culture medium, so 449 450 that the volume of blood surrogate in the system is close to physiological values. We calculated on-chip organ volumes 451 and on-chip blood surrogate content using data for a 70 kg 452 male human (20-22), and when necessary, we normalized 453 454 data from the literature to a 70 kg human by scaling the values assuming a directly proportional relationship. 455 Because organs contain varying amounts of vasculature, 456 and the in vitro tissues we constructed here do not (the 457 blood vessels are moved to the outside of the tissue and are 458 mimicked by the fluidic channels that supply cell culture 459 460 medium to the tissues), we removed the volumes of vascular endothelial cells and blood volumes from the overall 461 reported organ volume to obtain a volume that is closer 462 to its functional volume. The amount of blood surrogate 463

volume (80 µL) was calculated using the same scaling factor 464 (73,000) as for the organ chambers. Our method to calculate 465 functional organ volumes is only a first approach to creating 466 MPS, and a more detailed analysis of functional organ 467 volumes according to Wikswo *et al.* (13) could provide an 468 even more accurate system in the future. 469

To operate the MPS with only physiological amounts 470 of cell culture medium (80 µL), we designed the system in 471 a cube format where the tissue chips are stacked on top of 472 each other, and fluidic connections among organ chambers 473 are short. In addition, using gravity to drive fluidic flow 474 allowed us to eliminate tubing and connections typically 475 used with peristaltic pumps, and with that to decrease the 476 amount of liquid needed to operate the system. 477

The device we developed here is modular, meaning 478 that all tissue chips can be handled separate from each 479 other during the time of cell seeding. Tissue maturation 480 can take place in separate dishes with cell culture medium 481 customized for each cell type. Each chip also contains 482 channels with tissue-specific dimensions that provide the 483 connection to the main reservoirs. This feature allows us to 484 quickly adjust the overall system by switching organ chips, 485 and adding new ones when needed. 486

Liquid content

Physiological amounts of blood surrogate (cell culture 490 medium) in an MPS are relatively small amounts of 491 liquid, making it difficult to recirculate such a surrogate 492 in conventional 2D microfluidic systems. In the MPS 493 presented here, all organ compartments were scaled by 494

487

488

489

Page 10 of 13

Table 4 Physiological cell number for 73,000th of human tissue, and cell number per cell culture chamber seeded into the co-culture cube

Physiological and seeded cell numbers	Liver	Bone marrow	GI tract	Kidney
Physiological cell number per tissue chamber	2,790×10 ³	10,000×10 ³	222×10 ³	127×10 ³
Number of seeded cells (percentage of physiological cell number)	279×10 ³ (10%)	2,000×10 ³ (20%)	44.4×10 ³ (20%)	12.7×10 ³ (10%)

a factor of 73,000, and the corresponding physiological
amount of blood surrogate is 80 µL of cell culture medium.
Our goal with this work was to demonstrate an MPS design
that can recirculate such small amounts of cell culture
medium.

To operate the MPS with 80 µL of cell culture medium, 500 we designed it as a cube. In the cube, interconnecting 501 channels needed to recirculate the liquid among all cell 502 culture chambers are short, allowing us to stay within the 503 80 µL limit. All conventional MPS have a 2D lavout, where 504 organ chambers are arranged next to each other on a 2D 505 plane. The channels that interconnect organ chambers 506 with each other and with medium reservoirs are long and 507 require additional liquid volumes that push the amount of 508 blood surrogate within the system beyond what would be 509 physiological. 510

An alternative strategy to achieve physiological amounts 511 of blood surrogate in an MPS is to scale the organ chambers 512 in the device less aggressively using a smaller scaling factor. 513 The overall volumes of tissues and blood surrogate would 514 increase, making it easier to operate and handle the MPS. 515 However, in order to retain physiological tissue cell densities, 516 that strategy would require the use of larger numbers of cells 517 to construct each tissue. When using patient-derived primary 518 cells, using larger numbers of cells may become prohibitively 519 expensive. Choosing scaling factors between 60,000 to 520 100,000 are likely the most useful, because the resulting cell 521 culture chambers can be filled with in vitro tissue constructs 522 containing less than a million cells. At the same time, the 523 blood surrogate volume would still be within a range that can 524 be recirculated (60 to 100 µL). 525

In general, another approach to achieving physiological 526 liquid volumes in MPS is to eliminate tissue chambers for 527 tissues that are known to not interact with the drug to be 528 tested. Such tissues would neither absorb, redistribute, or 529 convert the drug, or be otherwise affected by it. When this 530 is the case, the chamber for that organ can be eliminated 531 along with the accompanying fluidic channels, decreasing 532 the amount of liquid needed to operate the MPS. 533

534 Despite containing physiological amounts of blood

surrogate, the overall liquid-to-cell ratio in our device 535 is still not fully physiological. This is in large part due 536 to the tissue's cell densities that range between 10% to 537 20% of in vivo values (Table 4). Similar to the tissues in 538 the human body, the spaces in each organ chamber that 539 are not occupied by either cells or scaffold, are also filled 540 with cell culture medium. The amount of that interstitial 541 liquid, i.e. the liquid between cells, can also significantly 542 contribute to the dilution of drug metabolites. When using 543 in vitro cell cultures, attention must be paid to the density 544 of cells achieved within the tissue construct. In vitro tissues 545 tend to be much less densely populated with cells than 546 in vivo tissues. That means the amount of interstitial liquid 547 is higher than it would be in the body. While the cell 548 density within the tissue construct we used was still far from 549 physiological values (10% to 20%), future developments 550 of 3D scaffolds that allow for higher cell densities will help 551 eliminate non-physiological amounts of interstitial liquid. 552

Cell viability and metabolism

Our device contained 80 µL of liquid, and supported 556 four tissues for three days. Fluorescent images of 557 scaffolds with cells recovered from the cube after 558 72 h of operation were evaluated and confirm that 559 the majority of cells were viable in all cell culture 560 chambers. Some cells (5% to 20%, depending on the 561 tissues) were no longer viable, similar to what Sung 562 et al. have measured with their device without renewal 563 of cell culture medium (29). 564

553

554

555

Liver cells also produced albumin and urea in 565 quantities similar to those observed in other *in vitro* 566 devices (26), with values showing a downward trend at 567 the 72 h timepoint. A 24 to 72 h timeframe is suitable 568 for detecting acute drug toxicity, but chronic effects 569 require longer co-culture times to manifest. 570

To achieve co-culture times that are longer than 571 three days, additional strategies to maintain the 572 cultures with low levels of liquid need to be developed. 573 First, evaporation of liquid must be limited. While 574

using our body-cube we noticed that a significant 575 amount of cell culture medium (about 10%) evaporated 576 from the system per day. This decrease in liquid 577 level is a consequence of operating the system with 578 gravity, which means the system is not fully closed 579 and cell culture medium is exposed to the incubator 580 environment. That exposure enables necessary gas 581 exchange, but also permits evaporation. When a 582 significant amount of cell culture medium evaporates, 583 concentrations of cellular waste products increase, 584 which could affect cell viability. 585

In order to limit the effects of evaporation, we replaced 586 the entire cell culture medium every 8 to 16 hours, 587 a practice that also allowed us to remove waste products. 588 However, replacing the cell culture medium every 589 day influences the concentration profiles of any added 590 drugs, as well as those of drug metabolites. In the future, 591 instead of replacing the medium, it would be preferable 592 to include a mechanism that allows for waste removal 593 in another way. A functioning kidney tissue would 594 serve that purpose and likely also increase the time cells 595 are viable. We believe that without such a mechanism 596 the usefulness of microphysiological devices will be 597 limited to evaluating the effects of only acute 24 h drug 598 exposures. 599

An additional consideration that could allow the 600 cube to achieve longer cell culture times is to improve 601 the composition of the cell culture medium. Here, 602 we used a composition that consisted of equal parts 603 of cell culture medium optimized for each of the four 604 cell types. A custom formulation designed specifically 605 to support all four tissues at the same time could help 606 limit the detrimental effects of limited availability of 607 specific nutrients or growth factors. 608

609

610 *Toxicity measurements*

To demonstrate that the body cube is capable of measuring 612 drug induced liver injury, we challenged the device with 613 two drugs that are known to cause liver cell toxicity in both 614 primary cells and HepG2 cells (acetaminophen) (23) and in 615 HepG2 cells only (troglitazone) (24,25). Both drugs caused 616 the expected cell death, and in part due to the decrease in 617 cell number, we also observed an accompanying decrease in 618 metabolic activity of the tissues. Similarly, cytosolic enzymes 619 are released in significantly larger amounts from cell cultures 62.0 challenged with both drugs than those that were not exposed 621 to drugs after 40 h of exposure. After 48 h the amount of 622

635

636

637

cytosolic enzyme concentration in the medium is similar 623 for both drug-exposed cultures and control cultures. The 624 downward trend in drug-exposed cultures after 40 h is likely 625 due to the fact that a large number of the most sensitive cells 626 were already damaged earlier. On the other hand, the upward 627 trend in control cultures is likely due to the limit in culture 628 time in the cube. The result highlights the need to lengthen 629 the time of tissue viability in the cube. In addition, to detect 630 liver cell toxicity of troglitazone to primary liver cells, future 631 experiments must include 3D tissues of primary liver cells 632 in combination with other non-parenchymal liver cells as 633 demonstrated by Kostadinova et al. (30). 634

Limitations of the body cube

The main shortcoming of the body cube is that while 638 stacking tissue chips on top of each other shortens fluidic 639 connections, it also means that each individual chip is 640 no longer accessible via optical microscopy. Optical 641 microscopy, on the other hand, is one of the most common 642 methods used to evaluate tissue health during and after 643 microphysiological device operation. To obtain images 644 of tissues and other visual data that communicate such 645 information, integrated optical elements and sensors will be 646 needed in the future. Similarly, integrated flow sensors are 647 needed to verify fluidic flow within each tissue chamber and 648 the overall microfluidic connections. 649

A second limitation with the current design is that the 650 flow created via gravity is bidirectional, causing shear in 651 two directions. At low shear values, cells of many tissues, 652 including liver tissue, are not affected adversely (26). However, 653 even low shear can create pro-inflammatory conditions 654 in endothelial cells when the flow is bidirectional (27). 655 Endothelial cells are key to simulating the uptake of a drug. 656 Without the endothelium, microphysiological devices can 657 still be used to conduct proof-of-concept trials, but they 658 will not deliver entirely accurate readings of drug toxicity. 659 Future devices should be designed with valves that create 660 unidirectional flow, similar to those published earlier (17,28). 661 In addition, those integrated valves must also be designed 662 with small operating volumes so that near-physiological levels 663 of blood surrogate are maintained. 664

Although the total physiological flow rate for a system 665 scaled by $1/73,000^{\text{th}}$ is $0.92 \ \mu\text{L/s}$, the flow rate in our 666 system was about three times as high. This is likely due to 667 additional flow that occurred through the spaces in the cell 668 culture chambers that were not occupied by tissue scaffold 669 or actual tissue. In the future, the culture of the endothelial 670

Page 12 of 13

barrier tissue between tissues and fluidic channels couldprovide an additional barrier to prevent this type of flow.

673

674 675 **Conclusions**

The body cube is a multi-organ microphysiological device 676 that can be operated with small, near-physiological amounts 677 of blood surrogate (cell culture medium). Cells of four 678 tissues, cultured in the cube for three days, were viable and 679 functional, indicating that the cube can be used to test for 680 the toxicity drugs and its metabolites during an acute 24 681 to 72 h drug exposure. The developed cube is modular, 682 and was operated with gravity-induced flow, making it easy 683 to use, and attractive for large-scale drug toxicity studies. 684 Other groups have focussed on increasing the number of 685 tissues and using more physiologically relevant types of 686 cells in microphysiological devices. Because it is a key issue 687 in delivering microphysiological devices that predict drug 688 toxicity accurately, we have here focused on strategies to 689 limit excess liquid. 690

691

⁶⁹² Acknowledgments

693 694 HU is a Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and was funded by the 695 society. Nanofabrication was done at the NIST 696 Nanofabrication Facility at the Center for Nanoscale 697 Science and Technology. LC acknowledges support 698 under the Cooperative Research Agreement between 699 the University of Maryland and the National Institute 700 of Standards and Technology Physical Measurement 701 Laboratory, Award 70NANB14H209, through the 702 University of Maryland. 703

704 Funding: None.

705

⁷⁰⁶ Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the
ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://
dx.doi.org/10.21037/mps-19-8). The University
of Maryland has filed a provisional patent for the
described technology with MBE and LC as inventors.
HU and YY have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all
aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related
to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are
appropriately investigated and resolved.

Disclaimer: Certain commercial materials are identified719in this paper to specify the experimental procedure720adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply721recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute722of Standards and Technology, nor is it intended to imply723that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily724the best available for the purpose.725

726

736

737

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article 727 distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons 728 Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International 729 License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-730 commercial replication and distribution of the article with 731 the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the 732 original work is properly cited (including links to both the 733 formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). 734 See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. 735

References

1.	Baker M. A living system on a chip. Nature	738 739
	2011;471:661-5.	740
2.	Esch MB, Mahler GJ. Chapter 11 - Body-on-a-	741
	chip systems: Design, fabrication, and applications.	742
	In: Borenstein JT, Tandon V, Tao SL et al. editors.	743
	Microfluidic Cell Culture Systems (Second Edition).	744
	Cambridge: Elsevier, 2019:323-50.	745
3.	Viravaidya K, Shuler ML. Incorporation of 3T3-L1 Cells	746
	To Mimic Bioaccumulation in a Microscale Cell Culture	747
	Analog Device for Toxicity Studies. Biotechnol Prog	748
	2004;20:590-7.	749
4.	Tatosian DA, Shuler ML. A novel system for evaluation of	750
	drug mixtures for potential efficacy in treating multidrug	751
	resistant cancers. Biotechnol Bioeng 2009;103:187-98.	752
5.	Mahler GJ, Esch MB, Glahn RP, et al. Characterization	753
	of a gastrointestinal tract microscale cell culture	754
	analog used to predict drug toxicity. Biotechnol Bioeng	755
	2009;104:193-205.	756
6.	Esch MB, Smith AST, Prot JM, et al. How multi-organ	757
	microdevices can help foster drug development.Adv Drug	758
	Deliv Rev 2014;69-70:158-69.	759
7.	Prot JM, Bunescu A, Elena-Herrmann B, et al. Predictive	760
	toxicology using systemic biology and liver microfluidic "on	761
	chip" approaches: Application to acetaminophen injury.	762
	Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2012;259:270-80.	763
8.	Oleaga C, Bernabini C, Smith AST, et al. Multi-Organ	764
	toxicity demonstration in a functional human in vitro	765
	system composed of four organs. Sci Rep 2016;6:20030.	766

- 9. Oleaga C, Riu A, Rothemund S, et al. Investigation of the
 effect of hepatic metabolism on off-target cardiotoxicity
 in a multi-organ human-on-a-chip system. Biomaterials
 2018;182:176-90.
- Theobald J, Ghanem A, Wallisch P, et al. Liver-Kidneyon-Chip To Study Toxicity of Drug Metabolites. ACS
 Biomaterials Science & Engineering 2018;4:78-89.
- 11. Bovard D, Sandoz A, Luettich K, et al. A lung/liver-on-achip platform for acute and chronic toxicity studies. Lab
 Chip 2018;18:3814-29.
- 12. Edington CD, Chen WLK, Geishecker E, et al.
 Interconnected Microphysiological Systems for
 Quantitative Biology and Pharmacology Studies. Sci Rep
 2018;8:4530.
- 13. Wikswo JP, Curtis EL, Eagleton ZE, et al. Scaling and
 systems biology for integrating multiple organs-on-a-chip.
 Lab Chip 2013;13:3496-511.
- 784 14. Zeilinger K, Freyer N, Damm G, et al. Cell sources for
 785 in vitro human liver cell culture models. Exp Biol Med
 786 (Maywood) 2016;241:1684-98.
- Pan C, Kumar C, Bohl S, et al. Comparative Proteomic
 Phenotyping of Cell Lines and Primary Cells to Assess
 Preservation of Cell Type-specific Functions. Mol Cell
 Proteomics 2009;8:443-50.
- 791 16. Wilkening S, Stahl F, Bader A. Comparison of primary
 792 human hepatocytes and hepatoma cell line HEPG2 with
 793 regard to their biotransformation properties. Drug Metab
 794 Dispos 2003;31:1035-42.
- 17. Esch MB, Ueno H, Applegate DR, et al. Modular,
 pumpless body-on-a-chip platform for the co-culture of
 GI tract epithelium and 3D primary liver tissue. Lab Chip
 2016;16:2719-29.
- 18. Chen HJ, Miller P, Shuler ML. A pumpless bodyon-a-chip model using a primary culture of human
 intestinal cells and a 3D culture of liver cells. Lab Chip
 2018;18:2036-46.
- 19. Lee H, Kim DS, Ha SK, et al. A pumpless multi-organon-a-chip (MOC) combined with a pharmacokinetic– pharmacodynamic (PK–PD) model. Biotechnol Bioeng 2017;114:432-43.

doi: 10.21037/mps-19-8

Cite this article as: Chen L, Yang Y, Ueno H, Esch MB. Body-in-a-Cube: a microphysiological system for multi-tissue co-culture with near-physiological amounts of blood surrogate. Microphysiol Syst 2020.

20.	Davies B, Morris T. Physiological Parameters in	807
	Laboratory Animals and Humans. Pharm Res	808
	1993;10:1093-5.	809
21.	Higashi Y, Noma K, Yoshizumi M, et al. Endothelial	810
	Function and Oxidative Stress in Cardiovascular Diseases.	811
	Circ J 2009;73:411-8.	812
22.	Price PS, Conolly RB, Chaisson CF, et al. Modeling	813
	Interindividual Variation in Physiological Factors Used in	814
	PBPK Models of Humans AU - Price, Paul S. Crit Rev	815
	Toxicol 2003;33:469-503.	816
23.	Hinson JA, Roberts DW, James LP. Mechanisms of	817
	Acetaminophen-Induced Liver Necrosis. In: Uetrecht	818
	J, editor. Adverse Drug Reactions. Berlin, Heidelberg:	819
	Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010:369-405.	820
24.	Tirmenstein MA, Hu CX, Gales TL, et al. Effects of	821
	Troglitazone on HepG2 Viability and Mitochondrial	822
	Function. Toxicol Sci 2002;69:131-8.	823
25.	Masubuchi Y, Kano S, Horie T. Mitochondrial	824
	permeability transition as a potential determinant	825
	of hepatotoxicity of antidiabetic thiazolidinediones.	826
	Toxicology 2006;222:233-9.	827
26.	Esch MB, Prot JM, Wang YI, et al. Multi-cellular 3D	828
	human primary liver cell culture elevates metabolic activity	829
	under fluidic flow. Lab Chip 2015;15:2269-77.	830
27.	Yang Y, Fathi P, Holland G, et al. Pumpless microfluidic	831
	devices for generating healthy and diseased endothelia.	832
	Lab Chip 2019;19:3212-9.	833
28.	Wang YI, Shuler ML. UniChip enables long-term	834
	recirculating unidirectional perfusion with gravity-	835
	driven flow for microphysiological systems. Lab Chip	836
	2018;18:2563-74.	837
29.	Sung JH, Shuler ML. A micro cell culture analog (µCCA)	838
	with 3-D hydrogel culture of multiple cell lines to assess	839
	metabolism-dependent cytotoxicity of anti-cancer drugs.	840
	Lab Chip 2009;9:1385-94.	841
30.	Kostadinova R, Boess F, Applegate D, et al. A long-	842
	term three dimensional liver co-culture system for	843
	improved prediction of clinically relevant drug-induced	844
	hepatotoxicity. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2013;268:1-16.	845