

43 Artificial Intelligence has experienced unprecedented progress in recent years, promising to 44 transform multiples areas of how we live and how we work. However, this development comes with 45 a considerable challenge: the energy consumption associated with existing approaches¹, making it 46 imperative that we devise ways to process data more efficiently. One approach is to emulate the 47 brain's processing, which is much more efficient than current processors at cognitive tasks like image 48 and speech recognition. Although modern Artificial Intelligence relies on algorithms known as deep 49 neural networks, their operation on processors radically differs from the brain. Modern computers 50 and graphics cards have been designed to solve complicated numerical problems with high precision, 51 while the brain uses many parallel low precision calculations to, for example, recognize a face. 52 Computers achieve high precision using digital information encoding but the brain achieves its 53 energy efficiency with lower precision analog encoding. Modern computers consume substantial 54 energy shuttling information between storage and the processor, while the brain stores information 55 locally where it is processed.

56 The elemental devices in the brain and in modern computers play different roles. Modern computers 57 use transistors that are voltage-controlled switches and cannot provide memory in a compact form. 58 The brain has two primary elemental units, synapses and neurons. In their simplest abstraction, 59 synapses connect neurons with a connection strength, called a weight, which provides the memory 60 function. Neurons receive inputs from many other neurons, integrate those responses, and emit 61 spikes, called action potentials, which provide the input for subsequent neurons. Emulating the 62 organization of the brain by using transistors to function like neurons and synapses requires many 63 $-$ transistors², using more energy and requiring greater area (typically hundreds to thousands of square 64 micrometers³) than appropriate for many modern embedded applications.

65 The research reviewed in this article attempts to develop compact and low power computational 66 systems using spintronic devices as an alternative to the large number of transistors needed to 67 emulate the functions of neurons and synapses and connect those functional blocks together^{4,5}. At 68 the device level, the emphasis is on magnetic tunnel junctions (see Fig. 1a), which are being 69 developed for non-volatile memory, (see Fig. 1b) in the back-end-of-line of Complementary Metal 70 Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) chips⁶. Major commercial foundries have now incorporated these 71 devices in their processes⁷. This compatibility and the variety of functionalities available by changing 72 geometries make magnetic tunnel junctions attractive candidates for efficient computing.

73 Magnetic tunnel junctions have several features that other technologies⁸, both existing and emerging, 74 do not combine; *e.g.*, nonvolatility, outstanding read/write endurance, high-speed and CMOS-75 compatible-voltage operation capability, high scalability, and back-end-of-line compatibility. 76 However, the ratio of their maximum to minimum conductance (ON/OFF ratio) is typically around 77 three whereas it can reach thousands for other resistive switching memories⁹.

78 Spintronic approaches extend beyond the use of magnetic tunnel junctions as binary memory cells. 79 An advantage of spintronics for neuromorphic computing is the multifunctionality that it offers, 80 allowing designers to craft behaviors ranging from non-volatile through plastic, oscillatory, to 81 stochastic, all from very similar materials. This enables the design of diverse building blocks 82 mimicking key features of biological synapses and neurons. In addition, spintronics enables 83 interconnecting these building blocks without relying on just CMOS connections. Spintronic 84 components can carry information to distant places through spin currents, microwave signals, 85 magnetic waves, and isolated magnetic textures that can then be moved around. This 86 multifunctionality opens a wealth of possibilities to build spintronics-based neuromorphic chips that 87 take advantage of these additional features and communications channels, thereby decreasing the 88 CMOS overhead where it is inefficient. Here, we review the first steps in this direction. We first 89 describe spintronic neuromorphic building blocks and then discuss demonstrations of spintronic 90 neuromorphic computing in small hardware systems. Finally, we analyze the advantages and 91 disadvantages of spintronics for building larger systems.

92

94

93 **II- Spintronic synapses**

95 **a. Embedding memory in the processor**

96 In current computers, synaptic weights are stored as digitally-coded numbers in memory blocks 97 separated from the circuits that process them. State-of-the-art neural networks can use more than a 98 hundred million of these weights. Each time a neural network infers or learns, all these parameters 99 must be fetched from memory for processing. Shuttling such quantities of data back and forth 100 between memory and processing requires inordinate amounts of energy. The most straightforward 101 way spintronics can enhance neuromorphic computing is by locating fast, non-volatile binary 102 memory blocks very close to the processing units taking advantage of the ability to embed magnetic 103 tunnel junctions within CMOS circuits¹⁰. These embedded devices also offer the possibility of turning 104 off unused memory circuits without losing memorized information 11 . Local memory as well as energy 105 management can be harnessed to realize high-performance energy-efficient neuromorphic chips.

106 Magnetic tunnel junction memory cells have been used recently to store the synaptic weights of 107 hardware neural networks called associative memories (see Fig. 1c). Jarollahi et al.¹⁰ have fabricated 108 a content-driven search engine using a magnetic tunnel junction-based logic-in-memory architecture. 109 They reduced memory needs by a factor of 13.6 and energy consumption by 89 % compared with a 110 non-neural hardware-based search architecture using content-addressable memories. The number of 111 clock cycles in performing search operations of the developed chip was reduced by a factor of 8.6 112 compared with common content addressable memories and by a factor of five orders of magnitude 113 compared with a search engine based on a traditional processor.

114 Ma et al.¹¹ fabricated an associative processor that comprises a four-transistors and two-magnetic 115 tunnel junctions (4T-2MTJ) spin-transfer torque magnetoresistive random-access memory. They 116 drastically reduced the energy consumption with an intelligent powering strategy, in which only 117 currently accessed memory cells are autonomously activated. This approach reduces power 118 consumption by 91.2 % compared with a twin chip designed with six-transistors static random-access 119 memory, and by more than 88.0 % compared with the latest associative memories¹¹. These results 120 show the improvements that digital magnetic tunnel junction devices can bring to neuromorphic 121 chips. Given that spin-transfer torque magneto-resistive random-access memory is about to hit the 122 mass market, the very first contributions of spintronics to commercial neuromorphic chips will likely 123 rely on the use of digital magnetic memories embedded close to CMOS circuitry for low-power 124 cognitive computing.

125

126 **b. Exploiting the inherent stochastic switching in binary magnetic tunnel** 127 **junctions**

128

129 An important challenge for magnetic tunnel junctions is that they are inherently prone to bit errors 130 due to the role thermal activation plays in their switching dynamics. For conventional applications, 131 microelectronics designers alleviate this partial unreliability with engineering solutions such as the 132 use of junctions with a high energy barrier compared to thermal energy (leading to high 133 programming currents), error correcting codes or specific write strategies that check the results of 134 write operations¹². Such solutions downgrade the energy efficiency of the devices. However, 135 synapses, which implement the long term memory of the brain, are far from perfectly reliable¹³. 136 Correspondingly, when magnetic tunnel junctions are used as the memory for neural networks, they 137 do not necessarily need to have the reliability required for usual computing: neural networks are 138 inherently resilient to bit errors. It is possible to design neural networks with synapses that have a 139 relatively high error rate, without endangering the functionality of the whole network^{14,15}.

140 Programming errors might even be exploited when training a neural network 16,17 . Training requires 141 repeated adjustment of the synaptic weights, usually by small amounts. One alternative approach is 142 to make larger changes, but with reduced probabilities. This approach has little value in conventional 143 systems, as implementing probabilities requires generating random numbers, which is energy-144 intensive in conventional electronics. However, magnetic tunnel junctions operating in a regime with 145 high bit error rates, can efficiently realize this alternative approach. Vincent et al.¹⁸ simulated 146 stochastic switching of binary magnetic tunnel junctions and showed that they can be harnessed to 147 implement spike-timing-dependent plasticity, a biologically inspired learning rule. Using an accurate 148 physical model, they demonstrated unsupervised recognition of patterns in video streams. This 149 approach reduces the memory footprint of neural networks: fewer bits are required for weights than 150 for conventional training. For some tasks, a single bit per synapse may suffice¹⁸. More fundamentally, 151 the junctions can be programed with short, low current pulses thus strongly decreasing energy 152 consumption during learning. Embracing bit errors exploits the true energy efficiency of spin torque, 153 whereas fighting them costs substantial energy.

154

155 **c. Spintronic memristors**

156

157 We have focused until now on the use of binary magnetic tunnel junctions for neuromorphic 158 computing, based on the natural encoding of binary information in magnetic materials through the 159 direction of their magnetization, which points either up or down in the new generation of memories. 160 However, synaptic weights in neural networks, as with synapses in the brain, are typically real-valued, 161 not binary. This means that many binary magnetic tunnel junctions are needed to store a single 162 weight, costing area and read/write energy. There is therefore a strong interest to develop analog 163 storage elements that individually emulate synapses in neuromorphic networks. In addition to being 164 analog and non-volatile, these components should be plastic, meaning that the long-term properties 165 of the device can be modified by its inputs, allowing stored memories to be tweaked.

166 Analog, nonvolatile, and plastic resistors, now often referred to as memristors, were introduced as 167 early as the 60's by Widrow and Hoff, 19 who used them as hardware synapses. These components 168 have been then theorized as fundamental circuit elements by Chua in the seventies²⁰ and revisited 169 experimentally in 2008 by Strukov et al.²¹ with Pt-TiO_{2-x}-Pt nanodevices. Since then, various material 170 systems have been used in memristive devices⁹. Memristors are particularly suited for imitating 171 synapses. Just as synapses are non-volatile analog valves for information in the brain, memristors are 172 non-volatile, analog valves for electrical currents. In neural networks, memristors naturally 173 implement another important function more efficiently than CMOS circuits: the weighted sum of 174 neural outputs by synapses. The current flowing through memristors electrically connected in parallel 175 is the weighted sum of the memristor conductances times the input voltage²².

176 Magnetic devices can function as memristive devices by storing analog information in magnetic 177 textures²³. For example, Wang et al. proposed a spintronic memristor²⁴ based on the displacement of 178 a magnetic domain wall²⁵ in a spin-valve (see Fig. 2a), giving rise to lower or higher resistance states 179 depending on the domain wall position²⁶. Chanthbouala et al.²⁷ and Lequeux et al.²⁸ experimentally 180 demonstrated this memristive functionality through domain wall motion in magnetic tunnel 181 iunctions. Huang et al.²⁹ simulated another concept for a spintronic memristor, based on 182 representing analog information in the number of magnetic skyrmions (see Fig. 2b). Wadley et al. 183 demonstrated analog-like operation in antiferromagnetic CuMnAs spintronic devices, using current-184 induced control of the Néel vector in submicron-scale antiferromagnetic domains^{30,31}. Fukami et al. 185 used spin-orbit torque switching to control a memristive element 3^{2-34} in an 186 antiferromagnet/ferromagnet bilayer system³⁵ (see Fig. 2c). The memristive behavior comes from the 187 variation in the switching currents among the small magnetic domains that have varying exchange-188 bias magnitudes and directions at the antiferromagnet/ferromagnet interface³⁶.

189 Spintronic memristors enjoy most of the advantages of spintronic digital memory devices, making 190 them unique building blocks for neuromorphic computing with artificial synapses. Their nonvolatility 191 allows them to capture simultaneously the two key features that synapses need to exhibit for 192 neuromorphic computing: learning and memory. Moreover, the high endurance of spintronic 193 memristors allows an outstanding number of learning cycles. This feature is particularly important for 194 adaptive applications, especially in Internet-of-Things systems. One of the biggest challenges for the 195 spintronic memristors is scalability, *i.e.*, maintaining the analog behavior with reduced device 196 dimensions. Overcoming this challenge requires engineering materials that are capable of hosting 197 more magnetic domains or skyrmions in nanoscale devices.

198

199 **III- Spintronic neurons**

200 Until recently, the majority of the effort to use nanotechnology in hardware neural networks has 201 focused on synapses. As synapses are much more numerous than neurons in most systems, the 202 benefits of implementing them at the nanoscale seems more evident. In addition, neural operations 203 in state-of-the-art deep networks are simple non-linear functions that could be implemented 204 piecewise with a few transistors. Nevertheless, neurons in the brain have much more complicated 205 features. They are not static objects, but excitable cells, that leakily integrate the electrical spikes 206 that they receive from other neurons and emit a spike when their membrane potential is charged 207 above a threshold. After firing, the membrane potential falls back to the resting state and undergoes 208 a refractory period. A neuron receiving a constant rate of input spikes therefore fires periodically, 209 which explains why a whole branch of computational neuroscience uses non-linear dynamics to 210 model neurons as non-linear oscillators coupled by synapses $37-39$.

211 When noise is high, which is often the case in biological neuron recordings, the emitted spike trains 212 may become seemingly random. For this reason, several neuroscience approaches treat neural firing 213 as a Poisson process and neural operations as stochastic processes⁴⁰. These models and approaches 214 are interesting for neuromorphic computing as they can potentially give additional features (e.g. 215 time-dependent processing of input fluxes) or benefits (lower energy consumption by harnessing 216 thermal processes). Spintronics, which allows the implementation of non-linear magnetization 217 dynamics and stochastic processes at the nanoscale, gives numerous opportunities in this field⁵.

218

219 **a. Spin-torque nano-oscillators**

220 Spin-torque nano-oscillators (see Fig. 3a) are specific types of magnetic tunnel junctions, which can 221 be driven into spontaneous microwave oscillations by an injected direct current^{41,42}. Spin-torque 222 nano-oscillators possess several distinctive features that are appealing for neuromorphic computing⁴. 223 The oscillation amplitudes have memory due to finite magnetization relaxation, which can imitate

224 the leaky integration of neurons^{43,44}. They are stable and persistent, with limited drift in the behavior 225 of their precession. The frequency and amplitude of voltage oscillations are highly non-linear as a 226 function of current or applied field, allowing direct implementation of non-linear activation 227 functions. In addition, their high tunability facilitates synchronization with other oscillators⁴⁵. They 228 \cdot can couple to other spin-torque nano-oscillators through direct exchange interactions^{46–48}, magnetic 229 fields^{49,50}, or oscillating electrical currents due to the giant or tunneling magnetoresistance⁵¹. This 230 ability to couple enables coupling many devices together through these physical interactions^{52,53} to 231 emulate the synchronization of neurons and collections of neurons in the brain to improve 232 information sharing and processing 54 .

233 Torrejon et al. demonstrated neuromorphic computing with a single spin-torque nano-oscillator⁵⁵ 234 emulating a full neural network of 400 neurons using time-multiplexing⁵⁶ (see Fig. 3b). The single 235 oscillator emulates 400 neurons by periodically devoting an interval in time for the state of each 236 neuron and using the finite relaxation time to emulate coupling between neurons. The authors used 237 the oscillator to implement a reservoir computer, a type of neural network especially adapted to 238 . dynamical situations⁵⁷. The time-multiplexed nano-oscillator recognizes spoken digits from the NIST 239 TI-46 database⁵⁸ with a precision up to 99.6 %, which is as good as is done with both much larger 240 neurons and software simulations. The authors show that this high performance of spin-torque 241 nano-oscillators used as neurons comes from their stability, low noise and high non-linearity.

242 **b. Superparamagnetic tunnel junctions**

243 Studies of the brain suggest additional approaches for using magnetic tunnel junctions as neurons. 244 Many experimental and theoretical works in neuroscience indicate that synapses and neurons in the 245 brain are at least partly stochastic⁵⁹. Some parts of the brain seem to trade reliability for energy 246 effficieny^{13,60}. Biological neurons are sometimes modeled as Poisson neurons with random spiking⁶¹. 247 Since magnetic tunnel junctions are prone to stochastic effects, one can implement low energy 248 artificial neurons by exacerbating stochastic effects, by using binary superparmagnetic tunnel 249 junctions (see Fig. 4a). In such junctions, the energy barrier between the parallel and anti-parallel 250 states is comparable to the thermal energy, so that even in the absence of electrical current and 251 magnetic field, switching is triggered by thermal fluctuations.

252 Superparmagnetic tunnel junctions have distinctive features. First, they can be used to generate 253 random bits simply by reading the state of the junction, an extremely low energy operation⁶². 254 Second, they are reminiscent of Poisson neurons, with the difference that the output of such 255 junctions is a telegraph signal whereas the output of such neurons is a spike train. The switching rate 256 of these junctions can be controlled through spin-torques and magnetic fields⁶³, and used for 257 neuromorphic computing. For example, Mizrahi et al. showed that superparamagnetic junctions can 258 phase lock to periodic inputs⁶⁴ just like neurons in the brain, providing a mechanism for 259 neuroscience-inspired forms of computation.

260 A third way to compute with superparamagnetic tunnel junctions is to use their average state rather 261 than their transition rate. Digital electronics is based on deterministic bits that represent zero or one. 262 Bits realized by modern CMOS transistors are used by very large-scale circuits to implement complex 263 functions. On the other extreme, quantum computing relies on qubits, a coherent superposition of 264 zero and one. In between these extremes, it is possible to envision probabilistic bits, or p-bits (see 265 Fig. 4b) classical entities that fluctuate between zero and one in the presence of thermal noise 65 . 266 Magnetic tunnel junctions with low barrier nanomagnets naturally function as a compact hardware 267 realization of a three-terminal p-bit, allowing them to be interconnected as correlated circuits. Two 268 possibilities to construct p-bits with magnetic tunnel junctions have been discussed, one using spin269 orbit-torque for switching⁶⁵ and one using spin-transfer-torque for switching⁶⁶. Both involve replacing 270 the thermally stable free layers of the tunnel junctions with unstable nanomagnets, either by 271 reducing the anisotropy or by reducing the total magnetic moment $62,67-69$. While p-bits can be 272 implemented using CMOS circuits, implementations based on nanodevices like magnetic tunnel 273 junctions may enable ultra-low power stochastic computing reminiscent of brain processes.

274

275 **c. Domain-wall and skyrmion based neurons**

276 Spin-torque nano-oscillators and superparamagnetic neurons rely on magnetic tunnel junction 277 technology. Alternative types of neurons based on magnetic solitons can also be envisioned as 278 proposed by Sharad et al in Ref. 23 . Magnetic solitons such as domain walls and skyrmions (see Fig. 279 $-$ 5a) can be manipulated and moved over large distances with spin-torques and spin-orbit torques^{70–72}. 280 These objects are possible vectors of information that can be used for computing. For instance, 281 magnetic domain-wall-based logic has been studied extensively, and the basic operations that have 282 been demonstrated can be used for neuromorphic computing^{73,74}. In this context, it is possible to 283 take advantage of the fundamentally stochastic nature of the depinning and motion of magnetic 284 . nanotextures^{75–77}. In particular, the particle-like behavior of skyrmions and their thermal Brownian 285 motion has strong analogies with neurotransmitter diffusion⁷⁸. Simulations show that switching after 286 cumulative domain wall motion²³, or skyrmion accumulation in a chamber^{79,80,77} are spintronic 287 analogs of leaky integrate and fire neurons.

288 Non-linear resistance changes in magnetic skyrmion systems 81 can be exploited for unconventional 289 computing $82-84$. Such changes originate from an interplay of magnetoresistance effects (like the 290 anisotropic magnetoresistance or non-collinear magnetoresistance^{85,86}) combined with spin-(orbit)-291 torques on the skyrmions that either move or distort them. Prychynenko et al. 82 analyzed the single 292 skyrmion resistance response based on the interplay of spin-transfer torques and the anisotropic 293 magnetoresistance using micromagnetic calculations that self-consistently solve for the 294 magnetization dynamics and the current path 87 . The output voltage of such a device can be 295 converted into a synaptic current.

296

297 **IV- Neuromorphic computing with small spintronic systems**

298 Using spintronics for neuromorphics is interesting for more than just single devices. Spin currents, 299 spin waves or microwave emissions can be harnessed to propagate information between devices. 300 However, assembling spintronic neurons and synapses directly in systems comes with specific 301 challenges: controlling their coupling, and dealing with inevitable device variability. In recent years, 302 highly promising research has started to address these points.

303 **a. Computing with spintronic memristors**

304 We have seen that spintronic memristors can be used as artificial synapses. The ability to update 305 their states given new information, that is to learn, is a key capability of artificial synapses in artificial 306 neural networks. The state of each synaptic device is tuned by training so that the network 307 collectively stores the information. As is discussed in other articles in this series, pattern classification 308 has been demonstrated in perceptron networks with artificial synapses made of metal-oxide resistive 309 devices⁸⁸ and phase-change material devices⁸⁹.

310 Borders et al. demonstrated a proof-of-concept associative memory (see Fig. 2d) based on an 311 artificial neural network with spintronic synapses⁹⁰. They employed antiferromagnet/ferromagnet spin-orbit torque switching devices with memristive functionality as described earlier³⁵. The Hopfield 313 model⁹¹, which was originally developed from an analogy with spin glass systems, is used for 314 memorization and association of patterns. In this model, each neuron is connected to all other 315 neurons via synapses with variable synaptic weight and the synaptic weight matrix encodes the 316 stored information.

317 To demonstrate pattern association, Borders et al. used three kinds of 3×3 block patterns, 318 corresponding to 9-neuron systems. In this case, the synaptic weight matrix requires 36 synaptic 319 devices due to the symmetry of the matrix. The authors constructed a Hopfield network consisting of 320 36 spin-orbit-torque-based memristive devices, driven by field-programmable gate arrays that 321 emulate neurons. The system is controlled by software running on a computer. To initialize the 322 system, electric currents corresponding to the ideal synaptic weights calculated for the three 323 patterns based on the Hopfield model are applied to the prepared synaptic devices. Due to 324 insufficient linearity and uniformity of the devices, the network does not remember the given 325 patterns at this stage, requiring a learning process, based on the Hebbian learning rule⁹², to 326 compensate for the imperfection of the synaptic devices. The learning process converges with at 327 most 20 iterations, after which the network remembers the given patterns. Importantly, this work 328 demonstrates learning using spintronic synapses. As spintronic synapses have high endurance, 329 neuromorphic hardware with spintronic synapses can deliver superior adaptivity through learning.

330

331 **b. Computing with synchronized spin-torque nano-oscillators**

332 In the system that we just described, spintronic synapses were combined with conventional 333 electronics to enable learning. Spintronic neurons can also be trained to compute. Romera et al. 334 demonstrated classification of signals at microwave frequencies through the synchronization of spin-335 torque nano-oscillators⁹³ (see Fig. 3c). They implemented a small neural network with two layers. 336 This network features two independent neurons in the first layer (*A* and *B*), implemented by two 337 microwave sources delivering sinusoidal waveforms of frequency f_A and f_B , and four all-to-all 338 connected neurons in the second layer (labeled *i*), implemented by four spin-torque nano-oscillators 339 that are globally coupled through long range electrical microwave connections. The microwave 340 outputs of the first layer are sent through a stripline above the four oscillators in the second layer: 341 the resulting microwave fields modify the oscillator dynamics. The principle of the computation is 342 that the synchronization of two oscillators models a strong synaptic coupling between them⁹⁴. If 343 neuron *i* in the second layer synchronizes with neuron *A* in the first layer, the equality of their 344 frequencies models a strong synaptic coupling. On the other hand, neuron *A* and neuron *i* having 345 independent dynamics and frequencies models weak synaptic coupling between them. These 346 synaptic strengths can be tuned by changing the free-running frequency of each oscillator in the 347 second layer through the four injected direct currents that feed them. If the frequency of neuron *i* is 348 closer to the frequency of neuron *A*, it will be more likely to synchronize with neuron *A*, 349 corresponding to a stronger synapse.

350 With this approach, Romera et al. 93 trained a neural network of four coupled spin-torque nano-351 oscillators to classify seven American vowels (https://youtu.be/IHYnh0oJgOA). Training requires less 352 than hundred iterations. The experimental recognition rate after training is 89 % on the test data (84 353 % after cross validation). This performance is significantly better than that of a multilayer perceptron 354 trained on the same task with a similar number of parameters. In perceptrons, neurons are indeed 355 not connected within a layer but here, the coupled oscillators interact to recognize the vowels. This 356 result demonstrates that the dynamical properties of spin-torque nano-oscillators can be tuned to 357 learn and that their coupling and synchronization can be harnessed to classify. The authors also 358 showed that with this scheme, scaled-down oscillators based on state-of-the-art magnetic tunnel 359 junctions compute with slightly lower energy consumption than optimized CMOS circuits. Developing 360 large scale networks based on this approach requires designing arrays with hundreds of spin-torque 361 oscillators with different frequencies but similar synchronization ranges. In addition, the simple 362 learning rule developed in this demonstration might not easily extend to training deep networks⁹⁵. 363 Finding ways to tune the coupling between oscillators instead of changing their individual 364 frequencies will be key to extend synchronization-based approaches to multilayer spintronic neural 365 networks⁹⁶.

366 **c. Computing with superparamagnetic magnetic tunnel junctions**

367 Just as the deterministic oscillations of spin-torque nano-oscillators can emulate neuron responses, 368 the temperature-driven random fluctuations in superparamagnetic magnetic tunnel junctions can be 369 used to imitate neural Poisson spiking dynamics. The analogous behavior of neurons and spin torque 370 nano-oscillators can be pushed ever further. When subjected to an electrical current and the 371 resulting spin torque, the mean frequency of superparamagnetic tunnel junctions has a bell-shaped 372 response as a function of current (see Fig. 4c). This response is reminiscent of the stimuli-induced 373 response of sensory neurons, such as those connected to our retina. Neuroscience has investigated 374 how the brain relies on such curves to compute, through the paradigm of population coding, where 375 each neuron responds with a bell curve, but each with a different mean value⁶¹. Through combined 376 experiments and simulations, Mizrahi et al. 97 showed that assemblies of superparamagnetic tunnel 377 junctions can implement neural population coding and perform complex cascaded non-linear 378 operations on their inputs, Fig. 4(d), – the basics of deep learning. The authors illustrate how a robot 379 equipped with such a superparamagnetic neural network could reliably learn to grasp a ball, despite 380 component unreliability. The resilience to device unreliability is a natural benefit of population 381 coding, as the use of a population of devices to code one real value provides a form of intrinsic error 382 correction⁹⁸.

 383 Additionally, Mizrahi et al.⁹⁷ designed a full combined CMOS-spintronic circuit connecting the 384 junctions for this application. They find that a system with 128 inputs and 128 outputs consumes 23 385 nJ per operation during the learning phase, and 7.4 nJ when learning is finished, compared to 330 nJ 386 per operation for an implementation based on low-power spiking CMOS neurons.The roots of this 387 energy efficiency are threefold and highlight generic advantages of spintronics for neuromorphic 388 computing. First, the design closely integrates sensing, memory and logic, taking advantage of the 389 ability to integrate spintronics with CMOS. Second, the system is stochastic and computes 390 approximately, harnessing the randomness of spintronics in a way that is more energy efficient than 391 traditional precise electronics. Finally, the superparamagnetic tunnel junctions convert between 392 analog (input current) and digital (spikes) information with more energy efficiency than traditional 393 analog-to-digital conversions.

394

395 Another way to compute with superparamagnetic tunnel junctions is to solve different classes of 396 complex problems by encoding their solutions as low-energy states of probabilistic p-bit based 397 circuits⁹⁹. Such circuits (see Fig. 4e) based on superparamagnetic tunnel junctions with very low 398 barriers ($E_B \approx k_B T$) can stochastically search the vast phase-space of hard problems at high speed (from 399 megahertz to gigahertz) in massively parallel, asynchronous networks⁹⁹. Applications broadly 400 relevant for two disjoint areas of research, namely machine learning and quantum computing could 401 be targeted by such p-circuits. In the context of machine learning, the p-bit can be imagined as a 402 hardware representation of a binary stochastic neuron^{100,101}, commonly used as a building block for 403 stochastic artificial neural networks, such as Boltzmann Machines⁹². Hardware p-circuits can not only 404 help enable low-power stochastic inference networks¹⁰² but also accelerate learning algorithms that 405 require repeated evaluations of correlations between interconnected binary stochastic neurons.

406 Quantum annealers^{103,104} explore a large phase space through quantum fluctuations to address 407 computationally hard optimization problems such as the NP-complete Traveling Salesman Problem 408 or Integer Factorization. Simulations of networks of p-bits show that such optimization problems can 409 also be addressed by classical p-bits⁹⁹. For example, classical annealing using hardware p-circuits can 410 be performed by guiding the network to energy minima. An unconventional functionality enabled by 411 p-circuits is the concept of "invertible logic"¹⁰⁵ where for example, a Boolean circuit designed as a 412 multiplier can be operated in reverse to factorize numbers, due to the reciprocal nature of p-circuit⁶⁵.

413 P-bits can mirror a special class of quantum circuits¹⁰⁶ by exploiting a well-known mapping between 414 d-dimensional quantum systems and d+1-dimensional classical systems, a method often used in 415 Quantum Monte Carlo calculations to simulate quantum systems in software. The basic idea is to 416 represent a qubit network (d-dimensional) with a finite number (additional +1 dimension) of 417 interacting replicas (d-dimensional) that are made from p-bits. Device level simulations show that 418 spin-transfer-torque-based p-bits⁶⁶ interconnected with a resistive network can exactly reproduce 419 the quantum correlations of the transverse Ising Hamiltonian, a system commonly used by quantum 420 annealers¹⁰⁷. It should be noted that even though the mapping between quantum and classical 421 Hamiltonians is quite general, the mapped classical Hamiltonian can be efficiently simulated only for 422 a subclass of quantum systems that does not suffer from the "sign" problem 108 . The sign problem 423 arises when the quantum to classical mapping produces negative weights, making it exponentially 424 hard to reduce errors in quantum Monte Carlo simulations. Whether a scaled hardware 425 implementation of room temperature p-bits could be useful in emulating quantum systems with the 426 sign problem in practical applications remains to be seen.

427

428 **d. Computing with nanomagnets**

429 In the schemes described above, coupling between junctions is realized with CMOS circuits or by 430 resistive crossbar arrays. Dipolar coupling between nanomagnets can also be exploited directly for 431 computation based on energy minimization, decreasing the CMOS overhead of spintronic circuits. 432 There are several demonstrations solving Ising Hamiltonians with nanomagnet arrays. Bhanja et al.¹⁰⁹ 433 exploited the natural Hamiltonian describing the physical dipolar interaction between arrays of 434 nanomagnets by mapping this interaction onto a quadratic optimization problem for computer vision 435 applications. Debashis et al.¹¹⁰ showed that small networks of nanomagnets interacting through 436 dipolar fields can produce correlations corresponding to a Ising Hamiltonian. Nomura et al.¹¹¹ 437 simulated a reservoir computer made of dipole coupled nanomagnets. In the future, such 438 reconfigurable artificial spin glasses 112 could be interesting substrates for the implementation of 439 scaled magnetic networks, enabling ultra-low power, high density co-processors by making use of the 440 natural physics of nanomagnets.

441 **e. Computing with skyrmions**

442 Towards even deeper miniaturization, Prychynenko et al.⁸² proposed to use skyrmion assemblies (see 443 Fig. 5b) as a fabric for reservoir computing. Here the reservoir is built out of a thin film of conducting 444 material that hosts highly complex and self-organized patterns of magnetic skyrmions⁸³. In this 445 concept, the input signals are injected into the system through voltage patterns⁸⁴, ideally at 446 randomly distributed contacts. The output signals are the different resistances measured between

447 different contacts. Based on the interplay of spin-torques, pinning and magnetoresistive effects like 448 the anisotropic magnetoresistance, an applied voltage across a certain magnetic texture leads to a 449 complex current pattern. The underlying idea of this reservoir computing system is analogous to the 450 water current pattern that arises in a riverbed filled with rocks, where water flow can induce changes 451 in the arrangement of the rocks in the riverbed, in turn adjusting the current flow. In the magnetic 452 case the current density relaxes on a much faster time scale than that of the magnetization dynamics 453 (induced by the applied voltage patterns) allowing for self-consistent modelling.

454 The simulations in Ref. 82 show that single pinned skyrmions have non-linear I-V characteristics. The 455 main effect of spin-torques on pinned skyrmions is their deformation. These in turn lead to a change 456 in the current pattern and thus to a change in the measured resistance. For a single skyrmion, the 457 effect of non-linearity is small, as it couples only to the size of the deformation. For larger effects, it 458 appears beneficial to use more skyrmions, e.g. in the form of skyrmion assemblies. In addition to the 459 basic requirements for any reservoir, basing a reservoir on complex structures that deform requires 460 that the magnetic texture relaxes back to its original state when the voltage is turned off and that the 461 system is stable under temperature fluctuations. In Ref. 84 the authors showed that skyrmion fabrics 462 on top of a grain structure deform without significant displacement and are stable under thermal 463 fluctuations, satisfying these additional requirements to operate as a reservoir.

464 Ref.⁸⁴ analyzes the response of the simulated system to different voltage patterns observing that the 465 signal procession depends on the history of the reservoir, thereby showing a short-term memory. 466 The complex magnetic response patterns serve as a high-dimensional nonlinear filtering of the input 467 signals. Furthermore, the responses are the most non-linear close to the natural time scale of the 468 system (nanoseconds in ferromagnetic systems). Simulations demonstrate simple pattern 469 classification. This theoretical work shows that skyrmion fabrics are suitable for reservoir computing, 470 providing a path to solve complex tasks using linear post-processing techniques based on 471 nanostructures.

472

473 **V- Challenges for scaling up**

474

475 The first experimental demonstrations of neuromorphic computing with small spintronics systems 476 highlight the promise of this technology for future applications. However, deep networks 477 implemented in software already comprise hundred millions of interconnected neurons and 478 synapses for image recognition 113 . Several hurdles need to be overcome to scale up spintronic 479 systems to sizes enabling useful pattern recognition. Some of these challenges are specific to 480 spintronics, while others are shared by all technologies. In some cases, spintronics has advantages 481 that could bring unique solutions for building large hardware neural networks.

482

483 **a. Adapting algorithms to spintronic hardware**

484 Inference in hardware neural networks requires being able to read rapidly and precisely circuit 485 outputs. A disadvantage of magnetic tunnel junctions compared to other memory technologies is 486 their small resistance changes, which makes them difficult to read quickly¹¹⁴, especially when they 487 are multistate, with memristive-like behavior (their OFF/ON ratios are typically between one and 488 three, while other resistive switching cells have ratios ranging from tens to millions). A way to 489 circumvent this issue is to design circuits in which junctions do not need to be read individually. For

490 example, the weighted sum of neuron voltages by the junction conductances, which is the important 491 quantity for inference, can be read in the overall current flowing through the junctions connected in 492 parallel, without any need to measure the resistance of each junction. However, this technique is 493 limited to circuits of typically hundreds of junctions in parallel. Side stepping this issue requires 494 complementing junctions with CMOS, either by connecting several small junction arrays with 495 transistors, or by integrating a transistor below each junction. In both cases, these solutions limit the 496 achievable density of the synaptic arrays.

497 Implementing neural networks that can be trained on-chip imposes additional constraints. 498 Backpropagation algorithms¹¹⁵ based on gradient descent require highly linear and symmetric weight 499 variations. This is an issue for all emerging memories and for most memristor types which tend to 500 have highly nonlinear asymmetric responses¹¹⁶. One approach to achieve this linearity with spintronic 501 memristors is to tune the materials and mechanisms underlying resistive variations, by considerably 502 shrinking the size of domain walls or skyrmions down to a few nanometers 117 to decrease granularity. 503 In parallel, within the artificial intelligence community, there are considerable efforts to develop 504 algorithms based on weights with reduced precision. For example, complex neural networks have 505 been trained with only eight bits per synapse for the weights¹¹⁸. For inference, extremely reduced 506 precision may be used: in 2016, it was shown that for many situations, binary weights are 507 appropriate, which is well adapted to encode in magnetic tunnel junctions^{119,120}. Finally, the 508 stochasticity inherent to magnetic systems can be a problem but one that can possibly be turned to 509 an advantage for accelerating training. Continuous training of neural circuits during the inference 510 phase offers other potential advantages, when coupled with the large cyclability of spintronics 511 systems, particularly magnetic tunnel junctions⁹⁸.

512 **b. Low energy**

513 Neuromorphic systems are most useful if they use less energy than traditional approaches for 514 particular computational tasks. At the system level, it is important to reduce CMOS overhead in 515 spintronic circuits, by taking advantage of physical effects to achieve functions that CMOS does not 516 do well. It is also important to keep the energy consumption low for individual devices. As in most 517 non-volatile memories, the write energy of magnetic tunnel junctions is higher than their read 518 energy, and should be therefore be considered carefully during learning. The write energy 519 consumption of magnetic memory cells today is of the order of a few hundred femtojoules per bit, 520 lower than phase change memories and comparable to redox memories \textdegree . To decrease this energy 521 consumption further, three options are available. The first is to improve spin-torque efficiency, for 522 example through the use of spin-orbit torques provided by topological insulators¹²¹. The second is to 523 speed up devices, for example by combining ultrafast demagnetizing process with parallel optical 524 writing^{122,123} and reading or using antiferromagnets to generate magnetization dynamics in the 525 terahertz range^{124,125}. The third, already mentioned in this review, is to decrease the size of the 526 devices to the point that thermal fluctuations help electric currents drive magnetization dynamics, 527 for example in the superparamagnetic limit 126 .

528 **c. Interconnection**

529 A major challenge for neuromorphic hardware is to reach a high degree of interconnection between 530 neurons. There are from 10 to 1000 synapses per neuron in typical algorithms today, in contrast to 531 the 10,000 synapses per neuron in the cortex. There is no good solution today to reach such degree 532 of interconnection while keeping the related power consumption low. Spintronics offers interesting 533 opportunities in this domain. Spintronic systems are made of multilayer systems that naturally stack 534 in three dimensions¹²⁷. It is therefore possible to envision building three-dimensional spintronics 535 neuromorphic systems exploiting solitons such as domain walls, skyrmions or magnons for vertical 536 and horizontal communication. Communication through optical waves, or microwave signals emitted 537 by spin-torque nano-oscillators is also potentially useful for this purpose, but amplification through 538 external circuitry could be required to achieve high fan-out. Progress in spintronics materials and 539 nanodevices now offers the possibility of building complex three-dimensional computing systems⁷⁴.

540

541 In summary, based on the basic principles of how brains compute, spintronics could help realize 542 artificial intelligence in at least two ways. First, it allows enmeshing computation and memory at a 543 very local level. Second, it permits exploiting rich multiphysics as a source of computational power. 544 The recent experimental progress achieved by several groups delivers the first proofs of concept and 545 pushes toward the development of large scale brain-inspired spintronic systems.

546

547 **Acknowledgements**

548 Work by M.D.S. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of 549 Basic Energy Sciences (BES), Materials Sciences and Engineering Division under Award DE-SC0019273. 550 SF is funded by JSPS Grant-in-Aid 18KK0143 and JST-OPERA. KES is funded by the German Research 551 Foundation (DFG) under the Project No. EV 196/2-1 and acknowledges support through the 552 Emergent AI Center, funded by the Carl-Zeiss-Stiftung. Work by J.G. was supported by the European 553 Research Council ERC under Grant bioSPINspired 682955. Work by D.Q. was supported by the 554 European Research Council grant NANOINFER (reference: 715872). S.F. acknowledges discussion with 555 Hideo Ohno. K.E.S. acknowledges discussions with Daniele Pinna.

556

557 **Data availability**

558 The datasets generated and analysed during this study are available from the corresponding authors 559 on reasonable request.

560

- 562 **References**
- 563
- 564 1. Big data needs a hardware revolution. *Nature* (2018). doi:10.1038/d41586-018-01683-1
- 565 2. Furber, S. Large-scale neuromorphic computing systems. *J. Neural Eng.* **13**, 051001 (2016).
- 566 3. Indiveri, G. *et al.* Neuromorphic silicon neuron circuits. *Neuromorphic Eng.* **5**, 73 (2011).
- 567 4. Locatelli, N., Cros, V. & Grollier, J. Spin-torque building blocks. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 11–20 (2014).
- 568 5. Grollier, J., Querlioz, D. & Stiles, M. D. Spintronic Nanodevices for Bioinspired Computing. *Proc.*
- 569 *IEEE* **104**, 2024–2039 (2016).
- 570 6. Chung, S. W. *et al.* 4Gbit density STT-MRAM using perpendicular MTJ realized with compact cell
- 571 structure. in *2016 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 27.1.1-27.1.4 (2016).

572 doi:10.1109/IEDM.2016.7838490

- 573 7. MRAM-Info | MRAM News, Resources & Information. Available at: https://www.mram-info.com/.
- 574 (Accessed: 24th October 2018)
- 575 8. Schuman, C. D. *et al.* A Survey of Neuromorphic Computing and Neural Networks in Hardware.
- 576 *ArXiv170506963 Cs* (2017).
- 577 9. Yang, J. J., Strukov, D. B. & Stewart, D. R. Memristive devices for computing. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **8**, 578 13–24 (2013).
- 579 10. Jarollahi, H. *et al.* A Nonvolatile Associative Memory-Based Context-Driven Search Engine
- 580 Using 90 nm CMOS/MTJ-Hybrid Logic-in-Memory Architecture. *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Circuits*
- 581 *Syst.* **4**, 460–474 (2014).
- 582 11. Ma, Y. *et al.* A 600-µW ultra-low-power associative processor for image pattern recognition
- 583 employing magnetic tunnel junction-based nonvolatile memories with autonomic intelligent

584 power-gating scheme. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **55**, 04EF15 (2016).

- 585 12. Zhou, P., Zhao, B., Yang, J. & Zhang, Y. Energy reduction for STT-RAM using early write
- 586 termination. in *2009 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Computer-Aided Design Digest of*
- 587 *Technical Papers* 264–268 (2009).
- 588 13. Faisal, A. A., Selen, L. P. J. & Wolpert, D. M. Noise in the nervous system. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 589 **9**, 292–303 (2008).
- 590 14. Bottou, L. & Bousquet, O. The Tradeoffs of Large Scale Learning. in *Proceedings of the 20th*
- 591 *International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* 161–168 (Curran Associates
- 592 Inc., 2007).
- 593 15. Locatelli, N., Vincent, A. F. & Querlioz, D. Use of Magnetoresistive Random-Access Memory as 594 Approximate Memory for Training Neural Networks. *ArXiv181010836 Phys.* (2018).

595 16. Senn, W. & Fusi, S. Convergence of stochastic learning in perceptrons with binary synapses.

596 *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 061907 (2005).

- 597 17. Bill, J. & Legenstein, R. A compound memristive synapse model for statistical learning
- 598 through STDP in spiking neural networks. *Neuromorphic Eng.* **8**, 412 (2014).
- 599 18. Vincent, A. F. *et al.* Spin-Transfer Torque Magnetic Memory as a Stochastic Memristive
- 600 Synapse for Neuromorphic Systems. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst.* **9**, 166–174 (2015).
- 601 19. Widrow, B. An Adaptive `Adaline' Neuron Using Chemical `Memistors'. *Stanf. Electron. Lab.*
- 602 *Tech. Rep.* 1553–2 (1960).
- 603 20. Chua, L. Memristor-The missing circuit element. *IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory* **18**, 507–519
- 604 (1971).
- 605 21. Strukov, D. B., Snider, G. S., Stewart, D. R. & Williams, R. S. The missing memristor found. 606 *Nature* **453**, 80–83 (2008).
- 607 22. Likharev, K. K. CrossNets: Neuromorphic Hybrid CMOS/Nanoelectronic Networks. *Sci. Adv.* 608 *Mater.* **3**, 322–331 (2011).
- 609 23. Sharad, M., Augustine, C., Panagopoulos, G. & Roy, K. Spin-Based Neuron Model With
- 610 Domain-Wall Magnets as Synapse. *IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol.* **11**, 843–853 (2012).
- 611 24. Wang, X., Chen, Y., Xi, H., Li, H. & Dimitrov, D. Spintronic Memristor Through Spin-Torque-
- 612 Induced Magnetization Motion. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **30**, 294–297 (2009).
- 613 25. Yamaguchi, A. *et al.* Real-Space Observation of Current-Driven Domain Wall Motion in
- 614 Submicron Magnetic Wires. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 077205 (2004).
- 615 26. Grollier, J. *et al.* Switching a spin valve back and forth by current-induced domain wall
- 616 motion. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 509–511 (2003).
- 617 27. Chanthbouala, A. *et al.* Vertical-current-induced domain-wall motion in MgO-based magnetic
- 618 tunnel junctions with low current densities. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 626–630 (2011).
- 619 28. Lequeux, S. *et al.* A magnetic synapse: multilevel spin-torque memristor with perpendicular
- 620 anisotropy. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 31510 (2016).
- 621 29. Huang, Y., Kang, W., Zhang, X., Zhou, Y. & Zhao, W. Magnetic skyrmion-based synaptic
- 622 devices. *Nanotechnology* **28**, 08LT02 (2017).
- 623 30. Wadley, P. *et al.* Electrical switching of an antiferromagnet. *Science* aab1031 (2016).
- 624 doi:10.1126/science.aab1031
- 625 31. Grzybowski, M. J. *et al.* Imaging Current-Induced Switching of Antiferromagnetic Domains in
- 626 CuMnAs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 057701 (2017).
- 627 32. Miron, I. M. *et al.* Perpendicular switching of a single ferromagnetic layer induced by in-plane
- 628 current injection. *Nature* **476**, 189–193 (2011).
- 629 33. Liu, L. *et al.* Spin-Torque Switching with the Giant Spin Hall Effect of Tantalum. *Science* **336**, 630 555–558 (2012).
- 631 34. Fukami, S., Anekawa, T., Zhang, C. & Ohno, H. A spin–orbit torque switching scheme with
- 632 collinear magnetic easy axis and current configuration. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **11**, 621–625 (2016).
- 633 35. Fukami, S., Zhang, C., DuttaGupta, S., Kurenkov, A. & Ohno, H. Magnetization switching by
- 634 spin-orbit torque in an antiferromagnet-ferromagnet bilayer system. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 535–541
- 635 (2016).
- 636 36. Kurenkov, A., Zhang, C., DuttaGupta, S., Fukami, S. & Ohno, H. Device-size dependence of
- 637 field-free spin-orbit torque induced magnetization switching in antiferromagnet/ferromagnet
- 638 structures. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **110**, 092410 (2017).
- 639 37. Hoppensteadt, F. C. & Izhikevich, E. M. Oscillatory Neurocomputers with Dynamic
- 640 Connectivity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2983–2986 (1999).
- 641 38. Engel, A. K., Fries, P. & Singer, W. Dynamic predictions: Oscillations and synchrony in top–
- 642 down processing. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2**, 704–716 (2001).
- 643 39. Buzsaki, G. *Rhythms of the Brain*. (OUP USA, 2011).
- 644 40. Gerstner, W., Kistler, W. M., Naud, R. & Paninski, L. *Neuronal Dynamics: From Single Neurons*
- 645 *to Networks and Models of Cognition*. (Cambridge University Press, 2014).

646 41. Kiselev, S. I. *et al.* Microwave oscillations of a nanomagnet driven by a spin-polarized current.

647 *Nature* **425**, 380–383 (2003).

- 648 42. Rippard, W. H., Pufall, M. R., Kaka, S., Russek, S. E. & Silva, T. J. Direct-Current Induced
- 649 Dynamics in Co90Fe10/Ni80Fe20 Point Contacts. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 027201 (2004).
- 650 43. Sengupta, A., Panda, P., Wijesinghe, P., Kim, Y. & Roy, K. Magnetic Tunnel Junction Mimics
- 651 Stochastic Cortical Spiking Neurons. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30039 (2016).
- 652 44. Tsunegi, S. *et al.* Evaluation of memory capacity of spin torque oscillator for recurrent neural
- 653 networks. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **57**, 120307 (2018).
- 654 45. Slavin, A. & Tiberkevich, V. Nonlinear Auto-Oscillator Theory of Microwave Generation by
- 655 Spin-Polarized Current. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **45**, 1875–1918 (2009).
- 656 46. Kaka, S. *et al.* Mutual phase-locking of microwave spin torque nano-oscillators. *Nature* **437**,
- 657 389–392 (2005).
- 658 47. Mancoff, F. B., Rizzo, N. D., Engel, B. N. & Tehrani, S. Phase-locking in double-point-contact 659 spin-transfer devices. *Nature* **437**, 393–395 (2005).
- 660 48. Houshang, A. *et al.* Spin-wave-beam driven synchronization of nanocontact spin-torque
- 661 oscillators. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **11**, 280–286 (2016).
- 662 49. Locatelli, N. *et al.* Efficient Synchronization of Dipolarly Coupled Vortex-Based Spin Transfer
- 663 Nano-Oscillators. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 17039 (2015).
- 664 50. Awad, A. A. *et al.* Long-range mutual synchronization of spin Hall nano-oscillators. *Nat. Phys.* 665 **13**, 292–299 (2017).
- 666 51. Lebrun, R. *et al.* Mutual synchronization of spin torque nano-oscillators through a long-range
- 667 and tunable electrical coupling scheme. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, ncomms15825 (2017).
- 668 52. Pufall, M. R. *et al.* Physical Implementation of Coherently Coupled Oscillator Networks. *IEEE J.*
- 669 *Explor. Solid-State Comput. Devices Circuits* **1**, 76–84 (2015).
- 670 53. Yogendra, K., Fan, D., Jung, B. & Roy, K. Magnetic Pattern Recognition Using Injection-Locked
- 671 Spin-Torque Nano-Oscillators. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **63**, 1674–1680 (2016).

672 54. Fell, J. & Axmacher, N. The role of phase synchronization in memory processes. *Nat. Rev.*

673 *Neurosci.* **12**, 105–118 (2011).

674 55. Torrejon, J. *et al.* Neuromorphic computing with nanoscale spintronic oscillators. *Nature* **547**,

675 428–431 (2017).

- 676 56. Riou, M. *et al.* Neuromorphic computing through time-multiplexing with a spin-torque nano-
- 677 oscillator. in *2017 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 36.3.1-36.3.4 (2017).
- 678 doi:10.1109/IEDM.2017.8268505
- 679 57. Appeltant, L. *et al.* Information processing using a single dynamical node as complex system.
- 680 *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 468 (2011).
- 681 58. Texas Instruments-Developed 46-Word Speaker-Dependent Isolated Word Corpus (TI46),
- 682 September 1991, NIST Speech Disc 7-1.1 (1 disc). (1991).
- 683 59. Stein, R. B., Gossen, E. R. & Jones, K. E. Neuronal variability: noise or part of the signal? *Nat.*
- 684 *Rev. Neurosci.* **6**, 389–397 (2005).
- 685 60. Conrad, M., Engl, E. & Jolivet, R. B. Energy use constrains brain information processing. in
- 686 *2017 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 11.3.1-11.3.3 (2017).
- 687 doi:10.1109/IEDM.2017.8268370
- 688 61. Pouget, A., Dayan, P. & Zemel, R. Information processing with population codes. *Nat. Rev.*
- 689 *Neurosci.* **1**, 125–132 (2000).
- 690 62. Vodenicarevic, D. *et al.* Low-Energy Truly Random Number Generation with
- 691 Superparamagnetic Tunnel Junctions for Unconventional Computing. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **8**, 054045
- 692 (2017).
- 693 63. Locatelli, N. *et al.* Noise-Enhanced Synchronization of Stochastic Magnetic Oscillators. *Phys.*
- 694 *Rev. Appl.* **2**, 034009 (2014).
- 695 64. Mizrahi, A. *et al.* Controlling the phase locking of stochastic magnetic bits for ultra-low power
- 696 computation. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30535 (2016).
- 697 65. Camsari, K. Y., Faria, R., Sutton, B. M. & Datta, S. Stochastic \$p\$-Bits for Invertible Logic. *Phys.*
- 698 *Rev. X* **7**, 031014 (2017).
- 699 66. Camsari, K. Y., Salahuddin, S. & Datta, S. Implementing p-bits With Embedded MTJ. *IEEE*
- 700 *Electron Device Lett.* **38**, 1767–1770 (2017).
- 701 67. Pufall, M. R. *et al.* Large-angle, gigahertz-rate random telegraph switching induced by spin-
- 702 momentum transfer. *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 214409 (2004).
- 703 68. Fábián, A. *et al.* Current-Induced Two-Level Fluctuations in Pseudo-Spin-Valve
- 704 (\$\mathrm{C}\mathrm{o}/\mathrm{C}\mathrm{u}/\mathrm{C}\mathrm{o}\$) Nanostructures.
- 705 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 257209 (2003).
- 706 69. Parks, B. *et al.* Superparamagnetic perpendicular magnetic tunnel junctions for true random
- 707 number generators. *AIP Adv.* **8**, 055903 (2017).
- 708 70. Yamanouchi, M., Chiba, D., Matsukura, F. & Ohno, H. Current-induced domain-wall switching
- 709 in a ferromagnetic semiconductor structure. *Nature* **428**, 539–542 (2004).
- 710 71. Thomas, L., Moriya, R., Rettner, C. & Parkin, S. S. P. Dynamics of Magnetic Domain Walls
- 711 Under Their Own Inertia. *Science* **330**, 1810–1813 (2010).
- 712 72. Woo, S. *et al.* Observation of room-temperature magnetic skyrmions and their current-driven
- 713 dynamics in ultrathin metallic ferromagnets. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 501–506 (2016).
- 714 73. Allwood, D. A. *et al.* Magnetic Domain-Wall Logic. *Science* **309**, 1688–1692 (2005).
- 715 74. Fernández-Pacheco, A. *et al.* Three-dimensional nanomagnetism. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15756
- 716 (2017).
- 717 75. Hayashi, M. *et al.* Dependence of Current and Field Driven Depinning of Domain Walls on
- 718 Their Structure and Chirality in Permalloy Nanowires. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 207205 (2006).
- 719 76. Hayward, T. J. Intrinsic Nature of Stochastic Domain Wall Pinning Phenomena in Magnetic
- 720 Nanowire Devices. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13279 (2015).
- 721 77. Zázvorka, J. *et al.* Thermal skyrmion diffusion used in a reshuffler device. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 1
- 722 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41565-019-0436-8

723 78. Pinna, D. *et al.* Skyrmion Gas Manipulation for Probabilistic Computing. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **9**,

724 064018 (2018).

- 725 79. Li, S. *et al.* Magnetic skyrmion-based artificial neuron device. *Nanotechnology* **28**, 31LT01
- 726 (2017).
- 727 80. Chen, X. *et al.* A compact skyrmionic leaky–integrate–fire spiking neuron device. *Nanoscale*
- 728 **10**, 6139–6146 (2018).
- 729 81. Du, H. *et al.* Electrical probing of field-driven cascading quantized transitions of skyrmion
- 730 cluster states in MnSi nanowires. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7637 (2015).
- 731 82. Prychynenko, D. *et al.* Magnetic Skyrmion as a Nonlinear Resistive Element: A Potential
- 732 Building Block for Reservoir Computing. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **9**, 014034 (2018).
- 733 83. Bourianoff, G., Pinna, D., Sitte, M. & Everschor-Sitte, K. Potential implementation of reservoir
- 734 computing models based on magnetic skyrmions. *AIP Adv.* **8**, 055602 (2018).
- 735 84. Pinna, D., Bourianoff, G. & Everschor-Sitte, K. Reservoir Computing with Random Skyrmion
- 736 Textures. *ArXiv181112623 Cond-Mat* (2018).
- 737 85. Hanneken, C. *et al.* Electrical detection of magnetic skyrmions by tunnelling non-collinear
- 738 magnetoresistance. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 1039–1042 (2015).
- 739 86. Kubetzka, A., Hanneken, C., Wiesendanger, R. & von Bergmann, K. Impact of the skyrmion
- 740 spin texture on magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 104433 (2017).
- 741 87. Krüger, B. Current-Driven Magnetization Dynamics: Analytical Modeling and Numerical
- 742 Simulation. (2011).
- 743 88. Prezioso, M. *et al.* Training and operation of an integrated neuromorphic network based on 744 metal-oxide memristors. *Nature* **521**, 61–64 (2015).
- 745 89. Burr, G. W. *et al.* Large-scale neural networks implemented with non-volatile memory as the
- 746 synaptic weight element: Comparative performance analysis (accuracy, speed, and power). in
- 747 *2015 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 4.4.1-4.4.4 (2015).
- 748 doi:10.1109/IEDM.2015.7409625
- 749 90. Borders, William A. *et al.* Analogue spin–orbit torque device for artificial-neural-network-
- 750 based associative memory operation. *Appl. Phys. Express* **10**, 013007 (2016).
- 751 91. Hopfield, J. J. Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational
- 752 abilities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **79**, 2554–2558 (1982).
- 753 92. Ackley, D. H., Hinton, G. E. & Sejnowski, T. J. A learning algorithm for boltzmann machines.
- 754 *Cogn. Sci.* **9**, 147–169 (1985).
- 755 93. Romera, M. *et al.* Vowel recognition with four coupled spin-torque nano-oscillators. *Nature* 756 **563**, 230 (2018).
-
- 757 94. Vodenicarevic, D., Locatelli, N., Araujo, F. A., Grollier, J. & Querlioz, D. A Nanotechnology-
- 758 Ready Computing Scheme based on a Weakly Coupled Oscillator Network. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 44772
- 759 (2017).
- 760 95. Vodenicarevic, D., Locatelli, N., Grollier, J. & Querlioz, D. Nano-oscillator-based classification 761 with a machine learning-compatible architecture. *J. Appl. Phys.* **124**, 152117 (2018).
- 762 96. Vogel, M. *et al.* Phase programming in coupled spintronic oscillators. *ArXiv181102154 Phys.*
- 763 (2018).
- 764 97. Mizrahi, A. *et al.* Neural-like computing with populations of superparamagnetic basis
- 765 functions. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1533 (2018).
- 766 98. Mizrahi, A., Grollier, J., Querlioz, D. & Stiles, M. D. Overcoming device unreliability with
- 767 continuous learning in a population coding based computing system. *J. Appl. Phys.* **124**, 152111
- 768 (2018).
- 769 99. Sutton, B., Camsari, K. Y., Behin-Aein, B. & Datta, S. Intrinsic optimization using stochastic 770 nanomagnets. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 44370 (2017).
- 771 100. Behin-Aein, B., Diep, V. & Datta, S. A building block for hardware belief networks. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 772 29893 (2016).
- 773 101. Behin-Aein, B. Computing multi-magnet based devices and methods for solution of
- 774 optimization problems. (2014).
- 775 102. Zand, R. *et al.* Low-Energy Deep Belief Networks Using Intrinsic Sigmoidal Spintronic-based
- 776 Probabilistic Neurons. in *Proceedings of the 2018 on Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI* 15–20 (ACM,
- 777 2018). doi:10.1145/3194554.3194558
- 778 103. Farhi, E. *et al.* A Quantum Adiabatic Evolution Algorithm Applied to Random Instances of an
- 779 NP-Complete Problem. *Science* **292**, 472–475 (2001).
- 780 104. Peng, X. *et al.* Quantum Adiabatic Algorithm for Factorization and Its Experimental
- 781 Implementation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 220405 (2008).
- 782 105. Faria, R., Camsari, K. Y. & Datta, S. Low-Barrier Nanomagnets as p-Bits for Spin Logic. *IEEE* 783 *Magn. Lett.* **8**, 1–5 (2017).
- 784 106. Camsari, K. Y., Chowdhury, S. & Datta, S. Scaled Quantum Circuits Emulated with Room
- 785 Temperature p-Bits. *ArXiv181007144 Quant-Ph* (2018).
- 786 107. Johnson, M. W. *et al.* Quantum annealing with manufactured spins. *Nature* **473**, 194–198 787 (2011).
- 788 108. Troyer, M. & Wiese, U.-J. Computational Complexity and Fundamental Limitations to
- 789 Fermionic Quantum Monte Carlo Simulations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 170201 (2005).
- 790 109. Bhanja, S., Karunaratne, D. K., Panchumarthy, R., Rajaram, S. & Sarkar, S. Non-Boolean
- 791 computing with nanomagnets for computer vision applications. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **11**, 177–183
- 792 (2016).
- 793 110. Debashis, P. *et al.* Experimental demonstration of nanomagnet networks as hardware for
- 794 Ising computing. in *2016 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 34.3.1-34.3.4 (2016).
- 795 doi:10.1109/IEDM.2016.7838539
- 796 111. Nomura, H. *et al.* Reservoir computing with dipole coupled nanomagnets array.
- 797 *ArXiv181013140 Cond-Mat* (2018).
- 798 112. Jensen, J. H., Folven, E. & Tufte, G. Computation in artificial spin ice. *2018 Conf. Artif. Life*
- 799 *Hybrid Eur. Conf. Artif. Life ECAL Int. Conf. Synth. Simul. Living Syst. ALIFE* 15–22 (2018).
- 800 doi:10.1162/isal_a_00011
- 801 113. Xu, X. *et al.* Scaling for edge inference of deep neural networks. *Nat. Electron.* **1**, 216–222
- 802 (2018).
- 803 114. Khvalkovskiy, A. V. *et al.* Basic principles of STT-MRAM cell operation in memory arrays. *J.*
- 804 *Phys. Appl. Phys.* **46**, 074001 (2013).
- 805 115. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y. & Hinton, G. Deep learning. *Nature* **521**, 436–444 (2015).
- 806 116. Ambrogio, S. *et al.* Equivalent-accuracy accelerated neural-network training using analogue
- 807 memory. *Nature* **558**, 60–67 (2018).
- 808 117. Nagaosa, N. & Tokura, Y. Topological properties and dynamics of magnetic skyrmions. *Nat.*
- 809 *Nanotechnol.* **8**, 899–911 (2013).
- 810 118. Wu, S., Li, G., Chen, F. & Shi, L. Training and Inference with Integers in Deep Neural Networks.
- 811 *ArXiv180204680 Cs* (2018).
- 812 119. Hubara, I., Courbariaux, M., Soudry, D., El-Yaniv, R. & Bengio, Y. Quantized Neural Networks:
- 813 Training Neural Networks with Low Precision Weights and Activations. *ArXiv160907061 Cs* (2016).
- 814 120. Rastegari, M., Ordonez, V., Redmon, J. & Farhadi, A. XNOR-Net: ImageNet Classification Using
- 815 Binary Convolutional Neural Networks. *ArXiv160305279 Cs* (2016).
- 816 121. Mellnik, A. R. *et al.* Spin-transfer torque generated by a topological insulator. *Nature* **511**,
- 817 449–451 (2014).
- 818 122. Chakravarty, A. *et al.* Supervised learning of an opto-magnetic neural network with ultrashort 819 laser pulses. *ArXiv181101375 Phys.* (2018).
- 820 123. Davies, C. S. *et al.* Towards massively parallelized all-optical magnetic recording. *J. Appl. Phys.*
- 821 **123**, 213904 (2018).
- 822 124. Khymyn, R. *et al.* Ultra-fast artificial neuron: generation of picosecond-duration spikes in a
- 823 current-driven antiferromagnetic auto-oscillator. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 15727 (2018).
- 824 125. Sulymenko, O. *et al.* Ultra-fast logic devices using artificial "neurons" based on
- 825 antiferromagnetic pulse generators. *J. Appl. Phys.* **124**, 152115 (2018).
- 826 126. Sato, H. *et al.* Properties of magnetic tunnel junctions with a MgO/CoFeB/Ta/CoFeB/MgO
- 827 recording structure down to junction diameter of 11 nm. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **105**, 062403 (2014).
- 828 127. Piraux, L. *et al.* Giant magnetoresistance in magnetic multilayered nanowires. *Appl. Phys.*
- 829 *Lett.* **65**, 2484–2486 (1994).
- 830
- 831

833 Fig. 1. (a) Magnetic tunnel junctions for memory applications. A magnetic junction consists of two 834 ferromagnetic layers (gray) separated by an insulating layer (blue) with the magnetization of one 835 layer fixed and that of the other either parallel (low resistance) or antiparallel (high resistance) to it. 836 (b) Cross-bar array of magnetic tunnel junctions for high density storage (Magnetic Random Access 837 Memory). The resistance of a particular tunnel junction is measured by activating the appropriate 838 word line (red) allowing conduction between the bottom bit line and the top sense line (both blue). 839 The alignment of the magnetization can be switched by passing sufficient currents through the 840 device. (c) Associative memory. (i) Handwritten digits from the MNIST dataset used for training the 841 associative memory. (ii) Sample test input after training. (iii) Output of trained network from the test

842 input showing successful association.

845 Fig. 2. Spintronic based memristors. (a) Domain wall memristor. The resistance of the magnetic 846 tunnel junction depends on the location of the domain wall changing the relative area of the high 847 resistance antiparallel configuration and the low resistance parallel configuration. (b) Skyrmion based 848 memristor. the resistance of the device depends on the number of skyrmions under the fixed layer. 849 (c) Fine-magnetic-domain tunneling memristor. In a tunnel junction coupled to a polycrystalline 850 antiferromagnet, the variation of switching properties from domain to domain allows the domains to 851 reverse independently and under different conditions. The resistance of the device then depends on 852 the fraction of domains with magnetizations aligned with the uniformly magnetized fixed layer. (d) 853 Spintronic associative memory. The value of each off-diagonal matrix element is stored in the 854 configuration of the memristor schematically illustrated by the different levels in the matrix. These 855 levels are trained so that when the matrix multiplies an input, the result is the closest element of the 856 training set. The multiplication is carried out by applying voltages that corresponding to the input and 857 measuring the output current through the appropriate memristors.

860 Fig. 3. Neuromorphic computing with Spin Torque nano-oscillators. (a) Schematic spin torque nano-861 oscillator. When designed appropriately, the free layer magnetization of a tunnel junction precesses 862 when a dc current is passed through it. Because of the oscillating magnetoresistance, a fixed input 863 current gives an oscillating voltage across the junction. (b) Reservoir computing with a spin torque 864 nano-oscillator. Using time multiplexing in pre- and post-processing, a single spin torque nano-865 oscillator gives state of the art performance as a reservoir in a reservoir computing scheme. (c) 866 Schematic use of coupled nano-oscillators for vowel recognition. The input is represented by the 867 frequencies of two microwaves applied through a stripline to the oscillators. The natural frequencies 868 of the oscillators are tuned by dc bias currents through the devise. These can be tuned so that the 869 synchronization pattern between the oscillators corresponds to the desired output.

871

872

893

894 Fig. 5. (a) Schematic skyrmion structure. The magnetization direction of a single skyrmion is 895 schematically given both by the directions of the arrows and the color coding, ranging from blue for 896 magnetization up, through white for in-plane magnetization directions, to red for magnetization 897 down. (b) Simulated skyrmion assembly. A reservoir computing scheme based on skyrmions in a 898 random potential makes use of the distortions of the assembly due to current flow to provide the

899 necessary non-linearity and memory.