Assessing the interactions of metal nanoparticles in soil and sediment matrices – A quantitative analytical multi technique approach

4 5

6

Hind El Hadri^{*}, Stacey M. Louie and Vincent A. Hackley^{*}

7 National Institute of Standards and Technology, Materials Measurement Science Division

8 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8520 USA

9

10 *corresponding authors: <u>h.el.hadri@hotmail.fr; vince.hackley@nist.gov</u>

11 Abstract

12 The impact and behavior of engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) entering the environment is an 13 important issue due to their growing use in consumer and agricultural products. Their mobility 14 and fate in the environment are heavily impacted by their interactions with natural particle components of saturated sediments and soils. In this study, functionalized gold nanoparticles 15 (AuNPs - used as model ENMs) were spiked into complex solid-containing media (standard 16 17 soils and estuarine sediment in moderately hard water). AuNPs were characterized in the 18 colloidal extract (< 1 µm) following centrifugal separation of the non-colloidal phase, using 19 different analytical techniques including asymmetric-flow field-flow fractionation and single 20 particle inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Attachment of functionalized AuNPs to 21 the soil particles did not significantly depend on their concentration or surface coating (citrate, 22 bPEI, PVP, PEG). Similarly, UV degradation of coatings did not substantially alter their 23 recovery. Conversely, the presence of natural organic matter (NOM) is a key factor in their 24 adhesion to matrix particles, by decreasing the predicted influence of native surface chemistry 25 and functional coatings. A kinetic experiment performed over 48 h showed that attachment to 26 soil colloids is rapid and that hetero-aggregation is dominant. These results suggest that transport 27 of ENMs away from the point of discharge (or entry) could be limited in soils and sediments, but 28 additional experiments under more realistic and dynamic field conditions would be necessary to 29 confirm this more generally. Transport properties may also differ substantially in matrices where 30 NOM is largely absent or otherwise sequestered or when dissolution of ENMs is an important 31 factor.

32

- 33 Keywords: metal nanoparticles, engineered nanomaterials, natural colloids, aggregation, field
- 34 flow fractionation, single particle mass spectrometry, transport, fate

35 **1 Introduction**

Because of the wide range of applications for engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) in industry, medicine, consumer products and agriculture, the nanotechnology field has witnessed rapid development. Consequently, the number of studies focused on ENMs is increasing rapidly. However, knowledge of the physico-chemical state and reactivity in environmentally relevant media and validated tools to measure those properties remain a significant challenge.^{1, 2}

41 Soils (and sediments) are rich environmental matrices with respect to naturally occurring 42 colloids (nano- to micro-scale). Soil colloids (operationally defined by a size between 1 nm and 1 43 um³) typically carry a net electrostatic charge (negative in most cases) and/or have hydrophobic 44 characteristics that may promote interactions with natural or anthropogenic species (e.g., trace 45 elements, ENMs, etc.). These colloids are composed of mineral (clay, iron oxides, etc.) and/or 46 organic (natural organic matter - NOM) components, and play a central role in determining the 47 behavior, mobility and fate of ENMs that enter the environment. Correspondingly, colloidal transport through soils has been widely studied for metallic trace element fate ⁴⁻⁸. The most 48 49 important sources of entry for ENMs into soils arise from application of wastewater sludge as a 50 soil amendment, intentional releases for environmental applications (e.g., zero valence iron 51 nanoparticles ^{9, 10}) and accidental spillages ¹¹; ENMs may also enter the environment via aerosols 52 that subsequently deposit into soil or aquatic systems and from end-of-life disposal in landfills.

A number of studies have examined the behavior of ENMs in environmental matrices and more particularly in soils ¹²⁻¹⁸. The aggregation rate including homo-aggregation (ENM-ENM) and hetero-aggregation (ENM-natural colloid) is a key parameter to assess the reactivity, transport and bioavailability of ENMs in the soil compartment and their potential impact on the biota and groundwater ¹⁹. Here we use the term 'aggregation' in the generic form (i.e., equivalent to agglomeration), due to its historical use in this context.

The principal methods used to detect and characterize ENMs in soils and sediments include transmission electronic microscopy (TEM) ¹⁵, hydrodynamic chromatography (HDC) ¹⁴, asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation (AF4) ¹² and dynamic light scattering (DLS) ¹³. Both HDC and AF4 are typically coupled in tandem to specific detectors, such as DLS, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), or fluorescence. The benefits of stable isotope detection with ICP-MS for quantifying and differentiating ENMs from naturally occurring species has also been investigated ²⁰.

66 Given the low environmental concentrations of ENMs, pre-concentration methods are often needed for analytical techniques with higher limits of quantification (LOO). Thus, our previous 67 68 study focused on cloud point extraction applied to a complex soil matrix (extract) spiked with gold nanoparticles (AuNPs)²¹. In the present study, however, spiked analyte concentrations are 69 70 sufficiently high (above expected environmental levels) to negate the need for pre-concentration, 71 as the purpose here is to validate the general analytical approach and to demonstrate its potential 72 to interrogate ENM-matrix interactions. This work builds on our previous studies by assessing 73 the behavior and fate of model AuNPs in soil and sediment matrices under different conditions 74 using a standard leaching test procedure. AuNPs were chosen because they are relatively stable 75 and easy to detect. Moreover, surface coatings tend to play a determinant factor with respect to 76 the interaction of metal NPs with complex media (unless the cores are significantly unstable)²²⁻ ²⁴. To this end, a method of extraction for complex solid matrices (soils and sediments), derived 77 78 from the US Environmental Protection Agency²⁵ and the International Organization for Standardization 18772:2008²⁶, was applied. EPA 1316 and ISO 18772:2008 are guidance 79 80 methods on leaching procedures. They provide information on the liquid/solid partitioning of 81 contaminants (inorganic, non-volatile organic and natural radionuclides). For comparison 82 purposes the leaching procedure is generally standardized by setting conventional operating 83 parameters, allowing a higher degree of robustness, repeatability, reproducibility and a broad applicability to different types of soils ²⁶. The leaching method was used as a means to obtain an 84 85 extract (eluate) from a solid matrix, which may be used to estimate the release of the most 86 available fraction of soil colloids from spiked samples under laboratory conditions. Laboratory 87 conditions do not aim to fully simulate actual field conditions, but rather this approach offers improved insight into the behavior of ENMs in complex solid matrices and provides proof of 88 89 principle for the combined analytical methodology demonstrated here. It is important to note that 90 batch methods represent a given time frame (which may vary) in which equilibrium is not necessarily reached ²⁷⁻²⁹. The relative merits of batch versus dynamic column tests in predicting 91 92 transport and fate is beyond the scope of the present work.

Analytically, we employ hyphenation of AF4 with tandem detection methods, including ultra-violet visible (UV-Vis) absorption, multi-angle light scattering (MALS) and ICP-MS, which have proven particularly valuable and flexible in our previous work ^{20, 21}. This coupling provides information about the size, but also about the nature and composition of NPs under

97 relevant conditions, and with minimal perturbation of the analyte. Additionally, other 98 complementary techniques were used, such as single particle (sp) ICP-MS, in order to determine 99 the AuNP size distribution and to assess the homo-aggregation rate. In this study, AuNPs with 100 different surface coatings and concentrations were spiked into the matrix before extraction to 101 assess the Au distribution between the more massive soil particles and the colloidal fraction 102 (nominally $< 1 \mu m$ for the present work). A kinetic study was also performed on soil samples 103 spiked with citrate-capped AuNPs, up to 48 h. Finally, the potential role of surface coating 104 degradation on the AuNP-soil interaction was evaluated using UV exposure as a model approach 105 to induce coating degradation of polyethylene glycol (PEG) capped AuNPs.

106 2 Materials and methods

107 **2.1** Chemicals

108 Deionized (DI) water (>18 MΩ cm) was supplied by a Type II biological grade water 109 purification system (Aqua Solutions, Jasper, GA, USA)[†] and was utilized for all sample 110 preparation and dilution. AuNPs coated with PVP (10 kDa), PEG (5 kDa) and bPEI (25 kDa) 111 with a nominal size of 30 nm were purchased from Nanocomposix (San Diego, CA, USA). 30 112 nm and 60 nm citrate (Cit) AuNPs were obtained from Ted Pella (Redding, CA, USA). To 113 prepare AuNPs (from Cit-AuNPs) coated with NOM, commercial humic acid (Suwannee River 114 Humic Acid Standard II, HA) from the International Humic Substances Society (IHSS, St. Paul, 115 MN, USA) and HA extracted directly from the soil sample (see protocol in Electronic 116 Supporting Information - ESI) were used. Ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃, 99 %) was used for the 117 AF4 mobile phase after dilution with DI water (to reach a concentration of 0.5 mmol L^{-1}) and 118 filtration through a 0.2 μ m regenerated cellulose membrane. Concentrated nitric, HNO₃, (\geq 69 119 %) and hydrochloric, HCl, (\geq 37 %) acids (Fluka TraceSelect, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, 120 USA) were used to digest AuNPs prior to ICP-MS experiments for trace analysis. Elemental 121 calibration standards were prepared from NIST SRM 3121 Au and SRM 3140 Pt standard 122 solutions.

⁺ The identification of any commercial product or trade name does not imply endorsement or recommendation by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

123 2.2 Sample preparation

124 **2.2.1 Soil extraction**

125 In this study, three different environmental matrices were utilized:

Nebraska SONE-1 (internal reference agricultural soil) obtained from the US Geological
 Survey (USGS); this sample was used for most experiments reported in the present study,

NIST SRM 2709a (San Joaquin Soil), an agricultural soil collected by the USGS from a
 fallow field in central California's San Joaquin Valley ³⁰,

130 - NIST SRM 1646a (Estuarine Sediment) dredged from the Chesapeake Bay ³¹.

Information provided by the producer on the corresponding certificates indicated that the matrix materials were sieved at 2 mm for the two soil samples and at 1 mm for the sediment, to remove the coarsest fraction; materials were then ground to a particle size below 100 μ m (< 90 μ m for SONE-1 and 75 μ m for the two SRMs). In general, particulates with a higher specific surface area are likely to present a more reactive material and to represent the greater portion of available surface area (on a mass basis) within the matrix.

Fig. 1 schematically shows the extraction procedure based on EPA 1316 and ISO 137 18772:2008 leaching methods and previous work performed in our laboratory ^{20, 21, 25, 26}. 138 Moderately Hard Water (MHW) was used as the leachant (i.e., the liquid used in a leaching test) 139 and was prepared according to the EPA recommendation *i.e.*, 96 mg L^{-1} NaHCO₃, 60 mg L^{-1} 140 CaSO₄·H₂O, 60 mg L⁻¹ MgSO₄ and 4 mg L⁻¹ KCl ³². It is generally preferable to use leachant 141 that provides an ionic strength closer to typical environmental media rather than DI water alone, 142 143 and MHW has been used extensively for environmental studies. The calculated ionic strength is 3.3 mmol L⁻¹. A liquid/solid (L/S) ratio of 10:1 was used, containing 1 g of solid matrix and 10 g 144 145 of leachant. This ratio is somewhat arbitrary in nature, but has been commonly used in previous 146 studies and proved both workable and reproducible. AuNPs were spiked into soil samples by 147 addition of a small volume (100 µL) into the solid-leachant system, to obtain concentrations in the range from (10 to 5000) μ g kg⁻¹. The resulting preparations were agitated for 24 h using an 148 149 end-over-end rotator.

150 A centrifugation technique was used to isolate soil colloids $\leq 1 \ \mu m$ (total range of the 151 colloidal fraction) and $< 0.45 \ \mu m$ (commonly used threshold). The settling time to obtain the two 152 different size fractions was determined using the equation: $t = \frac{18\eta \ln(\frac{R}{S})}{\omega^2 d^2 \Delta \rho}$ where $\Delta \rho$ is the density 153 difference between the particles and the medium, R (115 mm) and S (minimum 64 mm) represent 154 the distances from the axis of rotation at the bottom and at the top of the solution, respectively, w 155 is the angular velocity of the centrifuge, d the particle (equivalent spherical) diameter and η is the viscosity of the suspension medium (i.e., water). The densities considered are 2.65 g cm⁻³ for soil 156 (an estimated mean value) and 19.3 g cm⁻³ for Au ^{33, 34}. This method assumes that the particles in 157 158 suspension are spheres and behave according to Stokes law; therefore, an unavoidable 159 uncertainty on the size fraction exists and the obtained fractions should be viewed as nominal 160 approximations. A Beckman J2-HC centrifuge (Beckmann Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis 161 IN, USA) using a J20.1 fixed angle rotor (15 mL tube volume) was used. The centrifugation 162 speed was set at 2000 rpm (515 g at R). In a first step, a centrifugation time of 2 min was applied 163 to remove material > 1 μ m. The supernatant was collected and a small part of it was put aside for 164 analysis. In a second step, the fraction $\leq 1 \,\mu m$ was centrifuged for 10 min to remove material > 165 0.45 µm. Under these conditions Au particles smaller than 300 nm and 140 nm remain in 166 suspension for the soil colloidal thresholds of 1 μ m and 0.45 μ m, respectively.

167 To digest AuNPs in soil extracts, either in solution or attached to soil colloids, undiluted 168 aqua regia (1:3; HNO₃:HCl) was added to the aliquots of the supernatants. These solutions were 169 then left at room temperature overnight in a fume hood. This was followed by dilution with DI 170 water (containing 0.1 % thiourea to avoid memory effects in the instrument and to stabilize Au) 171 to reach 2 % aqua regia. Total gold concentration was then determined by ICP-MS. The 172 digestion procedure was verified by spiking a known mass quantity of AuNPs in a soil extract (< 173 0.45 µm) followed by ICP-MS analysis; this yielded total Au content within experimental 174 uncertainty. The potential for AuCl₃ precipitation was mitigated due to the large excess of Cl 175 (from aqua regia) over Au in all samples.

176 To verify that the AuNPs did not adhere substantially to the centrifuge tube walls 177 (polypropylene), AuNPs were agitated in the absence of the soil matrix under the same 178 conditions. Results (not shown) indicate the percentage of Au remaining in solution after 179 agitation is >95 % for Cit-, PVP- and PEG- coatings. bPEI-AuNPs (positively charged) 180 presented a significant loss (70%). The affinity of bPEI-AuNPs for the soil particles is relatively 181 high (compared to other coatings) due to the soil's net negative charge, whereas the container 182 surface is neutral and has a total area that is relatively small compared with the colloidal fraction. 183 Based on these results, we conclude that analyte loss to the container surface represents a relatively small effect, of order 5 % or less; this effect may be measurably higher for bPEI coated particles, but the soil colloids should outcompete the container surface for adsorption in an agitated system.

187 **2.2.2 UV exposure**

AuNP suspensions were irradiated at a nominal concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹ in DI water. Samples were held in quartz vials in a photoreactor (Rayonet RMR-600, Southern New England Ultraviolet Co. - SNEUCo, Branford, CT) equipped with a carousel and eight lamps (RMR-3500A, SNEUCo) emitting UV light centered at 350 nm and ranging from (300 to 400) nm. The irradiance was estimated to be $\approx 30 \text{ W/m}^2$ by ferrioxalate actinometry, following previously described protocols ³⁵ and measurements ³⁶. After irradiation, the AuNPs were then spiked into SONE-1 slurry as described before for the hetero-aggregation experiments.

195 2.3 Instrumentation

A Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) was used offline to determine the hydrodynamic diameter (z-average) and the zeta-potential (Smoluchowski limit) of the NP suspensions and soil extracts. The ionic strength and pH for these measurements were established by the combination of MHW and the soil samples; no additional adjustments were made. Conductivity and polydispersity index were also determined using this instrument.

201 Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined by UV/persulfate oxidation using a Phoenix 202 8000 (Teledyne Tekmar, Mason, OH). The default instrument method for TOC concentrations 203 from (0.1 to 20) mg L⁻¹ as C was used: 4.0 mL of sample was mixed with 0.5 mL of 21 % 204 phosphoric acid and sparged with N₂ for 120 s to remove inorganic carbon; then, the acidified 205 sample and 1.0 mL of 10 % persulfate/5 % phosphoric acid reagent were injected into the UV 206 reactor and sparged with N₂. CO₂ from oxidation of the organic carbon was monitored by a 207 nondispersive infrared detector. Concentrations as mass fraction C were determined against 208 potassium hydrogen phthalate calibration solutions.

Total Au concentrations were determined with an ICP-MS model 7900 from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The instrument was equipped with a concentric nebulizer and a refrigerated Scott chamber (2 °C). To obtain maximum sensitivity, the tune solution provided by Agilent containing multi-element standards (1 μ g L⁻¹ each of ⁷Li, ⁸⁹Y, ¹⁴⁰Ce and ²⁰⁵Tl in 2 % *v/v* HNO₃) was measured before analysis. The instrument was optimized for minimum oxide (¹⁵⁶CeO/¹⁴⁰Ce) and doubly charged (⁷⁰Ce⁺⁺/¹⁴⁰Ce⁺) level (< 2 %). Platinum (Pt)

215 was added as an internal standard to both the Au solution calibration standards and to the 216 samples. Data were collected at m/z 197 for Au and m/z 195 for Pt. Dissolved Au calibration 217 standards were prepared over a mass fraction range from (0.05 to 5) μ g kg⁻¹ in aqua regia (2.0 % 218 v/v). Single particle (sp) ICP-MS measurements were also performed on the Agilent 7900 using 219 the time resolved analysis (TRA) mode, with a dwell time of 10 ms and measurement time of 220 300 s. The transport efficiency was determined each day using dissolved Au calibration 221 standards and NIST Reference Materials 8012 and 8013, with nominal AuNP size of 30 nm and 60 nm, respectively ^{37, 38}. Samples were diluted to appropriate levels (less than 15,000 222 223 particles/mL). Serial dilutions were performed to exclude coincident artefacts. Additionally, 224 standard suspensions containing only monomers were evaluated at different concentrations to 225 test for onset of significant coincidence.

226 The AF4 model used in this study was an Eclipse 3+ system from Wyatt Technology (Santa 227 Barbara, CA). It was equipped with an 1100 series isocratic pump (Agilent Technologies) to 228 generate mobile phase flow and a degasser (Gastorr TG-14, Flom Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). All 229 injections were performed with an Agilent Technologies 1260 ALS series autosampler. The 230 detection system was formed by a 1200 series UV-vis absorbance diode array detector (Agilent 231 Technologies) and a multi-angle laser light scattering (MALS) detector (DAWN HELEOS, 232 Wyatt Technology). Due to the large size range present in these samples, a 250 µm spacer (with 233 dimensions of 26.5 cm length and narrowing width from 2.1 to 0.6 cm) was used to set the AF4 channel height. The main flow rate and the cross flow rate were set at 0.5 mL min⁻¹ and 0.3 mL 234 min⁻¹, respectively ²¹. The retention time (t_R) starts after the focus step (flow of 2 mL min⁻¹). 235 236 Polyethersulfone (PES) 10 kDa membranes were purchased from Wyatt Technology and used 237 for the accumulation wall. Data from the AF4 detectors was processed using Astra ver. 6.1.4.25 238 (Wyatt Technology) and OpenLab CDS Rev. C.01.06 (Agilent Technologies) software. The 239 Berry formalism (first order) was used to determine the radius of gyration (R_g) from MALS data ³⁹. The AF4 selectivity was determined using polystyrene latex beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 240 Waltham, MA, USA) of known size and the relationship $S_d = \left| \frac{d \log D_H}{d \log R} \right|$. For the AF4 conditions 241 242 used in this study, a selectivity of 0.9 ± 0.1 was calculated, which indicates high quality separation (i.e., value close to unity)⁴⁰. During hyphenation with the ICP-MS (Agilent 7900), in 243 addition to ¹⁹⁷Au, characteristic isotopes were monitored to track the natural colloids: ²⁷Al, ⁵⁵Mn 244

and ⁵⁷Fe. The collision cell was used in these measurements, with He at 3.5 mL min⁻¹, to mitigate
interferences from polyatomic species (See ESI, Table S1).

247 2.4 Uncertainty analysis

248 Uncertainty intervals and error bars reported in this study, unless otherwise noted, represent one 249 standard deviation about the mean determined under repeatability conditions with measurements 250 on at least two replicate samples.

251 **3 Results and discussion**

252 **3.1** Sample characterization

253 Table 1 summarizes the sample characteristics of the solid (soils and sediment) extracts 254 (below 0.45 µm) and the AuNP samples. The hydrodynamic diameter and zeta-potential (ZP) of 255 the natural solid colloid samples were measured for the $< 0.45 \,\mu m$ fraction after extraction with 256 MHW without modification. Prior to measurements, AuNP solutions were diluted in MHW 257 (leachant), with a pH of 7.8. pH was also measured in the solid extracts and was 6.8, 8.0 and 8.1 258 for SONE-1, San-Joaquin and Estuarine sediment, respectively. Given that the same leachant 259 was used (MHW), the difference in pH, is attributed to the soil characteristics (e.g., SONE-1 is 260 the most acidic solid). ZP is pH dependent, therefore ZP of SONE-1 is not directly comparable 261 to the other natural samples. However, it appears that all colloids are negatively charged, 262 especially in the estuarine sediment with -24.2 ± 0.8 mV. For the AuNPs, the ZP is negative for 263 all samples except for bPEI, which is positive as expected. Cit-AuNPs and HA-AuNPs are the 264 most negatively charged with a ZP near -20 mV. PEG-AuNPs exhibit a small residual negative 265 ZP of -2.2 mV, which has been commonly observed for this coating. The d_H (z-average) 266 determined by DLS shows that the PEG coating increases the size of the nominally 30 nm 267 AuNPs to 51 nm, compared to 42 nm and 33 nm, for PVP and HA coatings, respectively. The 268 soils/sediment extracts contain polydisperse colloids, therefore the measured sizes are at best 269 rough estimates of the mean size. The average d_H is below 450 nm for all extracted matrices, 270 though the estuarine sediment, at 400 nm, is significantly larger than the soils. We note that after 271 centrifugation the sediment derived particles were more easily resuspended relative to the soil 272 particles.

TOC was measured in the natural samples after extraction in the $< 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$ fraction, it was higher in SONE-1 and the estuarine sample with (44 ± 3 and 40 ± 1) mg C kg⁻¹, respectively. The San-Joaquin soil contained (29.3 ± 0.4) mg C kg⁻¹. The estimated carbon content in the initial solid soil and sediment samples was 1.9 %, 1.2 % and 1.6 % mass fraction for SONE-1, San Joaquin and estuarine sediment, respectively (Table S2) ^{41, 42}. For AuNPs coated by HA, the TOC in the HA solution was set at 20 mg C kg⁻¹, prepared by dilution from the stock solution.

279

280 3.2 Influence of AuNP concentration, coating and sample type

281 3.2.1 Concentration

282 A range of concentrations of Cit-AuNPs and bPEI-AuNPs from (10 to 5000) µg kg⁻¹ 283 were spiked into the SONE-1-leachant system (Fig. 2). Au recovery is determined as the ratio 284 between the quantity of Au measured by ICP-MS following digestion of the soil extract 285 (fractions $< 1 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $< 0.45 \,\mu\text{m}$) and the initial spiked amount (measured by ICP-MS after room 286 temperature acid digestion). The Au recovery after centrifugation is below 20 % mass fraction 287 (Fig. 2), indicating that more than 80 % of AuNP mass is retained by the non-colloidal (massive) 288 soil particles or aggregated with a size > 300 nm within the colloid fraction < 1 μ m (counter-289 indicated by spICP-MS results).

290 For the fraction below 0.45 µm, the extracted Au recovery is around 3 % except for Cit-291 AuNPs at 5000 μ g kg⁻¹, which is (7.9 ± 0.3) %. The AuNPs are either free or adsorbed onto the 292 sub-0.45 μ m soil colloids in the extracted suspension. Extracted Au recovery for < 1 μ m fraction 293 varies from (7.5 \pm 0.4) % to (16.2 \pm 0.9) %. For Cit-AuNPs, it is comparable at low 294 concentrations ($\leq 500 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$). However, as for the < 0.45 μm fraction, the recovery for 5000 295 μ g kg⁻¹ is higher with (16.2 ± 0.9) %. For bPEI-AuNPs, the recovery is similar from 100 μ g kg⁻¹ at around (10.5 \pm 2.2) % and is lower (7.5 \pm 0.4) % for 10 µg kg⁻¹. These observed differences in 296 297 recovery are not substantial, and the attachment may be similar, but appears to vary somewhat 298 between the larger and colloidal ($< 1 \mu m$) soil particles.

In both fractions < 0.45 μ m and < 1 μ m, the recoveries of Au as a function of the concentration are similar for the cit-AuNPs (up to 500 μ g kg⁻¹), and for the bPEI-AuNPs (at all concentrations tested), which is consistent with basic hetero-aggregation theory as described by a modified Smoluchowski model (Equation 1)^{29, 43}, assuming that the processes of homoaggregation and breakup of hetero-agglomerates are insignificant:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\alpha\beta nB \tag{1}$$

11

305 where *n* is the number concentration of AuNPs, *B* is the number of soil particles, α is the 306 attachment efficiency between the AuNPs and soil particles, β is the second-order collision rate 307 constant between the AuNPs and soil particles, and *t* is time. For *B*, α , and β all remaining 308 constant over the duration of the hetero-aggregation experiment:

309

$$\frac{n}{n_0} = e^{-\alpha\beta Bt} \tag{2}$$

where n_0 is the initial concentration of AuNPs. Hence, the fraction or percent of AuNPs remaining unaggregated, as well as the fraction of AuNPs hetero-aggregated to the soil particles, is not expected to depend on the initial AuNP concentration when comparing the same type (i.e., surface chemistry) of AuNP over the same time duration (and thus the measured recoveries are not strongly dependent on the initial spiked concentration of AuNPs). We note that at sufficiently high concentrations of AuNPs, the rate of homo-aggregation will become significant (vida infra).

Fig. 3 presents the size distribution of the AuNPs at different concentrations for both Cit and bPEI coating using spICP-MS in the < 0.45 μ m fraction after 24 h of agitation. To quantify the aggregation rate, an aggregation number (AN) can be determined as described in a previous study ²¹ as the ratio of the mass sum of the aggregates (dimer, trimer, and larger oligimers), m_{aggr} , over the mass sum of the monomer (m_{mono}):

- 321
- 322

 $AN = \frac{\sum m_{aggr}}{\sum m_{mono}}$ (3)

322

323 For citrate coating, the increase of concentration clearly involved the formation of dimers 324 (at around 38 nm) and then trimers (and higher order oligomers). It is important to note that the 325 sample concentrations were adjusted (multiple dilutions) to avoid particle event coincidence 326 during the dwell time. The number of particles is determined in such a way that the particle 327 number is below 300 min⁻¹, at which level coincidence was not observed for the control sample (AuNPs in DI water). For (100 and 500) µg kg⁻¹, AN increased to 0.5, and it was 10 times higher 328 for 5000 µg kg⁻¹ (Table 2). bPEI-AuNPs, to a lesser extent, exhibited aggregates starting at 100 329 μ g kg⁻¹. However, the aggregation rate remained relatively constant up to 5000 μ g kg⁻¹, in 330 331 contrast to Cit-AuNPs. The increase of the AuNP number concentration increased the collision 332 rate for homo-aggregation of AuNPs, and, therefore, the presence of dimers or higher order 333 species in the spICP-MS data. It is important to note that, in the soil extract samples, AuNPs may 334 be homo-aggregated or hetero-aggregated with soil colloids (i.e., single and multiple AuNPs

either in a free oligomer or attached to the same colloid can produce similar frequency patternsunder certain conditions).

337 To verify hetero-aggregation, AF4-UV-MALS-ICP-MS was performed on samples with a spiked concentration of 5000 µg kg⁻¹. Fig. 4a presents the fractograms (detector signal as a 338 339 function of t_R) for the soil extract samples spiked with Cit-AuNPs. Based on R_g , fractionation is 340 effective from about (50 to 250) nm in the main peak (t_R from 15 min to 60 min). The Au ICP-341 MS trace is present throughout the separation (from $t_R = 5$ min). For comparison, the 30 nm Cit-342 AuNPs injected alone (no extract) under the same conditions are eluted at $t_R = 6$ min. However, 343 Au is mainly coeluted with the soil colloids, represented in the graph by Al, Fe and Mn for the clay and the (oxy)hydroxides of Fe or Mn present ⁴⁴. Therefore, these results appear to confirm 344 345 that hetero-aggregation is dominant. Collections of fractions were performed at three t_R values: 346 5.5 min (F1), 16.5 min (F2) and 45 min (F3) for a 1 min collection time. F1 corresponds to the 347 retention of AuNP monomers injected alone. F2 was collected because of the high Au intensity 348 at this t_R (Fig. 4a); moreover, it may correspond to principal aggregates of AuNPs. According to 349 the number of spherical primary particles and the configuration of aggregates (linear, spherical...), the hydrodynamic diameter can vary ⁴⁵. Finally, F3 was collected at the maximum 350 351 of the main colloid population. spICP-MS analysis was performed on each fraction and results 352 are presented in Fig. 4b. Aggregation numbers for each fraction were calculated from the spICP-353 MS size distribution as described in Eq. (3) and were as follows: 0.21 ± 0.06 , 7 ± 1 and 4.3 ± 0.4 , 354 for F1, F2 and F3, respectively. It shows that the higher aggregation rate is associated with F2, 355 where a more important frequency signal (Fig. 4b) between (37 and 80) nm (spherical equivalent 356 diameter) is observed.

357 The monomer population (single AuNPs), though also present, may simply reflect 358 coelution with soil colloids (i.e., AuNPs attached to colloids). F3 indicates a lower homo-359 aggregation rate and further confirms that hetero-aggregation is dominant. Additionally, more 360 than one AuNP can be attached to a single colloid. When aggregates are observed in spICP-MS 361 and the t_R in AF4 matches the colloid trace (typically for F3), it represents two NPs (if dimers) 362 attached to the same soil particle; these AuNPs might be in contact or not (homo/hetero-363 aggregation). To help verify which mode is occurring or dominant, one option is to use electron 364 microscopy imaging. Another possible method would be to use fast scanning with microsecond 365 dwell times to assess characteristic changes in peak shape associated with hetero- versus

homoaggregation, but this was beyond the scope of the present work and would involveextended studies to validate the approach.

368 For bPEI-AuNPs, the fractionation profile was similar to Cit-AuNPs. However, for the 369 fractions analyzed by spICP-MS, the rate of homo/hetero-aggregation was lower compared to 370 citrate coating, with AN values of 0.23 ± 0.05 , 0.82 ± 0.08 and 0.77 ± 0.07 , for F1, F2 and F3, 371 respectively (see ESI, Fig. S1). As previously shown, F1 corresponds to the monomer and yields 372 a low AN whereas F2 and F3 have the same AN associated with a small amount of homo/hetero-373 aggregation. It is worth noting that the recovery in the $< 0.45 \ \mu m$ fraction, for a spiked concentration of 5000 μ g kg⁻¹, is higher for citrate (8.3 % ± 0.9 %) compared to bPEI coating 374 $(3.5 \% \pm 0.2 \%)$ involving a higher attachment rate for bPEI on larger soil particles. Moreover, in 375 376 a simple solution (DI water only or MHW) with only AuNPs, Cit-AuNPs tend to homo-377 aggregate more readily compared to bPEI-AuNPs due to the additional polymer-induced steric 378 stabilization present for bPEI. The difference in recovery can be due to the competition between 379 homo- and hetero-aggregation. Therefore, oligomers of Cit-AuNPs are formed more easily in 380 contrast with bPEI-AuNPs.

For the remainder of this study, a concentration of 500 μ g kg⁻¹ was chosen to produce sufficient signal to be detected by the analytical method and to limit the homo-aggregation observed at 5000 μ g kg⁻¹. Moreover, according to equation 1 the influence of concentration up to 500 μ g kg⁻¹ is negligible. For real environmental samples a prerequisite step of preconcentration, such as cloud point extraction ²¹, is often needed to detect ENMs by the standard analytical methods, as environmental concentrations are generally well below the μ g kg⁻¹ level ⁴⁶.

387 **3.2.2 Coating**

388 Surfactants, ligands and macromolecules are commonly used as surface coatings to stabilize (by steric and/or electrostatic repulsion) and functionalize NPs ⁴⁷. NOM encountered in 389 the environment can also change the aggregation behavior of NPs ¹⁹. To assess the influence of 390 391 AuNP coatings on their attachment behavior, in addition to Cit and bPEI, soil samples were also 392 spiked with PVP or PEG functionalized AuNPs, which are intrinsically neutral polymers, or 393 NOM (SRHA and SONEHA) coated AuNPs (protocol in ESI). Fig. 5 presents the recovery 394 (calculated as described in section 3.2.1) for the SONE-1 fractions. Less than 15 % of Au is extracted from the colloidal material for all coating types, with less than 5 % for the $< 0.45 \,\mu m$ 395 396 fraction, regardless of the coating type. No substantial difference is observed between the

397 coatings. Several explanations may be advanced to explain these results. For instance, the end-398 over-end agitation method at 40 rpm for 24 h may increase the collision rate and enhance 399 attachment efficiency between the AuNPs and the soil particles, overriding resistance due to the 400 AuNP coating. Additionally, the presence of NOM in the soil sample may mitigate the influence of the native surface coating. Indeed, Stankus et al. 48 showed that regardless of surface 401 402 functionalization (anionic, neutral or positive), functionalized AuNPs adsorb SRHA. Their work 403 indicates that the initial coating may not be a major factor for NP transport and mobility in 404 environment matrices containing substantial NOM.

405 spICP-MS measurements were performed on each soil extract spiked with AuNPs with 406 different coatings (PVP, PEG, SRHA and SONE-HA) (see ESI, Fig. S2). The size distributions 407 indicate that homoaggregation is not present; the frequency distributions are similar to the initial 408 AuNP samples (diluted stock solution). It should be noted that HA stabilizes AuNPs initially 409 coated with citrate. AF4 fractograms show that AuNPs are coeluted with the soil colloids; similar 410 results were found for the Cit and bPEI samples (data not shown).

411 **3.2.3 Matrix type**

412 In addition to SONE-1 agricultural soil, another agricultural soil (San Joaquin, SJ) and an estuarine sediment (Sed) were also tested. An initial concentration of 500 µg kg⁻¹ of Cit-AuNPs 413 414 was spiked into each matrix-leachant and the time of contact (agitation) was set at 24 h. After 415 digestion of the extracted samples, recoveries for SJ and Sed represented (1.72 \pm 0.03) % and 416 (1.2 ± 0.1) % of the initial spiked Au for the < 0.45 µm fraction, whereas below 1 µm, recovery 417 was (8.6 ± 0.1) % and (4.7 ± 0.1) %, respectively (Fig. 6). For the fraction < 0.45 µm, these 418 recoveries are low relative to SONE-1, with a recovery of (3.4 ± 0.1) %. For the fraction < 1 μ m, 419 the Au recoveries for the two agricultural soils (SJ and SONE-1) are statistically identical (8.6 420 versus 8.9), but two-fold higher than Sed.

421 AF4-UV-MALS fractograms for all samples (< 0.45 μ m fraction) present a broad peak 422 between (20 and 75) min (See ESI, Fig. S3). The maximum of the UV peak corresponds to a size 423 $R_g \approx 200$ nm for the soil samples (SONE-1 and SJ) and ≈ 180 nm for Sed. To the naked eye, we 424 observed that SJ and Sed were less turbid after centrifugation, compared to SONE-1. Moreover, 425 for the same injected quantity in AF4, the integrated intensity signals (for SJ and Sed) are 426 reduced. The UV signal (at 254 nm) was integrated for each matrix and normalized to the largest 427 area (i.e., that measured for SONE-1). The integrated and normalized UV for SONE-1, SJ and

428 Sed extracts are 1, 0.1 and 0.1, respectively. Similarly, Al, Fe and Mn intensities (determined by 429 ICP-MS) in the extracts (< 0.45 μ m) are also lower for SJ and Sed (data not shown). The 430 normalization for Al, Fe and Mn contents (combined together) in the different extracts represents 431 1, 0.45 and 0.06 for SONE-1, SJ and Sed, respectively. These results suggest that Sed and SJ 432 contain a lower fraction of colloids relative to SONE-1. NOM content (as described previously), is higher in SONE-1 and Sed with $\geq 40 \text{ mg C kg}^{-1}$ compared with about 29 mg C kg⁻¹ for SJ. 433 434 Thus, the non-negligible Au recovery in Sed extract relative to the number of colloids may be explained by the presence of NOM. NOM can adsorb ⁴⁸ or replace the initial coating and adsorb 435 436 on other mineral soil particles. Frequency size distributions for AuNPs obtained by spICP-MS 437 show that aggregation (homo or homo/hetero) is most prevalent in the Sed extracts (See ESI, Fig. 438 S4), where dimer, trimer and higher order oligomer peaks are clearly present. The Sed particles 439 are more negatively charged (Table 1) and therefore could be less of a sink for the negatively 440 charged Cit-AuNPs; this in turn could promote homo-aggregation, but this is speculative without 441 further confirmation.

442 3.3 Kinetic study

443 For this set of experiments, the time of contact (i.e., agitation time) between SONE-1 soil and Cit-AuNPs was set between (0 and 48) h with a fixed Au concentration of 500 µg kg⁻¹. Fig. 7 444 445 shows that the leachable AuNP content is decreasing rapidly. At t = 0, less than 60 % and 40 % 446 of total Au is extracted from the fraction < 1 and $< 0.45 \mu m$, respectively. Therefore, attachment 447 on soil particles is rapid. At t = 2 h, less than 20 % and 10 % of Au is found in the fraction < 1448 μ m and < 0.45 μ m, respectively. Extracted Au continued to decrease and reached, after a contact 449 time of 48 h, (8.8 ± 0.7) % and (2.0 ± 0.2) %, for < 1 µm and < 0.45 µm, respectively. Thus, in this system, equilibrium is not reached 28 . 450

451 For each reported contact (agitation) time, AF4-UV-MALS-ICP-MS analysis was performed on the $< 0.45 \ \mu m$ fraction. Fig. 8 presents the ICP-MS trace (¹⁹⁷Au) for the 452 453 fractograms at five contact times (In Fig. S5 MALS, UV and major elements fractograms are 454 presented). It shows that at t_0 , Au is eluted principally at 6 min, which corresponds to t_R 455 associated with free singlet AuNPs. The Au fraction eluted with the natural colloids between (13 456 and 65) min is lower. When the contact time increases, it is observed that AuNPs are associated 457 more with the colloidal component. The ratio between the areas of peak 2 (13 min to 75 min) and peak 1 (0 min to 13 min) increase from 0.9 to 7.6, with a linear correlation (y = 0.15x + 0.97, R^2 458

459 = 0.92). Therefore, larger soil particles (Fig. 7) and colloids (Fig. 8) tend to capture AuNPs over
460 time, removing them from the solution phase.

461 **3.4** UV irradiation

462 UV exposure was previously observed to transform PEG and PVP coatings on AuNPs³⁶, ⁴⁹. More specifically, PEG was found to degrade and detach from the AuNP surface, while PVP 463 464 oxidized but remained attached to the AuNP as a compressed surface coating. Both 465 transformations resulted in diminished stability of the AuNPs against homo-aggregation. ³⁶ 466 While UV exposure would likely have a muted impact on soil associated NPs, NPs used in foliar 467 applications or those deposited onto the top layer of soil or associated with disturbed surface 468 layers can be affected. Here, we also employ UV exposure as a well-defined, model approach to 469 induce coating loss ^{36, 49}. Other likely routes of coating degradation (e.g., biodegradation) have 470 not yet been so thoroughly characterized. In this study, we exposed the PEG-AuNPs to UV 471 irradiation for 4 days in DI water to induce nearly complete removal of the PEG coating (Fig. 472 S6) and then evaluated the effect on hetero-aggregation after 2 h of mixing with the SONE-1 soil 473 slurry. Details of the results are reported in the ESI.

474 Similar percent recovery of spiked Au was obtained in the $< 0.45 \mu m$ fraction regardless of 475 UV exposure: (1.25 ± 0.03) % and (1.39 ± 0.1) %, for the unexposed and UV-exposed PEG-476 AuNPs, respectively. Likewise, similar percent recovery was also obtained in the $< 1 \,\mu m$ 477 fraction: (10.6 ± 0.6) % and (11.8 ± 1.1) %, for the unexposed and UV-exposed PEG-AuNPs, 478 respectively. These results are consistent with those from the coating comparison experiments, 479 where similar recoveries of Au in the different size fractions from the soil slurry were obtained 480 regardless of coating type. These results differ notably from our previous study on UV-481 irradiated PEG-AuNPs, where loss of the coating significantly changed the homo-aggregation 482 rate of the AuNPs³⁶. The results in the soil slurry imply that, for low concentrations of AuNPs 483 undergoing primarily hetero-aggregation with naturally occurring particles, the presence or 484 absence of the polymeric coating may not be significant with respect to the hetero-aggregation 485 process, despite the steric forces imparted by the dense PEG coating. A likely explanation is that 486 nearly all of the AuNPs have attached to a soil colloid or particle within the mixing time probed 487 here (2 h), because of the high concentration of soil colloids and hence high collision rate. 488 Alternatively, the presence of NOM in the soil slurry may play a role in mitigating differences 489 between coatings. Differences in the attachment efficiency, α , attributable to the presence of the

490 PEG coating may only be measurable at either shorter mixing times (minutes) or lower soil491 colloid concentrations.

492 **4 Conclusions**

493 In this work, an extraction procedure, based on standard leaching methods and previous 494 studies, was applied to soil and sediment matrices spiked with various AuNP concentrations and 495 surface coatings (as a model ENM). The low percentage of AuNPs extracted (recovered) from 496 soil suspensions after 24 h of contact, suggests that their environmental mobility is greatly 497 reduced. Additionally, the kinetic study has shown that the attachment of AuNPs onto soil 498 particles is rapid (Au loss > 80 % in first 2 h of contact) and begins as soon as the AuNPs are 499 exposed to soil particles and leachant. Thus, if AuNPs or other ENMs are released into the 500 environment and enter soil or sedimentary compartments, interactions with naturally occurring 501 particles are expected to play a substantial role in mitigating transport and determining fate. The 502 influence of surface coating, including UV degradation of surface coatings, on the attachment of 503 AuNPs to soil particles was not evident. Furthermore, we conclude that NOM plays a substantial 504 role in the fate and transport of ENMs by decreasing the predicted influence of native surface 505 chemistry and functional coatings, much as serum proteins adhere to and mask the intrinsic 506 surface properties of ENMs in biological systems. We have also demonstrated how an approach 507 based on the combination of in situ complementary and hyphenated analytical techniques can 508 effectively be used to interrogate and quantify these complex interactions involving natural 509 matrices. The approach utilized here can be easily extended to other metal containing ENMs.

510

511 Electronic Supporting Information Available

512 Additional methods, results and parameters for humic acid extraction, ICP-MS, AF4-UV-

513 MALS-ICP-MS, and spICP-MS, are presented in the electronic supporting information.

514

515 Acknowledgements

516 The authors express their gratitude to Stephen Wilson of USGS for kindly providing SONE-1 for

517 this study. SML acknowledges the National Research Council for a postdoctoral fellowship. The

- 518 authors thank Danielle Gorka, Dave Holbrook and Elijah Petersen of NIST for helpful comments
- 519 and review of the manuscript.

- References 1. F. Laborda, E. Bolea, G. Cepriá, M. T. Gómez, M. S. Jiménez, J. Pérez-Arantegui
 and J. R. Castillo, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2016, **904**, 10-32.
- E. J. Petersen, D. X. Flores-Cervantes, T. D. Bucheli, L. C. C. Elliott, J. A. Fagan, A.
 Gogos, S. Hanna, R. Kägi, E. Mansfield, A. R. M. Bustos, D. L. Plata, V. Reipa, P.
 Westerhoff and M. R. Winchester, *Environ Sci Technol*, 2016, **50**, 4587-4605.
- 525 3. S. Slomkowski, V. Alemán José, G. Gilbert Robert, M. Hess, K. Horie, G. Jones Richard,
- 526 P. Kubisa, I. Meisel, W. Mormann, S. Penczek and F. T. Stepto Robert, *Journal*, 2011,
 527 83, 2229.
- 528 4. M. Hassellöv and F. von der kammer, *Elements*, 2008, 4, 401-406.
- 529 5. S. Dubascoux, I. Le Hécho, M. Potin Gautier and G. Lespes, *Talanta*, 2008, 77, 60-65.
- 530 6. H. El Hadri, G. Lespes, P. Chéry and M. Potin-Gautier, *Anal Bioanal Chem*, 2014, 406, 1111-1119.
- 532 7. S. Harguindeguy, P. Crançon, F. Pointurier, M. Potin-Gautier and G. Lespes, 533 *Chemosphere*, 2014, **103**, 343-348.
- S. Serrano, M. A. Gomez-Gonzalez, P. A. O'Day, F. Laborda, E. Bolea and F. Garrido,
 Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2015, 286, 30-40.
- 536 9. F. He, D. Zhao, J. Liu and C. B. Roberts, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*,
 537 2007, 46, 29-34.
- X.-q. Li, D. W. Elliott and W.-x. Zhang, *Critical Reviews in Solid State and Materials Sciences*, 2006, **31**, 111-122.
- S. J. Klaine, P. J. J. Alvarez, G. E. Batley, T. F. Fernandes, R. D. Handy, D. Y. Lyon, S.
 Mahendra, M. J. McLaughlin and J. R. Lead, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 2008, 27, 1825-1851.
- A. R. Whitley, C. Levard, E. Oostveen, P. M. Bertsch, C. J. Matocha, F. v. d. Kammer
 and J. M. Unrine, *Environmental Pollution*, 2013, 182, 141-149.
- 545 13. G. Cornelis, L. Pang, C. Doolette, J. K. Kirby and M. J. McLaughlin, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2013, 463–464, 120-130.
- 547 14. K. Tiede, S. P. Tear, H. David and A. B. A. Boxall, *Water Research*, 2009, 43, 3335-3343.
- 549 15. B. Kim, M. Murayama, B. P. Colman and M. F. Hochella, *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 2012, 14, 1128-1136.
- 551 16. F. Van Koetsem, T. T. Geremew, E. Wallaert, K. Verbeken, P. Van der Meeren and G.
 552 Du Laing, *Ecological Engineering*, 2015, **80**, 140-150.
- K. N. M. Mahdi, R. J. B. Peters, E. Klumpp, S. Bohme, M. v. d. Ploeg, C. Ritsema and V.
 Geissen, *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring and Management*, 2017, 7, 24-33.
- N. Akaighe, R. I. MacCuspie, D. A. Navarro, D. S. Aga, S. Banerjee, M. Sohn and V. K.
 Sharma, *Environ Sci Technol*, 2011, 45, 3895-3901.
- 557 19. E. M. Hotze, T. Phenrat and G. V. Lowry, *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 2010, **39**, 1909-1924.
- 559 20. J. Gigault and V. A. Hackley, Analytica Chimica Acta, 2013, 763, 57-66.
- 560 21. H. El Hadri and V. A. Hackley, *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2017, 4, 105-116.
- 561 22. B. Collin, E. Oostveen, O. V. Tsyusko and J. M. Unrine, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2014, 48, 1280-1289.
- 563 23. V. Merdzan, R. F. Domingos, C. E. Monteiro, M. Hadioui and K. J. Wilkinson, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2014, **488-489**, 316-324.

- R. F. Domingos, C. Franco and J. P. Pinheiro, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2015, 22, 2900-2906.
- EPA, Liquid-Solid partitioning as a function of liquid-to-solid ratio in solid materials *using a parallel batch procedure-Method 1316.*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
 October, 2012.
- 570 26. ISO, Soil quality Guidance on leaching procedures for subsequent chemical and
 571 ecotoxicological testing of soils and soil materials (ISO 18772:2008), International
 572 Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland, 2008.
- A. Praetorius, N. Tufenkji, K.-U. Goss, M. Scheringer, F. von der Kammer and M.
 Elimelech, *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2014, 1, 317-323.
- 575 28. G. Cornelis, *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2015, **2**, 19-26.
- 576 29. A. L. Dale, G. V. Lowry and E. A. Casman, *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2015, 2, 27 577 32.
- NIST, Standard Reference Material 2709a, San Joaquin Soil, Certificate of Analysis,
 National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, 2009.
- 580 31. NIST, Standard Reference Material 1646a, Estuarine Sediment, Certificate of Analysis,
 581 National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, 2004.
- 582 32. EPA, *Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to* 583 *freshwater and marine organisms*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2002.
- 584 33. L. J. Gimbert, P. M. Haygarth, R. Beckett and P. J. Worsfold, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2005, **39**, 1731-1735.
- 58634.K. A. Smith, Soil and Environmental Analysis: Physical Methods, Revised, and587Expanded, Taylor & Francis, 2000.
- 588 35. T. Fischer, J. Alsins and B. Berne, *International Journal of Dermatology*, 1984, 23, 633637.
- 590 36. S. M. Louie, J. M. Gorham, E. A. McGivney, J. Liu, K. B. Gregory and V. A. Hackley,
 591 *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2016, **3**, 1090-1102.
- NIST, Reference Material 8012, Gold Nanoparticles, Nominal 30 nm Diameter, Report of Investigation, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, 2015.
- NIST, *Reference Material 8013, Gold Nanoparticles, Nominal 60 nm Diameter, Report of Investigation*, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of
 Commerce, 2015.
- 598 39. M. Andersson, B. Wittgren and K.-G. Wahlund, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2003, 75, 4279 4291.
- 40. J. Gigault, J. M. Pettibone, C. Schmitt and V. A. Hackley, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2014,
 809, 9-24.
- 602 41. N. S. Simon, S. A. Hatcher and C. Demas, *Chemical Geology*, 1992, **100**, 175-189.
- 42. D. B. Smith, W. F. Cannon, L. G. Woodruff, F. Solano, J. E. Kilburn and D. L. Fey, *Geochemical and mineralogical data for soils of the conterminous United States*, Report
 801, Reston, VA, 2013.
- 43. L. E. Barton, M. Therezien, M. Auffan, J.-Y. Bottero and M. R. Wiesner, *Environmental Engineering Science*, 2014, **31**, 421-427.
- 608 44. S. B. Hendricks and L. T. Alexander, *Soil Science*, 1939, **48**, 257-272.
- 609 45. D.-H. Tsai, T. J. Cho, F. W. DelRio, J. Taurozzi, M. R. Zachariah and V. A. Hackley,
 610 *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2011, 133, 8884-8887.

- 611 46. F. Gottschalk, T. Sun and B. Nowack, *Environmental Pollution*, 2013, **181**, 287-300.
- 47. L. Kvítek, A. Panáček, J. Soukupová, M. Kolář, R. Večeřová, R. Prucek, M. Holecová
 and R. Zbořil, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2008, **112**, 5825-5834.
- 614 48. D. P. Stankus, S. E. Lohse, J. E. Hutchison and J. A. Nason, *Environmental Science and*615 *Technology*, 2010, 45, 3238-3244.
- 616 49. S. M. Louie, J. Gorham, J. Tan and V. A. Hackley, *Environmental Science: Nano*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/C7EN00411G.
- 618