1	Temperature dependent ²⁹ Si incorporation during
2	deposition of highly enriched ²⁸ Si films
3	
4	Running title: sticking coefficient of ²⁹ Si CVD
5	Running Authors: Dwyer et al.
6	
7	K. J. Dwyer
8 9	Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20740, USA
10	National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8423, USA
11	H. S. Kim
12 13	Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20740, USA
14	National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8423, USA
15	D. S. Simons
16	National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8371, USA
17	J. M. Pomeroy ^{a)}
18	National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8423, USA
19	
20	^{a)} Electronic mail: joshua.pomeroy@nist.gov
21	
22	In this study, we examine the mechanisms leading to ²⁹ Si incorporation into highly
23	enriched ²⁸ Si films deposited by hyperthermal ion beams at elevated temperatures in the
24	dilute presence of natural abundance silane (SiH ₄) gas. Enriched ²⁸ Si is a critical material
25	in the development of quantum information devices because ²⁸ Si is free of nuclear spins
26	that cause decoherence in a quantum system. We deposit epitaxial thin films of ²⁸ Si
27	enriched <i>in situ</i> beyond 99.99998 % 28 Si onto Si(100) using an ion beam deposition system
28	and seek to develop the ability to systematically vary the enrichment and measure the
29	impact on quantum coherence. We use secondary ion mass spectrometry to measure the

residual ²⁹Si isotope fraction in enriched samples deposited from ≈ 250 °C up to 800 °C. 30 The ²⁹Si isotope fraction is found to increase from $< 1 \ge 10^{-6}$ at the lower temperatures, up 31 to > 4 x 10⁻⁶ at around 800 °C. From these data, we estimate the temperature dependence 32 of the incorporation fraction, s, of SiH₄, which increases sharply from about 2.9 x 10^{-4} at 33 500 °C to 2.3 x 10⁻² at 800 °C. We determine an activation energy of 1.00(8) eV associated 34 35 with the abrupt increase in incorporation and conclude that below 500 °C, a temperature 36 independent mechanism such as activation from ion collisions with adsorbed SiH4 37 molecules is the primary incorporation mechanism. Direct incorporation from the adsorbed 38 state is found to be minimal.

39 I. INTRODUCTION

In solid state quantum information (QI), enriched ²⁸Si is a critical material for the 40 41 further development of silicon based quantum computing architectures, e.g., quantum dots, quantum wells, and few dopant atoms in Si. By eliminating ²⁹Si nuclei, which have 42 a non-zero nuclear spin and are present with roughly a 5 % natural abundance, pure ²⁸Si 43 44 becomes an ideal spin-free environment in which to place the electron and nuclear spins of qubits. Without the randomly fluctuating nuclear spins present, donor spins in ²⁸Si 45 46 interact with their environment far less than in natural silicon leading to a greatly enhanced coherence time (T_2^*) . Consequently, ²⁸Si has been dubbed a "semiconductor 47 vacuum"¹. Theoretical modeling and bulk electron spin resonance (ESR) experiments 48 predicted the enhancement in T_2^* to be proportional to the reduction in ²⁹Si 49 concentration^{2,3}, which further spurred interest in exploiting ²⁸Si experimentally. 50 51 Numerous research groups have shown through bulk ESR and nuclear magnetic

resonance (NMR) experiments of ³¹P spins in ²⁸Si that nuclear and electron spin 52 coherence (echoed, T_2) times can easily exceed seconds^{1,4–6}. Si and Si/Ge based quantum 53 computing also can benefit from utilization of ²⁸Si, e.g., quantum dots formed in Si and in 54 quantum wells within ²⁸Si/SiGe heterostructures^{7,8}. A few of these groups have begun to 55 show both long T_2 times and coherent manipulation in ²⁸Si for both bulk donor spins⁹ as 56 well as single electron spins in quantum wells⁸ and quantum dots^{4,10}. Additionally, qubit 57 58 manipulation schemes, which have been proposed for arrays of quantum dot qubits, and which involve tuning the qubit ESR frequency via a Stark shift¹¹, have been demonstrated 59 in single quantum dots in ²⁸Si. This Stark shifting mechanism relies on qubit spins that 60 have very narrow inhomogeneous ESR linewidths of a few kHz^{1,12}, which have only been 61 shown in a material with homogeneous mass such as highly enriched ²⁸Si. 62 63 Despite these advantages, only a limited amount of the most highly enriched ²⁸Si (99.995 % ²⁸Si) is available within the solid state quantum computing community for use 64 in ensemble spin QI experiments. Historically, ²⁸Si has primarily been produced at great 65 66 cost and effort through international collaborations such as the International Avogadro Coordination (IAC)¹³, which produced bulk crystals. Other sources of ²⁸Si include 67

68 enriched epilayers grown on natural abundance Si substrates, which are more abundant

69 within the community than bulk ²⁸Si but are typically less highly enriched (≈ 99.9 %

70 ²⁸Si). QI experiments on single donor and dot spins largely utilized ²⁸Si epilayer samples

and demonstrated the benefit of enriched ²⁸Si to QI. A helpful review of some of the

72 different sources of ²⁸Si that have been used in the QI field has been presented by Itoh

and Watanabe¹⁴. The lack of simply produced, readily available, and consistently highly

⁷⁴ enriched ²⁸Si has led us to develop a mass selected ion beam deposition system capable

of taking natural abundance silane (SiH₄) gas and enriching it *in situ* to an extremely high
level of ²⁸Si just prior to depositing it epitaxially on a target Si(100) substrate. Using this
system, thin films of highly enriched materials including ²⁸Si have previously been grown
amorphously on Si substrates at room temperature^{15,16} as well as epitaxially at higher
temperatures (this work). Several other groups have also previously demonstrated
enriched ²⁸Si thin film deposition using an ion beam system, though not generally with a
focus on high quality material (highly enriched, chemically pure) for QI^{17–19}.

82 In addition to the general scarcity of ²⁸Si for semiconductor quantum computing 83 research, a specific need exists for enriched silicon with targeted levels of enrichment to facilitate mapping the dependence of T_2^* on ²⁹Si concentration in the few-spin regime. 84 Recent ESR measurements^{4,10} of T_2^* for single ³¹P spins in ²⁸Si have disagreed with the 85 theoretical predictions for the same systems². Our ion beam deposition system provides 86 us with a unique opportunity to produce ²⁸Si material at targeted levels of enrichment, 87 88 which can enable the mapping of T_2^* as a function of enrichment in the single spin 89 regime. Understanding the sources of residual ²⁹Si in our films, and how to control them, 90 is a necessary step towards targeting specific enrichments.

In order for enriched ²⁸Si to be useful as a host material for qubit spins, it must not only be isotopically pure, but chemically pure and highly crystalline so as to avoid introducing other sources of decoherence such as impurity nuclear spins and dangling bonds. Achieving single crystal epitaxial deposition of ²⁸Si films on Si(100) substrates requires elevated substrate temperatures during deposition. We previously showed that for amorphous room temperature deposition, the ²⁹Si in the film could be accounted for by ²⁹SiH₄ incorporation into ²⁸Si films due to a physical adsorption process which was

98	coincident with the ion beam deposition ¹⁶ . Depositing with an elevated substrate
99	temperature is expected to lead to a change in the activation of the ²⁹ Si contamination
100	through a chemical activation process described by a reactive sticking coefficient that is
101	similar in nature to chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Therefore, the goal of this study is
102	to determine how the ²⁹ Si and ³⁰ Si incorporation is affected by increasing the substrate
103	deposition temperature. Measurements of residual ²⁹ Si and ³⁰ Si isotope fractions
104	(amount-of-substance fraction in mol/mol, or isotopic concentration) are made using
105	secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) which is extremely sensitive to isotope ratios.
106	This means that our monoisotopic ion beam deposition system offers a unique method of
107	measuring the activated sticking of natural abundance SiH4 on Si substrates because any
108	isotopic contaminants (²⁹ Si and ³⁰ Si) incorporated via sticking from SiH ₄ are easily
109	distinguished from the background of pure ²⁸ Si being deposited from the ion beam.
110	In this article, we deposit epitaxial thin films of ²⁸ Si and measure the residual ²⁹ Si
111	and ³⁰ Si isotopes in samples deposited in different SiH ₄ partial pressures while varying
112	the substrate deposition temperature of each sample. From these measurements, we
113	extract the temperature dependence of the incorporation fraction, s , of ²⁹ SiH ₄ due
114	primarily to reactive sticking and determine the associated activation energy, E_c . These
115	results give us an understanding of, and thus control over, the ²⁹ Si concentration in ²⁸ Si
116	films.

117 II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

118 A. Ion beam system and selectivity

Enriched ²⁸Si thin films are deposited using a hyperthermal energy ion beam
deposition system. This system injects commercial, natural abundance SiH₄ source gas

121 into a high vacuum Penning-type ion source generating a Si⁺ ion beam and then mass 122 filters the ions in a magnetic field before depositing them onto heated Si(100) substrates. 123 The mass separation principle and ion beam system used here have been described in 124 more detail elsewhere^{16,20}. Previously, we analyzed SiH₄ incorporation in samples 125 deposited at room temperature, but now we examine the temperature dependence of s(T)126 at temperatures required to facilitate epitaxial growth. The SiH₄ used here is unenriched 127 and is thus assumed to have a Si isotopic abundance roughly the same as natural Si (92.2 % ²⁸Si, 4.7 % ²⁹Si, and 3.1 % ³⁰Si). This SiH₄ is also 99.999 % pure according to the gas 128 129 vendor. This SiH₄ is used to form a plasma within the ion source that both cracks and 130 ionizes the SiH₄ molecules. From the plasma, singly charged Si⁺ ions (charge of 1 e, the 131 elementary charge) are extracted into the beamline at high voltage and enter a system of 132 electrostatic optics, which steer them into the magnetic field of a 90° sector mass analyzer. ²⁸Si has a mass \approx 28 u (unified atomic mass units), and by tuning to the 133 134 appropriate magnetic field, ions with a mass-to-charge ratio $\approx 28 \text{ u/e} (\pm 0.16 \text{ u/e} \text{ at } 28 \text{ u})$ 135 pass through an aperture while those with different mass-to-charge ratios are rejected. 136 Beyond the aperture, ions are focused onto the target substrate in an ultra-high vacuum 137 (UHV) deposition chamber. In parallel, gas diffusion of SiH₄ from the ion source to the sample location in the deposition chamber provides the source of the ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si 138 139 contamination concerning this report. 140 A mass spectrum of the constituents of the ion beam is generated by sweeping the

142 current at the target. Each of the resulting series of peaks in the current vs. magnetic field

magnetic field of the mass analyzer while monitoring the intensity of the ion beam

141

signal correspond to different integer mass-to-charge ratios of atomic or molecular ions.

144 In the mass spectrum of SiH₄, a series of mass peaks are formed starting at 28 u, which is ²⁸Si. The adjacent peak at 29 u corresponds to a combination of ²⁹Si and ²⁸SiH. We 145 146 calculate the intrinsic geometric mass selectivity (i.e. mass resolution) of the ion beam system from the spectrum to estimate the amount of ²⁹Si potentially contaminating the 147 148 ²⁸Si beam. These calculations use Gaussian fits to the mass peaks to determine the 149 overlap of the 29 u peak on the 28 u peak. They give a peak separation of about 11 σ 150 (standard deviations) and a resolving power $m/\Delta m \approx 80$ for m = 28 u, which yields a lower bound on the fraction of ions at 28 u consisting of ²⁹Si of roughly 10⁻²⁵, as 151 previously discussed elsewhere¹⁶. However, this argument neglects gas scattering effects, 152 153 which would likely be a dominant contributing factor to the contamination compared to 154 this extremely small geometric component. Gas scattering causes an ion at mass 29 u to 155 lose sufficient energy to be incorporated into the 28 u trajectory and pass through the 156 selecting aperture. This scattering tail effect, or abundance selectivity, for a single magnet system can be estimated from literature to contribute $\approx 1 \times 10^{-6}$ of the higher mass peak to 157 158 the lower mass peak (for a mass of 28 u) $^{21-23}$, but it is difficult to measure experimentally in our system. That scattering fraction combined with the ²⁹Si natural abundance gives an 159 estimate for an upper bound on the ²⁹Si concentration in the ²⁸Si beam of roughly 10⁻⁷. 160 161 This concentration may be significant for the samples measured in this study with the lowest ²⁹Si isotope fractions approaching 10⁻⁷. However, we do not see any evidence that 162 we are reaching this scattering enrichment limit, e.g. attenuation of the ³⁰Si isotope 163 fractions compared to ²⁹Si, discussed further below. For the purposes of this paper, we 164 165 assume the scattering tail contribution is negligible in these experiments and the ion beam is pure 28 Si. In this study, we consider the difference between the expected (100 % 166

167 enriched) and measured enrichment by identifying only the natural abundance SiH₄ gas
168 diffusing from the ion source into the deposition chamber as the source of ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si.

169

B. Temperature and pressure determinations

170 In order to extract the temperature dependence of the incorporation fraction, s, of 29 SiH₄ on 28 Si(100), a good estimate of the SiH₄ partial pressure at the sample location 171 172 during deposition is needed. The base pressure of the deposition chamber was measured to be approximately 1.3×10^{-8} Pa (1 x 10^{-10} Torr) for these experiments. During operation 173 of the ion beam, SiH₄ is leaked into the ion source at a pressure of about 4×10^{-4} Pa (3 x 174 10⁻⁶ Torr), and some SiH₄ gas diffuses into the deposition chamber, which typically sees 175 176 a factor of 50 to 100 increase from the base pressure. We estimate the partial pressure of 177 SiH₄ at the sample from measurements of the individual gas components using a residual 178 gas analyzer (RGA) in the chamber. Typically, while operating the ion beam, the fraction 179 of total pressure increase due to SiH₄ and other Si hydrides is estimated to be about 28 %, 180 while the rest is mostly H₂. This is because a lot of SiH₄ is cracked into SiH_x, where SiH_x is a combination of Si hydrides (1 < x < 4), and thus results in a large amount of 181 byproduct H₂. The SiH_x partial pressure is then estimated to vary from 1.4 x 10^{-7} Pa to 9.6 182 x 10^{-7} Pa (1.1 x 10^{-9} Torr to 7.2 x 10^{-9} Torr) across the high temperature samples in this 183 184 study. The previous room temperature samples were generally deposited in higher partial pressures up to 4.4×10^{-5} Pa (3.3 x 10^{-7} Torr) for two samples. From the partial pressures, 185 we get an important quantity in this analysis, the SiH_x molecular gas flux, F_a , which is 186 187 calculated using the estimated partial pressure during deposition and the Hertz-Knudsen 188 equation,

189
$$F_g = p(2\pi m k_B T_g)^{-1/2},$$
 (1)

where *p* is the pressure, *m* is the mass of the gas (SiH₄), k_B is Boltzmann's constant, and T_g is the gas temperature (assumed to be 21°C). The pressures for the high temperature samples correspond to F_g gas flux values between 4 x 10¹¹ cm⁻²s⁻¹ and 3 x 10¹² cm⁻²s⁻¹.

193 The sample temperature during deposition was also carefully measured to ensure 194 an accurate mapping of enrichment vs. temperature and determination of s. Temperature 195 was measured in this study using an infrared pyrometer that viewed the sample through a 196 window from outside the vacuum chamber. The temperature readings were calibrated for 197 our system by monitoring eutectic samples in the chamber near their melting temperature 198 and adjusting the pyrometer emissivity to match the known melting point temperatures. The two temperature standards used here were a Au-Si eutectic²⁴ and an Al-Si eutectic, 199 200 which were each held at their melting temperatures of 363 °C and 577 °C respectively 201 while calibrating the pyrometer. Multiple calibrations are needed because the emissivity 202 of Si is not constant with temperature. The emissivity as measured through the chamber 203 window changes from about 0.25 at 363 °C up to 0.42 at 577 °C and is expected to reach 204 a high value of 0.68 within the range of temperatures used in this study. This range of 205 values is similar to emissivity values for Si surfaces reported in the literature (≈ 0.1 at 100 °C and 0.68 at > 800 °C)²⁵. Including uncertainties in the calibration, the pyrometer 206 207 temperature readings of the substrate are estimated to have a 5 % relative uncertainty due 208 to fluctuations in the current used for sample heating as well as temperature gradients 209 across the sample.

210 C. Substrate preparation and deposition

²⁸Si samples were deposited epitaxially on a variety of natural abundance Si(100)
 substrates including p-type, n-type, and undoped (intrinsic) wafers that were cleaved into

213 chips measuring 4 mm by 10 mm. Substrates were cleaned *ex situ* using standard Si 214 cleaning procedures for metals and organics used in complementary metal-oxide-215 semiconductor (CMOS) technology consisting of a piranha etch, hydrofluoric acid (HF) strip, and "standard clean-2" $(SC2)^{26}$. The chips are capped with a thin protective oxide 216 217 during the final SC2 cleaning step. After cleaning, the chips were immediately mounted 218 onto sample holders and loaded into the vacuum chamber via a load lock. Substrates were 219 then prepared for deposition *in situ* by first degassing them overnight at 600 °C and then 220 flash annealing them to 1200 °C for \approx 10 s several times. This flash removes the oxide and produces a clean (2x1) reconstructed Si(100) surface on which to deposit ²⁸Si 221 222 epitaxially. Typically, flashed substrates were inspected using a UHV scanning tunneling 223 microscope (STM) to ensure a clean surface. The substrate temperature was then elevated 224 to the growth temperature prior to exposure to the ion beam for deposition. To map out the ²⁹Si temperature dependence, samples were deposited at substrate temperatures 225 226 ranging from 249 °C up to 812 °C in increments of roughly 100 °C. Also included in this 227 study for qualitative comparison are data from previous amorphous samples deposited at 228 room temperature (≈ 21 °C) on substrates that were only prepared *ex situ* with HF. For the higher temperature samples, ²⁸Si ions were deposited onto the substrates 229 230 in the hyperthermal energy regime with an average ion energy at the target of ≈ 40 eV. 231 This energy is selected to stay as high as possible to minimize space charge effects while 232 keeping the net sputter yield ≈ 0 . Typical ion beam currents of around 500 nA were achieved over an area on the chip of about 6 mm², which corresponds to an average ion 233 flux, F_i , that varied from 3 x 10¹³ cm⁻²s⁻¹ to 3 x 10¹⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹. F_i was calculated from the 234 235 film thickness measured *post facto* in combination with the deposition time for each

sample. These fluxes correspond to deposition rates between 0.3 nm/min and 3.9 nm/min.
The thicknesses of the deposited films were taken from the calibrations of the SIMS
depth profiles and ranged from about 50 nm to over 300 nm depending on the sample and
the measurement location on the deposition spot.

240

D. SIMS measurements

Measurements of the enrichment of the ²⁸Si films grown at different temperatures 241 242 were made *ex situ* using SIMS. The samples were sputter eroded using an O_2^+ primary beam at an impact energy of 8 keV and a current of 1 nA while monitoring counts of ²⁸Si, 243 ²⁹Si, and ³⁰Si to determine their relative abundances. The beam was focused to a probe 244 245 size of a few micrometers in diameter, and it was raster-scanned over a 50 µm x 50 µm 246 area. The analyzer's magnetic field was cycled to allow the positive secondary ions for 247 each isotope to be detected by a secondary electron multiplier. The mass resolving power 248 for the measurement conditions was $m/\Delta m \approx 6000$ measured at 10 % of the peak maximum. This resolving power is necessary to cleanly separate the ²⁹Si signal from the 249 250 ²⁸SiH signal that arises due to the SIMS process. Under these conditions, we estimate that less than 10⁻⁵ of the ²⁸SiH signal contributes to the ²⁹Si measurement. Uncertainties of the 251 252 isotope ratios were determined from the standard deviation of the mean of the 253 measurements. A profilometer was used to calibrate the depth scale in the measurements, and that allowed for determination of ²⁸Si film thicknesses and growth rates. 254 255

256 III. ANALYTICAL APPROACH

In this study, we evaluate a multi-mechanism gas sticking deposition model to correlate the SIMS measurements of enrichment to the deposition conditions (e.g. SiH_x

259 partial pressure and deposition rate) for samples deposited between ≈ 21 °C and 850 °C. 260 We consider two distinct sources of Si atoms that contribute to the films. The dominant (high flux) source is the ion beam, assumed to be pure ²⁸Si as discussed above, and the 261 262 second is the diffusive partial pressure of SiH_x from the ion source, which contains all 263 three Si isotopes in their natural abundance. The SIMS measurements provide the resultant isotopic concentrations for ²⁹Si or ³⁰Si as a fraction of the total Si deposited. The 264 measured isotope fractions of ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si are modelled by the mixed ion beam 265 deposition and gas sticking, to provide a combined deposition model, c_z (with z denoted 266 as 29 for ²⁹Si and 30 for ³⁰Si), given by: 267

$$268 c_z = \frac{F_g a_z s}{F_g s + F_i}, (2)$$

where F_g is the SiH_x gas flux, F_i is the ²⁸Si ion flux, a_z is the natural abundance of ²⁹Si or ³⁰Si in the SiH₄, and *s* is an effective incorporation fraction. We simplify c_z by defining the SiH₄ flux ratio $d = F_g/F_i$ that correlates the isotope concentrations to deposition conditions:

$$c_z = \frac{a_z s d}{1 + s d},\tag{3}$$

where c_z increases approximately linearly with *d* in the dilute regime ($d \ll 1$) where most experiments were performed. Additionally, when $F_g \gg F_i$ (never true in our experiments), then the natural abundance source dominates and $c_z \rightarrow a_z$, the natural abundance ratio. To add statistical weight and simultaneously consider the ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si data, we generalize the isotope specific model of Eq. (3) by dividing it by each isotope's natural abundance so that ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si data can be fit together within the same model. This has the effect of changing the units from an isotope specific incorporation to total (all isotopes) adsorbed SiH₄, which gives a total gas sticking deposition model, $c_{tot.}$, where

283
$$c_{tot.} = \frac{c_z}{a_z} = \frac{sd}{1+sd}$$
 (4)

Eq. (4) allows us to determine the incorporation fraction *s* for each sample deposited at different temperatures, to get the trend of *s* vs. *T*. Additionally, since *s* represents the fraction of diffusing SiH₄ gas that become permanently incorporated in the film, at the single molecule level, *s* is the probability that a specific molecule becomes incorporated on the timescale of an arriving ion.

289 To describe the anticipated phenomenological behavior of s vs. T, we define a 290 temperature dependent incorporation model, s(T), that considers two classical gas incorporation mechanisms: a sticking term (physisorption), s_p , and a higher temperature 291 292 reactive mechanism, s_c , (e.g., hydrogen cracking or chemisorption). Both s_c and s_p are expected to be thermally activated, where s_p decreases with increasing temperature as 293 more molecules escape (desorb), and s_c increases with increasing temperature as more 294 molecules react and bond to the surface. Since c_{tot} , is normalized by the total flux, s(T)295 296 is the probability per molecule that SiH₄ is incorporated. We define these individual 297 components to be:

298
$$s_p = 1 - A_p \exp(-E_p/k_B T)$$
 and $s_c = A_c \exp(-E_c/k_B T)$, (5)

where E_p is the activation energy for "physisorption," E_c is the activation energy for "chemisorption," k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and *T* is the substrate temperature during deposition. The prefactors A_p and A_c are free parameters that account for the average site occupancy and the time integral over many activation attempts that occur at molecular vibrational frequencies and other atomistic factors. From this, the total incorporationfraction at a given temperature is the sum of the two sticking components,

305
$$s(T) = s_p + s_c + s_0 = 1 - A_p \exp(-E_p/k_B T) + A_c \exp(-E_c/k_B T) + s_0.$$
 (6)

306 An s_0 term accounts for temperature independent incorporation, like activation from a

307 collision with an ion in the depositing flux. The simple sum assumes that the two

308 mechanisms are independent, e.g., physisorption is not a requirement for chemisorption,

309 and that $E_c \gg E_p$ such that $s(T) \le 1$ for all *T*.

310 IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

311 A. ²⁸Si enrichment and pressure correlation

312 For all deposition temperatures (except room temperature and 249 °C), epitaxial growth of the ²⁸Si films was achieved. Interface widths of a few nanometers were found 313 314 for samples grown between roughly 350 °C and 420 °C, while the surface roughness 315 increased significantly for higher temperature samples. The sample with the best enrichment and lowest ²⁹Si isotope fractions in this study was deposited at 502 °C. A 316 317 SIMS depth profile of the isotope fractions ($^{z}Si/Si_{tot.}$) for this sample is shown in Fig. 1. 318 Between 40 nm and 280 nm into the film, the averaged isotope fractions are; ²⁸Si: 99.9999819(35) %, ²⁹Si: 1.27(29) x 10⁻⁷, and ³⁰Si: 5.5(19) x 10⁻⁸. The average values for 319 ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si are represented by dashed lines in Fig. 1 and fall below the data because of 320 321 many zero counts on the SIMS detector for those measurement cycles. At a depth of 322 around 300 nm in this sample, the sputter beam erodes into the substrate and the isotope 323 fractions return to the natural values.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Semi-log SIMS depth profile of the 502 °C sample showing the isotope fractions of ²⁸Si (circles), ²⁹Si (squares), and ³⁰Si (triangles). The sharp increase in ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si isotope fractions to the natural abundance levels (dotted lines) at 300 nm corresponds to reaching the substrate (gray shade). The average isotope fractions (from 40 nm to 280 nm) are shown as dashed lines. These averages lie below the visible data because many of the data were zero counts.

Temperature is not the only significant experimental parameter affecting enrichment; the enrichment is also seen to depend linearly on the SiH_x partial pressure (when $F_g \ll F_i$). We plot the raw SIMS data for the room temperature samples as a function of the SiH₄ flux ratio, $d = F_g/F_i$, in Fig. 2, with ²⁹Si (squares) and ³⁰Si (triangles) isotope fractions plotted together. The top axis of Fig. 2 shows the total gas flux ratio, $F_g^{tot.}/F_i$, using the total measured pressure increase during deposition without subtracting out the estimated H₂ fraction in the gas. The hydrogen subtraction only shifts



340 FIG. 2. (Color online) Correlation plot of isotope fractions (^zSi/Si_{tot.}) from SIMS vs. SiH₄ flux ratio $d = F_a/F_i$ shown on a log-log scale for samples deposited at room temperature 341 (\approx 21 °C); ²⁹Si (squares) and ³⁰Si (triangles). The top axis is the flux ratio for the total gas 342 flux during deposition. The gas sticking deposition model, c_z is fit to the ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si 343 data (solid and dashed line respectively) and gives a value of $s = 6.8(3) \times 10^{-4}$. c_z is 344 linearly proportional to s over the range of the data, but asymptotes (see inset) to the 345 natural abundance values when $F_q \gg F_i$ (dash-dotted lines). To demonstrate the 346 sensitivity to changes in the free parameter s, c_{30} for two other values of s (blue dotted 347 348 lines) are also shown. "LP" and "HP" denote data from two different experimental configurations, only the "LP" data is used for quantitative analysis. Horizontal error bars 349 350 are dominated by the uncertainty in the pressure measurements, and vertical error bars 351 represent the standard deviation of the SIMS data.

353 the axis laterally and both the ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si isotope fractions have a strong linear

- 354 correlation with the SiH₄ flux fraction (deposition conditions), showing that a higher SiH₄
- 355 flux fraction produces a larger isotope fraction in the sample. This high correlation is
- 356 strong evidence that the diffusive SiH_x is the primary source of the minor isotopes.

357	To determine the probability of SiH_x being incorporated during growth, we fit the
358	data in Fig. 2 using Eq. (3) to get c_{29} and c_{30} with s as the only free parameter. These fits
359	are shown in Fig. 2 as solid and dashed lines respectively. They are approximately linear
360	over the range of the data with a slope proportional to s. For $d > 10^4$, c_z asymptotes to
361	the natural abundance values (see Fig. 2 inset) of 4.7 % for 29 Si and 3.1 % for 30 Si. The
362	best fit to the data yields a room temperature incorporation fraction of $s = 6.8(3) \times 10^{-4}$.
363	The points at $d > 1$ were deposited in a different vacuum chamber with poorer pressure
364	measurement but are included to show continuation of the qualitative trend to much
365	higher pressures. Only the "LP" data is used for quantitative analysis at room temperature
366	and this configuration was used for all the higher temperature work. $c_{30}(d)$ is plotted for
367	two other values of s which span an order of magnitude around the fit value so that the
368	reader can see the sensitivity of the fit to s . Note that when viewed as a log-log plot as in
369	Fig. 2, c_z does not change slope as s is varied, it only changes vertical offset.
370	Affirmation that the diffusive SiH ₄ partial pressure is the dominant source of the
371	minor isotopes is found in the measured isotope ratios ²⁹ Si/ ³⁰ Si for each sample, shown in
372	Fig. 3. If the minor isotope contribution originated from the ion beam, ³⁰ Si would be
373	attenuated compared to 29 Si and increase the 29 Si/ 30 Si ratio above the natural value, e.g.
374	datum 31. Instead, the measured isotope ratios for most samples are very close to the
375	natural value of about 1.5, indicating that the source of ²⁹ Si and ³⁰ Si has a natural
376	abundance of Si isotopes, e.g., the SiH4 source gas.



FIG. 3. (Color online) 29 Si/ 30 Si isotope ratios for samples deposited at room temperature (circles) and elevated temperatures (triangles). The ratios of these samples agree with the natural abundance ratio of 1.5 (line) indicating that the source of 29 Si and 30 Si is naturally abundant, probably the SiH₄ gas. Measurement numbers 28, 31, and 36, which lie above a 29 Si/ 30 Si ratio of four, suffer from discrete counting noise in the SIMS measurements due to a total 30 Si count < 10, which makes the ratio highly volatile. Error bars are derived from the standard deviation of the SIMS data.

386

387 **B.** Temperature dependence of ²⁹Si and s

Isotope fractions were measured by SIMS on samples grown at many different temperatures. For each SIMS measurement at each temperature, an average isotope fraction is found in the depth region where the minor isotope counts reach a minimum. The SIMS measurements from ²⁸Si samples grown at the low end of the epitaxial temperature range (249 °C) had a residual ²⁹Si isotope fraction of 7.9(12) x 10⁻⁷. The sample grown at the highest temperature, 812 °C, has a ²⁹Si isotope fraction of 4.32(46) x

10⁻⁶. This factor of five increase in isotope fraction is the focus of our analysis and 394 395 discussion. We note that for the samples deposited at 705 °C, 708 °C, and 812 °C, 396 significant morphological roughness may have resulted in some substrate mixing during 397 the SIMS measurement. Therefore, these data are an upper bound since the SIMS may 398 not have reached the minimum isotope fraction before breaking into the substrate. In this 399 report, we excluded data that were clearly influenced by this effect (did not reach a stable 400 isotope fraction minima), however, it is possible that this measurement artifact still 401 weakly contributed to the measured values of the isotope ratios reported here for the 402 highest temperature samples.

In this work, we primarily report the dependence of the ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si incorporation 403 from the SiH₄ partial pressure on the different substrate temperatures. The raw ²⁹Si 404 isotope fraction increases rapidly in the range from 502 °C (1.27 x 10^{-7}) to 812 °C (4.32 x 405 406 10^{-6}), however, maintaining an identical SiH₄ partial pressure and ion beam flux was not 407 possible for each sample. Using the c_z function though, we can adjust the isotope fraction 408 values at each temperature for the variations in deposition parameters. To do this, the raw SIMS measurements are adjusted to a common SiH₄ flux ratio $d = F_g/F_i$. We choose the 409 d value corresponding to an area of the sample deposited at 502 °C, i.e., the lowest 410 measured isotope fractions of ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si. 411

The procedure for the adjustment is as follows: multiple values of the isotope fractions are determined from SIMS plots at each temperature, e.g., Fig 1. Each SIMS value is adjusted by solving Eq. (3) using the corresponding *d* value to determine *s* for each datum independently, denoted as s_T^n , where *n* is the *n*th datum measured for a sample deposited at temperature *T*. Then, using the specific s_T^n value put back into Eq

417 (3), we can adjust the isotope ratio to the *d* value of the 502 °C sample ($d_{502} = 0.0073$) 418 and denote the adjusted value as $c_z(s_T^n, d_{502})$.

In Fig. 4, the isotope fractions adjusted for pressure and ion beam flux (deposition rate) are plotted as a function of temperature to isolate the enrichment's temperature dependence. The ²⁹Si adjusted isotope fractions appear to initially trend downwards from $\approx 7.9 \times 10^{-7}$ at 249 °C to a minimum at the 502 °C average of about 1.3 x 10⁻⁷. The room temperature data appears to deviate from the low temperature trend, which is likely



425

FIG. 4. (Color online) Adjusted isotope fraction, $c_z(s_T^n, d_{502})$, vs. temperature for ²⁹Si 426 (squares) and ³⁰Si (triangles). The raw isotope fractions are adjusted to the deposition 427 conditions (F_a and F_i) of the 502 °C sample to account for differences in pressure and 428 deposition rate among different samples. This shows the expected increase in isotope 429 430 fraction at a given temperature for a sample deposited under the same conditions as the 431 502 °C sample. Horizontal error bars are due to uncertainty in the pyrometer calibration and temperature fluctuation during deposition, and vertical error bars represent the 432 433 standard deviation of the SIMS data.

434 due to unaccounted for systematic variations, e.g., because those samples were 435 amorphous and were grown in a different experimental configuration. Surface orientation and crystallinity are known to affect the adsorption of SiH₄ on Si surfaces²⁷, which may 436 437 lead to a lower effective sticking coefficient compared to that of the crystalline samples. Above 502 °C, the adjusted ²⁹Si isotope fraction sharply increases up to 5.9 x 10⁻⁶ at 812 438 439 °C. This increase is emblematic of the thermal activation of a chemical process, perhaps 440 similar to a CVD reaction, and appears to dominate over the incorporation mechanism at 441 lower temperatures.

We can analyze these mechanisms better by evaluating the values of *s* at each temperature and comparing them to the temperature dependent incorporation model. The measured isotope fractions are first converted to isotope independent SiH₄ fractions using their natural abundance values according to the generalization to obtain Eq. (4) for the total gas sticking deposition model, $c_{tot.}$. The generalized ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si data (^zSi/Si_{tot.})/ a_z for each deposition temperature are then plotted together in Fig. 5 against their SiH₄ flux ratios and fit together using $c_{tot.}$ to get a single s_T value for each temperature.

449 The values of *s* determined from data (slopes of the lines in Fig. 5) are shown in 450 Fig. 6. These incorporation fractions are a total net sticking probability; i.e., the 451 probability that a SiH_x molecule that struck the surface was permanently incorporated 452 into the film. The dependence of s on temperature closely follows the trend of the 29 Si 453 and 30 Si isotope fractions in Fig. 4. In the lower temperature regime, s trends downwards slightly from a value of 1.6(2) x 10^{-3} at 249 °C to a minimum of 2.9(4) x 10^{-4} at 502 °C. 454 455 In this temperature range, the decrease of s suggests reduced incorporation due to increasing thermally activated escape from physisorption (s_p) . The room temperature 456



FIG. 5. (Color online) Correlation plots of the generalized isotope fractions $(^{z}Si/Si_{tot})/a_{z}$ 458 459 vs. SiH₄ flux ratio shown on a linear scale for samples deposited at several elevated temperatures. The raw SIMS isotope fractions for ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si are each generalized using 460 their natural abundance, a_z , to get the estimated total adsorbed SiH₄. Then c_{tot} is fit to 461 the data for each temperature (solid, dashed, dotted lines) to determine s_T . The fits 462 463 originate at the point (0, 0) because zero SiH₄ flux results in an adsorbed SiH₄ fraction of 464 zero. Horizontal error bars are dominated by the uncertainty in the pressure measurements, and vertical error bars are derived from the standard deviation of the 465 466 SIMS data.



469 adsorption kinetics. Then as T is increased above 600 °C, s rapidly increases to 2.3(5) x

470 10^{-2} at 812 °C. This increase suggests thermal activation of the reactive sticking

471 coefficient, i.e., chemisorption, s_c , which increases with increasing temperature. These

- 472 values of *s* are consistent with previously reported values of the reactive sticking
- 473 coefficient of silanes on Si surfaces, although there is a large variation in the literature,
- 474 e.g., Si CVD studies have shown the reactive sticking coefficient, s_r , to range from 5 x



476

477 FIG. 6. (Color online) Incorporation fraction, s (circles) vs. deposition temperature. s is determined from the fits of c_{tot} to the data for each temperature in Fig 5. The fit to Eq. 478 479 (6) is shown in the red dotted line with all parameters free. The inset presents the same data in Arrhenius form, $\ln(s)$ vs. $1/k_B T$, with linear fits to the activation energies above 480 and below 502 °C ($1/k_B T \approx 15 \text{ eV}^{-1}$). Using the energies determined in the inset as fixed 481 482 inputs to Eq. (6), the model is refit and shown as the blue dashed line. Horizontal error 483 bars are due to uncertainty in the pyrometer calibration and temperature fluctuation 484 during deposition, and vertical error bars represent the standard deviation of the fit values 485 of Fig. 5.

486

487 10^{-4} to 5 x 10^{-3} for polycrystalline Si deposition at 600 °C to 800 °C²⁸, and from 1 x 10^{-3}

488 to 3 x 10^{-5} for Si(111) surfaces below 500 °C^{29,30}.

Next, we fit the data in Fig. 6 using the temperature dependent incorporation model of Eq. (6). This model considers physisorption (s_p) with thermally activated escape (desorption), thermally activated incorporation (s_c) , e.g., due to reaction, as well as temperature independent process like ion activation (s_0) . The fit to Eq. (6) while leaving all five parameters free is shown as a red dotted line in Fig. 6. Visually, this

494 appears to be a good fit, but several aspects of the fit parameters suggest problems, e.g., 495 the numerical value for $E_p \approx 2 \ge 10^{-4}$ eV, $s_0 \ll 0$, and several parameters have a high-496 degree of cross-correlation, suggesting too many degrees of freedom.

497 In order to break the correlations and isolate E_p and E_c , we replot the data of Fig. 498 6 in an Arrhenius form, $\ln(s)$ vs. $1/k_BT$ in the inset of Fig. 6. One can see two regimes of data, corresponding to above and below the 502 °C datum at $1/k_BT \approx 15 \text{ eV}^{-1}$. We 499 approximate each regime as being dominated by a distinct physical mechanism so that 500 each segment individually can be fit to a line $\ln(s) = \ln(A) - E(1/k_BT)$ where the 501 502 slope is the effective activation energy in that regime. The fit line for the higher 503 temperature data is shown as a solid red line with a slope of $1.00 \text{ eV} \pm 0.08 \text{ eV}$ while the 504 fit to the lower temperature data is shown in a dash-dotted blue line with a slope of 0.18 $eV \pm 0.08 eV$ and has R² values of 0.98 and 0.61, respectively. The higher temperature 505 506 value of 1.00 eV is consistent with reported activation energies for SiH₄ CVD between 507 600 °C and 800 °C. The literature values are found to vary between about 0.4 eV to 2.2 508 eV depending heavily on experimental conditions such as surface orientation, gas 509 pressure, and hydride species^{27–29,31–34}.

Using the energy values determined from the slopes in the Arrhenius fits, we can input these as known values back into Eq. (6) supposing that they correspond to the energies in that model and perform the fit with fewer degrees of freedom. A plot of this fit is shown as a blue dashed line in Fig. 6. The best fit values are $s_0 = -0.9977(3)$, $A_p = 0.035(6), A_c = 1023(141)$ with some correlation remaining between s_0 and A_p , but the fit yields reasonable relative errors and a $R^2 = 0.91$.

516 Examining the values of the best fit parameters yield some physical insights. First, 517 if we consider the zero-temperature limit (albeit a substantial extrapolation), then $s(T \rightarrow 0) = s_0 + 1 = 0.0023(6)$, as opposed to our initial assumption that $s(T \rightarrow 0) =$ 518 1 and $s_0 \ge 0$, i.e. all the SiH₄ would be incorporated in the zero-temperature limit. The 519 520 implication of needing $s_0 < 0$ to fit the data is <u>that</u> this initial assumption is incorrect, 521 and that adsorbing SiH₄ is not guaranteed to be incorporated. Rather, under these 522 conditions, only $\approx 1/400$ adsorbed molecules would become incorporated. Ultimately, 523 another mechanism (besides adsorption) must be acting as a gateway to incorporation, accounting for the $s_0 + 1$ terms discussed above. We then look to possible temperature 524 525 independent activation processes that can lead to incorporation, the most obvious being a 526 collision from the ion beam. Focusing on the low temperature regime where we neglect 527 (s_c) , we can write a differential equation (below the activation of s_c) where

528
$$\frac{dn_s}{dt} = (1 - n_s)F_g - n_s v \exp\left(-\frac{E_p}{k_B T}\right) - p n_s F_i , \qquad (7)$$

with n_s being the probability a surface site is occupied, v is the molecular vibration 529 530 frequency and p corresponds to the probability that an ion collision results in the 531 incorporation of a SiH₄ molecule. The first term is the source term from the gas flux, 532 which becomes diminished if a substantial portion of the surface is covered by SiH₄; the second term is thermally activated desorption (similar to s_p but without the implicit 533 534 integral over the gas flux rate) and the last term represents collisional activation from the 535 ion beam. We set this equation to zero (corresponding to the steady state condition during 536 growth) and then look at the zero temperature limit for the conditions corresponding to d_{502} at each atomic site ($F_g = 0.0013 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $F_i = 0.179 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and with p = 1. We find a 537

538	steady state occupation probability $n_s = \frac{F_g}{pF_i + F_g} = 0.0072$, and assuming all other sites
539	would become occupied by ²⁸ Si from the ion beam, then $c_{tot.} = n_s$. Considering the
540	isotopic abundance $a_{29} = 0.047$, then the probability that a surface site is occupied by a
541	²⁹ SiH ₄ is $n_{s-29} = 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$, and the corresponding ²⁹ Si isotope ratio would also be
542	3.4×10^{-4} . By comparison, using the alternate $T = 0$ limit from the fit of Eq. (6) above
543	for $s(T \to 0) = 0.0023$, and using $d_{502} = \frac{F_g}{F_i} = 0.0073$ we can extrapolate that the zero
544	temperature concentration of silicon from the gas $c_{tot.}$ (fit) $\approx d_{502} \cdot s(T \rightarrow 0) = 1.7 \text{ x}$
545	10^{-5} and the ²⁹ Si isotope fraction would be 0.8 x 10^{-6} . These two zero temperature limits
546	can be reconciled if we calculate $c_{tot.} = n_s \cdot p$ and drop the assumption of $p = 1$, where
547	we use $c_{tot.} = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ from the fit of Eq. (6) and $n_s = 0.0072$ following Eq. (7)
548	above. From this, we find the implied ion collision activation probability $p = 0.0023$,
549	which is numerically equal to the $s(T \rightarrow 0)$. Considering the value $p = 0.0023$, an
550	activation probability of 1 in 400 is plausible (although probably low) for a 40 eV ion at
551	normal incidence, but considering the uncertainties present and the extreme extrapolation
552	to well below the limits of the data, this value should not be taken too seriously.
553	We do feel that the data provide good evidence for 1) a thermally activated
554	incorporation mechanism with an activation energy of 1.00(8) eV, probably
555	corresponding to breaking an H bond; 2) a temperature-independent activation
556	mechanism proportional to the surface population, probably ion collisional activation;
557	and 3) a diminishing surface population due to thermal desorption that reduces the
558	absolute incorporation from (2).
559	

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the measured enrichment from SIMS (i.e. residual ²⁹Si and ³⁰Si 561 562 isotope fractions) of samples deposited at temperatures ranging from 249 °C to 812 °C to 563 understand how enrichment changes as a function of temperature due to SiH₄ 564 incorporation. From this analysis, we determined the temperature dependence of the incorporation fraction, s, and modeled it using two sticking terms. The lowest ²⁹Si 565 isotopic concentration was found for deposition at 502 °C at 1.27(29) x 10⁻⁷. A reactive 566 567 incorporation mechanism due to CVD-like chemisorption is observed and increases 568 minor isotope concentration between 502 °C up to 812 °C. While we achieve epitaxial 569 growth for all samples deposited above 249 °C, the temperature range above 502 °C 570 coincides with increased film roughness and crystalline defect formation, although 571 several mechanisms are believed to contribute to this behavior. In this work, the optimal deposition temperature for minimizing the ²⁹Si isotopic concentration is found to be \approx 572 573 500 °C, however, we consistently use 450 °C to produce high quality, smooth epitaxial 574 films with reduced risk of chemical contamination and expect to suffer little or no 575 decrease in enrichment.

We find an activation energy for this reactive incorporation of $E_c = 1.00(8)$ eV. Below the activation of the reactive process, the data suggest that incorporation directly from adsorption is rare and that a temperature independent mechanism like an ion collision is likely. Understanding the role of SiH₄ gas sticking for a range of deposition temperatures is the first step in enabling production of ²⁸Si samples with targeted levels of enrichment (²⁹Si isotope fractions) facilitating a study of T₂ coherence times as a function of ²⁹Si concentration.

583 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 584 The authors thank Aruna Ramanayaka (NIST) for *ex situ* sample preparation and helpful
- 585 discussions, as well as Neil Zimmerman (NIST), Michael Stewart (NIST), Vlad Oleshko
- 586 (NIST), Rick Silver (NIST), Kai Li (NIST), Pradeep Namboodiri (NIST), and Shin
- 587 Muramoto (NIST) for other contributions and helpful discussions. This work was
- 588 performed in part at the Center for Nanoscale Science and Technology.

- ¹ M. Steger, K. Saeedi, M.L.W. Thewalt, J.J.L. Morton, H. Riemann, N. V Abrosimov, P.
- 591 Becker, and H.J. Pohl, Science (80-.). **336**, 1280 (2012).
- ² W.M. Witzel, M.S. Carroll, Ł. Cywiński, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 86, 35452
 (2012).
- ³ E. Abe, A.M. Tyryshkin, S. Tojo, J.J.L. Morton, W.M. Witzel, A. Fujimoto, J.W. Ager,
- 595 E.E. Haller, J. Isoya, S.A. Lyon, M.L.W. Thewalt, and K.M. Itoh, Phys. Rev. B 82,596 (2010).
- ⁴ J.T. Muhonen, J.P. Dehollain, A. Laucht, F.E. Hudson, R. Kalra, T. Sekiguchi, K.M.
- Itoh, D.N. Jamieson, J.C. McCallum, A.S. Dzurak, and A. Morello, Nat Nano 9, 986(2014).
- ⁵ A.M. Tyryshkin, S. Tojo, J.J.L. Morton, H. Riemann, N. V Abrosimov, P. Becker, H.-J.
- 601 Pohl, T. Schenkel, M.L.W. Thewalt, K.M. Itoh, and S.A. Lyon, Nat. Mater. 11, 143
- 602 (2012).
- ⁶ K. Saeedi, S. Simmons, J.Z. Salvail, P. Dluhy, H. Riemann, N. V Abrosimov, P.
- 604 Becker, H.-J. Pohl, J.J.L. Morton, and M.L.W. Thewalt, Science (80-.). **342**, 830 (2013).

- ⁶⁰⁵ ⁷ F.A. Zwanenburg, A.S. Dzurak, A. Morello, M.Y. Simmons, L.C.L. Hollenberg, G.
- 606 Klimeck, S. Rogge, S.N. Coppersmith, and M.A. Eriksson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 961
- 607 (2013).
- ⁸ A. Wild, J. Kierig, J. Sailer, J.W. Ager, E.E. Haller, G. Abstreiter, S. Ludwig, and D.
- 609 Bougeard, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, (2012).
- ⁹ J.J.L. Morton, A.M. Tyryshkin, R.M. Brown, S. Shankar, B.W. Lovett, A. Ardavan, T.
- 611 Schenkel, E.E. Haller, J.W. Ager, and S.A. Lyon, Nature **455**, 1085 (2008).
- 612 ¹⁰ L.A. Tracy, D.R. Luhman, S.M. Carr, N.C. Bishop, G.A. Ten Eyck, T. Pluym, J.R.
- 613 Wendt, M.P. Lilly, and M.S. Carroll, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, 63101 (2016).
- ⁶¹⁴ ¹¹ VeldhorstM, J.C.C. Hwang, C.H. Yang, A.W. Leenstra, B. de Ronde, J.P. Dehollain,
- J.T. Muhonen, F.E. Hudson, K.M. Itoh, MorelloA, and A.S. Dzurak, Nat Nano 9, 981(2014).
- ¹² M.L.W. Thewalt, A. Yang, M. Steger, D. Karaiskaj, M. Cardona, H. Riemann, N. V.
- 618 Abrosimov, A. V. Gusev, A.D. Bulanov, I.D. Kovalev, A.K. Kaliteevskii, O.N. Godisov,
- 619 P. Becker, H.J. Pohl, E.E. Haller, J.W. Ager, and K.M. Itoh, J. Appl. Phys. 101, 81724
 620 (2007).
- 621 ¹³ P. Becker, H.J. Pohl, H. Riemann, and N. Abrosimov, Phys. Status Solidi a-
- 622 Applications Mater. Sci. 207, 49 (2010).
- 623 ¹⁴ K.M. Itoh and H. Watanabe, MRS Commun. **4**, 143 (2014).
- 624 ¹⁵ K.J. Dwyer, J.M. Pomeroy, and D.S. Simons, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, (2013).
- ⁶²⁵ ¹⁶ K.J. Dwyer, J.M. Pomeroy, D.S. Simons, K.L. Steffens, and J.W. Lau, J. Phys. D.
- 626 Appl. Phys. 47, 345105 (2014).

- ¹⁷ N. Tsubouchi, A. Chayahara, Y. Mokuno, A. Kinomura, and Y. Horino, Japanese J.
- 628 Appl. Phys. Part 2-Letters **40**, L1283 (2001).
- 629 ¹⁸ A.H. Albayati, K.J. Boyd, D. Marton, S.S. Todorov, J.W. Rabalais, Z.H. Zhang, and
- 630 W.K. Chu, J. Appl. Phys. 76, 4383 (1994).
- 631 ¹⁹ J.W. Rabalais, A.H. Al-Bayati, K.J. Boyd, D. Marton, J. Kulik, Z. Zhang, and W.K.
- 632 Chu, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 10781 (1996).
- 633 ²⁰ J.M. Pomeroy, A.J. Couture, M.V.R. Murty, E.N. Butler, and B.H. Cooper, Rev. Sci.
- 634 Instrum. **73**, 3846 (2002).
- 635 ²¹ F.G. Ruedenauer, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **41**, 1487 (1970).
- 636 ²² N.J. Freeman, N.R. Daly, and R.E. Powell, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **38**, 945 (1967).
- 637 ²³ T.R. Ireland, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **84**, 11101 (2013).
- 638 ²⁴ C.Y. Kuo and C. Gau, Thin Solid Films **519**, 3603 (2011).
- 639 ²⁵ V.I. Rudakov, V. V. Ovcharov, and V.P. Prigara, Russ. Microelectron. **41**, 15 (2012).
- 640 ²⁶ W. Kern, Handbook of Semiconductor Wafer Cleaning Technology: Science,
- 641 Technology, and Applications (Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, NJ, 1993).
- 642 ²⁷ J.H. Comfort and R. Reif, J. Electrochem. Soc. **136**, 2386 (1989).
- ²⁸ R.J. Buss, P. Ho, W.G. Breiland, and M.E. Coltrin, J. Appl. Phys. **63**, 2808 (1988).
- ²⁹ S.M. Gates, C.M. Greenlief, D.B. Beach, and R.R. Kunz, Chem. Phys. Lett. **154**, 505
 (1989).
- ⁶⁴⁶ ³⁰ S.M. Gates, Surf. Sci. **195**, 307 (1988).

- ⁶⁴⁷ ³¹ B.A. Scott, R.D. Estes, and J.M. Jasinski, J. Chem. Phys. **89**, 2544 (1988).
- ⁶⁴⁸ ³² K.F. Roenigk, K.F. Jensen, and R.W. Carr, J. Phys. Chem. **91**, 5732 (1987).
- 649 ³³ F. Hirose, J. Cryst. Growth **179**, 108 (1997).
- 650 ³⁴ S.M. Gates, C.M. Greenlief, S.K. Kulkarni, and H.H. Sawin, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A
- 651 Vacuum, Surfaces, Film. **8**, 2965 (1990).
- 652