2017 Winter Conference

Las Vegas, Nevada

Piotr A. Domanski National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD

<u>piotr.domanski@nist.gov</u>



Seminar 13 – Yes, it is Your Fault How Faults Affect Your System's Performance and How to Model the Faults' Effects in Advance

on Annual Energy Use

Learning Objectives

- Objective 1: Describe the effect of heat pump installation faults on the annual energy use in a residential house in different U.S. climates
- Objective 2: See gray box modeling methods for simulating several important faults on a unitary air-conditioner
- Objective 3: Understand the impact of air-side economizer faults on whole-building energy performance
- Objective 4: See how to model the impacts of common unitary equipment faults on capacity, efficiency and other key performance parameters

ASHRAE is a Registered Provider with The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems. Credit earned on completion of this program will be reported to ASHRAE Records for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for non-AIA members are available on request.

This program is registered with the AIA/ASHRAE for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product. Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

Acknowledgements

 Co-authors of the study: Henderson, H.I. CDH Energy Corporation, Cazenova, NY

Payne, W.V.

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD

 Study performed within Annex 36, Quality Installation/Quality Maintenance Sensitivity Studies, IEA Heat Pump Program

Hourahan, G.

Air Conditioning Contractors of America, Arlington, VA

Baxter, V.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN



□ Introduction & scope of the study

- Modeling methodology
- □ Effect faults on energy consumption
 - Single faults
 - Dual faults
- Conclusions

How to reduce energy consumption by ACs and HPs?

Reduce the cooling and heating load

High-performance buildings



Improve design energy efficiency of ACs and HPs

- \circ 1992; minimum SEER 10
- o 2006; minimum SEER 13
- 2015; minimum SEER 14 (based on the region and application)
- o Rebates from utilities concerned about peak demand
- Combined appliances

Improve operational efficiency of ACs and HPs

- \circ Installation
- Maintenance





Scope of the Study

Two single-family houses

House on a slab; air ducts in unconditioned space
Duct leakage: 0 % - 50 % of the supply air flow rate
House with a basement; air ducts in conditioned space

Heat pump

Equipment sizing: 90 % – 200 % of load calculations

- $_{\odot}$ Indoor coil airflow: 36 % 28 % of design airflow
- Refrigerant charge: -30 % 30 % (undercharge and overcharge)
- $_{\odot}$ Non-condensable gases: 10 %, 20 %
- Electrical voltage: 92 %, 108 %, 125 % of the rated voltage
- Expansion device mismatched (TXV, cooling): 60 %, 40 %, 20 %

Five climates

Hot-humid, Hot-dry, Mixed, Heating dominated, Cold

IECC Climate Zone Map



Modeling of Single-Family Houses



Interzonal **Air Exchange** 1 in Plywood ΠА ₩. AHU Return leak Supply leak to from hasement basement Tground ¬√√√→ Tground Rfic-wall 4 in Concrete Rfic-wall 1 ft Soil 1 ft Soil ≷ Rfic-floor Tground

Building envelope model based on Type 56 multi-zone building model (TRNSYS)

- Heat loss and gains through building walls, roof and floor
- Solar gains through windows
- Interactions between multiple zones (house, attic, rooms)
- Scheduled internal sensible and moisture loads for people, equipment, etc.
- Interactions with the heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning equipment
- Scheduled set points for temperature and humidity.

Home's HERS Index Score 100

Modeling of a Heat Pump

Heat Pump 13 SEER, single-speed, TXV

- 375 cfm/ton, 0.5 W/cfm, fan in AUTO (cycles) [50.3 L/kJ, 1.06 W/(L/s)]
- Heat pump model from EnergyGauge model
 - COP
 - Total capacity
 - Refrigerant-side capacity
 - Sensible heat ratio (cooling only)
 - Outdoor section power
 - Heat pump total power

Dimensionless parameters for representation of faulty operation

$$Y = \frac{COP_{fault}}{COP_{no-fault}} = 1 + (a_1 + a_2 T_{ID} + a_3 T_{OD} + a_4 F)F$$

Refrigerant Undercharge

Cooling Mode



Heat Pump Sizing

Slab-on-grade house; fixed ducts



(c) Shorted runtimes reduce duct leakage /

(d) Increased heating capacity reduces the use of backup heat

Heat Pump Sizing

Basement house; fixed ducts



Factors: (a) Increased sizing cycling reduces COP 🔪

(b) Increased sizing makes indoor fan work against higher external static \searrow

(c) Increased heating capacity reduces the use of backup heat

Duct Leakage

Slab-on-grade house



Significant increase in hours above 55 % RH in Houston

Refrigerant Undercharge

Slab-on-grade house



Single Faults Overview

Slab-on-grade house



Simulated Dual Faults

Slab-on-grade house

Cooling and heating

| _ | | _ | |
|-------|----------|------|---|
| Caal | | | |
| (()) | | ()IN | |
| | III IM | | y |
| | <u> </u> | | , |

| ı | | | | | |
|---|-------------|---|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| | Fault set | Fault A | Fault B | Effect on energy | |
| | # | (moderate & worst level) ^(a) | (moderate & worst level) | use | |
| | 1 | Duct leakage | Oversize ^(b) | A+B | |
| | | (20 %, 40 %) | (25 %, 50 %) | | |
| | 2 | Duct leakage | Indoor coil airflow | < A+B | |
| | | (20 %, 40 %) | (-15 %, -36 %) | | |
| | 3 | Duct leakage | Refrigerant undercharge | A+B or > A+B | |
| | | (20 %, 40 %) | (-15 %, -30 %) | | |
| | 4 | Duct leakage | Refrigerant overcharge | A+B | |
| | | (20 %, 40 %) | (15 %, 30 %) | | |
| | 5 | Duct leakage | Non-condensables | A+B | |
| | | (20 %, 40 %) | (10 %, 20 %) | | |
| | 6 | Oversize ^(b) | Refrigerant undercharge | A+B | |
| | | (25 %, 50 %) | (-15 %, -30 %) | | |
| Ī | 7 | Oversize ^(b) | Refrigerant overcharge | A+B | |
| | | (25 %, 50 %) | (15 %, 30 %) | | |
| ľ | 8 | Oversize ^(b) | Non-condensables | A+B | |
| | | (25 %, 50 %) | (10 %, 20 %) | | |
| | 9 | Indoor coil airflow | Refrigerant undercharge | < A+B | |
| | | (-15 %, -36 %) | (-15 %, -30 %) | | |
| | 10 | Indoor coil airflow | Refrigerant overcharge | | |
| | | (-15 %, -36 %) | (15 %, 30 %) | < A+B | |
| | 11 | Indoor coil airflow | Non-condensables | | |
| | | (-15 %, -36 %) | (10 %, 20 %) | < A+B | |
| | Fault set # | Fault A | Fault B | Effect on energy | |
| | | (moderate & worst level) ^(b) | (moderate & worst level) | use | |
| Ī | 12 | Duct leakage | Cooling TXV undersizing | A . D | |
| | | (20 %, 40 %) | (-20 %, -60 %) | A+B | |
| | 13 | Oversize ^(c) | Cooling TXV undersizing | A · D | |
| | | (25 %, 50 %) | (-20 %, -60 %)) | A+B | |
| | 14 | Indoor coil airflow | Cooling TXV undersizing | | |
| | | (-15 %, -36 %) | (-20 %, -60 %) | < A+B | |

Dual Faults

Slab-on-grade house



The worst probable levels of faults used

Conclusions

Effect of different installation faults is similar for a slab-on-grade house and basement house except the duct leakage fault.

□ Effect of different installation faults is similar in different climates except:

- Duct leakage; significant increase of indoor RH hot & humid climate
- Heat pump sizing with undersized air duct; in heating-dominated increased fan power is compensated by a reduction in backup heat
- Undersized cooling mode TXV; little effect in heating-dominated climate
- Most influential faults: duct leakage, refrigerant undercharge, heat pump with undersized duct, low indoor air flow, and refrigerant overcharge.
- Effect of simultaneous faults can be additive (DUCT & NC), weaker (AF & UC), or stronger (DUCT & UC).
- □ The study did not consider the impact on human comfort, indoor air quality, noise generation, equipment reliability, the cost of ongoing maintenance.

Source document:

Domanski, P.A., Henderson, H.I., Payne, W.V., 2014. Sensitivity Analysis of Installation Faults on Heat Pump

Performance

NIST Technical Note 1848

National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.TN.1848</u>



Piotr Domanski <u>piotr.domanski@nist.gov</u>