

Nanomagnetics

Magnetization Reversal of Three-Dimensional Nickel Anti-Sphere Arrays

Le Yu^{1,4}, Zhongying Yan¹, Han-Chang Yang¹, Xuzhao Chai^{1,8}, Bingqing Li¹, Sina Moeendarbari², Yaowu Hao², Di Zhang^{3,9}, Gang Feng³, Ping Han⁴, Dustin A. Gilbert^{5,6}, Kai Liu^{5,*}, Kristen S. Buchanan⁷, and Xuemei Cheng¹

¹Department of Physics, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA 19010, USA

²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76010, USA

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085, USA

⁴School of Electronic Science and Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

⁵Physics Department, University of California Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA

⁶National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

⁷Department of Physics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

⁸School of Electric and Information Engineering, Zhongyuan University of Technology, Zhengzhou 450007, China

⁹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, IN 46383, USA

*Fellow, IEEE

Received 19 Aug 2016, accepted 16 Sep 2016, published 11 Oct 2016, current version 23 Dec 2016.

Abstract—Three-dimensional antisphere arrays (3DAAs) of Ni have been fabricated using electrochemical deposition into self-assembled polystyrene sphere templates, which offers the advantage of straightforward scalability. Using the first-order reversal curve (FORC) method, the magnetic reversal mechanism is identified from the characteristic features in the FORC distribution. A left-bending boomerang-like feature is observed in the thinnest sample, which transforms to a ridge oriented along the local coercivity H_c axis with increasing sample thickness. This transformation identifies a change in the reversal process from an exchange dominated domain-growth reversal to a localized weakly interacting particle-like reversal. Micromagnetic simulations confirm the decrease in domain growth and increase of pinning behaviors as the thickness of the Ni 3DAAs structure increases, providing strong support to the FORC analysis and interpretation.

Index Terms—Nanomagnetics, three-dimensional antisphere arrays, first-order reversal curve, micromagnetic simulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nanoscale magnetic materials, such as nanodots, nanowires, nanopillars, and nanostripes, have attracted considerable attention due to interest in both the fundamental properties and the potential applications. Three-dimensional antisphere arrays (3DAAs) have similarly been the focus of intensive research effort due to their use in photonic materials, and applications to sensors and high-capacity electrode materials. While the 2-D antidot arrays are often fabricated by photolithography or template-based processes, efficient and low-cost fabrication of 3-D antisphere array structures has been made possible by technical advances in electrochemical deposition using self-assembled colloidal polystyrene templates [Bartlett 2003]. The as-fabricated antisphere array materials with periodic structure and high surface-to-volume ratio provide an ideal system for studying the effect of dimensionality and morphology on magnetic properties [Zhukov 2006].

Probing the micromagnetic characteristics in a realistic 3-D nanoscale system with interactions has been a long-standing challenge. Extensive work has been done on 2-D magnetic antidot arrays [Zhukov 2006, Michea 2014]; however, research to date on their 3-D counterparts (i.e., multilayered antisphere arrays) has been limited to conventional magnetometry [Bartlett 2003, Zhukov 2006]. In this work,

we employ the first-order reversal curve (FORC) method [Mayergoyz 1986] to acquire detailed information about magnetization reversal behavior, especially irreversible switching. The FORC distribution is shown to transform from a left-bending boomerang-like feature to a ridge, oriented parallel to the local coercivity H_c axis, as the thickness of 3DAAs samples increases. This transformation signals a change in the reversal from a domain nucleation and growth reversal mechanism to a localized weakly interacting reversal. Micromagnetic simulations reveal the thickness-dependent domain propagation behaviors and confirm our interpretation of the FORC features.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ordered Ni 3DAAs were fabricated by electrochemical deposition into colloidal crystal templates of self-assembled polystyrene spheres (PS) on Au-coated silicon wafers and the subsequent removal of the PS by dissolution in toluene [Eagleton 2004], as shown in Fig. 1(a). The pore size is controlled by polystyrene sphere size. The top-view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images [Fig. 1(b)] show that the fabricated 3DAAs exhibit well-ordered hexagonal antisphere arrays with a pore size of 200 nm. Each antisphere formed has three regular dark regions that correspond to the contact with the three antispheres in the layer below. When viewed from the side [Fig. 1(c)], the antisphere arrays show symmetry that, along with the hexagonal arrangement of the top surface, is consistent with a face-centered cubic (FCC) arrangement, which agrees well with previous studies that have reported the FCC arrangement as the main stack

Corresponding author: X. M. Cheng (xcheng@brynmawr.edu). International Conference of the Asian Union of Magnetics Societies, Tainan, Taiwan, 1-5 August 2016.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/LMAG.2016.2616325




Fig. 1. (a) Schematic illustrations showing the fabrication process of Ni 3DAA by electrodepositing into a self-assembled template of polystyrene spheres (PS). (b) Top-view SEM images of Ni 3DAA with a pore size of 200 nm and the reduced Ni ion charge of -5.0 C , where the light and dark areas are Ni and voids, respectively. (c) Cross-sectional SEM images of Ni 3DAA with the same pore size as (b). The reduced Ni ion charge used during the deposition, ranging from -0.5 C to -5.0 C , results in increasing sample thickness.

sequence in the 3DAA structure fabricated using self-assembled PS template [Bartlett 2003]. The cross-sectional SEM images [Fig. 1(c)] show 3DAA with pore size of 200 nm and layer numbers (defined as the thickness-to-pore-diameter ratio) of 0.5, 3, and 7.5, which were obtained by controlling the amounts of reduced nickel ion charges during the deposition step to be -0.5 C , -1.5 C , and -5 C , respectively. 3DAA samples with pore size of approximately 500 nm and layer numbers 0.5, 1.5, and 3.5 were also fabricated.

The magnetization reversal process of the antisphere arrays was investigated using the FORC method, which is a powerful method to analyze the reversal behavior, especially the irreversible switching. It has been used previously to obtain information on reversal processes in a variety of structures, including nanowire arrays, thin films [Davies 2005], antidot arrays [Rahman 2009, Michea 2014], and magnetic skyrmions [Gilbert 2015]. To generate a FORC, the sample is first saturated in a positive field, and then the field is lowered to a reversal field H_r . Next, the magnetization $M(H, H_r)$ is recorded while the applied field H is increased back to the saturation field in small steps. A series of such curves are recorded for progressively smaller H_r [see Fig. 2(b) inset]. Together, these curves probe the details of the reversal process; sweeping H_r probes the down-switching events and sweeping H probes the up-switching events.

The raw FORCs can be used to construct a FORC distribution to highlight the magnetic switching information contained in the raw data. The FORC distribution $\rho(H, H_r)$ [Fig. 2], which measures the dependence of the slope of the raw FORCs on the reversal field, is obtained from the second-order derivative of $M(H, H_r)$:

$$\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 M(H, H_r)}{\partial H_r \partial H}. \quad (1)$$

The FORC distribution can be transformed into a new coordinate system, where $H_c \equiv (H - H_r)/2$ is the local coercivity and $H_b \equiv (H + H_r)/2$ is the bias/interaction field. The new (H_c, H_b) coordinates represent a scaling and 45° rotation relative to the (H, H_r) coordinates. The purpose of this transformation is to identify a coordinate system reflective of the symmetries of the FORC feature. In this work, we use the FORC technique as a tool to identify specific reversal mechanisms within this complex, interconnected system.

Magnetic measurements were performed at room temperature, in the in-plane geometry, using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). FORCs were measured with a saturation field of 270 mT, in steps of




Fig. 2. FORC distributions of Ni 3DAA with different layer numbers and pore sizes: (a) 0.5 layer, (b) 3 layers, and (c) 7.5 layers with pore sizes of approximately 200 nm; and (d) 0.5 layer, (e) 1.5 layers, and (f) 3.5 layers with pore sizes of approximately 500 nm. For Ni 3DAA with both pore sizes, the FORC feature changes from a left-bending “boomerang” to a ridge parallel to the H_c axis as thickness increases. The significantly increased width of the distribution in (d) is due to the sample inhomogeneities. The inset in (b) shows a selected family of normalized FORCs for this sample within $-40\text{ mT} < \mu H < 40\text{ mT}$. Red (light blue) dashed circle and rectangle mark the regions that correspond to the H_r and H of the horizontal (vertical) branch of the FORC distribution.

$\approx 1\text{ mT}$. The 2-D FORC distributions [Fig. 2] were calculated using the FORCinel software suite [Harrison 2008].

To support our interpretations of the FORC distribution and help visualize the underlying magnetization reversal behaviors, micromagnetic simulations using the Object Oriented Micromagnetic Framework (OOMMF) program [Donahue 1999] are performed using a 7 nm cubic mesh and bulk properties for Ni.¹ The designed antispheres have a radius of 252 nm, and stack in an FCC sequence, with the (111) face parallel to the substrate and center-to-center spacing of 504 nm. The total area of the simulations is 2016 nm \times 2016 nm, with a thickness of 252 nm, 819 nm and 1498 nm to simulate the 0.5 layer, 1.5 layer, and 3.5 layer samples, respectively. The simulated hysteresis loop spans a range of $\pm 200\text{ mT}$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A left-bending “boomerang”-like feature, identified by a horizontal arm with a narrow H_r distribution and an orthogonal arm with narrow H distribution, is observed in the FORC distribution for Ni 3DAA with pore size of 200 nm and layer number of 3, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Such a boomerang feature is typical of reversal by a domain nucleation and growth process [Gilbert 2016]. The horizontal FORC feature along H identifies domain growth under increasing applied field, after initial nucleation from positive saturation, highlighted by the red

¹In this work, the following material parameters are used in the simulations: exchange coefficient $A = 9 \times 10^{-12}\text{ J/m}$; saturation magnetization $M_s = 4.9 \times 10^5\text{ A/m}$; cubic anisotropy constant $K_1 = -5.7 \times 10^3\text{ J/m}^3$ with easy axis in (111) direction and hard axis in (100) direction.

dashed box and oval in Fig. 2(b) inset, respectively. The vertical FORC feature along H_r corresponds to domain renucleation after achieving (partial) negative saturation, highlighted by the blue dashed box and oval in Fig. 2(b) inset, respectively [Davies 2004]. The fact that domain growth (horizontal feature) occurs at more negative values of the H [$-10 \text{ mT} < \mu_0 H < +15 \text{ mT}$ for example in panel (b)] than the domain nucleation (vertical feature, $\mu_0 H = +15 \text{ mT}$) identifies a strong tendency towards positive saturation, which suggests that a dominant exchange interaction is present within the system [Gilbert 2016].

FORC distributions of the 3DAAs with different layer numbers and pore sizes are shown in Fig. 2. With increasing sample thickness the FORC feature changes from the left-bending boomerang [Fig. 2(a), (b), (d), and (e)] to a ridge oriented along the H_c axis. For the latter, horizontal and vertical ridges are still present but they meet at an angle that is much greater than 90° [Fig. 2(c) and (f)]. The ridge parallel to H_c axis resembles that in a “particle” system with a distribution of intrinsic coercivities [Dumas 2007]. Interactions within these systems are manifested as distortions to the ridge features along the H_b axis in non-trivial ways [Gilbert 2014a, Béron 2011]. Therefore, the change in FORC distribution feature indicates that the dominant reversal mechanism changes from an exchange dominated domain nucleation-and-growth reversal to a localized weakly interacting reversal as the thickness of the 3DAAs increases.

Micromagnetic simulations were conducted for Ni 3DAAs with the pore size of 500 nm in order to gain insight into the reversal behaviors in the 3DAAs structures, and to further explore the thickness dependent transition from domain-growth reversal to a localized, weakly interacting reversal. The simulated region is considerably smaller than the extended antidot lattice films used in the experiment, but they can be used as a qualitative guide to the reversal process. Fig. 3 shows representative magnetic domain configurations during the reversal process as a function of decreasing applied field H . The reversal behavior observed in the bottom layer of each structure [Fig. 3(a)] proceeds in a similar manner for all of the thicknesses. In all cases, small regions reverse and then the reversal proceeds via domain expansion. The reversal generally appears to begin in the thinner regions near the voids, but once formed, the domains can propagate without much impedance in this layer. Fig. 3(a) also shows the domain configuration of representative upper layers in the structures that intersect two layers of voids and are hence much lower in density. For the sample with 1.5 layers, this layer still reverses via a domain expansion process, however, as shown in the spin distributions for both the 1.5 layer and 3.5 layer samples, small regions of perpendicular magnetization form in the most constricted regions due to the local out-of-plane shape anisotropy. As a result of the decreased density and presence of these out-of-plane regions, the reversal process proceeds in a more disconnected fashion in the upper regions of the sample. In the thickest sample (3.5 layers), more pinning sites (light and dark regions, which represent regions of perpendicular magnetization) are observed and the in-plane domains (blue and green regions) in the upper layers of the 3.5 layers are effectively disconnected from other in-plane domains. The stronger pinning in the upper layers of 3.5-layer sample can be observed more clearly in the cross-sectional domain configurations [Fig. 3(b)]. Similar effects are observed in the 1.5-layer-thick sample, however, the effect is less pronounced.

The simulations suggest a general picture of the evolution of the reversal mechanism of the 3DAAs with sample thickness. In the thinnest




Fig. 3. Magnetic domain configurations obtained from micromagnetic simulations. (a) Top-view images of the spin distributions in the bottom, quasi-continuous layers for samples that involve 0.5, 1.5, and 3.5 layers of spheres with a pore size of 500 nm. The distributions are also shown for selected higher-up, lower-density layers. The slice positions are marked by a red dashed line in the side-view illustration above each column. The domain configurations exhibit rapid domain propagation in the bottom layers and the spins are mainly in-plane, whereas the slices taken near the void exhibit heavier pinning in areas that are thicker and regions with a significant out-of-plane tilt are observed. (b) Cross-sectional images of the remanent state show regions with perpendicular magnetization (light and dark regions) in the thicker samples that impede lateral domain propagation, especially for the thickest samples (3.5 layers). The spin distributions shown represent the progression of the magnetization under a decreasing magnetic field H , as illustrated in (c), where H is applied at an angle of $\theta = 90^\circ$ (in-plane) and $\varphi = 0^\circ$. The color represents the in-plane φ angle and the shading reflects the out-of-plane angle θ as shown in the insets of (c).

sample and the bottom layer of the other models, reversal occurs by domain growth, and would be expected to form a boomerang FORC feature. In the thinnest samples, this is the dominant reversal mechanism. By comparison, the thickest sample possess a larger fraction of lower-density interconnected networks defined by the inter-spherical voids. These void spaces are only weakly connected at narrow vertex points, which provide pinning sites for domain walls. As a result, the reversal mechanism in the void-filled layers is a largely disconnected process that is entirely different from the manner in which the bottom layer and thinnest sample reverse. Since the samples were measured by VSM, the apparent reversal mechanism is a superposition of the two features, weighted by their magnetic fraction.

To further support this argument, the weight of the reversible and irreversible features can be compared. Specifically, for a thin 3DAA, such as the 0.5-layer one, the sample can be considered as a film with divots and pyramids in/on it. On reducing the field from positive saturation it is expected that the film should remain largely saturated and reverse by domain propagation, as shown in the simulations and fingerprinted with FORC. By comparison, samples comprised predominantly of the spherical voids will experience significant reversible

relaxation of the moments on the surface of the voids due to shape anisotropy. Thus, the contribution from the reversible phase should increase with sample thickness—or conversely the irreversible fraction should decrease. The weight of the irreversible switching in the total switching behaviors, M_{irrev}/M_s , can be approximately obtained from the integrated weight of the FORC distribution and the saturation magnetization [Davies 2005, Gilbert 2014b]:

$$M_{\text{irrev}}/M_s \approx \frac{1}{M_s} \sum \rho(H_c, H_b) \Delta H_c \Delta H_b. \quad (2)$$

This formula gives an irreversible weight of 0.40, 0.32, and 0.35 for the 200 nm pore size Ni 3DAAs with the layer number of 0.5, 3, and 7.5, respectively, confirming the thinnest sample possesses the largest irreversible fraction.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the magnetic reversal process in the Ni 3DAAs samples using the FORC method and micromagnetic simulations. The transformation of the FORC feature from a left-bending boomerang (0.5 layer, 3 layers with 200 nm pore size and 0.5 layer, 1.5 layers with 500 nm pore size) to a ridge parallel to the H_c axis (7.5 layers with 200 nm pore size and 3.5 layers with 500 nm pore size) reveals a transition from an exchange dominated domain growth reversal process to a localized, weakly interacting reversal process as thickness increases. Micromagnetic simulations show that domain propagation dominates in the lowest quasi-continuous layer, whereas the reversal process becomes more localized in the void-heavy upper layers, which result in the change in the reversal mechanism with thickness that is consistent with the FORC results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work at Bryn Mawr College was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. 1207085. The work at the University of California Davis was supported by the NSF (DMR-1008791 and DMR-1543582). The work at the University of Texas at Arlington was supported by the NSF under Grant No. 1207377. The work at Colorado State University was supported by DOE-BES ER46854. The work of L. Yu was supported by the China Scholarship Council fellowship.

REFERENCES

- Bartlett P N, Ghanem M A, El Hallag I S, de Groot P, Zhukov A (2003), “Electrochemical deposition of macroporous magnetic networks using colloidal templates,” *J. Mater. Chem.*, vol. 13, pp. 2596–2602, doi: [10.1039/b304496c](https://doi.org/10.1039/b304496c).
- Béron F, Pirotta K R, Vega V, Prida V M, Fernández A, Hernando B, Knobel M (2011), “An effective method to probe local magnetostatic properties in a nanometric FePd antidot array,” *New J. Phys.*, vol. 13, 013035, doi: [10.1088/1367-2630/13/1/013035](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/1/013035).
- Davies J E, Hellwig O, Fullerton E E, Denbeaux G, Kortright J B, Liu K (2004), “Magnetization reversal of Co/Pt multilayers: Microscopic origin of high-field magnetic irreversibility,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 70, 224434, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevB.70.224434](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.224434).
- Davies J E, Wu J, Leighton C, Liu K (2005), “Magnetization reversal and nanoscopic magnetic-phase separation in $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CoO}_3$,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 72, 134419, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevB.72.134419](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.134419).
- Donahue M J, Porter D G (1999) OOMMF User’s Guide, Version 1.2a6, NIST, Gaithersburg, MD, USA [Online]. Available: <http://math.nist.gov/oommf/doc/progman12a6/progman/>
- Dumas R K, Li C-P, Roschini I V, Schuller I K, Liu K (2007), “Magnetic fingerprints of sub-100 nm Fe nanodots,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 75, 134405, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevB.75.134405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.134405).
- Eagleton T S, Searson P C (2004), “Electrochemical synthesis of 3D ordered ferromagnetic nickel replicas using self-assembled colloidal crystal templates,” *Chem. Mater.*, vol. 16, pp. 5027–5032, doi: [10.1021/cm0491781](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm0491781).
- Gilbert D A, Zimanyi G T, Dumas R K, Winklhofer M, Gomez A, Eibagi N, Vicent J L, Liu K (2014a), “Quantitative decoding of interactions in tunable manomagnet arrays using first order reversal curves,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 4, 4204, doi: [10.1038/srep04204](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04204).
- Gilbert D A, Liao J-W, Wang L-W, Lau J W, Klemmet T J, Thiele J-U, Lai C-H, Liu K (2014b), “Probing the $A1$ to $L1_0$ transformation in FeCuPt using the first order reversal curve method,” *APL Mater.*, vol. 2, 086106, doi: [10.1063/1.4894197](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4894197).
- Gilbert D A, Maranville B B, Balk A L, Kirby B J, Fischer P, Pierce D T, Unguris J, Borchers J A, Liu K (2015), “Realization of ground-state artificial skyrmion lattices at room temperature,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 6, 8462, doi: [10.1038/ncomms9462](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9462).
- Gilbert D A, Liao J-W, Kirby B J, Winklhofer M, Lai C-H, Liu K (2016), “Magnetic yoking and tunable interactions in FePt-based hard/soft bilayers,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, 32842, doi: [10.1038/srep32842](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32842).
- Harrison R J, Feinberg J M (2008), “FORCinel: An improved algorithm for calculating first-order reversal curve distributions using locally weighted regression smoothing,” *Geochim. Geophys. Geosyst.*, vol. 9, Q05016, doi: [10.1029/2008GC001987](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC001987).
- Mayergoyz I D (1986), “Mathematical models of hysteresis,” *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, vol. 22, pp. 603–608, doi: [10.1109/TMAG.1986.1064347](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.1986.1064347).
- Michea S, Palma J L, Lavín R, Briones J, Escrig J, Denardin J C, Rodríguez-Suárez R L (2014), “Tailoring the magnetic properties of cobalt antidot arrays by varying the pore size and degree of disorder,” *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, vol. 47, 335001, doi: [10.1088/0022-3727/47/33/335001](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/47/33/335001).
- Rahman M T, Dumas R K, Eibagi N, Shams N N, Wu Y-C, Liu K, Lai C-H (2009), “Controlling magnetization reversal in Co/Pt nanostructures with perpendicular anisotropy,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 94, 042507, doi: [10.1063/1.3075061](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3075061).
- Zhukov A A, Goncharov A V, de Groot P A J, Ghanem M A, Bartlett P N, Boardman R, Fangohr H, Novosad V, Karapetrov G (2006), “Oscillatory thickness dependence of the coercive field in magnetic three-dimensional antidot arrays,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 88, 062511, doi: [10.1063/1.2171792](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2171792).