
EI SEVIED



Journal of Alloys and Compounds

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jalcom

Effects of partial halide anion substitution on reorientational motion in NaBH₄: A nuclear magnetic resonance study



CrossMark

R.V. Skoryunov^a, O.A. Babanova^a, A.V. Soloninin^a, A.V. Skripov^{a,*}, N. Verdal^{b,c}, T.J. Udovic^b

^a Institute of Metal Physics, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ekaterinburg 620990, Russia
 ^b NIST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-6102, USA
 ^c Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-2115, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 January 2015 Received in revised form 24 February 2015 Accepted 25 February 2015 Available online 4 March 2015

Keywords: Energy storage materials Diffusion Nuclear resonances

ABSTRACT

To study the effects of partial halide anion substitution on the reorientational motion of $[BH_4]^-$ anions in NaBH₄, we have measured the ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectra and spin–lattice relaxation rates in the cubic solid solutions Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} over broad ranges of temperature (8–324 K) and the resonance frequency (14–90 MHz). For both solid solutions, the measured ¹H spin–lattice relaxation rates are governed by reorientations of BH₄ groups, and the experimental data can be satisfactorily described by the model with a Gaussian distribution of the activation energies. The average values of the activation energies derived from the ¹H spin–lattice relaxation data are 192 ± 7 meV for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}. At a given temperature, the reorientational jump rates are found to increase in the order of Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}–NaBH₄–Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}, in agreement with the quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS) results. This trend correlates with the lattice expansion reflecting the increase in the size of the corresponding anions (in the order of Cl⁻–[BH₄]⁻–l⁻).

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Light alkali-metal borohydrides, such as LiBH₄ and NaBH₄, are considered as promising materials for hydrogen storage [1]. These compounds form ionic crystals consisting of metal cations and tetrahedral [BH₄]⁻ anions. Some of borohydrides and borohydride-based systems were also found to be superionic conductors [2,3]. The dynamical properties of borohydrides have received recent attention [4–6], since apart from translational diffusion of cations, they show very fast reorientational motion of [BH₄]⁻ anions. In some borohydride-based compounds, the jump rate of BH₄ reorientations exceeds 10^8 s^{-1} even below 80 K [7,8].

Anion substitution is one of the approaches used in attempts to improve the hydrogen-storage and/or ion-conducting properties of borohydrides. For example, the partial halide (Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻) anion substitution for [BH₄]⁻ in LiBH₄ was found to suppress the hexagonal-to-orthorhombic phase transition in this compound, stabilizing the high-*T* hexagonal phase with rather high ionic conductivity [9] and extremely fast reorientational motion [7,10,11] down to low temperatures. In the present work, we investigate the effects of partial Cl⁻ and l⁻ substitution on the reorientational motion of

[BH₄]⁻ anions in the sodium borohydride NaBH₄. At room temperature, NaBH₄ is a cubic compound (space group $Fm\bar{3}m$) with disordered orientations of BH₄ tetrahedra [12,13]. Partial substitution of [BH₄]⁻ anions with Cl⁻ or I⁻ leads to the formation of cubic solid solutions $Na(BH_4)_{1-x}Cl_x$ or $Na(BH_4)_{1-x}I_x$ [14–16]. It should be noted that the ionic radius of $[BH_4]^-$ (2.03 Å) [17] is larger than the ionic radius of Cl⁻ (1.81 Å) [18], but smaller than the ionic radius of I⁻ (2.20 Å) [18]. Therefore, the partial Cl⁻ and I⁻ substitutions are found to result in solid solutions with respectively smaller and larger lattice parameters than that for NaBH₄ [14–16]. Since the parameters of reorientational motion strongly depend on the local environment of BH₄ groups [19,20], one may expect significant changes in the reorientational jump rates for compounds with mixed anions. Recent quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS) measurements in Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} [21] have shown that the rates of BH₄ reorientations in the chlorine- and iodine-substituted compounds are respectively lower and higher than in NaBH₄. In the present work, we study the reorientational motion of BH₄ groups in NaBH₄-NaCl and NaBH₄-NaI solid solutions using ¹H and ¹¹B nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements over wide ranges of temperature and the resonance frequency. NMR measurements of nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rates can probe the reorientational motion over the extremely broad dynamic range of jump rates $(10^4 - 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1})$ [6]. This is

Corresponding author. Tel.: +7 343 378 3781.
 E-mail address: skripov@imp.uran.ru (A.V. Skripov).

expected to lead to a high precision in determination of activation energies for the reorientational motion. Furthermore, on the basis of nuclear spin–lattice relaxation measurements, it is possible to detect the presence of distributions of H jump rates [22], which may be of importance for disordered solid solutions.

2. Experimental details

The preparation of the NaBH₄–NaX (X = Cl, I) solid solutions was analogous to that described in Ref. [21]. These solid solutions were synthesized by milling the 1:1 molar mixtures of NaBH₄ and NaX in a helium atmosphere using a Fritsch Pulverisette No. 7 ball mill [23]. In order to avoid excessive sample heating, a repetitive two-step sequence was adopted, with periods of intensive milling (200 Hz) followed by periods with the mill off. For NaBH₄–NaCl, the 24 h total processing time consisted of cycles of 5 min milling with 2 min rest. For NaBH₄–NaI, the corresponding periods were 4 h, 2 min and 2 min. After milling, both samples were annealed for 4 days at 533 K in a helium atmosphere. According to X-ray diffraction analysis, the resulting NaBH₄–NaX samples were homogeneous solid solutions with the cubic NaCl-type structure and the lattice parameters *a* = 5.886 Å and 6.319 Å for X = Cl and I, respectively. These values of *a* are in good agreement with the corresponding previous results [15,16] for the 1:1 NaBH₄–NaX solid solutions. For NaMR experiments, the samples were flame-sealed in glass tubes under vacuum.

NMR measurements were performed on a pulse spectrometer with quadrature phase detection at the frequencies $\omega/2\pi = 14$, 28 and 90 MHz for ¹H and 28 MHz for ¹B. The magnetic field was provided by a 2.1 T iron-core Bruker magnet. A home-built multinuclear continuous-wave NMR magnetometer working in the range 0.32–2.15 T was used for field stabilization. For rf pulse generation, we used a home-built computer-controlled pulse programmer, a PTS frequency synthesizer (Programmed Test Sources, Inc.) and a 1 kW Kalmus wideband pulse amplifier. Typical values of the $\pi/2$ pulse length were 2–3 µs for both ¹H and ¹¹B. A probehead with the sample was placed into an Oxford Instruments CF1200 continuous-flow cryostat using nitrogen or helium as a cooling agent. The sample temperature monitored by a chromel – (Au–Fe) thermocouple was stable to ±0.1 K. The nuclear spin–lattice relaxation rates were measured using the saturation – recovery method. NMR spectra were recorded by Fourier transforming the solid echo signals (pulse sequence $\pi/2_x - t - \pi/2_y$).

3. Results and discussion

The proton spin–lattice relaxation rates R_1^H measured at different resonance frequencies for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} are shown in Fig. 1 as functions of the inverse temperature. For both solid solutions, the temperature dependence of R_1^H exhibits the frequency-dependent peak that is typical of the mechanism of nuclear dipole–dipole interaction modulated by atomic motion [24]. For this mechanism, the relaxation rate maximum should occur at the temperature at which the atomic jump rate τ^{-1} becomes nearly equal to the nuclear magnetic resonance frequency ω , i.e. when $\omega \tau \approx 1$ [24]. Thus, the position of the $R_1^H(T)$ peak may




Fig. 1. Proton spin–lattice relaxation rates measured at the resonance frequencies $\omega/2\pi = 14$, 28 and 90 MHz for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}l_{0.5} as functions of the inverse temperature. The solid lines show the simultaneous fits of the model with a Gaussian distribution of activation energies to the data. The dash-dotted line shows the fit to the $R_1^H(T)$ data at 14 MHz for NaBH₄ from Ref. [25].

serve as an indicator of H mobility in different systems. For systems with higher H jump rates, the $R_1^H(T)$ peak should occur at lower temperatures. As in the case of pure NaBH₄ [25], the motion probed by our proton spin-lattice relaxation measurements corresponds to reorientations of the BH₄ tetrahedra. For comparison with the results for the NaBH₄-NaX solid solutions, we have included in Fig. 1 the fit to the $R_1^H(T)$ data for NaBH₄ at ω / $2\pi = 14$ MHz from Ref. [25]. It can be seen that for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} the $R_1^H(T)$ peak is observed at a higher temperature than that for NaBH₄, whereas for Na(BH₄) $_{0.5}I_{0.5}$ the peak is observed at a lower temperature than that for NaBH₄. Therefore, the reorientational mobility of BH₄ groups increases in the order of Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}-NaBH₄-Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}. This is in qualitative agreement with recent quasielastic neutron scattering results for NaBH₄-NaX solid solutions [21]. Furthermore, this behavior correlates with the changes in the anion $(Cl^{-}, [BH_4]^{-}, I^{-})$ radius: the reorientational mobility of BH₄ groups increases with increasing anion radius (and with increasing lattice parameter).

It should be noted that near 190 K pure NaBH₄ undergoes the first-order transition from the high-T cubic phase with orientationally-disordered $[BH_4]^-$ anions to the low-*T* tetragonal phase with ordered $[BH_4]^-$ anions [26]. This phase transition is accompanied by an order-of-magnitude decrease in the reorientational jump rate [5,25]. For Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} solid solutions, such a phase transition is suppressed [27]. Similar behavior was found for $Li(BH_4)_{1-x}I_x$ solid solutions, where the iodine substitution corresponding to $x \ge 0.33$ suppresses the structural phase transition, stabilizing the high-T hexagonal phase down to low temperatures [7]. Strictly speaking, only the dynamical properties of the high-T cubic phase of NaBH₄ can be directly compared to those of Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}. For pure NaBH₄, the detailed comparison of the ¹H and ¹¹B spin-lattice relaxation rates with calculated second moments of NMR lines [28] suggests isotropic reorientations of BH₄ groups around B site. This is consistent with QENS results [5] which were discussed in terms of H jumps between eight corners of a cube formed by hydrogen positions of half occupancy.

According to the standard theory of nuclear spin relaxation due to motionally-modulated dipole–dipole interaction [24], in the limit of slow motion ($\omega \tau \gg 1$), R_1^H should be proportional to $\omega^{-2}\tau^{-1}$, and in the limit of fast motion ($\omega \tau \ll 1$), R_1^H should be proportional to τ being frequency-independent. If the temperature dependence of τ follows the Arrhenius law with the activation energy E_a ,

$$\tau = \tau_0 \exp(E_a/k_{\rm B}T),\tag{1}$$

the plot of $\ln R_1^H$ versus T^{-1} is expected to be linear in the limits of both slow and fast motion with the slopes of $-E_a/k_B$ and E_a/k_B , respectively. As can be seen from Fig. 1, the experimental $R_1^H(T)$ data for both Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} exhibit strong deviations from this expected behavior. First, the high-T slopes of the log R_1^H versus T^{-1} plots appear to be considerably steeper than the corresponding low-T slopes. Second, the frequency dependence of R_1^H at the low-T slope appears to be weaker than the expected ω^{-2} dependence. These are the typical signs indicating the presence of a distribution of H jump rates [22]. It should be noted that a certain distribution of H jump rates in disordered solid solutions can be expected, since the local environment of BH₄ groups changes from one group to another. The simplest model allowing one to take such a distribution into account is based on using a Gaussian distribution of the activation energies [22]. For this model, the measured proton spin-lattice relaxation rate is expressed as

$$R_1^H = \int R_1^H(E_a) G(E_a, \overline{E}_a, \Delta E_a) dE_a, \qquad (2)$$

where $G(E_a, \overline{E}_a, \Delta E_a)$ is a Gaussian distribution function centered at \overline{E}_a with the dispersion ΔE_a , and $R_1^H(E_a)$ is determined by combining the standard expression that relates R_1^H and τ (see, for example, Eq. (1) in Ref. [25]) and the Arrhenius law (Eq. (1)). The parameters of this model are the average activation energy \overline{E}_a , the dispersion ΔE_a , the pre-exponential factor τ_0 and the amplitude factor determined by the strength of the fluctuating part of dipole-dipole interaction. These parameters have been varied to find the best fit of the model $R_1^H(T)$ to the experimental data at three resonance frequencies simultaneously. The results of such simultaneous fits for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}$ and $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}I_{0.5}$ are shown by the solid curves in Fig. 1. The motional parameters resulting from the fits are listed in Table 1. Included in Table 1 are also the motional parameters for the cubic and tetragonal phases of pure NaBH₄ from Ref. [25]. The value of \overline{E}_a for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} appears to be close to E_a for the cubic phase of NaBH₄, while the value of \overline{E}_a for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} is considerably higher. It should also be noted that the width of the activation energy distribution for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} is quite substantial.

The temperature dependences of the most probable values of H jump rates resulting from these fits for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}$ and $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}I_{0.5}$ are shown by the solid lines in Fig. 2. The shaded areas in this figure correspond to H jump rates described by the activation energies between $\overline{E}_a - \Delta E_a$ and $\overline{E}_a + \Delta E_a$, i.e., within the dispersion of the Gaussian distribution. For pure NaBH₄, no signs of a distribution of H jump rates have been found [25]. The temperature dependences of τ^{-1} for the cubic and tetragonal phases of NaBH₄ are included in Fig. 2 for comparison. The temperature ranges of the lines in this figure correspond to the actual ranges of the $R_1^H(T)$ data. Fig. 2 clearly shows that the effects of partial anion substitution on BH4 reorientations are very strong. For example, at *T* = 100 K the most probable values of the jump rates τ^{-1} for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} differ by three orders of magnitude. These results support the idea [29] that the parameters of the reorientational motion are extremely sensitive to subtle details of local environment of BH₄ groups.

It is interesting to compare the activation energies derived from NMR experiments with those obtained from QENS measurements. For the cubic and tetragonal phases of NaBH₄, the E_a values found from QENS measurements [5] are 123(5) meV and 139(8) meV, respectively. These values are in reasonable agreement with the corresponding NMR results (see Table 1). For the solid solutions, the E_a values found from QENS measurements [21] are 114(4) meV for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and 121(3) meV for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}. While for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} the agreement between the QENS and NMR results is excellent, for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}$ the E_a value derived from QENS is considerably lower than the \overline{E}_a value found from NMR (see Table 1). The most probable reason for such a discrepancy is the presence of a broad distribution of H jump rates in the chlorine-substituted compound. As noted above, the proton spin-lattice relaxation measurements over wide ranges of temperature and the resonance frequency allow one to detect the presence of a jump rate distribution; moreover, the effects of this

Table 1 Parameters of BH_4 reorientations resulting from simultaneous fits to the proton spinlattice relaxation data in NaBH₄-NaX. Uncertainties in the last digit of E_a are given in parentheses.

Compound	Phase	\overline{E}_a or E_a (meV)	$\Delta E_a ({\rm meV})$	$\tau_0(s)$
Na(BH ₄) _{0.5} Cl _{0.5} Na(BH ₄) _{0.5} I _{0.5} NaBH ₄ ^a NaBH ₄ ^a	Cubic Cubic Cubic Tetragonal	192 (7) 120 (3) 126 (3) 151 (2)	26 (4) 11 (2) -	$\begin{array}{l} 4.5(9)\times 10^{-15}\\ 1.5(6)\times 10^{-14}\\ 1.4(2)\times 10^{-14}\\ 3.0(1)\times 10^{-14} \end{array}$

^a From Ref. [25].




Fig. 2. Temperature dependences of the most probable H jump rates resulting from the fits for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}, Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}, and NaBH₄ [25]. For the solid solutions, the shaded areas correspond to H jump rates described by the activation energies between $\overline{E}_a - \Delta E_a$ and $\overline{E}_a + \Delta E_a$. For pure NaBH₄, no signs of a distribution of H jump rates have been found [25].

distribution can be easily parameterized [22]. On the other hand, it is very difficult to detect the presence of a jump rate distribution from the shape of QENS spectra. If a broad distribution is present, the standard analysis of QENS spectra is expected to underestimate the changes in the quasielastic line width with temperature. Indeed, the faster part of the H jump rate distribution may be outside the frequency 'window' of the neutron spectrometer (contributing only to the flat background of QENS spectra), while the slower part of this distribution may be below the spectrometer resolution (contributing only to the elastic line of QENS spectra). At different temperatures, different parts of the H jump rate distribution spectrometer; this tends to smear the actual temperature dependence of the most probable τ^{-1} value.

Fig. 3 shows the temperature dependences of the width Δv (full width at half-maximum) of the ¹H NMR spectra for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}. For Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}, the behavior of $\Delta v(T)$ is typical of that for borohydrides with reorientational motion of BH₄ groups [20,29]. At low temperatures, Δv is determined by dipole–dipole interactions between static nuclear spins; this is the 'rigid lattice' line width Δv_R . With increasing temperature, Δv starts to decrease when the H jump rate τ^{-1} becomes nearly equal to $2\pi\Delta v_R$ [24]. For typical borohydrides, such a motional narrowing occurs at the temperature, at which τ^{-1} reaches the values of the




Fig. 3. Temperature dependences of the width (full width at half-maximum) of the ¹H NMR spectra measured for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}$ and $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}l_{0.5}$ at 28 MHz.




Fig. 4. Evolution of the proton NMR spectrum for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}I_{0.5}$ with temperature.




Fig. 5. Fast components of the ¹¹B spin–lattice relaxation rates measured at 28 MHz for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}l_{0.5} as functions of the inverse temperature.

order of 10^5 s^{-1} . As can be seen from Fig. 3, for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} the significant line narrowing is observed near 90 K. It should be noted that for BH₄ reorientations the line narrowing is not complete, since such a localized H motion leads to only partial averaging of the dipole–dipole interactions in the limit $\tau^{-1} \gg 2\pi\Delta v_R$. Thus, at high temperatures the ¹H NMR line width exhibits a plateau, the height of which is determined mostly by 'intermolecular' dipole-dipole interactions (between nuclear spins at different BH_4 groups). For Na(BH_4)_{0.5} $I_{0.5}$, the significant line narrowing is observed at much lower temperatures than for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} (see Fig. 3). This is consistent with faster reorientational motion in the iodine-substituted compound. Furthermore, even at T = 8 K the value of Δv for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} appears to be lower than the 'rigid lattice' limit. Such a behavior may be related to the presence of a distribution of H jump rates. Indeed, at low temperatures the ¹H NMR spectra for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}I_{0.5}$ (Fig. 4) look like superpositions of two components with different widths. This means that, even at the lowest temperatures of our study, a part of protons remain mobile at the scale of ${\sim}10^5\,s^{-1}$, and these protons are responsible for the narrower component of the low-*T* spectra. At T > 70 K, practically all protons are mobile at the scale of 10^5 s^{-1} , and the spectra contain only the narrower component (Fig. 4). It is interesting to note that the high-temperature plateau value of Δv for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}I_{0.5}$ is lower than for $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}$ (Fig. 3). Since

the plateau value of Δv is determined by 'intermolecular' dipole–dipole interactions, this is consistent with the larger lattice parameter of the iodine-substituted compound.

Although boron atoms do not participate in the reorientational motion of BH₄ groups, ¹¹B NMR measurements can probe the reorientations via the fluctuating ¹¹B-¹H dipole-dipole and quadrupole interactions. For both Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} the recovery of the ¹¹B is found to deviate from the single-exponential behavior. In the studied temperature range, the ¹¹B recovery curves can be reasonably approximated by a sum of two exponential functions. The two-exponential ¹¹B relaxation was also observed in some other borohydrides [8,30,31]; such a behavior may be related [24] to the non-zero electric quadrupole moment of ¹¹B. The faster components of the ¹¹B spin–lattice relaxation rate, R_{1F}^{B} , measured at 28 MHz for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5} are shown in Fig. 5 as functions of the inverse temperature. The considerable scatter of the data points in this figure can be attributed to a certain instability of the two-exponential description of the recovery curves. Comparison of Figs. 5 and 1 shows that for each of the halide-substituted compounds, the $R_{1F}^{B}(T)$ maximum is observed at nearly the same temperature as the corresponding $R_1^H(T)$ maximum. Thus, the results of the ¹¹B relaxation measurements support the conclusions made on the basis of the $R_1^H(T)$ data.

4. Conclusions

The analysis of the temperature and frequency dependences of the measured ¹H spin–lattice relaxation rates for the cubic solid solutions $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5}$ and $Na(BH_4)_{0.5}I_{0.5}$ has shown that a partial halide anion substitution in NaBH₄ strongly affects the parameters of the reorientational motion of tetrahedral BH₄ groups. The partial substitution of [BH₄]⁻ with the smaller Cl⁻ anion is found to lead to the considerable decrease in the reorientational rate τ^{-1} , whereas the substitution with the larger I⁻ anion results in the increase in τ^{-1} . It should be noted that while for pure NaBH₄ no signs of a distribution of τ^{-1} values have been detected [25], for both Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}, our spin-lattice relaxation data indicate the presence of significant distributions of the reorientational jump rates. The behavior of the ¹H spin-lattice relaxation rates in both solid solutions can be satisfactorily described by the model with a Gaussian distribution of the activation energies. The average values of the activation energies derived from the data are 192 ± 7 meV for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}Cl_{0.5} and 120 ± 3 meV for Na(BH₄)_{0.5}I_{0.5}, and the distribution widths (dispersions) are 26 ± 4 meV and 11 ± 2 meV, respectively. Our results show that the parameters of reorientational motion are very sensitive to details of the local environment of BH₄ tetrahedra in borohydrides.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 15-03-01114), the U.S. Civilian Research & Development Foundation (Grant No. RUP1-7076-EK-12), the DOE EERE (Grant No. DE-EE0002978) and the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. OISE-9531011.

References

- [1] S. Orimo, Y. Nakamori, J.R. Eliseo, A. Züttel, C.M. Jensen, Chem. Rev. 107 (2007) 4111–4132.
- [2] M. Matsuo, Y. Nakamori, S. Orimo, H. Maekawa, H. Takamura, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 (2007) 224103.
- [3] M. Matsuo, S. Orimo, Adv. Energy Mater. 1 (2011) 161-172.
- [4] A. Remhof, Z. Łodziana, P. Martelli, O. Friedrichs, A. Züttel, A.V. Skripov, J.P. Embs, T. Strässle, Phys. Rev. B 81 (2010) 214304.

- [5] N. Verdal, M.R. Hartman, T. Jenkins, D.J. DeVries, J.J. Rush, T.J. Udovic, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (2010) 10027–10033.
- [6] A.V. Skripov, A.V. Soloninin, O.A. Babanova, J. Alloys Comp. 509S (2011) S535– S539.
- [7] A.V. Skripov, A.V. Soloninin, L.H. Rude, T.R. Jensen, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C 116 (2012) 26177–26184.
- [8] A.V. Škripov, A.V. Soloninin, M.B. Ley, T.R. Jensen, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C 117 (2013) 14965–14972.
- [9] H. Maekawa, M. Matsuo, H. Takamura, M. Ando, Y. Noda, T. Karahashi, S. Orimo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (2009) 894–895.
- [10] P. Martelli, A. Remhof, A. Borgschulte, R. Ackermann, T. Strässle, J.P. Embs, M. Ernst, M. Matsuo, S. Orimo, A. Züttel, J. Phys. Chem. A 115 (2011) 5329–5334.
- [11] N. Verdal, T.J. Udovic, J.J. Rush, H. Wu, A.V. Skripov, J. Phys. Chem. C 117 (2013) 12010–12018.
- [12] R.L. Davis, C.H.L. Kennard, J. Solid State Chem. 59 (1985) 393–396.
- [13] G. Renaudin, S. Gomes, H. Hagemann, L. Keller, K. Yvon, J. Alloys Comp. 375 (2004) 98–106.
- [14] D.B. Ravnsbæk, L.H. Rude, T.R. Jensen, J. Solid State Chem. 184 (2011) 1858– 1866.
- [15] J.E. Olsen, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, J. Alloys Comp. 509 (2011) L228–L231.
- [16] M. Matsuo, S. Kuromoto, T. Sato, H. Oguchi, H. Takamuro, S. Orimo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100 (2012) 203904.
- [17] C.W.F.T. Pistorius, Z. Phys. Chem. N.F. 88 (1974) 253–263.
- [18] R.D. Shannon, Acta Cryst. A 32 (1976) 751-767.

- [19] A.V. Skripov, A.V. Soloninin, O.A. Babanova, H. Hagemann, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (2010) 12370–12374.
- [20] O.A. Babanova, A.V. Soloninin, A.V. Skripov, D.B. Ravnsbæk, T.R. Jensen, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C 115 (2011) 10305–10309.
- [21] N. Verdal, T.J. Udovic, J.J. Rush, A.V. Skripov, J. Alloys Comp., http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jallcom.2014.12.070.
- [22] J.T. Markert, E.J. Cotts, R.M. Cotts, Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 6446-6452.
- [23] All commercial suppliers in this paper are mentioned for clarity. This does not imply the recommendation or endorsement of these suppliers by NIST.
- [24] A. Abragam, The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1961.
- [25] O.A. Babanova, A.V. Soloninin, A.P. Stepanov, A.V. Skripov, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (2010) 3712–3718.
- [26] P. Fischer, A. Züttel, Mater. Sci. Forum 443-444 (2004) 287-290.
- [27] J.E. Olsen, P. Karen, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, J. Alloys Comp. 587 (2014) 374– 379.
- [28] K. Jimura, S. Hayashi, J. Phys. Chem. C 116 (2012) 4883-4891.
- [29] A.V. Soloninin, O.A. Babanova, A.V. Skripov, H. Hagemann, B. Richter, T.R.
- Jensen, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C 116 (2012) 4913–4920. [30] D.T. Shane, L.H. Rayhel, Z. Huang, J.-C. Zhao, X. Tang, V. Stavila, M.S. Conradi, J.
- Phys. Chem. C 115 (2011) 3172–3177.
 [31] V. Ban, A.V. Soloninin, A.V. Skripov, J. Hadermann, A. Abakumov, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C 118 (2014) 23402–23408.