Regular Article

EUV spectra of Rb-like to Ni-like dysprosium ions in an electron beam ion trap

Deirdre Kilbane¹, Gerald O'Sullivan¹, Yuri A. Podpaly², John D. Gillaspy², Joseph Reader², and Yuri Ralchenko^{2,a}

¹ School of Physics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

² National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, USA

Received 26 February 2014 / Received in final form 2 May 2014 Published online 12 August 2014 – © EDP Sciences, Società Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2014

Abstract. Extreme ultraviolet radiation emitted from highly-charged dysprosium ions was measured at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The ions were created, trapped, and excited in an electron beam ion trap (EBIT), and the spectra were recorded with a flat-field grazing-incidence spectrometer in the wavelength range 3 nm to 17 nm. Tuning the electron beam energies between 1.2 keV and 2.0 keV resulted in a selection of Rb-like Dy^{29+} to Ni-like Dy^{38+} ions. Identification of strong $n = 4 \cdot n = 4$ transitions was achieved by collisional-radiative modeling of the EBIT plasma. A total of 64 spectral lines were recorded, including 54 new identifications.

1 Introduction

Identification of intense sources of extreme ultraviolet (EUV) radiation in the 6.6–6.8 nm wavelength region is crucial to the future of next-generation lithography and a research priority for the semiconductor industry. EUV emission from 4d-4f and 4p-4d transitions in highly ionized gadolinium and terbium ions in laser produced plasmas have been extensively investigated with a view to optimizing emission at the peak reflectivity of LaN/B and LaN/B₄C multilayer mirrors [1–6]. Strong emission arising from these $n = 4 \cdot n = 4$ unresolved transition arrays (UTAs) moves to shorter wavelengths with increasing nuclear charge, Z [7–10]. From Figure 1 of reference [11], it can be inferred that the calculated peak reflectivity of LaN/B₄C mirrors is approximately 70% between 6.6 and 6.8 nm (using measured optical constants [12]). Here we propose to couple the emission from a single narrow strong transition of a highly charged Dy ion with LaN/B_4C multilayer mirrors. This will increase conversion efficiency by significantly reducing band emission and debris, both undesirable properties of current UTA sources such as tin, gadolinium and terbium.

Since the 1980's a large number of measurements of EUV radiation emitted from highly charged dysprosium ions in laser-produced plasmas and tokamak devices have been reported. These include Cu-like [13–19], Zn-like [13,17–21], Ga-like [17,19,21] and Ni-like [22,23] during the investigation of potential X-ray lasers. Seely et al. [16] performed multiconfiguration Dirac-Fock (MCDF) calculations of wavelengths and energy levels of the Cu isoelectronic sequence with the Grant code [24]. Sugar et al. [18] and Kim et al. [25] followed these with calculations using the MCDF Desclaux code [26] where the latter included quantum-electrodynamic (QED) corrections. Brown et al. performed similar calculations for the Zn isoelectronic sequence using the Hebrew University Lawerence Livermore Atomic Code (HULLAC) [27,28]. Ab initio atomic structure calculations of Ga-like dysprosium using the parametric potential code RELAC, the relativistic version of MAPPAC [29,30] were presented in reference [19]. For Ni-like dysprosium, Scofield and MacGowan [22] carried out relativistic multi-configuration Hartree-Fock calculations, while Daido et al. [23] employed the general purpose relativistic atomic-structure program (GRASP) code [31].

In this work we used the electron beam ion trap (EBIT) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) to identify spectral lines in Dy^{29+} - Dy^{38+} . This is a continuation of a previous series of measurements on $n = 4 \cdot n = 4$ EUV transitions in tungsten, hafnium, tantalum, gold, and gadolinium [32–35].

2 Experiment

A detailed description of the NIST EBIT is presented in reference [36]. For the present work, the electron beam energy was varied between 1.20 keV and 2.90 keV, with beam currents in the range of 18.5 mA to 80 mA. A superconducting Helmholtz-pair magnet provided a 2.7 T axial magnetic flux density, which compressed the electron beam to a radius of $\approx 30 \ \mu$ m, giving a high current density. Dysprosium ions were produced in a metal vapour vacuum arc (MEVVA) [37] ion source and subsequently injected into the EBIT. A vacuum of typically 3.2×10^{-8} Pa was maintained in the EBIT. The trap of depth 220 V was emptied every 10 s to prevent the buildup of contaminating ions.

^a e-mail: Yuri.Ralchenko@nist.gov



Fig. 1. Experimental spectra of Dy ions. Nominal electron beam energies (in eV) are shown in the upper left corners.

The spectra in the wavelength range 3 nm to 17 nm were recorded with a flat-field grazing-incidence spectrometer [38]. The spectrometer houses a gold-coated-concave variable-spaced reflection grating with groove spacing of approximately 1200 lines mm^{-1} , and a liquid-nitrogencooled back-illuminated charge-coupled device (CCD) array having a matrix of 2048 pixel \times 512 pixel. The slit width was approximately 600 μ m and the resolving power was about 350. The Zr filter which is used to block visible light was removed in order to improve the signal. Each spectrum consisted of 10 exposures each lasting 60 s. A bias offset of 7400 counts/column was subtracted from all spectra and spurious signals from cosmic rays were removed. The spectrum counts are the CCD analog-todigital units (ADU) which result from hardware-binning each column prior to readout to improve the signal-tonoise ratio.

The measured spectra of Rb-like (Dy^{29+}) to Ni-like (Dy^{38+}) ions of dysprosium are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The vertically shifted curves show the second order lines. Well known reference lines of Xe, Ba, Ne, Dy, and O [18,39,40] were used to calibrate the spectra. The observed lines were fitted with a statistically-weighted Gaussian profile. The statistical errors in line positions were less than 0.001 nm. A fourth degree polynomial was used to fit the measured line positions to the known wavelengths. The final wavelength for each line is a weighted average of the wavelengths measured at various beam energies. The quadrature sum of the calibration uncertainty and the statistical uncertainty in fitting the dysprosium line centers results in a final uncertainty of ~0.003 nm.



Fig. 2. Experimental spectra of Dy ions. Nominal electron beam energies (in eV) are shown in the upper left corners.

3 Collisional-radiative modeling of Dy spectra

The recorded spectra were compared to simulated spectra produced by modeling the EBIT plasma using the non-Maxwellian collisional-radiative (CR) code NOMAD [41]. This code uses atomic data generated with the Flexible Atomic Code (FAC) [42] including energy levels, rates of radiative decay, electron-impact (de)excitation, ionization, and radiative recombination cross sections. Charge exchange recombination with the background neutral atoms was included as a free parameter. About eight ion stages were typically included in each simulation, with the electron density taken to be $N_e = 10^{12}$ cm⁻³. The calculated ionization energies for the dysprosium ions agree with the NIST recommended values [40] to within 1 eV.

The calculation of atomic data for a specific ion was performed in two steps as described in detail in previous works [33,34,43]. First, the relevant atomic data was calculated for all singly-excited (up to n = 6, 7, or 8, depending on ion complexity) and some doubly excited configurations. Second, an energy level calculation was performed adding all excitations within the n = 4 complex. The energy levels of the lowest configurations were then updated in the CR simulation with these new values. The resulting wavelengths of the simulated spectra agreed much better with the experimental spectra, while the intensities were unaffected. The calculated spectral lines were broadened with a Gaussian instrumental profile and convolved with the spectrometer efficiency curve.



Fig. 3. Simulated normalized spectra based on the CR code NOMAD for the n = 4-n = 4 transitions in dysprosium ions. Each charge state is indicated by its isoelectronic sequence.

Identification of spectral lines was achieved by comparing calculated line positions and intensities with spectra measured at several electron beam energies. The simulated Rb-like to Cu-like spectra are presented in Figure 3. One can notice two groups of lines: one near 6.5 nm, the position of which is almost independent of the ion charge, and another moving from about 8.5 nm for Kr-like ion to above 9.2 nm for the Cu-like ion. The shorter-wavelength lines are primarily due to $4p_{1/2}$ - $4d_{3/2}$ electron jumps, for instance, the $4p^{6-1}S_0-4p^54d (1/2,3/2)_1$ line at ≈ 6.4 nm in the Kr-like ion. The Zn- and Cu-like ions, without 4p electrons in the ground state, do not have strong 4p-4d transitions in the low-density plasma of EBITs. The longerwavelength lines are due to several types of transitions: primarily $4p_{3/2}$ - $4d_{5/2}$ for lower ions and then $4s_{1/2}$ - $4p_{3/2}$ in higher ions (Ga-, Zn- and Cu-like), where the ground configuration has no $4p_{3/2}$ electrons. The presence of two groups of lines is well confirmed by the measured spectra shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Comparison of experimental and calculated spectra is exemplified in Figure 4, where the measurements at 1.65 keV are shown along with calculations at 1.58 keV. The lower energy used in the calculations reflects the effect of space charge on the beam electrons. The relative intensities of the calculated lines agree well with the measurements below 10 nm; however, calculations result in significant underestimate of intensities for longer



Fig. 4. Comparison of the measured spectrum at 1.65 keV and calculated spectrum at 1.58 keV. The second order spectrum is shifted vertically. Strongest lines are indicated by the corresponding isoelectronic sequences. The Xe and O impurity lines are also indicated in the spectrum.

wavelengths. This may result from uncertainty of the efficiency curve.

4 Line identifications

The strongest line identifications along with current and previous experimental and calculated wavelengths are presented in Table 1. These are given in the jj-coupling scheme as calculated with FAC. Notation l_{\pm} corresponds to the total angular momentum of $j = l \pm 1/2$. The numbers in brackets following the configurations are the assigned energy level number within the ion, where the ground state is level 1 and the first excited state is level 2 and so on. The level identifications show only the largest component of the wave function. In some cases this procedure is not unique due to strong mixing. Consider, for instance, the upper levels 12 and 16 for the 6.728 nm and 6.363 nm lines in the Ge-like ion. For both states the largest component is $4s4p^3 ((4s_+, 4p_-)_1, (4p_+^2)_2)_1$ that contributes about 40% and 51% to the wavefunctions of levels 12 and 16, respectively. The next component for both levels is $4s^24p_-4d_-$ at 35% and 38%. A similar strong mixing is observed for levels 37 and 32 in Rb-like ions where the leading component $4p^54d^2$ $(4p_-, (4d_-^2)_2)_{5/2}$ contributes 42% and 40%, whereas the squared expansion coefficients for the next component $4p^64f_-$ are 41%and 21%, respectively.

There is good agreement between the present and previously measured wavelengths to within the combined uncertainties. Note that we have included in the calibration the previously identified Zn-like 8.9600 ± 0.0005 nm and 16.5953 ± 0.0005 nm, and Cu-like 9.2603 ± 0.0005 nm and 15.7414 ± 0.0005 nm lines of dysprosium reported in reference [18].

The derived energy levels for ten ions from Dy^{29+} to Dy^{38+} are presented in Table 2. Several levels can only be determined with the aid of calculated values for the lower

Table 1. Wavelengths of spectral lines of highly-charged ions of dysprosium. The uncertainties of other experimental results are given in units of the last significant digit. The FAC level numbers are given in square brackets in the "Conf." columns. References: a - [18], b - [27], c - [15], d - [25], e - [14], f - [21], g - [16], h - [19], i - [20], j - [13], k - [44], l - [23], m - [22].

	Lower level		Upper level		λ_{expt} (nm)		λ_{theor} (nm)	
Ion/Seq	Conf.	State	Conf.	State	Current	Previous	Current	Previous
35 [Ga]	$4s^24p$ [2]	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	$4s4p^2$ [5]	$((4s_+,4p)_1,4p_+)_{5/2}$	16.864		16.8342	
33 [As]	$4s^24p^3$ [3]	$(4p,(4p_+^2)_2)_{5/2}$	$4s^24p^24d$ [7]	$(4d_{-})_{3/2}$	16.837		16.8268	
36 [Zn]	$4s^2$ [1]	$(4s_{+}^{2})_{0}$	4s4p [3]	$(4s_+, 4p)_1$	16.595	$16.5953(5)^{\rm a}$	16.4753	$16.6392^{\rm a}, 16.5575^{\rm b}$
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s^2 4p^2$ [2]	$(4p_{-}, 4p_{+})_{1}$	$4s4p^{3}$ [6]	$(4s_+, 4p_+)_2$	16.243		16.2632	
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4s$ [3]	$((3d_+^5)_{5/2}, 4s_+)_2$	$3d^{9}4p$ [6]	$((3d_+^5)_{5/2}, 4p)_2$	16.212		16.2200	
35 [Ga]	$4s^2 4p \ [1]$	$(4p_{-})_{1/2}$	$4s4p^2$ [3]	$(4s_+)_{1/2}$	16.110		16.0651	
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4s$ [5]	$((3d_{-}^{3})_{3/2}, 4s_{+})_{2}$	$3d^{9}4p$ [8]	$((3d_{-}^{3})_{3/2}, 4p_{-})_{2}$	16.087		16.0792	
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4s$ [3]	$((3d_{\pm}^{5})_{5/2}, 4s_{\pm})_{2}$	$3d^{9}4p$ [7]	$((3d_{\pm}^{3})_{5/2}, 4p_{-})_{3}$	15.995		15.9764	
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4s$ [2]	$((3d_+^3)_{5/2}, 4s_+)_3$	$3d^{9}4p$ [6]	$((3d_+^3)_{5/2}, 4p)_2$	15.892		15.8764	
37 [Cu]	4s [1]	$(4s_+)_{1/2}$	4p [2]	$(4p_{-})_{1/2}$	15.743	$15.7414(5)^{a}$	15.6861	$15.6889^{\circ}, 15.741^{\circ}$
38 [Ni]	$3d^{3}4s$ [2]	$((3d_+^o)_{5/2}, 4s_+)_3$	$3d^{3}4p$ [7]	$((3d_{+}^{3})_{5/2}, 4p_{-})_{3}$	15.689		15.6430	
34 [Ge]	$4s^{2}4p4d$ [9]	$(4p_{-}, 4d_{-})_{2}$	$4s^{-}4p4d$ [26]	$(4p_+, 4d_+)_2$	15.533		15.4030	
35 [Ga] 24 [Ca]	4s 4p [2] $4s^2 4m^2 [2]$	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	4s4p [6]	$((4s_+,4p)_1,4p_+)_{3/2}$	14.800		14.7770	
34 [Ge] 35 [Co]	4s 4p [3]	$(4p_{-}, 4p_{+})_{2}$	4s4p [7] $4s4p^2$ [7]	$(4s_+, 4p_+)_1$	14.378		14.0100 14.9415	
30 [Ga] 20 [Bb]	4s 4p [2] $4n^{6}4d [2]$	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	4s4p [1] $4n^54d^2$ [6]	$((4s_+, 4p)_1, 4p_+)_{1/2}$ $((4r_3^3)_{1/2}, (4r_3^2)_{1/2})_{1/2}$	14.302 10.611		14.2410 10.5643	
25 [Itb] 35 [Ga]	$4s^2 4n$ [1]	$(4n_{+})_{5/2}$	$4s4n^2$ [4]	$((4p_+)3/2, (4a)2)7/2$ $((4s_+, 4p)a_+)a_+(a)a$	10.352		10.3738	
33 [As]	$4s^2 4n^3$ [1]	$(4p_{\perp})_{1/2}$	$4s4p^4$ [6]	((10+,1p-)0,1p+)3/2 $(4s+(4p^2)2)z/2$	10.002 10.142		10.1651	
31 [Br]	$4n^{5}$ [1]	$(4n^3)_{2/2}$	$4n^44d$ [5]	$((4n^2)_2, 4d)_{5/2}$	10.013		10.013	
30 [Kr]	$4p^{6}$ [1]	$(4p_{\perp}^{4})_{0}$	$4p^5 4d$ [3]	$((4p_{\perp}^3)_{3/2}, 4d_{\perp})_1$	9.962		9.9350	
33 [As]	$4s^2 4p^3$ [3]	$(4p_{-},(4p_{+}^{2})_{2})_{5/2}$	$4s^24p^24d$ [15]	$((4p_{-},4p_{+})_{2},4d_{-})_{7/2}$	9.900		9.8925	
32 [Se]	$4s^2 4p^4$ [1]	$(4p_{\perp}^2)_2$	$4p^{3}4d$ [8]	$(4p_+, 4d)_2$	9.758		9.7532	
32 [Se]	$4s^2 4p^4$ [1]	$(4p_{\perp}^2)_2$	$4p^34d$ [10]	$(4p_+, 4d)_3$	9.706		9.6906	
37 [Cu]	4s[1]	$(4s_{+})_{1/2}$	4p [3]	$(4p_{+})_{3/2}$	9.261	$9.2603(5)^{\mathrm{a}}, 9.261(3)^{\mathrm{c}},$	9.2453	9.2538 ^c , 9.260 ^d ,
		(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(- ()) -		$9.2652(15)^{e}$	9.237^{f}	
36 [Zn]	4s4p [3]	$(4s_+, 4p)_1$	$4p^2$ [8]	$(4p_{-}, 4p_{+})_{2}$	9.206		9.2003	
37 [Cu]	4p [3]	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	4d~[5]	$(4d_{+})_{5/2}$	9.112	$9.1162(15)^{e}$	9.1097	9.1045 ^g
35 [Ga]	$4s^24p$ [1]	$(4p_{-})_{1/2}$	$4s4p^2$ [6]	$((4s_+, 4p)_1, 4p_+)_{3/2}$	9.084	$9.090(20)^{\rm f}, 8.910(1)^{\rm h},$	9.0683	$8.950^{\rm f}, 8.771^{\rm h}$
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s^24p^2$ [1]	$(4p_{-}^{2})_{0}$	$4s4p^{3}$ [7]	$(4s_+, 4p_+)_1$	9.085		9.0642	
36 [Zn]	$4s^2$ [1]	$(4s_{+}^{2})_{0}$	4s4p [5]	$(4s_+, 4p_+)_1$	8.961	$8.9600(5)^{a}, 8.9591(10)^{i}$ $8.965(2)^{j}, 8.9606(20)^{b}$	8.9111	$8.9280^{\rm a}, 8.902^{\rm f}$ $8.913^{\rm j}, 8.9032^{\rm b}$
35 [Ga]	$4s^2 4p \ [1]$	$(4p_{-})_{1/2}$	$4s4p^2$ [7]	$((4s_+,4p)_1,4p_+)_{1/2}$	8.895		8.8636	
33 [As]	$4s^2 4p^3$ [1]	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	$4s4p^4$ [9]	$(4s_+,(4p_+^2)_2)_{3/2}$	8.883		8.8505	
32 [Se]	$4s^24p^4$ [1]	$(4p_{+}^{2})_{2}$	$4s4p^5$ [12]	$(4s_+,(4p_+^3)_{3/2})_1$	8.812		8.7809	
36 [Zn]	4s4p [5]	$(4s_+, 4p_+)_1$	4s4d [14]	$(4s_+, 4d_+)_2$	8.777		8.7580	
33 [As]	$4s^24p^3$ [1]	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	$4s^24p^24d$ [10]	$(4d_{+})_{5/2}$	8.648		8.6238	
35 [Ga]	$4s^{2}4p$ [2]	$(4p_{+})_{3/2}$	$4s4p^2$ [11]	$(4s_+,(4p_+^2)_2)_{3/2}$	8.607		8.5624	
32 [Se]	$4s^{2}4p^{*}$ [1]	$(4p_{+}^{2})_{2}$	$4s^{2}4p^{3}4d$ [13]	$(4p_+, 4d_+)_2$	8.612		8.5820	
32 [Se]	$4s^{-}4p^{-}[2]$	$(4p_{+})_{0}$	$4s^{-}4p^{-}4d$ [15]	$(4p_+, 4d_+)_1$	8.528		8.4915	
29 [Rb]	$4p^{\circ}4d [1]$ $4a^{2}4m^{2} [2]$	$(4d_{-})_{3/2}$	$4p^{\circ}4d^{-}$ [23]	$(((4p_+^2)_{3/2}, 4d)_2, 4d_+)_{5/2}$	8.500		8.4526	
34 [Ge] 22 [Se]	4s 4p [2] $4s^2 4p^4 [1]$	$(4p_{-}, 4p_{+})_{1}$	$4s4p^{-}$ [10] $4s^{2}4p^{3}4d$ [14]	$((4s_+, 4p)_1, (4p_+)_2)_1$	8.435		8.3003	
32 [Be] 31 [Br]	48 4p [1]	$(4p_+)_2$	4s 4p 4u [14] $4n^4 4d [13]$	$(4p_+, 4a_+)_3$	8 300		8 3627	
20 [Bb]	$4p^{6} 4d^{[1]}$	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	4p 4a [13] $4n^5 4d^2 [25]$	$((4p_{+})_{2}, 4a_{+})_{3/2}$	8.359 8.359		8 3020	
$\frac{25}{31}$ [Rr]	4p 4a [1] $4n^5 [1]$	(4a =)3/2 $(4n^3)_{2}$	4p $4a$ [25] $4n^44d$ [14]	$((4p_{+})^{3/2}, 4a_{-})^{3/4} + (3/2)^{3/2}$	8.287		8.2468	
30 [Kr]	$4n^{6}$ [1]	$(4n^4)_0$	$4n^54d$ [9]	$((4p_{+}^{3})_{2}, (2, 4d_{+})_{1})_{1}$	8.195		8.1385	
29 [Rb]	$4p^{6}4d$ [1]	$(4d_{-})_{2/2}$	$4p^5 4d^2$ [28]	$(((4p_{\perp}^3)_{3/2}, 4d_{\perp})_{3/2}, 4d_{\perp})_{1/2}$	7.959		7.8952	
29 [Rb]	$4p^{6}4d$ [2]	$(4d_{+})_{5/2}$	$4p^{6}4f$ [33]	$(4f_{+})_{7/2}$	7.801		7.7451	7.767^{k}
29 [Rb]	$4p^{6}4d$ [1]	$(4d_{-})_{3/2}$	$4p^54d^2$ [32]	$(4p_{-},(4d_{-}^{2})_{2})_{5/2}$	7.449		7.4084	
37 [Cu]	4p [2]	$(4p_{-})_{1/2}$	4d [4]	$(4d_{-})_{3/2}$	6.905	$6.9080(15)^{e}$	6.9035	6.9037^{g}
29 [Rb]	$4p^{6}4d$ [1]	$(4d_{-})_{3/2}$	$4p^54d^2$ [38]	$(4p_{-},(4d_{-}^{2})_{2})_{5/2}$	6.803		6.6802	
35 [Ga]	$4s^2 4p \ [1]$	$(4p_{-})_{1/2}$	$4s^24d$ [9]	$(4d_{-})_{3/2}$	6.750	$6.750(1)^{h}$	6.7343	6.674^{h}
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s^24p^2$ [1]	$(4p_{-}^{2})_{0}$	$4s4p^3$ [12]	$((4s_+,4p)_1,(4p_+^2)_2)_1$	6.728		6.7093	
33 [As]	$4s^2 4p^3$ [1]	$(4p_{+})_{3/2}$	$4s^24p^24d$ [20]	$((4p, 4p_+)_1, 4d_+)_{5/2}$	6.613		6.5862	
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s^2 4p^2$ [1]	$(4p_{-}^{2})_{0}$	$4s4p^3$ [13]	$((4s_+,4p)_1,(4p_+^2)_0)_1$	6.534		6.5141	
32 [Se]	$4s^2 4p^4$ [1]	$(4p_{\pm}^2)_2$	$4s^2 4p^3 4d$ [29]	$((4p,(4p_+^2)_2)_{5/2},4d)_3$	6.545		6.5015	
32 [Se]	$4s^2 4p^4$ [1]	$(4p_{+}^{2})_{2}$	$4s^2 4p^3 4d$ [30]	$((4p,(4p_+^2)_2)_{3/2},4d)_2$	6.512		6.4670	
31 [Br]	$4p^{\circ}$ [1]	$(4p_{+}^{3})_{3/2}$	$4p^{-}4d$ [24]	$((4p,(4p_+^3)_{3/2})_2,4d)_{1/2}$	6.517		6.4602	
31 [Br]	$4p^{\circ}$ [1]	$(4p_{+}^{\circ})_{3/2}$	$4p^{-}4d$ [25]	$((4p_{-},(4p_{+})_{3/2})_{2},4d_{-})_{3/2})_{3/2}$	6.497		6.4526	
31 [Br]	$4p^{\circ}$ [1]	$(4p_{+}^{\circ})_{3/2}$	$4p^{-}4d$ [27]	$((4p,(4p_+)_{3/2})_2,4d)_{5/2}$	6.457		6.4048	
30 [Kr]	$4p^{\vee}[1]$	$(4p_{+})_{0}$	$4p^{-}4d$ [13] $4z^{2}4z^{2}4d$ [02]	$(4p, 4d)_1$	0.435		0.3689	
33 [AS]	$4s 4p^{-1}$	$(4p_+)_{3/2}$	4s 4p 4d [22]	$((4p, 4p_+)_1, 4d)_{3/2}$	0.410	6 41 (D)	0.3834	e toel e toem
38 [IN1] 34 [Ct-]	$5a^{2}4p$ [12] $4e^{2}4m^{2}$ [1]	$((3a_+)5/2, 4p_+)_1$	$3a^{-}4d^{-}[35]$	$((3a_{-})_{3/2}, 4a_{-})_{0}$	0.409	$0.41(2)^{-1}$	0.2094	0.400, 0.400
94 [Ge] 20 [RL]	$4n^{6} 4d^{[1]}$	$(4p_{-})_{0}$	4s4p [10] $4n^5Ad^2$ [45]	$(4s_+,4p)1,(4p_+)2)1$	0.000		0.3273 6 2163	6 147 ^k
29 [IU] 38 [Nii]	$\frac{4p}{3d^9} \frac{4u}{2n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$(3d^3)_{a} = 4n^{3/2}$	49 40 [40]	(4p-,(4u-)2)3/2 $((3d^3)_{2} + 4d^{-})_{2}$	5 858	5.85(2)1	5 6021	5.856 ¹ 5.857 ^m
00 [INI]	a + p [s]	$((3a_{-})3/2, 4p_{-})1$	Ju 44 [JJ]	((3u - 13/2, 4u - 10))	0.000	0.00(2)	0.0901	0.000, 0.001

Ion/Seq	Conf.	State	J	Level No. (FAC)	Energy (cm^{-1})	Unc. (cm^{-1})
29 [Rb]	$4p^{6}4d$	$4d_{-}$	3/2	1	0	× /
29 [Bb]	$4n^{6}4d$	$4d_{\perp}$	5/2	2	80.600 + x	FAC
29 [Bb]	$4n^{5}4d^{2}$	$(4n (4d^2)_2)$	5/2	6	1.023.000 + x	300
29 [Rb]	$4n^54d^2$	$((4n^3)_{2})_{2} (2d_{-})_{2} (4d_{+})$	5/2	23	1 176 500	400
29 [Itb] 20 [Pb]	4p 4a $4n^5 4d^2$	$((4p_+)_{3/2}, 4a)_{3,4a_+})$	2/2	25	1 107 200	400
29 [RD]	4p 4a	$((4p_+)_{3/2}, 4a)_{3,4a_+})$	3/2	20	1 197 500	400
29 [Rb]	$4p^{\circ}4d^{2}$	$((4p_{+}^{*})_{3/2}, 4d_{-})_{3}, 4d_{+})$	1/2	28	1 256 400	500
29 [Rb]	$4p^{3}4d^{2}$	$(4p_{-},(4d_{-}^{2})_{2})$	5/2	32	$1 \ 342 \ 500$	500
29 [Rb]	$4p^{\circ}4f$	$4f_+$	7/2	33	$1\ 362\ 500 + x$	500
29 [Rb]	$4p^{5}4d^{2}$	$(4p,(4d^2)_2)$	5/2	38	$1 \ 469 \ 900$	600
29 [Rb]	$4p^{5}4d^{2}$	$(4p_{-},(4d_{-}^{2})_{2})$	3/2	45	1 594 600	700
	. 6		,			
30 [Kr]	$4p^{\circ}$	$4p_{+}^{*}$	0	1	0	
30 [Kr]	$4p^{\circ}4d$	$((4p_{\pm}^3)_{3/2}, 4d_{-})$	1	3	1 003 800	300
30 [Kr]	$4p^54d$	$((4p_+^3)_{3/2}, 4d_+)$	1	9	$1\ 220\ 300$	400
30 [Kr]	$4p^54d$	$(4p_{-}, 4d_{-})$	1	13	$1\ 554\ 000$	700
01 [D]	4 5	4.3	a /a	1	0	
31 [Br]	$4p^{\circ}$	$4p_{+}^{2}$	3/2	1	0	
31 [Br]	$4p^44d$	$((4p_{\pm}^2)_2, 4d_{-})$	5/2	5	998 700	300
31 [Br]	$4p^44d$	$((4p_+^2)_2, 4d_+)$	3/2	13	$1 \ 190 \ 600$	400
31 [Br]	$4p^44d$	$((4p_{+}^2)_2, 4d_{+})$	5/2	14	$1\ 206\ 700$	400
31 Br	$4p^44d$	$((4p,(4p_+^3)_{3/2})_2,4d)$	1/2	24	1 534 400	700
31 [Br]	$4n^44d$	$((4n + (4n^3))_{2/2})_{2/2} + (4n + (4n^3))_{2/2} + (4n^3))_{2/2} + (4n + (4n^3))_{2/2} +$	3'/2	25	1 539 200	700
$31 \left[\text{Br} \right]$	$4p^4 4d$	$((4p_{-},(4p_{+}^{3})_{2},2)_{2},4d_{-})$	5/2	20	1 548 700	700
or [D1]	4p $4a$	$((4p_{-},(4p_{+})3/2)2,4a_{-})$	0/2	21	1 040 100	100
32 [Se]	$4s^24p^4$	$4p_{+}^{2}$	2	1	0	
32 [Se]	$4s^24p^4$	$4p_{\pm}^{2}$	0	2	$60\ 060 + x$	FAC
32 [Se]	$4s^2 4n^3 4d$	$(4n_{+}, 4d_{-})$	2	8	1 024 800	300
32 [Se]	$4s^24n^34d$	(4n + 4d)	3	10	1 030 300	300
32 [Sc]	$\frac{10}{4a4m^5}$	$(1p_+,1\alpha)$	1	10	1 134 800	400
32 [Se] 20 [G_]	484p	$(4s_+,(4p_+)_{3/2})$	1	12	1 104 000	400
32 [Se]	$4s^{-}4p^{-}4d$	$(4p_+, 4a_+)$	2	13	1 161 200	400
32 [Se]	$4s^{2}4p^{3}4d$	$(4p_+, 4d_+)$	3	14	1 188 800	400
32 [Se]	$4s^24p^34d$	$(4p_+, 4d_+)$	1	15	$1\ 232\ 700 + x$	400
32 [Se]	$4s^24p^34d$	$((4p,(4p_+^2)_2)_{5/2},4d)$	3	29	$1 \ 527 \ 900$	700
32 [Se]	$4s^24p^34d$	$((4p_{-},(4p_{+}^{2})_{2})_{3/2},4d_{-})$	2	30	$1\ 535\ 600$	700
00 [4]	4 2 4 3	4	a /a	1	0	
33 [As]	$4s^{2}4p^{3}$	$4p_{+}$	3/2	l	0	-
33 [As]	$4s^{2}4p^{3}$	$(4p_{-},(4p_{+}^{2})_{2})$	5/2	3	$480\ 070 + x$	FAC
33 [As]	$4s4p^4$	$(4s_+,(4p_+^2)_2)$	5/2	6	986 000	300
33 [As]	$4s^24p^24d$	$4d_{-}$	3/2	7	$1 \ 074 \ 000 + x$	200
33 [As]	$4s4p^4$	$(4s_+,(4p_+^2)_2)$	3/2	9	$1\ 125\ 700$	400
33 [As]	$4s^24p^24d$	$4d_{\pm}$	5/2	10	$1\ 156\ 300$	400
33 [As]	$4s^24n^24d$	$((4n - 4n)_2 + 4d)$	7/2	15	1490200 + x	450
33 [Ae]	$4e^2 4n^2 4d$	$((1p_{-}, 1p_{+})_{2}, 1\omega_{-})$ $((4p_{-}, 4p_{+})_{2}, 4d_{+})$	5/2	20	1512200 + M	700
00 [A8] 22 [Aa]	4s 4p 4a $4s^2 4m^2 4d$	$((4p_{-},4p_{+})_{1},4a_{+})$	2/2	20	1 512 200	700
55 [AS]	4s 4p 4a	$((4p_{-},4p_{+})_{1},4a_{-})$	3/2	22	1 558 000	700
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s^24p^2$	$4p_{-}^{2}$	0	1	0	
34 [Ge]	$4s^2 4n^2$	$(4p_{-}.4p_{+})$	1	2	386 100	220
34 [Ge]	$4s^2 4n^2$	(4n 4n)	2	3	414 700	160
34 [Co]	$\frac{10}{4e^{4}n^3}$	$(\underline{P}_{P},\underline{P}_{P})$	2	6	1 001 750	600
34 [Ge]	484p	$(4s_+, 4p_+)$	ے 1	0	1 001 730	400
34 [Ge]	$4s4p^{\circ}$	$(4s_+, 4p_+)$	1	(1 100 700	400
34 [Ge]	$4s^4p4d$	$(4p_{-}, 4d_{-})$	2	9	$1\ 388\ 800 + x$	FAC
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s4p^{3}$	$((4s_+,4p)_1,(4p_+^2)_2)$	1	12	$1 \ 486 \ 300$	700
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s4p^3$	$((4s_+,4p)_1,(4p_+^2)_0)$	1	13	$1 \ 530 \ 500$	700
$34 \; [Ge]$	$4s4p^3$	$((4s_+, 4p)_1, (4p_+^2)_2)$	1	16	$1\ 571\ 600$	700
34 Gel	$4s^24p4d$	$(4p_{+}.4d_{+})$	2	26	$2\ 032\ 600 + x$	400
	p 10	(-r+,+)	-		= 00= 000 A	
35 [Ga]	$4s^24p$	$4p_{-}$	1/2	1	0	
$35 \; [Ga]$	$4s^24p$	$4p_+$	3/2	2	427800	300
35 [Ga]	$4s4p^2$	$4s_+$	1/2	3	620700	120
35 [Ga]	$4s4p^2$	$((4s_+, 4p)_0, 4p_+)$	3/2	4	966 000	300
35 [Ga]	$4s4p^2$	$((4s_{+}.4p_{-})_{0}.4p_{+})$	5'/2	5	1 020 900	400
35 [Ga]	$4s4n^2$	((4s + 4n) + 4n +)	3/2	6	1 100 800	400
၁၁ [ပီ။]	10 IP	((10+,1p-)1,1p+)	0/4	0	1 100 000	100

 Table 2. Energy levels of highly-charged ions of dysprosium.

$\mathrm{Ion/Seq}$	Conf.	State	J	Level No. (FAC)	Energy (cm^{-1})	Unc. (cm^{-1})
35 [Ga]	$4s4p^2$	$((4s_+, 4p)_1, 4p_+)$	1/2	7	$1 \ 124 \ 200$	400
35 [Ga]	$4s^24d$	$4d_{-}$	3/2	9	$1 \ 481 \ 500$	700
35 [Ga]	$4s4p^2$	$(4s_+,(4p_+^2)_2)$	3/2	11	1 589 700	840
36 [Zn]	$4s^2$	$4s_{+}^{2}$	0	1	0	
36 [Zn]	4s4p	$(4s_+, 4p)$	1	3	602600	110
36 [Zn]	4s4p	$(4s_+, 4p_+)$	1	5	1115900	400
36 [Zn]	$4p^{\overline{2}}$	$(4p_{-}, 4p_{+})$	2	8	1688800	600
36 [Zn]	4s4d	$(4s_+, 4d_+)$	2	14	2255200	1100
37 [Cu]	4s	$4s_+$	1/2	1	0	
37 [Cu]	4p	$4p_{-}$	1/2	2	635200	120
37 [Cu]	4p	$4p_+$	3/2	3	1079800	350
37 [Cu]	4d	$4d_{-}$	3/2	4	2083400	1000
37 [Cu]	4d	$4d_+$	5/2	5	2177300	1000
38 [Ni]	$3d^{10}$	$3d_{+}^{6}$	0	1	0	
38 [Ni]	$3d^94s$	$((3d_{+}^{5})_{5/2}, 4s_{+})$	3	2	$9 \ 099 \ 290 + x$	Ref. [45]
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4s$	$((3d_{+}^{5})_{5/2}, 4s_{+})$	2	3	9 111 $600 + x$	170
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4s$	$((3d_{-}^{3})_{3/2}, 4s_{+})$	2	5	$9\ 413\ 650 + y$	Ref. [45]
38 [Ni]	$3d^94p$	$((3d_{+}^{5})_{5/2}, 4p_{-})$	2	6	9728500 + x	120
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4p$	$((3d_{+}^{5})_{5/2}, 4p_{-})$	3	7	9 736 700 $+ x$	150
38 [Ni]	$3d^{9}4p$	$((3d_{-}^{3})_{3/2}, 4p_{-})$	2	8	$10\ 035\ 300 + y$	120
38 [Ni]	$3d^94p$	$((3d_{-}^{3})_{3/2}, 4p_{-})$	1	9	$10\ 058\ 300 + z$	Ref. [45]
38 [Ni]	$3d^94p$	$((3d_+^5)_{5/2}, 4p_+)$	1	12	$10\ 205\ 000 + z$	1100
38 [Ni]	$3d^9 4d$	$((3d_{-}^{3})_{3/2}, 4d_{-})$	0	35	11 765 300 + z	900

Table 2. Continued.

level of a transition. Since the lower level is not known experimentally in these cases, the energies are given with a "+x". In most cases the reference energies were calculated with FAC; however, for the Ni-like ion we made use of the more accurate energies calculated with the relativistic many-body perturbation theory (RMBPT) [45].

The experimental spectra were used to confirm identification of some newly identified lines using the Ritz energies. Using the Ni-like ion as an example, the lines 15.689 nm, 15.892 nm, 15.995 nm and 16.212 nm, connect levels 2 and 3 with level 6 and level 7 (see Tab. 2). It is obvious that the energy difference between levels 2 and 3 calculated from the differences of wavenumbers for two pairs of transitions should be the same. Indeed, the 2-6 and 3-6 lines give $\Delta E_{\rm Ni}(2-3) = (12420 \pm 170) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and the 2-7 and 3-7 transitions result in a close value of (12190 ± 170) cm⁻¹. A similar analysis for the spectral lines connecting levels 1 and 2 with levels 6, and 7 in the Ga-like ion also confirms our identifications. Our measured value of $\Delta E_{Ga}(1-2)$ is $(427\,800\pm300)$ cm⁻¹. The semiempirical result of 427670 cm⁻¹ [46] and the RMBPT value of 428015 cm^{-1} [47] both agree well with our result. However, the MCDF energy of $426\,675\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ [48] significantly differs from the measurement.

5 Conclusion

EUV spectra from highly charged ions of dysprosium were recorded at the NIST EBIT. Forty nine new lines were identified in Rb-like to Ni-like ions. Several strongest lines lie in the 6.6 nm to 6.8 nm wavelength region proposed for next generation lithography. Of note is the strongly emitting Rb-like dysprosium line at 6.803 nm which could be coupled with highly reflective LaN/B₄C multilayer mirrors. An alternative EUV source could be based on a similar Rb-like gadolinium line which was previously found to emit strongly at 6.827 nm. These transitions could be optimized in a laser-produced plasma source for future next generation EUV lithography.

This work was supported by Science Foundation Ireland under Principal Investigator research Grant 07/IN.1/I1771 and in part by the Office of Fusion Energy Sciences of the US Department of Energy. We are grateful to U.I. Safronova for providing the RMBPT energies from reference [45].

References

- S.S. Churilov, R.R. Kildiyarova, A.N. Ryabtsev, S.V. Sadovsky, Phys. Scr. 80, 045303 (2009)
- T. Otsuka, D. Kilbane, T. Higashiguchi, N. Yugami, T. Yatagai, W. Jiang, A. Endo, P. Dunne, G. O'Sullivan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 111503 (2010)
- T. Otsuka, D. Kilbane, T. Higashiguchi, N. Yugami, T. Yatagai, W. Jiang, A. Endo, P. Dunne, G. O'Sullivan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 231503 (2010)
- D. Kilbane, G. O'Sullivan, J. Appl. Phys. 108, 104905 (2010)
- A. Sasaki, K. Nishihara, A. Sunahara, H. Furukawa, T. Nishikawa, F. Koike, Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 231501 (2010)

- M. Masnavi, J. Szilagyi, H. Parchamy, M.C. Richardson, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 164102 (2013)
- G. O'Sullivan, P.K. Carroll, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **71**, 227 (1981)
- 8. P.K. Carroll, G. O'Sullivan, Phys. Rev. A 25, 275 (1982)
- 9. D. Kilbane, G. O'Sullivan, Phys. Rev. A 82, 062504 (2010)
- 10. D. Kilbane, J. Phys. B 44, 165006 (2011)
- I.A. Makhotkin, E. Zoethout, E. Louis, A.M. Yakunin, S. Müllender, F. Bijkerk, J. Micro/Nanolith. MEMS MOEMS 11, 040501 (2012)
- R. Soufli, A.L. Aquila, F. Salmassi, M. Fernández-Perea, E.M. Gullikson, Appl. Opt. 47, 4633 (2008)
- 13. J. Reader, G. Luther, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 609 (1980)
- 14. J. Reader, G. Luther, Phys. Scr. 24, 732 (1981)
- J.F. Seely, U. Feldman, A.W. Wouters, J.L. Schwob, S. Suckewer, Phys. Rev. A 40, 5020 (1989)
- J.F. Seely, C.M. Brown, U. Feldman, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 43, 145 (1989)
- M. Finkenthal, S. Lippmann, L.K. Huang, H.W. Moos, Y.T. Lee, N. Spector, A. Zigler, E. Yarkoni, Phys. Scr. 41, 445 (1990)
- J. Sugar, V. Kaufman, D.H. Baik, Y.K. Kim, W.L. Rowan, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 8, 1795 (1991)
- K.B. Fournier, W.H. Goldstein, A. Osterheld, M. Finkenthal, S. Lippmann, L.K. Huang, H.W. Moos, N. Spector, Phys. Rev. A 50, 2248 (1994)
- 20. N. Acquista, J. Reader, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 1, 649 (1984)
- P. Mandelbaum, M. Finkenthal, E. Meroz, J.L. Schwob, J. Oreg, W.H. Goldstein, M.K.L. Osterheld, A.B. Shalom, S. Lippman, L.K. Huang, H.W. Moos, Phys. Rev. A 42, 4412 (1990)
- 22. J.H. Scofield, B.J. MacGowan, Phys. Scr. 46, 361 (1992)
- H. Daido, S. Ninomiya, M. Takagi, Y. Kato, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 16, 296 (1999)
- I.P. Grant, B.J. McKenzie, P.H. Norrington, D.F. Mayers, N.C. Pyper, Comput. Phys. Commun. 21, 207 (1980)
- Y.K. Kim, D.H. Baik, P. Indelicato, J.P. Desclaux, Phys. Rev. A 44, 148 (1991)
- 26. J.P. Desclaux, Comput. Phys. Commun. 9, 31 (1975)
- C.M. Brown, J.F. Seely, D.R. Kania, B.A. Hammel, C.A. Back, R.W. Lee, A. Bar-Shalom, W.E. Behring, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 58, 203 (1994)
- A. Bar-Shalom, M. Klapisch, J. Oreg, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 71, 169 (2001)

- 29. M. Klapisch, Comput. Phys. Commun. 2, 269 (1971)
- M. Klapisch, J.L. Schwob, B.S. Fraenkel, J. Oreg, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 67, 148 (1977)
- K.G. Dyall, I.P. Grant, C.T. Johnson, F.A. Parpia, E.P. Plummer, Comput. Phys. Commun. 55, 425 (1988)
- 32. Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, J.M. Pomeroy, J.N. Tan, J.D. Gillaspy, J. Phys. B 40, 3861 (2007)
- I. Draganić, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, J.D. Gillaspy, J.N. Tan, J.M. Pomeroy, S.M. Brewer, D. Osin, J. Phys. B 44, 025001 (2011)
- D. Kilbane, G. O'Sullivan, J.D. Gillaspy, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, Phys. Rev. A 86, 042503 (2012)
- D. Kilbane, J.D. Gillaspy, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, G. O'Sullivan, Phys. Scr. **T156**, 014012 (2013)
- 36. J.D. Gillaspy, Phys. Scr. **T71**, 99 (1997)
- G.E. Holland, C.N. Boyer, J.F. Seely, J.N. Tan, J.M. Pomeroy, J.D. Gillaspy, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 76, 073304 (2005)
- B. Blagojević, E.O.L. Bigot, K. Fahy, A. Aguilar, K. Makonyi, E. Takács, J.N. Tan, J.M. Pomeroy, J.H. Burnett, J.D. Gillaspy, J.R. Roberts, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 76, 083102 (2005)
- D. Osin, J. Reader, J.D. Gillaspy, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Phys. B 45, 245001 (2012)
- A. Kramida, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, NIST ASD Team, NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.1) (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 2013), http://physics.nist.gov/asd
- Yu.V. Ralchenko, Y. Maron, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 71, 609 (2001)
- 42. M.F. Gu, Can. J. Phys. 86, 675 (2008)
- Yu. Ralchenko, I.N. Draganić, D. Osin, J.D. Gillaspy, J. Reader, Phys. Rev. A 83, 032517 (2011)
- M. Finkenthal, A.S. Lippmann, L.K. Huang, T.L. Yu, B.C. Stratton, H.W. Moos, M. Klapisch, P. Mandelbaum, A.B. Shalom, W.L. Hodge, P.E. Philips, T.R. Price, J.C. Porter, B. Richards, W.L. Rowan, J. Appl. Phys. 59, 3644 (1986)
- U.I. Safronova, A.S. Safronova, P. Beiersdorfer, J. Phys. B 40, 955 (2007)
- 46. L.J. Curtis, Phys. Rev. A **35**, 2089 (1987)
- 47. U.I. Safronova, T.E. Cowan, M.S. Safronova, Phys. Lett. A 348, 293 (2006)
- 48. M.A. Ali, Phys. Scr. 55, 159 (1997)