Dissolution, Agglomerate Morphology, and Stability Limits of Protein-Coated Silver Nanoparticles
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ABSTRACT: Little is understood regarding the impact that molecular coatings have on nanoparticle dissolution kinetics and agglomerate formation in a dilute nanoparticle dispersion. Dissolution and agglomeration processes compete in removing isolated nanoparticles from the dispersion, making quantitative time-dependent measurements of the mechanisms of nanoparticle loss particularly challenging. In this article, we present in situ ultra-small-angle X-ray scattering (USAXS) results, simultaneously quantifying dissolution, agglomeration, and stability limits of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) coated with bovine serum albumin (BSA) protein. When the BSA corona is disrupted, we find that the loss of silver from the nanoparticle core is well matched by a second-order kinetic rate reaction, arising from the oxidative dissolution of silver. Dissolution and agglomeration are quantified, and morphological transitions throughout the process are qualified. By probing the BSA-AgNP suspension around its stability limits, we provide insight into the destabilization mechanism by which individual particles rapidly dissolve as a whole rather than undergo slow dissolution from the aqueous interface inward, once the BSA layer is breached. Because USAXS rapidly measures over the entire nanometer to micrometer size range during the dissolution process, many insights are also gained into the stabilization of NPs by protein and its ability to protect the labile metal core from the solution environment by prohibiting the diffusion of reactive species. This approach can be extended to a wide variety of coating molecules and reactive metal nanoparticle systems to carefully survey their stability limits, revealing the likely mechanisms of coating breakdown and ensuing reactions.

INTRODUCTION

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have received increasing attention due to their antimicrobial properties and incorporation into consumer products.1,2 Aqueous AgNPs are not stable; in natural water systems3 they dissolve into silver ions4-6 and sometimes reform7-9 if they are not sufficiently stabilized. Silver ions (Ag+) can be toxic to human cells in high doses10 and may pose environmental health and safety risks,11 or conversely, the natural antibacterial properties of Ag ions can be used, for example, to reduce the infection risk of wounds.12 Typically, AgNPs oxidatively dissolve in water13 or form agglomerates due to changes in their colloidal stability resulting from interactions with ions (e.g., Na+ and Cl-)14-17 and sulfur-containing species.18-19 These processes occur simultaneously, and it can be challenging to distinguish between the loss of AgNPs due to dissolution or agglomeration (and thus sedimentation).14,20,21 Certainly, the end result is a net loss of Ag atoms from singly dispersed AgNPs and either a high concentration of Ag ions in solution or AgNPs trapped in agglomerates as sediment. These processes will dictate the eventual fate and availability of AgNPs and their transformational products. Because AgNPs with various coatings are used in many consumer products,22 it is critical to understand how the NP surface is destabilized and the subsequent dissolution process. However, many measurement methods fail to capture the competing destabilization processes, resulting in a knowledge gap surrounding the mechanisms of colloidal destabilization and exactly how a surface coating protects a particle against dissolution.

Several separation techniques, such as centrifugation, can be combined with methods that accurately measure total silver, for example, atomic absorption or inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), to infer dissolved silver content.23,24 Analytical ultracentrifugation has been used to separate agglomerates25 as well as to measure sedimentation.26 Field flow fractionation has also been explored to separate agglomerates27 as well as to measure sedimentation.26 Field flow fractionation has also been explored to separate agglomerates and provide size distributions and has been coupled to ICP-MS.27 These methods are destructive or alter the initial dispersion, making it cumbersome to merge the
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techniques for time-resolved studies, although progress has been made by coupling these to nondestructive light-extinction (scattering + absorption) methods, such as ultraviolet–visible extinction spectroscopy (UV–vis)\textsuperscript{24,28,29} and dynamic light scattering (DLS).\textsuperscript{30,31} DLS is strongly biased toward small volume fractions of large agglomerates because the scattering intensity varies as the sixth power of the particle diameter $d$ (i.e., $d^6$). For example, a single 150 nm agglomerate will scatter as much light as $10^6$ singly dispersed 15 nm nanoparticles. Meanwhile, coupling between embedded NPs in an agglomerate alters the surface plasmon resonance of the nanoparticle and greatly complicates measurement by UV–vis. In general, it is difficult to quantify the destabilization of AgNPs because such quantification requires simultaneous measurements over time of the principal particles and agglomerate size distributions, together with their Ag absolute volume fractions (i.e., NP concentrations). Despite these challenges, it remains highly desirable to understand better how coating molecules stabilize the particle surface, how and under what conditions AgNPs dissolve or agglomerate, and how these processes compete.

Here, we employ in situ ultra-small-angle X-ray scattering (USAXS) to probe the competing dissolution and agglomeration processes for AgNPs protected by a highly stabilizing protein coating, bovine serum albumin (BSA), in extremely acidic environments. We push BSA to its stability limits in order to measure its impact on the dissolution kinetics and intermediate agglomerate morphologies formed during dissolution. BSA was chosen due to the biological relevance of albumin as the most abundant protein in mammalian blood plasma, its widespread use in biology and nanoparticle engineering,\textsuperscript{32–35} and its remarkable ability to buffer aqueous systems against harsh conditions.\textsuperscript{17,36,37} We have performed the dissolution experiments in nitric acid as a model system for Ag dissolution, bypassing the complications of Cl or S side reactions that would occur in hydrochloric or sulfuric acids or in synthetic stomach fluids.\textsuperscript{18} Because USAXS can concurrently measure the size distribution and concentration of the AgNP and agglomerate morphology from the sub-10 nm to micrometer size scale is achievable throughout the entire reaction process. Our structural model accounts for dissolved silver from nanoparticles and agglomerates, and possible agglomerate growth mechanisms are discussed. We find a second-order reaction governing the loss of Ag from the nanoparticles and attribute this to oxidative dissolution, consistent with previous reports for milder reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{13} By simultaneously measuring dissolution and agglomeration, a model is presented that accurately distinguishes the entangled dissolution and agglomeration processes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first quantitative study of the mechanisms of AgNP dissolution in a strongly agglomerating environment and one of the first studies to elucidate the stability limits of coating nanoparticles with protein. This method can be extended to analyzing the stability of other highly relevant coating molecules for AgNPs as well as other metal nanoparticles.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Stability Limits of BSA-AgNPs

The colloidal stability limits for BSA-coated AgNPs can be evaluated by several different methods. Simple visual inspection can reveal the turbidity of a solution and provide a crude guide as to what is happening in solution. DLS and UV–vis can provide useful information about changes to the particle size distribution and plasmon peak shifts (Supporting Information, Figures S1 and S2, respectively); however, they cannot quantitatively determine detailed structure on the nanoscale, simply because the wavelength of visible light is too large. However, the wavelength of the X-rays used here ($\lambda = 0.073$ nm for 16.9 keV X-rays) is much smaller than the principal nanoparticles ($d \approx 10$ nm) measured, so it is possible to discern both nanoparticle and interparticle structure.

USAXS plots surveying the stability regimes of BSA-AgNPs in different concentrations of nitric acid are presented in Figure 1. Equal volumes of nitric acid solutions and aqueous BSA-AgNP dispersions (initial silver volume fractions: $\phi_{Ag} \approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$) were added to equal volumes of nitric acid solutions and aqueous BSA-AgNP dispersions (initial silver volume fractions: $\phi_{Ag} \approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$).
10^{-5}) were mixed, yielding varying effects based on the concentration of acid. In 50 mM HNO_3 (Figure 1a), BSA-AgNPs are fairly stable, and the scattering intensity $I(q)$ decays slowly with negligible amounts of agglomerates at low $q$, where

$$ q = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \sin \theta $$

(1)

is the magnitude of the scattering vector and $\theta$ is half of the scattering angle. This stability is also confirmed by the relative optical clarity of the solution (Figure 1a inset), which resembles the control sample in the absence of HNO_3. A threshold is observed near 50 mM HNO_3, below which BSA protects very well, likely due to buffering of the acid and preservation of the nanoparticle surface.

In 250 mM HNO_3 (Figure 1b), particles begin to agglomerate, as can be seen in the increased scattering intensity sloping upward toward low $q$. The scattering associated with the principal nanoparticle population blends into that from the agglomerates. This suggests the formation of agglomerates in a size range from tens of nanometers to ~1 μm. The scattering intensity then decreases over time as particles dissolve (and agglomerates sediment).

In 500 mM HNO_3 (Figure 1c), there are many signatures in the USAXS data. Interestingly, the terminal slope at high $q$ does not change; however, a large shoulder forms between $q \approx 0.2$ and 0.4 nm$^{-1}$. This indicates a clustering of principal particles at a characteristic distance of $2\pi/q$ from one another (here 10 to 20 nm), creating a structural level that can be modeled as particles interfering with the scattering of their nearest neighbors. We account for this scattering by using the model depicted in Figure 2. The shoulder rises steeply into rapid agglomeration, as evinced at low $q$. The overall scattering intensity decayed predictably with time, after the addition of acid, until the stir bar failed between 1.48 and 1.82 h. Sedimentation then became dominant, with a corresponding exponential decay in the scattering intensity with time.$^{38-40}$

The colloidal stability of the BSA-coated AgNPs is directly related to the charge structure and pH stability of the BSA coating. The isoelectric point of free BSA has been reported between pH 4.8 and 5.6,$^{36,41-43}$ implying that BSA is charge neutral near pH 5. A change in solution pH below 5 causes the stable tertiary heart-shaped BSA structure to elongate, often called the N–F transition (normal-fast).$^{44}$ Below pH 2.5, free BSA breaks down and elongates further (the E-form or expanded form), and when on the surface of a nanoparticle (bound BSA), the transition pH can be lowered.$^{33}$ The measurement of pH for a dissolving Ag system can be experimentally difficult due to the contamination of electrodes by deposited Ag. Therefore, we have not systematically measured the pH as a function of time for the various acid cases.

However, we did find results that agree with the above predictions (Supporting Information, Table S1). On the basis of pH measurements at lower BSA-AgNP concentrations, for the 500 mM HNO_3 case we estimate the pH to be ~1.9, which is well below the N–F transition (pH ~5) and just below the F–E transition (pH 2.5). Previous DLS studies on dilute dispersions of 60 nm gold nanoparticles coated with BSA$^{43}$ suggest that there is a significant and predictable change in the BSA coating thickness (~4 nm) near pH 2.7, where the BSA lengthens and opens up spots on the nanoparticle surface, but above this pH value, the hydrodynamic diameter (NP core + BSA corona) remains constant. This could explain why we see rapid dissolution in the strong acid cases (i.e., 500 and 375 mM) and strong protection in the weak acid cases (i.e., 50 mM). Thus, it is understandable that BSA coatings break down in 500 mM HNO_3 as BSA elongates on the NP surface, exposing holes in the protective coating and potential paths for acid to reach the Ag core and hence AgNP dissolution. Clearly, the nature of BSA and its role in protecting AgNPs from acid dissolution are complex, but we have sought to develop a model for quantifying the actual molar amount of Ag in nanoparticle form in a dissolving and agglomerating BSA-AgNP dispersion.

**USAXS Model.** To separate and quantify the loss of silver in the agglomerating and dissolving BSA-AgNPs system, we analyze the scattering intensity $I(q)$ from dispersed particles of radius $r$ as well as the structure formed by particles in close proximity

$$ I(q) = \phi |\Delta \rho|^2 \nu_f F^2(q, r) S(q, R) $$

(2)

where $\phi$ is the nanoparticle volume fraction, $\nu_f$ is the single-particle volume, and $|\Delta \rho|^2$ is the scattering contrast between the scattering particle and the matrix (i.e., the acidic aqueous suspension medium). $F(q, r)$ is the geometrical form factor for spheres

$$ F(q, r) = \frac{3 \sin(qr) - qr \cos(qr)}{(qr)^3} $$

(3)

and $S(q, R)$ is an approximate structure factor associated with the packing of multiple particles in close proximity.$^{35}$

![Figure 2. Schematic of the model used to fit USAXS data during the dissolution process. Individual AgNPs are modeled as spheres with scattering length density $\rho_{Ag}$ immersed in water, $\rho_{water}$. A structure factor incorporating NP packing fraction and average interparticle center-to-center distance, $R$, quantifies the particle–particle correlations within the closely packed NP clusters (i.e., agglomerates).](image-url)
Here, the packing fraction $\phi_{\text{loc}}$ is the ratio of the occupied to available volume formed by a sphere with radius $R$ centered on a particle. Thus, $R$ can be viewed as the average nearest-neighbor center-to-center distance with particle/local volume $\phi_{\text{loc}}$. Restated, this structure factor signifies the packing of spheres into a volume. The schematic of this model can be seen in Figure 2. Simple limiting checks can be made on the model; by letting $\phi_{\text{loc}} = 0$ or $R \to \infty$, one can see $S(q, R) \to 1$ and we recover the scattering from singly dispersed spheres. When $\phi_{\text{loc}} \approx 0.74$ and $R = 2r$, one obtains the maximal physically allowed volume fraction for a uniform distribution of spheres with particle surfaces touching, corresponding to face-centered cubic or hexagonal close packing. Disordered, glassy, or random orientations would show lower values of $\phi_{\text{loc}}$. For the agglomerates, we use a spherical model (eq. 3). Then, apparent volume fractions are adjusted after modeling to account for the Ag-occupied and available space in the close pack according to

$$\phi_{\text{Ag}} = \phi_{\text{loc}} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta \rho_{\text{loc}}^2}{\Delta \rho_{\text{pack}}^2}\right)$$

(5)

where $|\Delta \rho_{\text{loc}}| = |\rho_{\text{Ag}} - \rho_{\text{water}}|$ and the reduced scattering contrast in the close pack is given by

$$|\Delta \rho_{\text{pack}}|^2 = |\phi_{\text{loc}} \rho_{\text{Ag}} - \rho_{\text{water}}|^2$$

(6)

In summary, we are modeling the dissolving/agglomerating system with two size scales: principal particles having structural morphology similar to that of packed spheres and loose agglomerates with reduced volume fractions using packing fraction $\phi_{\text{loc}}$. Because the actual system contains polydisperse spheres, the overall scattering intensity is a weighted sum of the nanoparticle size distribution (assumed to be a log-normal function) and eq 2 (the scattering for a given particle of size $r$), provided the system satisfies the dilute condition $\phi \approx (1 - \phi)$. The present experiments used AgNPs of concentration $\phi < 10^{-4}$, so this condition is well met.

**Volume Fraction and Diameter Results from Model Fitting.** It is important to distinguish among several different physical processes occurring simultaneously, such as dissolution, agglomeration/clustering, and sedimentation. Because there is significant agglomeration in our system when the nitric acid concentration is high (i.e., [HNO$_3$] $\geq$ 250 mM), AgNPs sediment. To extract dissolution and agglomeration kinetics from the sedimenting system, a magnetic stir bar was used in the sample reservoir. Figures 1 and 3 show dissolution for the 500 mM case until the stir bar failed, upon which there was an exponential drop in scattering (Figure 1) due to the loss of the NP volume fraction within the total sampled volume (Figure 3). We have also added additional results to Figure 3 for 500 mM HNO$_3$ dissolution from a separate run with a non-sedimenting sample; for separate analysis of this sample, see the Supporting Information.) In each case when acid was added to the solution, loss of the overall Ag volume fraction immediately commenced. In 500 and 375 mM HNO$_3$, there was a sudden drop in volume fraction due to rapid agglomeration, and then dissolution proceeded. The AgNP volume fraction was reduced by dissolution from that measured immediately after the addition of acid, by ~18 and ~14% in the first 1.5 h, in 500 and 375 mM HNO$_3$, respectively. Reaction in 200 mM HNO$_3$ caused only slight agglomeration, although the AgNP volume fraction was still reduced by 10% over the first 1.5 h. For 125 and 50 mM HNO$_3$, negligible agglomeration occurred, and particles lost silver by dissolution at a constant rate that can be well fit by a straight line. The volume fraction loss rate together with the fit standard deviation uncertainties was determined to be 1.4 ± 0.5 and 1.4 ± 0.2 % h$^{-1}$ for 125 and 50 mM HNO$_3$, respectively. Within these fit uncertainties, there is no evidence of any acid or particle concentration dependence, which indicates that the particles followed a zeroth-order reaction process with respect to HNO$_3$ at 125 and 50 mM concentrations. These results agree with the stability thresholds of BSA-AgNPs established above.

Presumably, the BSA-AgNP dispersion is stabilized by electrostatic and steric repulsion (from surface charge and the BSA), countering a van der Waals attraction between the metal cores. Thus, if the surfaces are neutralized and enough BSA is displaced, then particles will agglomerate because the repulsive force will vanish. Concentrations of HNO$_3$ $\geq$ 375 mM seemingly disrupt the BSA coating, causing rapid agglomeration and unwinding of the BSA protein on the particle surface. This permits acid and oxygen access to the AgNP core, initiating oxidative dissolution very quickly. A concentration of 250 mM HNO$_3$ causes mild agglomeration, which can be attributed to the partial disruption of BSA surfaces. Below 250 mM HNO$_3$, insufficient acid (i.e., protons) is added to destabilize the surface or cause BSA charge neutralization and coagulation. It is likely that single adsorbed BSA molecules are unraveled due to statistical concentration fluctuations of acid near the NP surface or poor uniformity in BSA conformation at the NP-solution interface; it is possible that either acidic gradients due to diffusion or patchy BSA coverage is responsible for the
dissolution losses. In practice, it is impossible to tell with USAXS which process truly occurs because we measure the sum of scattering from $10^{14}$ particles. However, the particle volume fraction still declines at a slow rate even at low acid concentrations. This leads us to believe that the particles are dissolved by the acid on an individual basis rather than by the simultaneous etching of all particles and that a loss in volume fraction is in fact a surface destabilization followed by rapid and complete whole particle dissolution. To confirm this scenario, it is useful to compare any variation in the diameter of the principal AgNPs in tandem with the volume fraction loss.

Figure 4 shows results quantifying the diameter change over time for both principal particles and agglomerates. Because of the narrow width of the principal particle size distributions, the mode diameter, defined as the particle diameter associated with the maximum in the size distribution, was used to characterize the population. For principal BSA-AgNPs, the results are significant; in all cases, the mode diameters did not decrease or increase by any considerable amount. The 500 mM case changed by $-0.5 \pm 0.4$ nm h$^{-1}$, and each of the others (375, 200, 125, and 50 mM HNO$_3$) changed in size by less than 0.10 ± 0.03 nm h$^{-1}$. These results compare with an initial AgNP mode diameter of $\sim 10$ nm. Stated simply, within the experimental uncertainties, principal particles are not significantly changing diameter during the dissolution process.

Because of the large variation in the width of the agglomerate size distributions produced, the mode and mean diameters extracted from a log-normal distribution are less representative of a nominal agglomerate size than the median diameter. Therefore, we have used the median diameter to characterize the agglomerate sizes. The median diameters of the agglomerates range between 50 and 600 nm. In 500 mM HNO$_3$, agglomerates initially form at $\sim 100$ nm and decrease to $\sim 75$ nm in the first 0.5 h. Agglomerates then slowly continue to change in size by $-12 \pm 3$ nm h$^{-1}$ to $\sim 60$ nm, at which point it seems that the agglomerate diameter begins to stabilize. This rapid initial coagulation and then shrinking of the agglomerates indicates that Ag is being dissolved from the exterior of a BSA-AgNP agglomerate cluster until it reaches $\sim 60$ nm (four-particle-thick agglomerates). At this point (after $\sim 1.5$ h), the stir bar failure and sedimentation artificially increase the measured median size progressively to well over 1 $\mu m$ (data not shown for clarity). Fully dense silver particles of 60 to 100 nm diameter will sediment, while the large micrometer-scale agglomerates may be sufficiently loosely packed to remain as buoyant fractal clusters of BSA with embedded AgNPs (vide infra). The formation of fractal agglomerates at later dissolution times is confirmed when the slope of $I(q)$ at low $q$ becomes linear in a log–log plot over several decades in $q$ (Supporting Information). At this point, the agglomerate model discussed here becomes inapplicable, but fractal agglomeration is discussed in more detail later.

In 375 mM HNO$_3$, agglomerates initially formed with median diameters of just over $100$ nm, similar to those in 500 mM HNO$_3$; however, in the 375 mM HNO$_3$ case, they grew at a rate of $25 \pm 1$ nm h$^{-1}$ for more than 8 h. This is in contrast to the higher acid concentration and shows that agglomeration outperformed dissolution from the exterior of the BSA-AgNP agglomerates. There is a jump in the measured median diameter because this is where the agglomerates begin to exhibit power-law scaling over the remaining $q$ range, and our spherical agglomerate model becomes much less reliable. Eventually, however, the agglomerates stop growing, and dissolution begins to take over, ultimately depleting the AgNPs from the dispersion (seen by eye after $\sim 16$ h). The complexities of the 375 mM HNO$_3$ case are discussed in detail later.

In 200 mM HNO$_3$, the agglomerate volume fraction is so low (initially <1% of the total AgNP volume fraction) that only small amounts of large agglomerates 300 to 550 nm in size are detected. However, as dissolution proceeds, the agglomerate population that survives accounts for $\sim 2.7\%$ of the total AgNP volume fraction and the agglomerate diameter fluctuates between 100 and 300 nm. This remains a very weak agglomerate component, and the statistical fluctuations in the fitted agglomerate diameter are essentially artifacts of the model fits. In 125 and 50 mM HNO$_3$, there is so little agglomerate volume fraction that the initial agglomerates seem to be $\sim 300$ nm, similar to the 200 mM case, although the data are so noisy and weak that the least-squares fit uncertainty is greater than the predicted diameter change. In fact, in 50 mM HNO$_3$, agglomerates are not detectable at all for the first 1.5 h.

Morphological Transitions during Agglomeration. When AgNPs rapidly agglomerate, such as in 300 and 375 mM HNO$_3$, similar processes ensue, possessing slightly different clustering dynamics. The approximate packing fraction $\phi_{\text{loc}}$, derived from our model for the interference scattering between closely neighboring AgNPs, can be monitored to get an estimate of the effective BSA-AgNP density within a cluster. When particles agglomerate, the kinetic process is called random packing or jamming, corresponding to slightly different clustering dynamics. In reality, the AgNP dispersions contain a polydisperse distribution of principal particles, which can cause the packing fractions to deviate from those found in the theoretical literature. On addition of 500 mM HNO$_3$, the packing fraction immediately takes a value of 0.54, very close to
that of random loosely packed clusters. The distance between particle surfaces in the model (the amount by which the modeled particle nearest-neighbor center-to-center distance exceeds the particle diameter, i.e., \( R - 2r \)) is between 3 and 5 nm, which could be accounted for by the presence of a BSA coating between neighboring particles. We naturally expect AgNPs in 500 mM HNO\(_3\) to form loosely jammed agglomerates because of the rapid AgNP clustering that occurs at high acid concentrations. Thus, it becomes interesting to compare this agglomerating packing fraction with that found at lower acid concentrations.

In 375 mM HNO\(_3\), the packing fraction and center-to-center distance are plotted versus time in Figure 5. The initial packing fraction is 0.64, which is very close to the case of random close packing57,46 or a “maximally random jammed” phase.50 Over 8 h, the packing fraction decreases to 0.43, below that of random loose packing. This change occurs fairly linearly in time, most likely indicating that particles are dissolving inside the close pack. Additionally, the center-to-center nearest-neighbor distance is gradually increasing with time, suggesting that the AgNPs are loosening within the agglomerates. The predictable growth of the power-law scattering with time to lower \( q \) values most likely signals the growth of fractal agglomerates, which as highly branched structures can possess packing fractions different from random loose packing. Across samples, we consistently measure power-law scalings in scattering intensity between 2.4 and 2.6 at low \( q \), which is consistent with a diffusion-limited aggregation scenario51 (power-law fitting results in the Supporting Information). Nam et al. reported agglomerated 12 nm silica particles with fractal dimensions of 2.57 in aerated solutions.52 In both 500 and 375 mM HNO\(_3\), there is a “loosening” of the agglomerates in terms of the occupied Ag fractions. In the 500 mM case, this manifests itself by a significant change in the interparticle distance, whereas the 375 mM case shows a decrease in the packing fraction. In both cases, there is a decrease in Ag within the initial close-packed clusters, suggesting that dissolution is continuously processing the agglomerates.

**Ag\(^+\) Release from the Nanoparticle Surface.** The total Ag dissolved from nanoparticle form is essentially described by the volume fraction versus dissolution time plots in Figure 3. However, to obtain a complete picture of what is happening in the suspension, we must look at the reactions of the total dissolving system. There are many competing effects: BSA destabilization, agglomeration, oxidative dissolution, and even dynamic particle processing.7 At low acid concentrations where the BSA coating protects the Ag core well ([HNO\(_3\)] < 200 mM), the silver volume fraction loss is best described by a zeroth-order reaction rate with respect to HNO\(_3\). At higher acid concentrations where there is significant disruption of the BSA coating, we see a loss of Ag from the nanoparticle form that is not quite linear with time. Plotting the inverse volume fraction versus time reveals the best linear fits for acid concentrations >250 mM, corresponding to a second-order rate with respect to the formation of Ag\(^+\), as shown in Figure 6.

Plotting the inverse volume fraction, \( 1/\phi_{Ag} \) relative to the initial value after the addition of acid reveals a linear relationship that is representative of a second-order rate reaction. We infer from this that among all competing processes, the breakdown of nanoparticulate Ag is dominated by oxidative dissolution:

\[
2\text{Ag}^{0}_{\text{NP}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2(aq) + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{Ag}^{+}(aq) + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

This scenario has been proposed for a citrate-stabilized AgNP system dissolving in dilute acid systems.5 Clearly, another large contributor to the reaction is the nitric acid itself. Both dissolved oxygen and HNO\(_3\) will oxidize the Ag, which results in an increased production of silver ions in solution predominantly through proton-promoted dissolution of the oxidized surface. It is known that the AgNP surface hosts many complex chemical processes affecting Ag\(^+\) release that occur simultaneously: stabilization by sulfidation, dissolution by oxidation, protection by ligand adsorption (BSA), and natural
organics matter as well as ion exchange. Here we provide strong evidence that the dissolution process for BSA-AgNPs is mechanistically driven by BSA coating breakdown and most likely followed by oxidative dissolution. Typically, zeroth-order reactions exist when reactants saturate a surface or cannot overcome a concentration barrier to initiate a reaction; thus it is logical for low acid concentrations, where BSA does not completely break down, that the dissolution rate will be independent of the acid concentration. However, once the silver core is accessible, nothing prevents the oxidative dissolution of the AgNP. In other words, a stable coating of BSA shields (buffers) the reactive metal core from oxygen and acid in the solution environment. Here, we have used only solution measurements so far, and it would be advantageous to visualize what is occurring on an individual particle basis, as we show with transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

To examine what happens at the AgNP surface, we sought to capture the dissolution process using TEM (Figure 7). AgNPs (∼50 to 80 nm) deposited onto TEM substrates were either fully dense spheres or spheres with surface defects that seem to be expelling silver. The images can be seen in Figure 7. Silver discharge from the core was observed several times on multiple substrates. However, BSA-AgNPs deposited onto TEM grids in the absence of HNO3 never exhibited this phenomenon. Only upon acid treatment was a rupture in the surface observed.

We attribute this behavior to the localized disruption of the BSA corona and subsequent oxidative dissolution of the accessible AgNP surface. It is interesting that BSA coating disruption is not uniform, but occurs at a local spot. We present two possibilities for the observed rupturing. First, BSA might not form a uniform protective coating around the entire particle, thus leaving spots on the surface vulnerable to acid attack (infiltration). Second, it is possible that BSA unfolds locally at a point on the AgNP surface where a nearby acidic concentration gradient exists in the solution medium, exposing the surface in the process (fluctuation). Regardless of the specific mechanism, it is clear that nitric acid causes acute and local disruption of the protein surface, leading to the dissolution of Ag from the particle core into the surrounding environment.

Once the coating is breached, individual AgNPs are observed to dissolve very quickly.

Mechanism of BSA-AgNP Dissolution. To develop a working model of what is happening in the dissolving BSA-AgNP system, we bring together key results obtained from the USAXS measurements, application of the rate equations, and TEM. First, the principal population AgNP diameter does not change significantly during dissolution, whether particles agglomerate or stay singly dispersed. Second, the AgNP volume fraction decay during dissolution best fits a second-order rate equation when in a strong acid dissolution environment (i.e., once the BSA layer is breached). Third, by further interpreting the rate reaction analysis in Figure 6, we can infer that once the BSA coating is degraded by the nitric acid, AgNP loss is dominated by the oxidative dissolution of AgNPs into ions. Fourth, our TEM snapshots of the dissolution process for similar AgNPs reveal that acid-mediated surface destabilization results in plumes of high electron contrast silver (most likely oxide, sulfide, or chloride) erupting from the AgNPs and their subsequent rapid elimination.

All of these key results lead us to a model that is shown in the cartoon schematic in Figure 8. We postulate that a BSA corona can protect AgNPs well under harsh acid conditions (up to 250 mM), but once the BSA protein coating is breached, the NPs dissolve very quickly. This can happen due to statistical fluctuations in acid concentrations near a NP surface or by incomplete surface coverage of adsorbed BSA. A single compromised particle then dissolves rapidly because one 10-nm-diameter particle contains ∼10−19 mol Ag which interacts with a ≥50 mM HNO3 solution. The total measured AgNP...
volume fraction in the dissolving AgNP suspension is initially \( \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \), which equates to a 2.43 mM Ag solution (further calculations in the Supporting Information). This confirms that BSA has an enormous protecting effect on the particle surface because we observe such robust stabilization of 2.43 mM Ag (in NP form) in 50 mM HNO₃.

If the concentration of acid added is above 250 mM HNO₃, then the BSA protein coating is disrupted immediately, and particles agglomerate and sediment. However, by stirring the samples we were able to avoid the dominant effect of sedimentation. We infer that the NPs are still dissolved as individual entities, even though trapped in large BSA agglomerates. Thus, we can separate dissolution, agglomeration, and sedimentation to determine the release of Ag from dissolving NP systems. The processes compete, but BSA confers specific stability to the NP surface, which, once lost, causes the particle to disappear, much like popping bubbles as opposed to peeling back the layers of an onion.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have quantified the dissolution, agglomeration, and stability limits of silver nanoparticles coated by a highly protective and ubiquitous protein: bovine serum albumin. By modeling the agglomerate morphology as packed spheres, we are able to extract from USAXS data the total amount of Ag released from NP form, and the kinetics of dissolution and agglomeration can be temporally examined and deconvoluted. Exploring BSA near its stability limits revealed three regimes. At the lowest nitric acid concentrations (< 200 mM), the protein corona protected the particles from dissolution very well with negligible agglomeration. At medium acid levels (< 250 mM), particles began to dissolve and agglomerate simultaneously. At the highest acid concentrations (>250 mM), the protein corona was destabilized and particles rapidly coagulated, forming large, eventually fractal, agglomerates. Because the dissolution and agglomeration processes compete, it is critical to separate their effects in situ in order to determine what happens overall in solution. AgNPs dissolve rapidly when the BSA coating is breached, whether the particles are individually dispersed or trapped in agglomerates. This results in a second-order reaction rate with respect to the disappearance of AgNPs which will then release silver ions (Ag⁺) as a consequence of oxidative dissolution.

Surface coatings are critical for protecting the surface of a reactive metal NP in solution, yet the stability limits of coating breakdown are often overlooked when examining NP reactions in mild or harsh environments. However, it is important to understand the mechanisms and conditions under which a protective coating fails because surface coatings play such essential roles in environmental health and safety studies of NPs. Coagulation of particles (agglomeration) and reactive metal ion release (dissolution), which is a potential environmental pollutant, are the two most basic fates for Ag in natural systems. Controlling the surface coating and measuring the total reaction environment is vital to understanding the basic mechanisms of AgNP transformation in solution. Chemical reactions of NPs in solution are specifically determined by the colloidal stability of reacting species, and the limiting factor in the colloidal destabilization (e.g., AgNP transformation into Ag⁺ and agglomerates) of NPs is the disruption of stable coating molecules and hence the roadblock to understanding NP–environment interactions. Both processes, agglomeration and dissolution, compete to remove individually dispersed particles from solution, which we have directly quantified in relation to the coating molecule’s stability regimes. This method can be extended to other useful coatings and other metal NP systems in order to determine the fate and transformations of nanomaterials in environmentally relevant media or biological systems.

For example, previous work using other highly relevant coatings (e.g., citrate and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)) highlighted the fact that cysteine, the constituent of BSA that binds to Ag, affects dissolution, agglomeration, and surface chemistry. We found, as the authors predicted, that protein had a striking effect, drastically impacting AgNP dissolution kinetics and intermediate agglomerate morphologies formed during the destabilization process. Future work will look at the mechanistic breakdown of other biologically useful coatings such as citrate and PVP in order to determine their stabilizing potential toward reactive metal nanoparticles.
Materials. The following chemicals were used as received\textsuperscript{56} without further purification: AgNO\textsubscript{3} sodium citrate, NaBH\textsubscript{4} granules from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), 1.0 N HNO\textsubscript{3} from Titiristar/ Millipore (Billerica, MA), and bovine serum albumin crystals/powder from Seracare Life Sciences (Milford, MA). Particle-free deionized water was used to prepare all solutions.

Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles, Quality Control, and TEM. Citrate and BSA-AgNPs were synthesized according to previous methods.\textsuperscript{24,57} Briefly, 3 L of water was brought to boiling in a glass beaker on a hot plate with a magnetic stir bar, to which 29.7 mL of a 58.9 mM solution of aqueous AgNO\textsubscript{3} was introduced. The solution was allowed to stir for 1 min, and then 5.11 mL of a 340 mM solution of aqueous sodium citrate was added. After 1 min of stirring, 20 mL of 100 mM NaBH\textsubscript{4} was added dropwise over 2 min under vigorous stirring. Then the solution was allowed to react for 30 min, upon which the beaker was removed from the hot plate to cool to room temperature. Particles were made for several USAXS experiments in this way and typically had $D_{\text{ave}}$ diameters of 17.6 ± 0.2 nm, with a polydispersity index (a DLS intensity-weighted polydispersity estimate) of 0.06 ± 0.02, where uncertainties were calculated as the standard deviation of five measurements. DLS measurements were made with a Zetasizer Nano (Malvern Instruments, Westborough, MA) operating in 173° backscattering mode at 20.0 ± 0.1 °C, and UV−vis spectra were collected on a Lambda 750 spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). In each case, disposable UV-transparent semimicro plastic cuvettes (Brandtech, Essex, CT) were used with a 1 mL sample volume. BSA (or other coatings, Supporting Information) was then added to the solution at 0.06 mg/mL and allowed to react, coating the particles.

Then particles were concentrated ~10× for USAXS measurements by N\textsubscript{2} pressurized stirred-cell ultrafiltration using 100 kDa regenerated cellulose membranes and a stirred cell apparatus (Millipore, Billerica, MA) at pressures of ~170 kPa (25 psi). DLS and UV−vis were employed at each step (after synthesis, after coating, and after concentration) to ensure quality control. No agglomerates or particle loss was detected. The 100 kDa cutoff allows free monomeric BSA (66 kDa) passage through the membrane, resulting in clear filtrate water with a soapy/foamy character, indicative of large quantities of excess free BSA. It should be noted that if the N\textsubscript{2} pressure was higher than ~240 kPa (35 psi), then BSA-AgNPs were observed to leak through the cellulose membrane, judging from a yellow AgNP color appearing in the filtrate. This is likely due to the compressibility of the soft matter BSA coating or damage to the cellulose membrane structure. No centrifugation was performed because, as we have seen before,\textsuperscript{43} significant particle loss can occur, and it is crucial for USAXS to have optimal concentrations, especially because we are losing material during the dissolution experiments. The resultant aqueous dispersions (prior to USAXS measurements) contain 4.86 mM Ag and significantly less than 9.02 μM BSA (bound + free) (further calculations in the Supporting Information). This 9.02 μM BSA concentration, before concentration/purification, was chosen to ensure a maximal coating density on the NP surface, based on measurements of larger AuNPs.\textsuperscript{33}

TEM was performed on a JEOL JEM3010 operating at 300 kV using amine-functionalized SiO\textsubscript{2} TEM grids (Dune Sciences, Eugene, OR). Briefly, larger BSA-AgNPs (~50 to 80 nm) were synthesized identically to the 10 nm particles. The only change in the synthesis was using one-tenth the amount of sodium citrate. This reduction in citrate allows the particles to grow larger because there is insufficient citrate to stabilize the total NP surface area. After being coated with BSA, dilute BSA-AgNPs were mixed with HNO\textsubscript{3}, and the 50 mM solution allowed to process for 2 h. Then 40 μL of the dissolving sample was drop-cast on an amine-functionalized grid and allowed to react for 15 min. Excess NP solution was wicked away, and the grids were dried in a desiccator.

USAXS Measurement and Modeling. USAXS measurements were carried out at sector 15ID-D at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory.\textsuperscript{58} The use of a flow-cell system enabled a continuous flow of sample and acid, enabling in situ measurement, as described previously.\textsuperscript{43} Briefly, 10 mL of the aqueous AgNPs were mixed with 10 mL of the nitric acid solutions and allowed to flow for one cycle before measurement began, during which monochromatic X-rays with energy 16.9 keV ($\lambda$ = 0.073 nm) were used. The scattering data were corrected for parasitic background scattering and for attenuation (using data from an aqueous buffer). USAXS data, which are inherently slit-smeared perpendicular to the scanning direction, were absolute calibrated with respect to the incident beam intensity according to first-principles methods. Data reduction, desmearing, and modeling were performed within the Indra 2 and Irena 2 packages of data evaluation and modeling macros\textsuperscript{44} for Igor Pro (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR).

All parameters were modeled using standard least-squares fitting routines. Uncertainties in modeled parameters from USAXS measurements (i.e., volume fraction) were estimated to be ±5% standard deviations on each data point. For calculated values using these measurements, the propagation of uncertainties was undertaken by the method of partial derivatives.


(53) We found that aqueous BSA-AgNPs were stable for over 1 year at room temperature, whereas citrate-AgNPs dissolved back into metallic silver within several months (data omitted). It should be noted that citrate can stabilize nonoxidizing metal nanoparticles, such as gold, for years. Thus in environments composed of nitric acid and dissolved oxygen, it is understandable that BSA-AgNPs exhibit different stability thresholds against oxidative dissolution completely based on the stability thresholds of BSA itself.


(56) The identification of any commercial product or trade name does not imply endorsement or recommendation by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.


