Frequency up-conversion single photon detectors for quantum communication systems[1]

Lijun Ma[a], Joshua C. Bienfang[b], Oliver Slattery[a] and Xiao Tang[b,*]

[a]Information Technology Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
100 Bureau Dr., Gaithersburg, MD 20899
[b]Physical Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
100 Bureau Dr., Gaithersburg, MD 20899
*xiao.tang@nist.gov

ABSTRACT

Frequency up-conversion technology can be used to increase detection efficiency for near infrared photons, as has been demonstrated in fiber-based quantum communication systems. In a continuous wave pumped up-conversion detector, the temporal resolution is limited by the timing jitter of the detector in the visible range, which limits the maximum clock rate of a quantum communication system. In this paper we describe a scheme to improve the temporal resolution of an up-conversion single-photon detector using multi-wavelength optical-sampling techniques, allowing for increased transmission rates in single-photon communications systems. We experimentally demonstrate our approach with an up-conversion detector using two spectrally and temporally distinct pump pulses, and show that it allows for high-fidelity single-photon detection at twice the rate supported by a conventional single-pump up-conversion detector.

Keywords: Single photon detector, Frequency up-conversion, Optical sampling.

1. INTRODUCTION

The performance of silicon based single photon detectors, such as silicon avalanche diodes (Si-APD), is excellent in the visible range but they do not work when the wavelength is longer than 1000 nm. Single photon detectors using frequency up-conversion technology, simply called up-conversion detectors, are able to detect single photons in the near infrared (NIR) region with high detection efficiency. An up-conversion detector uses a non-linear optical media to up-convert the frequency of the signal photons in the NIR range to a lower frequency in the visible range by a process known as sum frequency generation (SFG). The emerging photons at visible wavelengths are then detected using visible region single photon detectors, such as Si-APDs. The Si-APDs typically have high detection efficiency, low noise, can be operated in ambient temperatures, and are compact and inexpensive. These features make Si-APDs a practical device for many applications.

[1] The identification of any commercial product or trade name does not imply endorsement or recommendation by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Advanced Photon Counting Techniques V, edited by Mark A. Itzler, Joe C. Campbell,
Proc. of SPIE Vol. 8033, 803306 · © 2011 SPIE · CCC code: 0277-786X/11/$18
doi: 10.1117/12.883213

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms

To date, several groups have successfully developed highly efficient up-conversion single photon detectors in the NIR range based on periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) bulk or waveguide crystals combined with Si-APDs [1-7]. Because two telecommunication wavelength bands in optical fibers are in the NIR, up-conversion single photon detectors are very suitable for fiber-based quantum communications systems. To date, several groups have successfully developed up-conversion detectors and integrated them into fiber-based quantum communications systems [4-6]. However, these demonstrations either use a continuous wave (CW) pump at a single fixed wavelength [4,5] or a pulsed pump at a single fixed wavelength [6], and the temporal resolution is determined by the Si-APD. The jitter-limited temporal resolution becomes a bottleneck as the transmission rate increases in a quantum communication system.

The temporal resolution of an up-conversion detector can be further increased using a pulsed pump scheme. A picosecond optical-sampling technique was demonstrated for a strong light signal in a number of studies [8,9]. Recently, femtosecond optical sampling was demonstrated in an up-conversion system using ultra-short 790-nm pump pulses [10]. However, these prior implementations use a single wavelength pump and are not suitable to increase the transmission rate in quantum communication systems because the sampling rate is still limited by the Si-APD's timing resolution. A spectrally and temporally distinct pump in a frequency conversion scheme is an efficient way to increase the transmission rate, and it has been demonstrated in a high speed nonlinear optical time-division multiplexer/demultiplexer [11]. In this work, we propose an optical sampling approach which uses multiple spectrally and temporally distinct pump pulses, to realize an up-conversion single-photon detection system that can support transmission rates significantly higher than the jitter-limited transmission rate of a traditional Si-APD detector. To demonstrate the principle, we report here an experimental system that supports twice the jitter-limited transmission rate of the Si-APDs, and we show that the approach can be extended to support higher transmission rates. We use commercially-available and widely-used Si-APDs (PerkinElmer SPCM-AQR) [12] in this demonstration, but this scheme also works for other single-photon detectors. When single photon detectors with better timing jitter, such as an improved Si-APD [13] or a superconducting single photon detector (SSPD), are integrated into the scheme, the temporal resolution can be further improved.

2. MULTIPLE WAVELENGTH PUMP SCHEME

In many current quantum communication systems, the photon source can generate and temporally encode data at rates significantly higher than single-photon detectors can resolve. For example, commercially available mode-locked lasers or optical modulators can generate sub-10 ps optical pulses, and broadband spontaneous parametric downconversion (SPDC) sources can readily prepare optical states of photon pairs with sub-100 fs correlation time. On the other hand, current high-resolution single-photon detectors exhibit a full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) temporal resolution of the order of 50 ps. In a quantum communication system, insufficient temporal resolution in the detector can cause inter-symbol interference (ISI), i.e., a detection signal can be recorded at a time slot adjacent to the intended one, and this can induce a significant error rate. The transmission rate is therefore limited by the temporal resolution of the single-photon detectors. As a figure of merit, a single-photon signal can be received with an acceptable error rate when the transmission period is equal to or larger than the full width at 1% maximum (FW1%M) of the single-photon detector's response histogram [14]. For most types of Si APDs the FW1%M is significantly larger than the commonly-cited FWHM. At the peak of a typical Si APD's response histogram, where the FWHM is measured, the profile is approximately Gaussian, but at lower levels the detector's response deviates significantly from Gaussian, often exhibiting a long exponential tail in its temporal response and this dramatically increases the device's FW1%M. A

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms

typical commercially-available Si APD has a FWHM of about 350 ps, but a FW1%M of about 1100 ps that limits the transmission rate to less than 1 GHz for a quantum communication system using an up-conversion detector equipped with this type of Si APD.

To increase the temporal resolution of an up-conversion detection system beyond that of its constituent Si APDs, a sequence of spectrally and temporally distinct pump pulses can be used to sub-divide the minimum resolvable time period, τ_{det}. This application of optical sampling is illustrated in Fig. 1, where n pump pulses with different wavelengths are used to sample the incident single-photon signal in intervals of duration τ_{det}/n. To ensure optimum detection efficiency we consider a pulsed single-photon signal and prepare each pump pulse with a width larger than the single-photon signal pulses. The repetition rate for each particular wavelength of the pump is $1/\tau_{det}$. When a signal photon enters and co-propagates with one of the strong pump pulses in a quasi-phase matched sum-frequency crystal, such as periodically-poled lithium niobate (PPLN), it can be up-converted to the visible range. The specific wavelength of the up-converted photon is determined by the wavelength of the pump pulse with which it interacted. A subsequent dispersive element such as a grating can separate the up-converted signal photons and distribute them to an array of Si APDs. Each Si APD in the array therefore corresponds to a particular pump wavelength, and, by extension, a particular arrival time period of duration τ_{det}/n. In such a configuration the sampling period for each Si APD is τ_{det}, allowing it to accurately resolve the signal without ambiguity due to the detector's temporal response. With this approach, the detection system is able to resolve the single-photon signal in a period as small as τ_{det}/n, representing an increase in temporal resolution by a factor of n.



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of up-conversion single-photon detection with multi-wavelength optical sampling. A sequence of n spectrally and temporally distinct pump pulses are used to sub-divide the minimum resolvable time bin, τdet, of conventional Si APDs, increasing the temporal resolution of the overall system by a factor of n. The incident single-photon signal is combined with the sequence of pump pulses with a wavelength division multiplexer (WDM). Detection events from each of the n Si APDs are time-tagged with time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC).

3. TWO WAVELENGTH PUMP DEMONSTRATION

To experimentally demonstrate the scheme described above we implement an up-conversion detector with two pump wavelengths and a single-photon signal whose period is significantly less than the FW1%M of the Si APDs used in the system, as shown schematically in Fig. 2. Similar to our previous work [6, 15,16], the up-conversion detector is designed

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms

to detect signal photons near 1310 nm, which is one of the standard telecommunications wavelengths. In our system the high-repetition rate single-photon signal at 1310 nm is produced by an attenuated CW laser diode and an electro-optic modulator (EOM) driven by a pattern generator (Tektronix DTG5274). This pulse-carving source produces weak coherent 220-ps (FWHM) pulses with a period of 625 ps (1.6 GHz).

As shown in Fig. 2, the pattern generator also drives pulse-carving systems for the two up-conversion pump sources at 1549.2 nm (New Focus 6328) and 1550.0 nm (Agilent 81689A). Each pump source has a period of 1.25 ns, and before the pump and the signal are combined the pulses from the first pump are aligned with the odd signal pulses, and the pulses in the second pump are aligned with the even signal pulses, by adjusting the delays in the pattern generator, as shown as in Fig. 3. The pump-pulse duration used in the experiment is 400 ps, which is wider than the signal pulse and chosen to provide higher conversion efficiency [6]. The two pump beams are combined by a 1x2 coupler and then amplified by a 1-Watt erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) (IPG Photonics: EAR-0.5K-C). At the output of the EDFA two 1310/1550 WDM couplers are used in series, giving a 50-dB extinction ratio in total, to suppress noise around 1310 nm. The amplified pump light is then combined with the 1310-nm signal by another WDM coupler, and the combined pump and signal are coupled into the up-conversion medium. Up-conversion takes place in a 1-cm PPLN waveguide (AdvR Inc.) that has a fiber-coupled input and a free-space output. When mixed with the slightly different pump wavelengths in the PPLN waveguide, the 1310 nm signal photons are up-converted to output photons at 710.0 nm and 709.8 nm. The output beam is filtered to remove noise and excess pump light and then diffracted by a holographic grating (Kaiser Optical Systems, HLBF-710). After a 3 m path the 710.0 nm and 709.8 nm photons are sufficiently separated that they can be directed onto two Si APDs (PerkinElmer SPCM-AQR-14). In this system an adjustable iris placed in front of the Si APD, in conjunction with the holographic grating, act as a 0.4-nm band-pass filter, which greatly reduces the dark count rate. The detected signals are counted by a time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) system.



Fig. 2. Experimental setup. LD: Laser Diode, EOM: Electric-optic Modulator; EDFA: Erbium-doped fiber amplifier; WDM: Wavelength-division multiplexing coupler; PC: Polarization controller; PPLN: Periodically-poled LiNbO3 waveguides; OL, Objective Lens; HG, Holographic Grating. TCSPC: time-correlated single photon counting;

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms



Fig. 3. Timing diagram of the signal , pump 1 and pump 2 used in the multi-wavelength optical sampling single-photon detection system.

In this experiment we use a 1-cm long PPLN waveguide. A shorter waveguide provides a broader spectral bandwidth, which is necessary to cover the spectral separation of the two output photons. In prior work, we have demonstrated high single-photon up-conversion efficiency with a longer 5-cm PPLN waveguide, but we find that the quasi-phase matched bandwidth for such a waveguide is too narrow for the current approach. The transfer function response of a finite-length uniform QPM grating is [17]:

$$I_{SFG}(\Delta k) \propto I_{pump} \cdot I_{signal} \cdot \mathrm{sinc}^2(\Delta k \cdot L/2), \tag{1}$$

where I_{SFG}, I_{pump}, and I_{signal} are the intensities of SFG, pump, and signal light, respectively, L is the waveguide length, and Δk is the phase-mismatching, which determines the bandwidth of the spectral response. According to Eq. (1), for a given SFG intensity, the waveguide length and the spectral response bandwidth are inversely proportional; the shorter the waveguide, the broader the spectral response bandwidth. Fig. 4(a) shows the spectral response measured experimentally for the 1-cm PPLN waveguide. Its 3-dB bandwidth is about 1.3 nm, which is about 5 times wider than that of the 5-cm PPLN (0.25 nm) [16]. In this experiment the wider bandwidth allows two pumps, at wavelengths 1549.2 nm and 1550.0 nm, to operate with almost the same conversion efficiency, which is about 85% of the maximum conversion efficiency.

Detection efficiency is a significant trade off for a short waveguide. The overall efficiency of the up-conversion detector, η_o, can be estimated by [1-6]:

$$\eta_o = \eta_{loss} \cdot \eta_{\mathrm{det}} \cdot \eta_{con} \approx \eta_{loss} \cdot \eta_{\mathrm{det}} \cdot \sin^2(\alpha \cdot \sqrt{P_{pmup}} \cdot L), \tag{2}$$

where η_{loss} is the total optical loss in the detector due to component insertion loss (mainly the waveguide coupling) and photon transmission loss in the waveguide; η_{con} is the internal conversion efficiency in the PPLN, which can be

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms

estimated by Eq. (1); η_{det} is the efficiency of Si APD at the detection wavelength, which is 710 nm in our case. P_{pump} represents the pump power and α is a constant. According to Eq. (2), to compensate for the reduced conversion efficiency in a shorter waveguide the pump power must be scaled quadratically. For example, the pump power required to achieve the maximum conversion efficiency in a 1-cm waveguide is 25 times higher than that for a 5-cm waveguide.

Fig. 4(b) shows the detection efficiency of the up-conversion detector as a function of average pump power. The pump power on the x-axis is measured at the input fiber of the PPLN waveguide. Although maximum output power of the EDFA is 1 W, the maximum power at the input fiber is approximately 510 mW due to losses in the WDM couplers and connectors between the EDFA and the waveguide. In our system the combined pulse duration of the two pumps covers 67% of each clock period, and therefore the peak power of each pulse is only 1.5 times higher than the average power. Fig. 4(b) indicates that the up-conversion efficiency of the detector does not reach its potential maximum value and is limited by the available pump power. Besides the insufficient pump power, the detection efficiency in our system is further reduced by the absence of AR coating on the waveguide ends, causing about 26% loss, and, as stated above, the two pumps operate at wavelengths that provide 85% of the peak spectral response. Due to these factors the overall detection efficiency is measured to be 7 %. The detection efficiency can be improved by using a higher pump power and an AR-coated waveguide. Selecting pump wavelengths closer to the center of spectral response can also improve the overall detection efficiency, but puts more stringent demands on the spectral separation before the Si APDs.

The dark count rate is another important parameter for a single-photon detector. In an up-conversion single photon detector, the total dark counts come from the intrinsic dark count of Si-APD and the noise from the frequency conversion process. The intrinsic dark count rate is dependent on the Si-APD used in the experiment, which is about 100 counts per second [12]. The noise count rate in an up-conversion detector has been extensively studied [1-6, 18], and the main source of the noise counts is widely believed to be the spontaneous Raman scattering (SRS) and spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC) generated in the waveguide by the strong pump. If these SRS photons or SPDC photons are generated at wavelengths within the signal band they can be up-converted to the detection wavelength, generating noise counts. The noise count rate of an up-conversion detector can be reduced by using a pump wavelength that is longer than the signal wavelength, because (1) the anti-Stokes component of the Raman process is much weaker than the Stokes component, and (2) longer wavelength pumps intrinsically avoid the SPDC photon generation at signal wavelength range. To further reduce the noise count rate, it is also beneficial to use a bandpass filter with a very narrow bandwidth behind the waveguide. The SRS photons are generated over a broad spectrum, while the up-converted signal can be quite narrow. As stated above, in this experiment the iris in front of the Si APDs and the holographic grating constitute a band-pass filter with a bandwidth of about 0.4 nm. From Fig. 4 (b), the total dark count rate of the two Si APDs in the up-conversion detector are approximately 240 and 220 counts per second, respectively, at our maximum pump power.



Fig. 4. (a) The spectral efficiency of the up-conversion detector. (b) The detection efficiency (DE) and dark count rate (DCR) as function of pump power. The pump power is measured in the input fiber of the PPLN waveguide.

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms

For a quantum communication system, inter-symbol interference (ISI) can be a significant source of errors. ISI can be caused by timing jitter of single photon detectors, and to avoid a high bit-error rate, the transmission data cycle should be equal to or larger than the FW1%M of the response histogram. For the 220-ps signal pulse used in our system, the response histogram of an up-conversion detector with a single wavelength pump is shown in Fig. 5 (black). The FW1%M of the histogram is about 1.25 ns and this detection system can therefore support a transmission rate of 800 MHz. When such a detection system is used to detect a 1.6 GHz signal, the insufficient temporal resolution of the detector results in severe ISI, as indicated by the poor pulse resolution shown in Fig. 5 (grey). The application of optical sampling with two spectrally and temporally distinct pump pulses and a separate Si-APD for each pump wavelength, as described above, accommodates the 1.25-ns FW1%M of each individual pump channel but supports an overall transmission rate of 1.6 GHz with low ISI. Fig. 6 (a) shows the response histogram of each APD in the optical-sampling up-conversion system for a repetitive signal pattern "11111111." For each APD, the detection window is larger than FW1%M of APD response, so the ISI is not obvious. To illustrate both the temporal demultiplexing and the ISI in this system, in Fig. 6 (b), we show the response histogram of each of the two APDs for a repetitive signal pattern "10010110." APD 1 receives the signal at odd time bins, resulting in the pattern "1001" and APD 2 receives the signal at even time bins resulting in the pattern "0110," and the original signal can be reconstructed by interleaving the data recorded by the two APDs. To measure the ISI in the optical sampling up-conversion system under conditions found in a QKD system [11] we also drove the signal with a 1.6 Gb/s pseudo-random data pattern. After comparing the received data to the original data, the error rate was found to be approximately 1.2 %. Subtracting the error rate caused by the imperfect extinction ratio of the modulator and the intrinsic dark counts of APDs, the error rate caused by ISI is less than 1%. It should be noted that for most Si-APDs (including the Si-APD used in these experiments), the timing-jitter becomes larger when the count-rate is very high [19]. This effect is called "count-dependent jitter". To avoid this jitter influence, all the measurements in this experiment were implemented when the count rate of a single Si-APD is less than 400K counts/s. When the count rate increases over 600K counts/s, the extra timing jitter will cause the ISI to increase. The count-dependent jitter can be reduced by a modified output circuitry [19].



Fig. 5. Response histogram of the up-conversion detector with a single pump wavelength. The response histogram of single pulse (dark blue) shows the FW1%M is 1.25 ns and its temporal resolution is insufficient to resolve, with low ISI, the repetitive data pattern "11111111" at 1.6 GHz (light blue).

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms



Fig. 6. Response histogram of the up-conversion detector with two spectrally and temporally distinct pump pulses (a) response histogram of APD 1 and APD 2, for a repetitive signal pattern "11111111" at 1.6 GHz. (b) response histogram of APD 1 and APD 2 , for a repetitive data pattern 10010110 at 1.6 GHz.

4. CONCLUSION

The temporal resolution of an up-conversion detector equipped with a Si-APD is typically limited by the jitter of the APD. If such an up-conversion detector is used in a high-speed quantum communication system, the transmission rate for the system is limited by ISI due to jitter in the APD. We have presented a scheme to improve the temporal resolution for such detectors using spectrally and temporally distinct pump pulses. In principle, as the number of pump wavelengths is increased, the temporal resolution of the up-conversion detector can improve linearly. We have experimentally demonstrated an up-conversion detector using two pump wavelengths that supports low ISI single-photon detection at twice the rate supported by a conventional single-pump up-conversion detector. The scheme proposed here can significantly improve the temporal performance of single-photon detectors, and by extension, the performance of high-speed quantum communication systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the NIST quantum information initiative program for the support .

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms

REFERENCES

[1] Vandevender, A. P. and Kwiat, P. G. "High efficiency single photon detection via frequency up-conversion," J. Mod. Opt., 51, 1433-1445 (2004)

[2] Albota, M. and Wong, F. "Efficient single-photon counting at 1.55um by means of frequency upconversion," Opt. Lett. 29, 1449-1451 (2004).

[3] Langrock, C., Diamanti, E., Roussev, R. V., Yamamoto, Y., Fejer, M. M. and Takesue, H. "Highly efficient single-photon detection at communication wavelengths by use of upconversion in reverse-proton-exchanged periodically poled LiNbO3 waveguides," Opt. Lett. 30, 1725-1727 (2005)

[4] Diamanti, E., Takesue, H., Honjo, T., Inoue, K. and Yamamoto, Y. "Performance of various quantum-key-distribution systems using 1.55-μm up-conversion single-photon detectors," Phys. Rev. A, 72, 052311, (2005)

[5] Thew, R. T., Tanzilli, S., Krainer, L., Zeller, S. C., Rochas, A., Rech, I., Cova, S., Zbinden, H. and Gisin, N." Low jitter up-conversion detectors for telecom wavelength GHz QKD," New J. Phys. 8, 32. (2006)

[6] Xu, H. Ma, L., Mink, A., Hershman, B. and Tang, X. "1310-nm quantum key distribution system with up-conversion pump wavelength at 1550 nm", Opt. Express, 15, 7247- 7260 (2007)

[7] Dong, H., Pan, H., Li, Y., Wu, E. and Zeng, H. " Efficient single-phtone frequency upconversion at 1.06 μm with ultralow background counts," Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 071101 (2008).

[8] Ishizuki, H., Suhara, T., Fujimura, M. and Nishihara, H. "wavelength-conversion type picosecond optical switching using a waveguide," Optical and Quantum Electronics 33, 953 (2001).

[9] Andrekson, P.A. and Westlund, M. "Nonlinear optical fiber based high resolution all-optical waveform sampling," Laser & Photonics Review 1, 231 (2007).

[10] Kuzucu, O., Wong, F. N. C., Kurimura, S. and Tovstonog, S. "Time-resolved single-photon detection by femtosecond upconversion," Opt. Lett. 33, 2257 (2008).

[11] Huang, J., Langrock, C., Xie, X. and Fejer, M. "Monolithic 160 Gbit/s optical time-division multiplexer," Opt. Lett. 32, 2420-2422 (2007)

[12] Datasheet of Perkin Elmer Single Photon Counting Module SPCM-AQR Series

[13] Rech, I., Luo, G., Ghioni, M., Yang, H. Xie, X. S., and Cova, S. "Photon-Timing Detector Module for Single-Molecule Spectroscopy With 60-ps Resolution," IEEE J. of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics, 10, 788-795 (2004)

[14] Restelli, A., Bienfang, J. C., Mink, A. and Clark, C. "Quantum key distribution at GHz transmission rates," Proc. of SPIE 7236, 72360L, (2009).

[15] Ma, L. O. Slattery, T. Chang and X. Tang, "Non-degenerated sequential time-bin entanglement generation using periodically poled KTP waveguide," Opt. Express 17, 15799–15807 (2009).

[16] Ma, L., Slattery, O. and Tang, X., "Experimental study of high sensitivity infrared spectrometer with waveguide-based up-conversion detector," Opt. Express, 17, 14395–14404 (2009)

[17] Fejer, M. M., Magel, G. A. Jundt, D. H. and Byer, R. L. "Quasi-phase-matched second harmonic generation: tuning and tolerances," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 28, 2631-2654 (1992).

[18] Pelc, J. S., Langrock, C., Zhang, Q. and Fejer, M. M. "Influence of domain disorder on parametric noise in quasi-phase-matched quantum frequency converters," Opt. Lett. 35, 2804 (2010).

[19] Gordon, K. J., Fernandez V., Buller, G. S., Rech, I., Cova, S. D. and Townsend, P. D. "Quantum key distribution system clocked at 2 GHz," Opt. Express 13, 3015-3020 APR 18 2005.

[20] Donnelly, T. D. and Grossman, C. "Ultrafast phenomena: A laboratory experiment for undergraduates," Am. J. Phys. 66, (1998).

Downloaded from SPIE Digital Library on 25 May 2011 to 129.6.88.148. Terms of Use: http://spiedl.org/terms