Amplification of the optical absorption cross section for aerosols of soot with a nonabsorbing coating from photoacoustic measurements

P.A. Bueno^{1, 2}, D.K. Havey^{2, 3}, G.W. Mulholland^{1, 2}, J.T. Hodges², K.A. Gillis², R.R. Dickerson¹, and M.R. Zachariah^{1, 2†}

¹University of Maryland, College Park, MD

²National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD

³James Madison University, Harrisonburg, VA

Keywords: absorption, aerosol, amplification, coating, dibutyl phthalate, photoacoustic, soot

A quantitative understanding of the absorption and scattering properties of mixed soot and aerosol particles is necessary for evaluating the Earth's energy balance. Uncertainty in the net radiative forcing of atmospheric aerosols is relatively large and may be limited by oversimplified models that fail to predict these properties for bare and externally mixed soot particles. In this laboratory study of flame-generated soot, we combine photoacoustic spectroscopy, particle counting techniques, and differential mobility analysis to obtain high-precision measurements of the size-dependent absorption cross section of uncoated and coated soot particles. We investigate how the coating of soot by nonabsorbing films of dibutyl phthalate (chosen as a surrogate for sulfuric acid) affects the particles' morphology and optical properties. Absorption measurements were made with photoacoustic spectroscopy using a laser at $\lambda = 405$ nm. We report measurements and model calculations of the absolute cross section, mass absorption coefficient, and amplification of the absorption cross section. The results are interpreted and modeled in terms of a core-shell geometry and Lorenz-Mie theory of scattering and absorption. We discuss evidence of soot particle and collapse as a result of the coating process, and we demonstrate the ability to resolve changes in the coating thickness as small as 2 nm.

[†] Address correspondence to M. R. Zachariah, 2125 Glenn L. Martin Hall, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. Email: mrz@umd.edu

INTRODUCTION

Soot is the principal light absorbing atmospheric aerosol (Jacobson 2001). Sometimes referred to as black carbon, soot is composed of graphitic carbon, as well as other organic compounds. Since soot is not uniquely defined in terms of chemical composition, size or morphology it is difficult to accurately assess its role in atmospheric chemistry and global warming. While the exact impact of soot on radiative transfer in the atmosphere is still under investigation, it is well established that all forms of soot absorb incoming solar radiation. This light-matter interaction contributes to warming of the atmosphere (IPCC 2009) and its magnitude is typically quantified in terms of a positive radiative forcing expressed as a radiant power per unit area. The radiative forcing is directly related to the spectral dependence of the absorption and scattering cross sections of the soot particles (optical properties). However, the exact contribution of soot to the overall energy balance in the atmosphere is an unresolved question that motivates this study. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports a relatively large uncertainty in the radiative forcing of soot and other light-absorbing particles (IPCC 2009). The positive radiative forcing of light-absorbing atmospheric aerosols is masked by the negative radiative forcing of most other particles present in the atmosphere. The warming effect (positive radiative forcing) is effectively unique to soot, which makes it important as a greenhouse species. With a radiative forcing of about 0.5 Wm⁻², soot is one of the largest contributors to atmospheric warming (Schmid et al. 2004).

It is understood that soot ages when released into the atmosphere. (Dickerson et al. 2002; Net et al. 2009) This process usually involves the oxidation of the particles and eventual coating by chemicals found in the atmosphere. One of the most common coatings found on soot is sulfuric acid (Hallett et al. 1989; Schumann et al. 1996; Saathoff et al. 2003a), which while weakly absorbing in the visible, may influence the effective absorption efficiency of soot (Jacobson 2001; Chylek et al. 1995; Jacobson 2000). The aging of the soot leads to several possible mixing states, externally mixed states, including

core-shell states, and internally mixed states. It is the core-shell state that appears to be the most prevalent for aged soot (Jacobson 2000) and the system considered in this study. The coating of soot with sulfuric acid and subsequent hygroscopic growth due to interactions in the atmosphere lead to enhancement of the absorption cross section of the soot particles; recent work has shown that the coating processes can nearly double the amount of light absorption by soot (Zhang et al. 2008).

More accurate measurements of soot absorption cross-section (C_{abs}) and radiative forcing are required by global climate models (Haywood and Ramaswamy 1998; Haywood and Boucher 2000; Cooke et al. 1999). However, such data are difficult to obtain because of variability in morphology, composition, mixing state, and conditioning of soot. Since soot cannot be defined by a unique chemical formula (like e.g. silica) and its composition is source dependent (Cartwright et al. 1956; Schneider 1972), it is illdefined as a material. These complexities contribute to the highly uncertain values for the radiative forcing of soot, which ranges from 0.27 Wm⁻² to 0.54 Wm⁻² (Jacobson 2000). Accurate measurement of the optical properties of soot in the atmosphere is difficult because of the large number of uncontrolled variables, such as temperature, pressure, and humidity, as well as the soot's source, composition, history, and age (Saathoff et al. 2003b; Schnaiter et al. 2005; Weingartner et al. 2003). Another factor that hinders many optical measurements of soot is the traditional use of filter-based measurements such as particle soot absorption photometers and aethalometers (Weingartner et al. 2003; Cappa et al. 2008; Chow et al. 2009; Lack et al. 2009). While filter-based measurements are robust, and involve simple applications of Beer's law, there are many drawbacks to those methods. These include (1) an artificially high absorption reading if light is scattered off the particles on the filter and (2) multiple scattering off suspended particles within the filter may result in multiple absorption events, again resulting in an artificially high absorption result (Bond et al. 1999). Ideally one would like to make the optical characterization in a dilute aerosol state so that the absorption can be corrected for the effects of optical

depth interference and particle size. Several groups have measured the absorption properties of soot (Zhang et al. 2008; Schnaiter et al. 2005; Gangl et al. 2008; Khalizov et al. 2009; Slowik et al. 2007b). A typical approach is an indirect determination through a difference in scattering and extinction. This indirect approach may provide reasonable values for the absorption properties, but a direct method can yield accurate results with a lower uncertainty than an indirect method.

There are two established methods to directly measure the optical absorption by soot aerosols that avoid the complications of filter-based methods: photothermal interferometry (Sedlacek and Lee 2007) and photoacoustic spectroscopy (PAS) (Arnott et al. 2000; Lack et al. 2006). Here we use PAS (Gillis et al. 2010; Havey et al. 2010) to quantify the effect of a nonabsorbing coating on the optical absorption cross section of size-selected, laboratory-generated, bare- and coated-soot particle aerosols. We use dibutyl phthalate (DBP) as a nonabsorbing coating material, which is a surrogate for atmospheric H₂SO₄, and we measure the change in the absorption cross section as a function of particle size and coating thickness. In the next section, we discuss the soot particle generation, size selection, coating, particle counting and PAS techniques. Finally, we compare the measurements with well-known theories for light absorption.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

All the measurements, except for the TEM, were performed at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in Gaithersburg, MD. The TEM measurements were performed at the College Park campus of the University of Maryland. The experimental apparatus comprised a diffusion-flame soot generator (Santoro et al. 1983), custom differential mobility analyzers (DMAs) for particle size selection and classification (Knutson and Whitby 1975), a DBP-coating chamber, the NIST photoacoustic (PA) spectrometer (Gillis et al. 2010) for measuring the absorption coefficient and a commercial condensation

nuclei particle counter (CPC) as shown in Figure 1. The soot size selection and classification system consisted of two custom DMAs using TSI model 3081 long columns[†] and two ²¹⁰Po bipolar charge neutralizers. We implemented two experimental methods for selecting well-defined ensembles of bare and coated soot particles for PAS and CPC analysis: in one method, we used a single DMA (SDMA) for size-selection, whereas in the other method, we added a second DMA for a tandem DMA (TDMA) arrangement to further refine the size distribution. For both methods, the particles from the soot generator were passed through a neutralizer and then size-selected with DMA-1, as indicated in Figure 1. For the SDMA method, the size-selected particles emerging from DMA-1 were sent either directly to the PA spectrometer and the CPC for analysis of the bare particles or through the DBP coating chamber before going to the PA spectrometer and the CPC for analysis of the coated particles. The size distribution of the uncoated and coated soot particles was measured with DMA-2 in scanning mode. For analysis of bare particles with the TDMA method, the size-selected soot particles emerging from DMA-1 were directed through a second bipolar charge neutralizer, then through DMA-2 for additional size selection, as described below, then on to the PA spectrometer and CPC for analysis. For analysis of coated particles with the TDMA method, the size-selected bare particles emerging from DMA-1 passed through the coating chamber as in the SDMA method, but the coated soot particles were then size selected again with DMA-2 before analysis. The second neutralizer was not used for the coated particles. The TDMA method yielded coated soot particles with narrow size distributions for both the uncoated and coated soot particles. We note, however, that the particle count for the TDMA experiment was reduced approximately tenfold compared to that of the SDMA method. In the next sections, we

[†]Commercial equipment, instruments, and materials, or software are identified in this report to specify adequately the experimental procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement of these items by NIST, nor does it imply that they are the best available for the purpose.

discuss the soot generation, size selection and classification, the coating system, the particle counting, and the photoacoustic resonator in more detail.

Soot Generation

The soot was generated in a Santoro style diffusion burner (Santoro et al. 1983) and sampled via a method described by Kim et al. (Kim et al. 2005). Particle-free, dry air was delivered at 5 L/min orthogonally to an ethylene combustion flame. An ejector pump downstream of the sampling probe created a low-pressure region in the direction of the soot flow with a pressure drop of approximately 1 kPa. The low pressure at the flame tip drew the flame into a 1 mm diameter hole in the sampling probe at the flame-probe interface. The generated soot was carried to a 5 L volume accumulation chamber, where the fresh soot was allowed to agglomerate in order to increase the particle size. The aerosol stream flowed from the accumulation chamber through an ejector pump, where the stream was diluted 6:1 with particle-free air. The diluted stream was then sampled to create a 1.5 L/min aerosol stream that flowed through the rest of the experimental system.

Size Selection and Classification

Differential mobility analysis separates particles based on their electrical mobility, Z. For spherical particles, Z depends on the charge number q, the carrier gas's viscosity η , and on the mobility diameter $d_{\rm m}$ through the relation $Z = qeC_c(d_{\rm m})/(3\pi\eta d_{\rm m})$, where $C_{\rm C}(d_{\rm m})$ is the Cunningham slip factor and e is the elementary charge ($e \approx 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ C). This relationship can be empirically applied to nonspherical particles, in which case $d_{\rm m}$ represents the mobility diameter of a spherical particle with the same electrical mobility. For the restricted range of particle sizes studied in this work, $Z \propto q/d_m^{\gamma}$, approximately, where $\gamma = 1.65$. Thus, singly and doubly charged particles will have the same electrical

mobility Z if $d_{m,2}/d_{m,1} \approx 1.5$, where $d_{m,1}$ and $d_{m,2}$ are the respective mobility diameters. In general, a particle stream, selected for a given electrical mobility, may be a composite of singly and multiply charged particles with different mobility diameters.

The flame-generated soot studied here is composed of a broad size distribution of singly and multiply charged particles as shown by the dashed curve in Figure 2a. We measured this distribution by scanning the selected voltage (mobility) of DMA-1. The narrower distribution of bare particles (solid line in Figure 2a) was obtained by fixing DMA-1 to select particles with a mobility diameter of 100 nm; the distribution was measured by scanning DMA-2, and its width reflects the combined resolution of the two DMAs. The distributions are plotted as a function of the apparent mobility diameter, d_{am} . The true mobility diameter for particles with charge number q > 1 is $d_m \approx d_{am}q^{1/\gamma}$. For singly charged particles (q = 1), the apparent mobility and the true mobility are identical. We emphasize that singly and multiply charged particles with the same electrical mobility contribute to this distribution and are not distinguishable because they have the same apparent mobility diameter. The relative sizes of the singly and doubly charged particles are indicated by the black circles under the peak.

To observe the component distributions, the particles were passed through a second neutralizer to change the charge on the size-selected particles according to a Boltzmann probability and then were analyzed by scanning DMA-2. Figure 3a shows the resultant component distributions of uncoated soot with 100 nm apparent mobility diameter. The particle size probability density F_N plotted in Figure 3 has been corrected to account for the logarithmic dependence of the DMA response and normalized by the maximum particle counts. There are four identified particle peaks. The relative sizes and charge numbers of the particles that contribute to each peak are also shown. Above each peak, the original charge and the subsequent charge after re-neutralization is indicated for the predominant particle. The peaks labeled $q \rightarrow 1$ identify particles that had charge q after emerging from DMA-1 but became singly

charged by the second neutralizer. The peak locations indicate their respective true mobility diameters. The peak labeled $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ is due to singly charged particles that acquired a second charge and is located at its apparent mobility diameter. Each peak was fit with a log normal distribution (dotted curves) to determine the peak position and width. The deviations of the composite fit from the data are shown in Figure 3b and have a combined standard deviation of 0.01.

The plot in Figure 3a shows the presence of doubly ($d_m \approx 150 \text{ nm}$) and triply ($d_m \approx 200 \text{ nm}$) charged particles in addition to the singly charged ($d_m \approx 100 \text{ nm}$) particles emerging from DMA-1 (Kim et al. 2005). By neglecting the small number of particles with more than two charges, we deduced the charge fractions given in Table 1 from measurements using the CPC to count particles and an electrometer to count charges exiting the DMA. To justify our approximation, we estimated the charge fractions, including triply charge particles, based on the peak assignments, their locations, and their respective areas from Figure 3a and by assuming Boltzmann statistics for the charge probability. From this analysis, we estimate the fraction of triply charged particles to be less than 10% for all the particles that we studied. We observed qualitative agreement between the measured and modeled charge fractions for

doubly charged particles is f_1 and $1-f_1$, respectively.								
-	m	obility diameter (nn	n)	Charge fraction				
	nominal	$d_{ m m,1}$	$d_{\mathrm{m,2}}$	f_1				
	100	98.2	151.2	0.40				
SDMA	150	151.2	232.9	0.52				
	200	201.7	299.6	0.73				
	100	98.2	151.2	0.96				
TDMA	150	151.2	232.9	0.94				
	200	201 7	299.6	1 0				

TABLE 1 Mobility diameters of singly $(d_{m,1})$ and doubly $(d_{m,2})$ charged uncoated particles for the SDMA and TDMA experiments. The fraction of singly and doubly charged particles is f_1 and $1-f_1$, respectively.

the SDMA data. For the TDMA data, however, there are significant differences (up to 50%) that could not be reconciled between the charged fractions that were measured with the CPC/electrometer (given in Table 1) and those estimated from the DMA size distributions using Boltzmann statistics.

Coating System

As shown in Figure 1, the coating apparatus was located after DMA-1 for both the SDMA and TDMA methods but could be bypassed to study uncoated particles. The coating chamber comprised two, 2.54 cm diameter stainless steel tubes. The aerosol stream first entered a 1 m long tube whose inner wall was lined with polyester felt, 3.2 mm thick, saturated with DBP. The outside of the tube was wrapped with a heater and a layer of insulation. The tube was heated in order to generate a DBP vapor through which the aerosol stream passed. Three thermocouples, one attached to each end of the tube and one in contact with the flowing gas, were used to monitor and control the gas phase temperature to within +0.1 K between ambient and 38 °C in order to select the partial pressure of DBP. After the heated tube, the stream passed through a 0.3 m long chilled tube that promoted heterogeneous condensation onto the soot particles. The carrier gas flow rate through the coating chamber was nominally 1.5 L/min with a residence time of 11 s. The length of the heated section was designed to ensure adequate coating based on the diffusion plug-flow models from Carslaw (Carslaw and Jaeger 1959) and Hinds (Hinds 1999). We estimate that under these conditions the partial pressure of DBP in the gas exiting the heated section was at least 90% of its saturated vapor pressure at the chamber temperature. For the SDMA experiments, the particles exiting the coating chamber were either directed to the PA spectrometer/CPC for analysis or diverted to DMA-2 for characterization. For TDMA experiments, the particles exiting the coating chamber were directed to DMA-2 for further size selection and then to the PA spectrometer/CPC for analysis.

The simplicity of the SDMA method made it possible to switch easily between uncoated and coated particle streams through the PA spectrometer. Thus with the SDMA method, we measured the optical absorption for each coating thickness in three steps: first uncoated particles, then coated particles, then uncoated particles again. This alternating method was advantageous because the ratio of the absorption cross-sections for the coated and uncoated particles was largely insensitive to the systematic variations in the particle generation, size selection, and particle counting schemes.

The growth of the coating is expected to follow a heterogeneous growth law (Friedlander 1977), which predicts that large particles will grow to the same diameter, independent of the core size. Our measurements support this prediction for all but the thinnest coatings. If all the particles grow to the same size and are singly charged, then they would have the same mobility diameter and their distributions would coincide. However, the distributions for the coated particles labeled 30 °C, 32 °C and 35 °C in Figure 2b show two distinct peaks for each case: a large peak due to the singly charged (smaller core) particles and a small peak due to the doubly charged (larger core) particles. Moreover, the locations of these peaks are consistent with the two sets of particles having the same outer diameter. Consequently, the coated particles that were measured with the PA spectrometer in the SDMA experiments had a bimodal distribution of core sizes. By contrast, the coated particles in the TDMA experiments were selected from the distribution of smaller core sizes using DMA-2 and therefore had a nearly monodisperse distribution of core size and outer diameter, as shown in Table 1.

Particle counting

We measure the optical absorption properties of a soot aerosol sample using PAS, as discussed in the next section. In order to determine the optical properties per soot particle, we need to know the number density of soot particles in the sample. We measured the soot particle density with the CPC (TSI, model

3025A). Particles that enter the CPC are nucleation sites for condensation as they pass through saturated vapor of butyl alcohol. The enlarged particles then pass through a laser beam where they are individually counted as flashes of light. We corrected the measured number density $N_{\rm m}$ to account for shielding effects in the condensation particle counter that occur when two or more particles arrive simultaneously. This coincidence correction is modeled as $N_c/N_{\rm m} = \exp(-N_cQt_{\rm m})$, where N_c is the coincidence-corrected particle number density, Q is the volumetric flow rate, and $t_{\rm m}$ is the measurement time for the CPC. The coincidence correction was between 3% and 9% for the measurements presented here. The CPC's manufacturer reports an uncertainty of 10% for particle densities up to 10^5 cm^{-3} .

We calibrated the CPC with the aerosol electrometer (Fletcher et al. 2009) using singly charged, 15 nm sucrose particles. Because of sucrose particle's small size, the fraction that were doubly charged was 600 times smaller than the fraction of singly charged particles. The average ratio of electrometer counts to CPC counts was 1.07 ± 0.03 . The combined relative standard uncertainty for the calibrated CPC, including type A and B, is 5% of the CPC counts.

Photoacoustic Spectroscopy

The principle of PAS is the conversion of optical energy to acoustic energy. This process occurs through the absorption of light by matter and the subsequent thermal relaxation that generates an acoustic wave in the surrounding gas medium. PAS is a zero-background technique that directly measures the absorption coefficient, α , of a sample.

Details of our PA spectrometer, which consists of an acoustic cell, a microphone, a 300 mW continuous-wave diode laser ($\lambda = 405$ nm), a lock-in amplifier, a calibrated laser power meter, and a data acquisition system, can be found in Gillis et al. and Havey et al. (Gillis et al. 2010; Havey et al. 2010). The aerosol particle stream passes through the PA spectrometer near atmospheric pressure at a

nominal flow rate of 0.2 L/min with a residence time of about 1 s. The microphone signal is measured by the lock-in amplifier where both the in-phase, x, and out-of-phase, y, components are recorded. A soot-free background signal (x_0 , y_0) is taken on the carrier gas stream at the beginning and end of each experiment. The absorption coefficient α and absorption cross-section C_{abs} are related to the measured quantities by

$$\alpha = \frac{\left[\left(x - x_0\right)^2 + \left(y - y_0\right)^2\right]^{1/2}}{W_{\rm L}K_{\rm PA}}$$
(1)

and

$$C_{\rm abs} = \alpha / N_{\rm c} \,, \tag{2}$$

respectively, where the quantities on the right hand side are measured. Here, W_L is the laser beam power and $K_{PA} = C_{PA} \beta \mathcal{R} / \sqrt{8}$ is the photoacoustic system constant. The photoacoustic system constant depends on the cell constant C_{PA} , which is a function of the cell geometry and the thermophysical properties of the gas medium, the microphone sensitivity β , and the molecular relaxation response function, \mathcal{R} . For our system, $C_{PA}\beta / \sqrt{8} = 18.7$ V-cm/W (Gillis et al. 2010). In general, $|\mathcal{R}|$ is between 0 and 1, and it approaches unity when molecular relaxation is unimportant. Agreement to within $\pm 1\%$ for K_{PA} has been recently demonstrated between experimental measurements and modeled acoustic response (Gillis et al. 2010). This is the type B uncertainty for the PAS measurement of α . These measurements were made on air-broadened O₂ A-band spectra for which $\mathcal{R} \approx 0.40$. For the present work, we assume that $|\mathcal{R}| = 1$ because both the molecular relaxation rate in the soot and the heat transfer rate from the particle to the gaseous medium are fast relative to the acoustic frequency (Snelling et al. 2004). The type A uncertainty in α , estimated from the Allan variance (Havey et al. 2010), is less than 0.7% for 60 s averaging. The combined relative standard uncertainties of α and C_{abs} are 1% and 5%, respectively. The latter is dominated by the CPC's measurement of N_c .

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Evidence for particle restructuring

TEM Characterization

Coated and uncoated soot were collected on TEM grids with a nano-aerosol sampler (TSI Model 3089) to observe the effect of coating on the soot particles. Figure 4 shows TEM images of 150 nm mobility diameter soot (core) particles: uncoated particles (upper quadrants) and particles coated with DBP (lower quadrants). The mobility diameter of the coated particles was selected with DMA-2 to be 320 nm. The TEM images were taken with a JEOL Jem 2100 Microscope equipped with a cold stage. The temperature was maintained at -167 °C for both the uncoated and coated particles. The uncoated particle aggregates in Figure 4 clearly show a filamentary structure, whereas the coated particles are more compact with a more nearly spherical 20 nm diameter particles (monomers). Although DBP is invisible in the TEM images, the TDMA size selection ensures that the imaged particles were indeed coated. Moreover the collapsed structure, which occurs only with the coated particles, visually illustrates the effect that a DBP coating has on the structure of the soot core.

DMA characterization

We observe that the apparent mobility diameter of soot particles with a thin coating of DBP is smaller than the apparent mobility diameter of the particles before coating. In Figure 2a, for example, the uncoated-particle size distribution (labeled SDMA) peaked at 98 nm, in this case. After the particles were exposed to DBP at 23 °C, the coated-particle size distribution (Figure 2b) peaked at 86 nm; that is, the apparent mobility diameter was reduced by 12 nm after exposure to a small amount of DBP. A similar but proportionately larger reduction of the apparent mobility diameter after coating at 23 °C was observed for the 150 nm and 200 nm particles. Figure 5a summarizes the observed reduction of the apparent mobility diameter Δd_m for the three particle sizes. This reduction of mobility diameter suggests that the particles have collapsed to a more compact structure after exposure to small amounts of DBP. Interestingly, an extrapolation of the linear fit to Δd_m (Figure 5a) indicates that there is no shift for particles with $d_m \approx 40$ nm or less. This characteristic size corresponds to about 2 - 3 monomers.

As discussed below, we also observe an increase in the absorption cross section C_{abs} (compared to uncoated) for these thinly coated particles. For the 200 nm soot particles coated at 23 °C, we estimate that the observed increase in C_{abs} is consistent with a 5 nm thick coating of DBP, if the increase in C_{abs} were due entirely to DBP. Such a coating on an agglomerate of 20 nm diameter monomers would be subject to substantial capillary forces that may be responsible for collapse of the filamentary structure during either condensation or evaporation of DBP. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that restructuring alone is responsible for the change in C_{abs} .

Finally, our analysis shows that shape of the distribution is unchanged by the thin DBP coating for each of the bare-particle sizes (see Figure 5b for the 200 nm case); the distribution is merely shifted to smaller apparent mobility diameter. Therefore, if collapse is occurring, then both the smaller diameter (singly charged) and the larger diameter (doubly charged) particles that make up the composite distribution must collapse by the same fractional amount.

Uncoated Soot

Model for Particle Volume

An estimate of the mass or volume of these aggregates in terms of the measured mobility diameter is required for an analysis of their optical absorption. In a study of diesel soot that incorporated both mass and differential mobility analyzers, (Park et al. 2003) showed a power-law relationship between the particle mass and the mobility diameter, *i.e.* mass $\propto d_m^{\eta}$ with $\eta = 2.35$ for their soot. Although we do not measure the mass of our soot particles, we show that the particle's absorption cross section is proportional to the amount of substance and therefore has a power-law dependence on d_m . However, we do not assume *a priori* that the exponent η for flame-generated soot is the same as it is for diesel soot.

We characterize the amount of soot in an aggregate as the volume occupied by a sphere having the same mass as the aggregate but the mass density of the monomer. The relevant power-law relation, analogous to that of Park et al., between the aggregate's sphere-equivalent volume, V_{se} , and the mobility diameter is

$$V_{\rm se} = \frac{\pi}{6} d_{\rm se}^3 = V_0 \left(\frac{d_{\rm m}}{d_0}\right)^{\eta},\tag{3}$$

where η is the power-law exponent, $d_0 = 1$ nm, d_{se} is the sphere-equivalent diameter, and V_0 is the volume corresponding to $d_m = 1$ nm. As discussed above, the particle stream emerging from DMA-1 is a composite of mostly singly- and doubly-charged particles having the same mobility, but different diameters. Assuming a bimodal distribution of singly-charged and doubly-charged aggregates of mobility diameters, $d_{m,1}$ and $d_{m,2}$, respectively (see Table 1), then the volume-weighted sphere-equivalent diameter is

$$d_{\rm se} = \left\{ \frac{6V_0}{\pi} \left[f_1 \left(d_{m,1} / d_0 \right)^{\eta} + (1 - f_1) \left(d_{m,2} / d_0 \right)^{\eta} \right] \right\}^{1/3}$$
(4)

15

where the relative abundance of singly charged particles is specified by f_1 . Equation (4) provides a simple relationship between the observed mobility diameters and the sphere-equivalent diameter of the soot provided that the parameters V_0 and η are known. We adjust these two parameters in order to fit Eq. (4) to our measurements of mobility diameter and particle absorption cross section, as explained below.

RDG Theory of Absorption by Agglomerates

The individual monomers are small compared to the wavelength of light used in our measurements (405 nm), so their interaction with the light is described by the Rayleigh limit. The total absorption by the particle can be estimated by summing over the set of monomer absorption cross sections. In this approximation known as the Rayleigh-Debye-Gans (RDG) model, interactions between soot monomers are not considered and their light absorption is treated as being independent of agglomerate morphology. By comparison with more general calculations of absorption and scattering from soot clusters, Farias et al. (Farias et al. 1996) and Kahnert (Kahnert 2010) showed that the accuracy of the RDG approximation depends on the monomer size parameter $x_M = \pi d_M / \lambda$, the complex refractive index $m = m_r + i m_i$, and the number of monomers in the agglomerate, n_M . Here, d_M is the monomer diameter and λ is optical wavelength. Under the assumption that $x_M \ll 1$ (Rayleigh limit), the absorption cross section of a spherical monomer is

$$C_{\rm abs,M} = \frac{\lambda^2 x_{\rm M}^3}{\pi} E(m), \qquad (5)$$

where $E(m) \equiv \text{Im}\left[\frac{m^2-1}{m^2+2}\right]$. Summing over all n_{M} monomers gives the absorption crosssection of the agglomerate to be $C_{abs} = n_M C_{abs,M}$. After dividing Eq. (5) by the monomer volume, it follows that the absorption cross section per-unit-volume of agglomerate depends only on m and λ and is given by

$$\frac{C_{\rm abs}}{V_{\rm se}} = \frac{6\pi}{\lambda} E(m).$$
(6)

If we divide Eq. (6) by the monomer mass density, ρ_s , we obtain the mass absorption cross section (MAC) reported frequently in the literature. In this work we use the value of 1.8 g/cm³, which has a stated uncertainty of 5% (Mulholland and Mountain 1999). Note that the particle absorption crosssection is also given in terms of the experimentally measured absorption coefficient, given by Eq. (2).

From Eqs. (4)-(6) we obtain

$$C_{\rm abs} = \frac{\alpha}{N_c} = V_0 \left[f_1 \left(d_{m,1} / d_0 \right)^{\eta} + (1 - f_1) \left(d_{m,2} / d_0 \right)^{\eta} \right] \frac{6\pi}{\lambda} E(m) \,. \tag{7}$$

We determined the coefficients V_0 and η and a set of d_{se} soot core values by fitting the absorption model embodied in the right-hand-side of Eq.(7) to the measured values of α/N , $d_{m,1}$, $d_{m,2}$ and f_1 obtained for uncoated soot particles. These data correspond to a total of six measurements: three for the SDMA and TDMA experiments, respectively. V_0 , η were the only floated parameters used in the fitting procedure.

Combined average sphere equivalent diameter for the singly and doubly charged particles for both SDMA and TDMA experiments.								
Apparent Mobility Diameter (nm)	SDMA $d_{se}(nm)$	TDMA d_{se} (nm)						
98.2	71.8	58.2						
151.2	96.3	81.7						
201.7	110.1	98.5						

TARIE 2

In order to evaluate the foregoing expressions, we assumed a value of *m* that satisfies Eq. (6) using the MAC obtained from scattering and extinction measurements by (Zhu et al. 2000) on ethylene soot. The validity of Eq. (6) in the context of Zhu's MAC is justified according to the criteria Farias et al. (Farias et al. 1996) For their measurements $x_{\rm M} = 0.18$ and $n_{\rm M} = 100$. Assuming |m-1| is less than about 1, the accuracy criteria given by Farias et al indicate that RDG theory is a valid approximation to the MAC values of Zhu et al. We scaled their absorption cross section to our laser wavelength (λ =405 nm) to yield a mass-specific value of 10.9 m² g⁻¹, which corresponds to $C_{abs}/V_{se} = 0.0196$ nm⁻¹ assuming a soot density of 1.8 g cm⁻³. Given that *m* is complex, there is a family of *m* values of paired real and imaginary components that when substituted into Eq. (6) yield this value of C_{abs}/V_{se} . Of these *m* values, we based the fit shown in Figure 6 on m = 1.409 + 0.811i, which yielded $V_0 = 2.704$ nm³ and $\eta = 2.285$. Based on this fit, the average sphere-equivalent diameters for the combined singly and doubly charged particles are given in Table 2. Our rationale behind the choice of this particular refractive index is explained below. Importantly, the fitted exponent η is completely insensitive to the choice of *m* as is the flatness of the fitted C_{abs}/V_{se} . These data support the RDG assumption that leads to C_{abs}/V_{se} being

IABLE 3

A summary of results for uncoated soot from the SDMA method. $C_{abs,exp}$ and $C_{abs,RDG}$ are, respectively, the measured and modeled average absorption cross sections of the composite soot. The sphere-equivalent diameters and absorption cross sections of the singly and doubly charged components from the model are also listed.

				Singly	Singly charged		Doubly charged	
$d_{ m m}$	$d_{ m se}$	$C_{\rm abs,exp}$	$C_{\rm abs,RDG}$	$d_{\mathrm{se},1}$	$C_{\rm abs,1}$	$d_{\rm se,2}$	$C_{\rm abs,2}$	
nm	nm	10^4 nm^2	10^4 nm^2	nm	10^4 nm^2	nm	10^4 nm^2	
100	71.8	0.378	0.379	56.9	0.188	79.1	0.505	
150	96.3	0.847	0.862	79.1	0.468	109.9	1.257	
200	110.1	1.421	1.432	98.5	1.018	133.1	2.514	

independent of effective particle volume. However, changing *m* alters the fit-derived V_{se} for the various data points as well as the magnitude of the fitted C_{abs}/V_{se} value. Setting *m* to the recommended value (Mulholland and Mountain 1999) of 1.55 + 0.8*i*, gives a result about 10% lower than the value corresponding to the mass-specific absorption coefficients reported by Zhu et al. When we account for triply charged particles in the analysis of just the SDMA data, the fitted values of V_0 and η change to 3.585 nm³ and 2.240, respectively, and the sphere-equivalent diameters change by at most 2% from the values in Tables 2 and 3. Figure 6 also illustrates the expected C_{abs}/V_{se} resulting from a calculation of the absorption cross-section using Lorenz-Mie (LM) theory based upon d_{se} of the agglomerate. Our data show that this approximation tends to overestimate the value of C_{abs}/V_{se} for particles with d_{se} greater than about 40 nm.

Coated Soot

We conducted experiments on coated soot particles to quantify how the absorption cross-section changed with soot core diameter and coating thickness. For the size measurements reported here we assume that the coated particles are nominally spherical and comprise a soot core within a surrounding layer of liquid DBP. Therefore, unlike the case for the bare soot particles, we treat the mobility- and sphere-equivalent diameters of the coated particles as being identical. We expect this condition to be met for sufficiently thick coatings of DBP and for the previously discussed situation where the soot aggregate has collapsed after coating.

In Table 4 and in Figure 7 we present the measured absorption cross section as a function of the normalized coating thickness, $\Delta = t_c/d_{se}$, for three sets of nominal bare-particle mobility diameters (100 nm, 150 nm, and 200 nm). Here the core diameter is assumed to be equal to d_{se} of the bare soot so that the effective coating thickness t_c of the distribution is equal to $(d_m - d_{se})/2$. We present results using the SDMA (closed symbols) and TDMA (open symbols) methods. These data were obtained by starting with uncoated particles and thereafter systematically increasing the temperature of the coating chamber to increase the size of the DBP coating. Although the SDMA measurements of C_{abs} were acquired, as described above, by alternating between uncoated and coated particles, the data in Figure 7 do not benefit from the ratio method and have not been corrected for systematic drifts that occurred over the course of measurements for each core size. The absorption cross section for the uncoated particles $C_{abs,unc}$ in Figure 7 is the average value of the uncoated measurements of the adsorption cross section for the coated 100 nm particles because of the relatively weak PAS and CPC signals levels, and

TABLE 4							
$(\alpha$	``	1					

The measured absorption cross section ($C_{abs,exp}$) and amplification (amp) as a function of
coating thickness (t_c) from the SDMA method for three core mobility diameters (d_m). The
sphere-equivalent diameter of the core (d_{se}) is given in Table 2.

$d_{\rm m} = 100 \; {\rm nm}$			$d_{\rm m} = 150 \; {\rm nm}$			$d_{\rm m} = 200 \; {\rm nm}$					
t _c	amp	$t_{\rm c}/d_{\rm se}$	$C_{\mathrm{abs,exp}}$	t _c	amp	$t_{\rm c}/d_{\rm se}$	$C_{\rm abs,exp}$	t _c	amp	$t_{\rm c}/d_{\rm se}$	$C_{\rm abs,exp}$
nm			10^4nm^2	nm			10^4nm^2	nm			10^4nm^2
8.09	1.06	0.11	0.400	14.8	1.07	0.15	0.906	29.5	1.04	0.27	1.483
11.6	1.17	0.16	0.442	14.8	1.19	0.15	1.009	26.5	1.20	0.24	1.711
53.1	1.46	0.74	0.553	50.1	1.43	0.52	1.208	60.5	1.46	0.55	2.069
77.8	1.62	1.08	0.614	72.9	1.60	0.76	1.354	87.8	1.58	0.80	2.250
89.6	1.68	1.25	0.636	85.5	1.73	0.89	1.461	102.8	1.75	0.93	2.491
104.1	1.95	1.45	0.738	98.1	1.86	1.02	1.577	126.4	1.83	1.15	2.601

thus only the 150 nm and 200 nm cases are presented here. The SDMA and TDMA measurements yield consistent results. At fixed d_{se} , the change in measured C_{abs} is nominally proportional to Δ . Inspection of Figure 7 indicates that the slope increases with d_{se} such that $dC_{abs}/d\Delta$ ranges from $\approx 2.5 \times 10^3$ nm² for $d_{se} = 72$ nm to $\approx 1.0 \times 10^4$ nm² for $d_{se} = 110$ nm. The relative uncertainty in the fitted slope is $\approx 8\%$ in the case of all threes sets of SDMA data, and 20% or more for the TDMA results. We can estimate the minimum change in coating thickness, $\Delta t_{c,min}$ from the expression $d_{se} \delta C_{abs} / (dC_{abs} / d\Delta)$, where δC_{abs} is the standard deviation of the residuals of the linear regression. For $d_{se} = 110$ nm, the data and fit yield $\delta C_{abs} \approx 980$ nm² to give $\Delta t_{c,min} \approx 10$ nm.

Our most precise measurement of the optical amplification *A* (equal to the ratio of the absorption cross section of the coated and uncoated particles) was obtained via the SDMA measurements. As described above, the uncoated and coated particles were measured in quick succession for each coating thickness. For the 200 nm bare particle size, Figure 8a shows the change in the absorption cross section of particles coated at 20 °C relative to the uncoated particles. Based on the mean values and standard deviations of $\Delta C_{abs}/C_{abs,unc} = (C_{abs,coat} - C_{abs,unc})/C_{abs,unc}$, we estimate a signal-to-noise ratio of $\approx 4:1$ for $\Delta C_{abs}/C_{abs,unc} \approx 4\%$, which corresponds to a minimum detectable amplification A_{min} between 1.01 and 1.02. From these measurements alone, we cannot distinguish a change in C_{abs} due to a thin coating from that caused by a change in the morphology. As discussed previously, this approach reduced errors in the determination of *A* that may arise from drifts in the absorption cross-section or particle number density. The results are shown in Figure 8b where we present the measured amplification, $A_{meas} = C_{abs,coat}/C_{abs,unc} = (\alpha/N_c)_{coat}/(\alpha/N_c)_{unc}$ (symbols) and fitted models (lines) vs. the effective coating thickness. To model these data we assume that the coated particles can be described by a spherically symmetric, two-layer geometry with the DBP forming a uniform film of diameter d_m around

the soot core. Further, consistent with our previously discussed evidence of restructuring and collapse of the soot agglomerate, we assumed that after coating, the agglomerated soot particles restructured into a nominally spherical shape. In this model, the effective core diameter was equal to the sphereequivalent diameter of the singly and doubly charged uncoated soot particles given by Eq. (4). Absorption by the coated particles was calculated using the LM theory of scattering and absorption by a spherically symmetric, two-layer particle (core-shell configuration), and absorption by the uncoated (and agglomerated) soot particles was modeled using RDG theory, Eq. (6). To model the amplification, we included the contributions of the singly and doubly charged particles as a weighted sum of absorption cross sections. With these assumptions, the modeled amplification is

$$A_{\rm mod} = \frac{f_1 C_{\rm abs} \left(d_{\rm se,1}, d_{\rm m}, m \right) + (1 - f_1) C_{\rm abs} \left(d_{\rm se,2}, d_{\rm m}, m \right)}{\left(6\pi/\lambda \right) E(m) \left[f_1 V_{\rm se,1} + (1 - f_1) V_{\rm se,2} \right]},\tag{8}$$

where $V_{sc,1} = \pi d_{sc,1}^3/6$ and $V_{sc,2} = \pi d_{sc,2}^3/6$ are the sphere-equivalent volumes of the singly and doubly charged soot cores, respectively. We fit Eq. (8) to the measured values by the method of least-squares, in which *m* was varied as the sole fitting parameter. Two global fits of the model to the 150 nm and 200 nm data were evaluated. Firstly, we varied m_i and constrained m_r to follow the locus of points that correspond to $C_{abs}/V_{sc} = (6\pi/\lambda)E(m) = 0.0196 \text{ nm}^{-1}$. This result yielded m = 1.409 + 0.811i. Secondly, we independently varied m_r and m_i , taking into account that the sphere-equivalent volumes of the soot cores, and hence V_0 , are proportional to $E(m)^{-1}$ through Eq. (7) but fixing the power law exponent, η , in Eq. (4) to 2.285. For this second case we obtained only a slightly better fit (χ^2 was reduced by $\approx 4\%$) to yield m = 1.386 + 0.719i. Importantly, this result gives an independent determination of $C_{abs}/V_{sc} = 0.0179 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ (MAC = 9.94 m²/g), which is $\approx 9\%$ lower than that the Zhu et al wavelength-corrected value equal to 0.0196 nm⁻¹. The results are summarized in Figure 8b, where we show the amplification data (symbols), both sets of fits (solid and dashed lines), and the two modeled values (solid and dashed lines) for the 100 nm case as a function of t_c . Although both sets of fits agree well with the 150 nm and 200 nm data, the model does not closely follow the 100 nm data for either fitted value of the refractive index. Furthermore, including the 100 nm diameter data in the global fit substantially degrades the fit quality. Assuming all three data sets can be modeled using a single soot refractive index, the model predicts a larger-than-observed amplification for the 100 nm particles. For the 100 nm case, this relatively poor agreement between model and measurements could mean that the actual morphology of the coated soot particles deviated significantly from a core-shell configuration.

We can estimate $t_{c,min}$ for the uncoated/coated/uncoated measurement sequence by $A_{min}/(dA/dt_c)$ where $dA/dt_c = 0.88\%$ nm⁻¹ is obtained from the model in Figure 8b. For $A_{min} \approx 1.015$ given above, $\Delta t_{c,min} \approx 2$ nm or less, consistent with our previous observations (Havey et al. 2010). We note that this is about 5 times smaller than the above estimate for $\Delta t_{c,min}$ of 10 nm based on the data in Figure 7, which did not incorporate the uncoated/coated/uncoated measurement sequence.

The scatter of the data in Figure 8b ($\approx 5\%$ - 10%) is significantly larger than the precision demonstrated in Figure 8a. We attribute the scatter to the irreproducibility in the soot generation and coating techniques and not limitations of the PA spectrometer or the CPC. That is, by choosing the same experimental conditions (i.e. mobility diameter, coating temperature, combustion and soot sampling parameters, etc.), we are unable to reproduce the same state corresponding to a specific morphology and composition. In our previous publication (Havey et al. 2010) we quantified the relative standard deviations of the measurements of C_{abs} for uncoated soot particles over four relevant time scales (1 min, 6 min, 5 hr, and 24 hr) to be (0.2%, <1%, 1.5%, 10%) respectively. These observations indicate that the optical properties of the uncoated soot particles are not constant and could contribute to long-term variation in the amplification. Reasons for the short term and long term fluctuations in C_{abs} include irreproducibility in the morphology, shape and composition of the flame-generated soot, and effects in

the charging and DMA systems that alter the size distribution of the particles. For coated particles, there may be additional irreproducibility and instability in the coating system. Furthermore, the particles with the thinnest coatings, which may have undergone varying degrees of restructuring, exhibit the largest scatter.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we investigated how the optical absorption of soot particles is affected by the presence of a nonabsorbing coating material. The experiment involved measurements of a soot aerosol stream and comprised the following elements: 1) the generation and sampling of soot agglomerates (ranging between \approx 100 nm to \approx 200 nm in mobility diameter) from an ethylene diffusion flame, 2) coating the soot with controlled-layer thicknesses of DBP, 3) particle size selection with DMAs, 4) absorption coefficient measurements with a PA spectrometer, and 5) measurement of aerosol number density with a CPC. The coated and uncoated particles were also collected on grids and inspected using TEM microscopy.

The TEM analysis revealed that the uncoated soot was aggregated and composed of primary spherical particles, which were approximately 20 nm in diameter. We found that the absorption cross section perunit-volume was independent of particle size, consistent with the aggregated morphology and RDG theory. Assuming a power law relationship between the sphere-equivalent diameter of the soot aggregates and the mobility diameter enabled us to infer an exponent of 2.285 from our measurements of soot absorption coefficient. TEM images and DMA mobility diameter measurements provided evidence that coating of soot by DBP leads to restructuring or collapse of the aggregated soot particles. The coated-soot data also reveal that coating leads to an overall increase in the absorption cross section of the composite particle, consistent with LM theory for core-shell spherical geometries. The theoretical

increase in absorption depends upon the size of the soot core, with amplification increasing as core size is reduced (for a fixed coating thickness). We observed absorption amplifications up to ≈ 1.8 for the largest soot particles (mobility diameter ≈ 100 nm) and the thickest coatings (thickness ≈ 100 nm). For the two largest core sizes investigated, the relative change in C_{abs} caused by the coatings was consistent with LM theory. However, for the smallest core size investigated (≈ 100 nm mobility diameter), the observed amplification in absorption was smaller than the predictions. This discrepancy may be due to differences in the morphology of the coated particles and/or structure of the soot core.

While there have been several other investigations of the present problem (Zhang et al. 2008; Lack et al. 2009; Gangl et al. 2008; Khalizov et al. 2009; Slowik et al. 2007b; Shiraiwa et al. 2010) there are important differences with respect to the present investigation. Previous researchers have used either: 1) indirect measurements of the absorption, 2) surrogates for soot and atmospherically relevant coatings, or 3) a much wider range of particle coating thicknesses. With respect to these previous studies, although we have demonstrated similar levels of observed absorption amplifications for the largest soot particles, our ability to observe relatively small changes in the coating thickness (≈ 2 nm) and our absorption enhancement uncertainty ($\approx 1.5\%$) are unmatched. We attribute our improved precision to the sensitivity and stability of the PAS and CPC measurements. In similar experiments uncertainties in the absorption enhancement as high as 25% have been reported (Shiraiwa et al. 2010).

An unresolved question in the present experiment is to what extent one can know the correspondence between measured mobility diameter and sphere-equivalent diameter of the coated particles. An alternate and complementary experimental technique would be to select the particles by mass rather than mobility diameter. In principle this would remove the ambiguity in effective coating thickness and provide a direct measure of the relationship between a mass-based coating thickness and absorption enhancement. Measurements of this sort would be insightful for core-shell as well as agglomerated morphologies and are expected to provide more quantitative absorption cross section data for these difficult-to-characterize aerosols.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Department of Energy's National Institute for Global Environmental Change Prime Award #DE-FC02-03ER63613 and the support of NIST's Greenhouse Gas Measurement Program. We also thank the University of Maryland's Nanoscale Imaging Spectroscopy and Properties Lab for use of their microscopy facilities and Dr. Wen-An Chiou for producing the TEM micrographs.



Figure 1. Schematic of the apparatus that shows the path of the aerosol stream from the soot generation system to the CPC. The first differential mobility analyzer (DMA-1) selects the mobility of the soot particles within a narrow distribution. After DMA-1, the soot stream either passed through the coating apparatus to coat the particles with DBP, or bypassed the coating apparatus to leave the particles uncoated. For SDMA measurements, the stream was then sent directly to the PA spectrometer. For TDMA measurements, the stream passed through the second neutralizer (uncoated particles only) and DMA-2 for further size selection, then on to the PA spectrometer and the CPC.



Figure 2: (a) The blue dashed line is the full distribution of particles sizes emerging from the flame as measured with a scanning DMA. The black solid line is the size distribution for 100 nm uncoated soot selected with DMA-1 used in the SDMA measurements. The black circles under the peak indicate that both singly (100 nm) and doubly (150 nm) charged particles have the same apparent mobility diameter. (b) The size distributions are shown for the 100 nm particles selected in (a) after coating with DBP at the indicated coating temperatures: higher temperature results in thicker coating. Particle distributions like these comprise the SDMA measurements. The bimodal distributions arise from the presence of singly and doubly charged particles that have the same coating diameter but have different apparent mobility diameters. The shift of the unimodal distribution labeled 23 °C to a smaller diameter from the uncoated distribution is evidence that the soot particles undergo a transition in morphology early in the coating process.

Figure 3: (a) The normalized size distribution F_N for uncoated soot particles that were sizeselected with DMA-1 for a 100 nm apparent mobility diameter. To observe the component distributions of the singly and multiply charged particles, the particles were first passed through a second neutralizer to change the charge on the particles before analysis with DMA-2. The notation $q \rightarrow 1$ indicates particles that initially carried charge q became singly charged and are centered about their true mobility diameter. (b) Residuals from a fit with five lognormal distributions. The root-mean-squared deviation from the fit was 0.01.

Figure 4. TEM micrographs of 150 nm (mobility diameter) soot before and after coating with 100 nm of DBP. Upper quadrants: uncoated soot shows a filamentary structure. Lower quadrants: soot that has been coated with DBP shows a more compact structure than it had before coating. Note that only the cores of the coated particles are visible.

Figure 5. (a) The change in the apparent mobility diameter of soot particles that were coated with DBP at 23 °C (see Figure 2) is plotted as a function of the mobility diameter for the 100nm, 150nm and 200nm singly charged uncoated particles. The intercept for which there is no shift occurs at about 40 nm, which corresponds to 2 or 3 primary particles. (b) The widths of the distributions for the uncoated particles (open circles) and for particles coated with DBP at 23 °C (solid triangles) changed by at most 2% of the uncoated diameter. This puts an upper limit on the degree of splitting between the singly and doubly charged particle size distributions. This evidence suggests that all the particle sizes we studied collapsed by the same fractional amount after coating with DBP at 23 °C.

Figure 6. Absorption cross section per unit volume of uncoated soot is plotted as a function of the sphere-equivalent particle diameter. The closed and open squares are values deduced from this work based on SDMA and TDMA methods, respectively, as described in the text. V_{se} is the volume of a sphere whose diameter d_{se} is given by Eq. (4) with $V_0 = 2.704 \text{ nm}^3$ and $\eta = 2.285$. The solid (blue) line and the dotted (red) curve are predictions by RDG and LM theory with m = 1.409 + 0.811i. For comparison, the dashed (black) line is predicted by RDG theory with m = 1.55 + 0.8i. The parameters V_0 and η were adjusted to give the best agreement between our measurements and Zhu et al. Our assumed MAC value of 10.9 m²/g (based on Zhu et al.) can be compared to previously reported values: 1.5 to 25.4 m²/g for incomplete combustion products (Bond and Bergstrom 2006); $10.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (Choi et al. 1995), 13.3 m²/g from (Slowik et al. 2007a) and 11.4 m²/g (Zhang et al. 2008) for diffusion flame products.

Figure 7. Absorption cross section C_{abs} as a function of the normalized coating thickness t_c/d_{se} for the SDMA (solid squares) and the TDMA (open squares) measurements. The dashed lines are linear fits to each data set.

Figure 8. (a) Example sequence for the SDMA measurements. The fractional change in the absorption cross section observed by alternating between uncoated and coated particles is plotted as a function of time for 200 nm core soot. The uncoated particles have a sphere-equivalent diameter $d_{se} \approx 110$ nm. The coated particles have an effective coating thickness $t_c = (d_m - d_{se})/2 \approx 5$ nm. For each coating thickness and core diameter, the amplification $A \equiv C_{abs,coat}/C_{abs,unc}$ was determined in the sequence uncoated/coated/uncoated. (b) The measured amplification (symbols) of the optical absorption due to a DBP coating is plotted as a function of t_c for the 100 nm, 150 nm, and 200 nm core sizes. We used LM theory for a spherical core-shell configuration to model the amplification. The model was fit to the 150 nm and 200 nm core size data by treating the soot's complex refractive index *m* as a variable parameter. The solid lines correspond to the fitted model with the real and imaginary parts of *m* constrained to give $(C_{abs}/V_{se})_{RDG} = 0.0196$ nm⁻¹ using Eq. (6). The dashed lines were obtained by independently varying the two components of *m*. The two fits yielded m = 1.409 + 0.8110i and m = 1.386 + 0.719i, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W. (2006). Light absorption by carbonaceous particles: An investigative review. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 40:27-67.
- Jacobson, M. Z. (2001). Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols. *Nature* 409:695-697.
- Choi, M. Y., Mulholland, G. W., Hamins, A. and Kashiwagi, T. (1995). Comparison of the Soot Volume Fraction using Gravimetric and Light Extinction Techniques. *Combustion and Flame* 102:161-169.
- IPCC (2009). Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Slowik, J. G., Cross, E. S., Han, J. H., Kolucki, J., Davidovits, P., Williams, L. R., Onasch, T. B., Jayne, J. T., Kolb, C. E. and Worsnop, D. R. (2007a). Measurements of morphology changes of fractal soot particles using coating and denuding experiments: Implications for optical absorption and atmospheric lifetime. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 41:734-750.
- Zhang, R. Y., Khalizov, A. F., Pagels, J., Zhang, D., Xue, H. X. and McMurry, P. H. (2008). Variability in morphology, hygroscopicity, and optical properties of soot aerosols during atmospheric processing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105:10291-10296.
- Schmid, O., Schaniter, M., Andeae, M. O., Linke, C., Moehler, O., Saathoff, H., Schoeck, W. and Wagner, U. (2004). Absorption Properties of Black Carbon with Refractive Coatings: Intercomparison of Different Instruments. *Geophysical Research Abstracts* 6.
- Dickerson, R. R., Andreae, M. O., Campos, T., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Neusuess, C. and Streets, D. G. (2002). Analysis of black carbon and carbon monoxide observed over the Indian Ocean: Implications for emissions and photochemistry. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 107.
- Net, S., Nieto-Gligorovski, L., Gligorovski, S., Temime-Rousell, B., Barbati, S., Lazarou, Y. G. and Wortharn, H. (2009). Heterogeneous light-induced ozone processing on the organic coatings in the atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 43:1683-1692.
- Hallett, J., Hudson, J. G. and Rogers, C. F. (1989). Characterization of Combustion Aerosols for Haze and Cloud Formation. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 10:70-83.
- Schumann, U., Strom, J., Busen, R., Baumann, R., Gierens, K., Krautstrunk, M., Schroder, F. P. and Stingl, J. (1996). In situ observations of particles in jet aircraft exhausts and contrails for different sulfur-containing fuels. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 101:6853-6869.
- Saathoff, H., Naumann, K. H., Schnaiter, M., Schock, W., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., Kramer, L., Bozoki, Z., Poschl, U., Niessner, R. and Schurath, U. (2003a). Carbon mass determinations during the AIDA soot aerosol campaign 1999. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 34:1399-1420.
- Chylek, P., Videen, G., Ngo, D., Pinnick, R. G. and Klett, J. D. (1995). Effect of Black Carbon on the Optical-Properties and Climate Forcing of Sulfate Aerosols. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 100:16325-16332.
- Jacobson, M. Z. (2000). A physically-based treatment of elemental carbon optics: Implications for global direct forcing of aerosols. *Geophysical Research Letters* 27:217-220.
- Haywood, J. M. and Ramaswamy, V. (1998). Global sensitivity studies of the direct radiative forcing due to anthropogenic sulfate and black carbon aerosols. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 103:6043-6058.
- Haywood, J. and Boucher, O. (2000). Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols: A review. *Reviews of Geophysics* 38:513-543.

- Cooke, W. F., Liousse, C., Cachier, H. and Feichter, J. (1999). Construction of a 1 degrees x 1 degrees fossil fuel emission data set for carbonaceous aerosol and implementation and radiative impact in the ECHAM4 model. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 104:22137-22162.
- Cartwright, J., Nagelschmidt, G. and Skidmore, J. W. (1956). The Study of Air Pollution with Electron Microscope *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 82:82-86.
- Schneider, S. H. (1972). Atmospheric Particles and Climate: Can We Evaluate the Impact of Mans Activities. *Institute of Environmental Sciences Proceedings* 18:557-563.
- Saathoff, H., Mohler, O., Schurath, U., Kamm, S., Dippel, B. and Mihelcic, D. (2003b). The AIDA soot aerosol characterisation campaign 1999. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 34:1277-1296.
- Schnaiter, M., Linke, C., Mohler, O., Naumann, K. H., Saathoff, H., Wagner, R., Schurath, U. and Wehner, B. (2005). Absorption amplification of black carbon internally mixed with secondary organic aerosol. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 110.
- Weingartner, E., Saathoff, H., Schnaiter, M., Streit, N., Bitnar, B. and Baltensperger, U. (2003). Absorption of light by soot particles: determination of the absorption coefficient by means of aethalometers. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 34:1445-1463.
- Cappa, C. D., Lack, D. A., Burkholder, J. B. and Ravishankara, A. R. (2008). Bias in filter-based aerosol light absorption measurements due to organic aerosol loading: Evidence from laboratory measurements. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 42:1022-1032.
- Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Doraiswamy, P., Chen, L. W. A., Sodeman, D. A., Lowenthal, D. H., Park, K., Arnott, W. P. and Motallebi, N. (2009). Aerosol light absorption, black carbon, and elemental carbon at the Fresno Supersite, California. *Atmospheric Research* 93:874-887.
- Lack, D. A., Cappa, C. D., Cross, E. S., Massoli, P., Ahern, A. T., Davidovits, P. and Onasch, T. B. (2009). Absorption Enhancement of Coated Absorbing Aerosols: Validation of the Photo-Acoustic Technique for Measuring the Enhancement. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 43:1006-1012.
- Bond, T. C., Anderson, T. L. and Campbell, D. (1999). Calibration and intercomparison of filter-based measurements of visible light absorption by aerosols. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 30:582-600.
- Gangl, M., Kocifaj, M., Videen, G. and Horvath, H. (2008). Light absorption by coated nano-sized carbonaceous particles. *Atmospheric Environment* 42:2571-2581.
- Khalizov, A. F., Xue, H. X., Wang, L., Zheng, J. and Zhang, R. Y. (2009). Enhanced Light Absorption and Scattering by Carbon Soot Aerosol Internally Mixed with Sulfuric Acid. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 113:1066-1074.
- Slowik, J. G., Cross, E. S., Han, J. H., Davidovits, P., Onasch, T. B., Jayne, J. T., WilliamS, L. R., Canagaratna, M. R., Worsnop, D. R., Chakrabarty, R. K., Moosmuller, H., Arnott, W. P., Schwarz, J. P., Gao, R. S., Fahey, D. W., Kok, G. L. and Petzold, A. (2007b). An inter-comparison of instruments measuring black carbon content of soot particles. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 41:295-314.
- Sedlacek, A. and Lee, J. (2007). Photothermal interferometric aerosol absorption spectrometry. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 41:1089-1101.
- Arnott, W. P., Moosmuller, H. and Walker, J. W. (2000). Nitrogen dioxide and kerosene-flame soot calibration of photoacoustic instruments for measurement of light absorption by aerosols. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 71:4545-4552.
- Lack, D. A., Lovejoy, E. R., Baynard, T., Pettersson, A. and Ravishankara, A. R. (2006). Aerosol absorption measurement using photoacoustic spectroscopy: Sensitivity, calibration, and uncertainty developments. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 40:697-708.
- Gillis, K. A., Havey, D. K. and Hodges, J. T. (2010). Standard photoacoustic spectrometer: Model and validation using O-2 A-band spectra. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 81 (6).

- Havey, D. K., Bueno, P. A., Gillis, K. A., Hodges, J. T., Mulholland, G. W., van Zee, R. D. and Zachariah, M. R. (2010). Photoacoustic Spectrometer with a Calculable Cell Constant for Measurements of Gases and Aerosols. *Analytical Chemistry* 82:7935-7942.
- Santoro, R. J., Semerjian, H. G. and Dobbins, R. A. (1983). Soot Particle Measurements in Diffusion Flames. *Combustion and Flame* 51:203-218.
- Knutson, E. O. and Whitby, K. T. (1975). Aerosol Classification by Electric Mobility: Apparatus, Theory and Applications. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 6:9.
- Kim, S. H., Fletcher, R. A. and Zachariah, M. R. (2005). Understanding the difference in oxidative properties between flame and diesel soot nanoparticles: The role of metals. *Environmental Science* & amp; Technology 39:4021-4026.
- Carslaw, H. S. and Jaeger, J. C. (1959). Conduction of Heat in Solids. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Hinds, W. C. (1999). Aerosol Technology: Properties, Behavior, and Measurement of Airoborne Particles. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Friedlander, S. K. (1977). Smoke, Dust and Haze: Fundamentals of Aerosol Behavior. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Fletcher, R. A., Mulholland, G. W., Winchester, M. R., King, R. L. and Klinedinst, D. B. (2009). Calibration of a Condensation Particle Counter Using a NIST Traceable Method. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 43:425-441.
- Snelling, D. R., Liu, F. S., Smallwood, G. J. and Gulder, O. L. (2004). Determination of the soot absorption function and thermal accommodation coefficient using low-fluence LII in a laminar coflow ethylene diffusion flame. *Combustion and Flame* 136:180-190.
- Park, K., Cao, F., Kittelson, D. B. and McMurry, P. H. (2003). Relationship between particle mass and mobility for diesel exhaust particles. *Environmental Science & Technology* 37:577-583.
- Farias, T. L., Koylu, U. O. and Carvalho, M. G. (1996). Range of validity of the Rayleigh-Debye-Gans theory for optics of fractal aggregates. *Applied Optics* 35:6560-6567.
- Kahnert, M. (2010). Modelling the optical and radiative properties of freshly emitted light absorbing carbon within an atmospheric chemical transport model. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 10:1403-1416.
- Mulholland, G. W. and Mountain, R. D. (1999). Coupled dipole calculation of extinction coefficient and polarization ratio for smoke agglomerates. *Combustion and Flame* 119:56-68.
- Zhu, J. Y., Choi, M. Y., Mulholland, G. W. and Gritzo, L. A. (2000). Measurement of soot optical properties in the near-infrared spectrum. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 43:3299-3303.
- Shiraiwa, M., Kondo, Y., Iwamoto, T. and Kita, K. (2010). Amplification of Light Absorption of Black Carbon by Organic Coating. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 44:46-54.