Urban trees and the risk of poor birth outcomes

Geoffrey H. Donovan [a,*], Yvonne L. Michael [b], David T. Butry [c], Amy D. Sullivan [d], John M. Chase [a]

[a] USDA Forest Service, PNW Research Station, Portland, OR 97205, USA
[b] Drexel University School of Public Health, Philadelphia, PA 19102, USA
[c] National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA
[d] Multnomah County Health Department, Portland, OR 97204, USA

*Corresponding author. Tel: 503-808-2043; fax: 503-808-2033; *E-mail address*: gdonovan@fs.fed.us

ABSTRACT

This paper investigated whether greater tree-canopy cover is associated with reduced risk of poor birth outcomes in Portland, Oregon. Residential addresses were geocoded and linked to classified-aerial imagery to calculate tree-canopy cover in 50, 100, and 200 m buffers around each home in our sample (n = 5696). Detailed data on maternal characteristics and additional neighborhood variables were obtained from birth certificates and tax records. We found that a 10 % increase in tree-canopy cover within 50 m of a house reduced the number of small for gestational age births by 1.42 per 1000 births (95 % CI: 0.11-2.72). Results suggest the natural environment may affect pregnancy outcomes and should be evaluated in future research.

Keywords: reproductive health, small for gestational age, preterm birth, urban trees

1. Introduction

There is increasing evidence that greenness can improve the health of urban residents. The pioneering work in this field was done by Ulrich (1984), who showed that patients recovering from gall-bladder removal surgery in a room with a view of a natural scene were discharged quicker and required less pain medication than those who recovered in a room with a view of a brick wall. More recently, observational studies have shown that greenness is associated with lower obesity (Bell et al., 2008), perceived general health (Maas et al., 2006), morbidity (Maas et al., 2009b), and mortality (Mitchell and Popham, 2007). The relationship between health and the natural environment has been studied in other fields including evolutionary biology and psychology. Research has concluded that the natural environment, in general, (Frumkin, 2001; Wilson, 1984) and trees specifically (Perlman, 1994) can improve human well being.

There has been no research, however, on the effect of greenness on reproductive health. Past research has shown that birth outcomes are related to stress (Miranda et al., 2009), neighborhood-level economic deprivation (Messer et al., 2008; O'Campo et al., 2008), and social capital (Buka et al., 2003). Although these studies did not consider greenness, they suggest potential mechanisms linking greenness and birth outcomes. We address this gap in the literature by quantifying the effect of urban trees on adverse birth outcomes. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that greater access to urban trees would reduce the incidence of preterm birth (PTB) and small for gestational age (SGA), both of which are major causes of neonatal and infant mortality as well as contributing to health problems in later life (Hack et al., 1995).

We chose to study the effect of trees on birth outcomes, because they are an important element of the natural environment in urban areas that are more readily modified than other natural amenities. For example it is easier to plant trees in a neighborhood than increase the size of parks or other open space.

2. Study Sample

The study sample consisted of all singleton live births in Portland, Oregon, during 2006 and 2007 where the mother's address was a single-family home (n = 5696). Of these, 348 births were pre-term and 397 were SGA (33 births exhibited both). Our analysis was confined to single-family homes because of practical difficulties measuring trees around multi-family homes. We geocoded house's by matching a mother's address on a birth certificate to an address in the Regional Land Information System (RLIS) database, which contains coordinates for the centroid of each house's lot. The RLIS database is maintained by Metro, the metropolitan Portland regional government with responsibility for urban planning and transportation.

3. Measures and method

We used birth certificates to identify PTB, gestational age of less than 37 weeks, and SGA, birth weight below the 10th percentile for gestational age and gender. Percentage tree canopy in 50, 100, and 200 m buffers around the centroid of each mother's house was calculated using classified-aerial imagery (Metro land-cover classification 2007, resolution 1 m). Figure 1 shows an example of this classified aerial imagery and the color imagery on which it's based. Both panels show the same houses, although, for privacy reasons, these particular houses were not part of our sample.

Birth certificates provided data on race, age, and education of parents; insurance type (public, private, none), receipt of prenatal care, and reproductive characteristics of the mother (the number, frequency, and outcome of previous pregnancies).

Tax records provided data on the characteristics of a mother’s house: number of bathrooms and bedrooms, type of heating, presence of air conditioning, age of a house, house size, lot size, and 2007 real market value, which we used as a proxy for income (Goodman and Kawai, 1982).

The RLIS database provided data on housing and population density, street connectivity (density of intersections); distance to closest parks, commercial districts, freeways, and public transit.

The Portland Police Bureau provided data on the number of property and violent crimes that were reported in 2006 and 2007 in 50, 100, and 200 m buffers around the centroid of a house's lot. Crime was included as a covariate, as it has been shown to increase stress (Koss et al., 1991) and may affect patterns of physical activity (Foster and Giles-Corti, 2008).

3.1. ***Statistical Analyses***

We used binary logistic regression to examine whether tree-canopy cover was independently related to incidence of SGA or PTB in the study sample. All variables with p-values of less than 0.25 in univariate analyses were considered for inclusion in our models. In the case of collinear variables—for example, those describing a mother’s education—only the variable from each group with the lowest p-value was included. Final model selection was done using iterative, backward selection of variables with progressively lower p-value thresholds of 0.75, 0.5, 0.25, and 0.1. To ensure that all confounders were included, any covariate with significant variation by canopy cover within 50 m of a house, which was not selected for retention during the backward selection process, was re-introduced to the final model. If any reintroduced variable caused a 10 % or greater change in any coefficients of interest, then we retained it in the final model (Rothman et al., 2008). None of the covariates evaluated (including those not shown in Table 1) met this threshold.

To test for spurious correlation, we conducted a Monte Carlo validation test (Good, 2006) using 75 % of the sample to estimate the probability of adverse birth outcome in the remaining 25 %. We separated the 25 % into cases and controls and calculated the mean, predicted probability of an adverse birth outcome in the two groups (If the model had predictive power, then one would expect it to predict an adverse birth outcome more frequently for cases than controls). We retained the difference between the two values and repeated the process 1000 times. We compared the resultant distribution to a control where observations were randomly assigned to the two groups based on the proportion of adverse birth outcomes in the retention sample.

4. Results

Characteristics of women in the study sample are shown in Table 1. Women with greater access to urban trees were more likely to be non-Hispanic white, younger, have fewer previous births, and live in newer, more expensive houses closer to private open space compared to women with less access to urban trees.

Canopy cover within 50 m of a house, and proximity to private open space, reduced the risk of a baby being born SGA (Table 2) but were not significantly associated with PTB (model not shown). In the SGA model, the effects of parity, education, and race were consistent with past research (Messer et al., 2008; Phung et al., 2003).

Based on the results of our Monte Carlo validation test, the model performed significantly better than random chance ($p<0.0001$) (Figure 2). The predictive power of the model was also compared to a restricted model without variables describing canopy cover or distance to private open space. The full model performed better than the restricted model ($p<0.0001$), supporting the contribution of these variables to the predictive power of the model.

5. Discussion

Greater tree-canopy cover within 50 m of a house, and proximity to private open space, were associated with a reduced risk of SGA. Results do not provide direct insight into how urban trees may improve birth outcomes. However, stress reduction is a plausible biological mechanism linking trees to SGA, as previous research has shown that maternal stress can increase the probability of underweight birth (Miranda et al., 2009), and exposure to natural environments can reduce stress (Ulrich et al., 1991). In addition, green space may act as a buffer against the negative health impact of stressful life events (van den Berg et al., 2010). Improved social contacts are another possible psychosocial mechanism, as perceived levels of neighborhood social support are positively associated with infant birth weight (Buka et al., 2003) and the availability of larger green spaces is associated with perceived social support (Maas et al., 2009a). Neighborhood greenness is also associated with greater levels of physical activity

(Townshend and Lake, 2009), and greater levels of physical activity during pregnancy may protect against SGA births (Gollenberg et al.). However, exercise is unlikely to be the sole mechanism whereby trees affect birth outcomes, as increased tree-canopy cover within 50 m is a localized effect, and one would expect most exercise in a neighborhood to take place further than 50 m from a house.

Although no observational study can prove a causal relationship, consider the following strengths of the study. First, it builds on past experimental work demonstrating that trees can improve health outcomes (Ulrich, 1984). Second, if trees were merely proxies for positive neighborhood characteristics, one would expect that trees further than 50 m from a house would also be correlated with better birth outcomes, but they were not. Third, a wide range of individual and neighborhood characteristics, including many markers for socioeconomic status, were controlled for. Fourth, validation testing showed that results were not due to spurious correlation.

Our research also has limitations. Birth certificate data are subject to possible misclassification and residual confounding. For example, previous validation research has demonstrated that while birth weight reporting was very accurate, medical history data were less accurate (Buescher et al., 1993). Births to women living in multi-family homes were excluded, reducing the generalizability of results. In addition, both Portland's ethnic homogeneity and its high investment in green infrastructure are atypical, which may make our results less applicable in other cities. Finally, the magnitude of the effect of trees on birth outcomes was relatively modest.

In conclusion, urban trees may affect the health of a pregnant woman in ways that protect against SGA. Although results are preliminary, they highlight the need for more research on the effect of the natural environment on reproductive health.

References

Bell, J.F., Wilson, J.S., Liu, G.C., 2008. Neighborhood greenness and 2-year changes in body mass index of children and youth. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 35, 547-553.

Buescher, P.A., Taylor, K.P., Davis, M.H., Bowling, J.M., 1993. The quality of the new birth certificate data: a validation study in North Carolina. Am J Public Health 83, 1163-1165.

Buka, S.L., Brennan, R.T., Rich-Edwards, J.W., Raudenbush, S.W., Earls, F., 2003. Neighborhood Support and the Birth Weight of Urban Infants. Am. J. Epidemiol. 157, 1-8.

Foster, S., Giles-Corti, B., 2008. The built environment, neighborhood crime and constrained physical activity: an exploration of inconsistent findings. Preventive Medicine 47, 241-251.

Frumkin, H., 2001. Beyond toxicity: human health and the natural environment. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 20, 234-240.

Gollenberg, A., Pekow, P., Bertone-Johnson, E., Freedson, P., Markenson, G., Chasan-Taber, L., Physical Activity and Risk of Small-for-Gestational-Age Birth Among Predominantly Puerto Rican Women. Maternal and Child Health Journal.

Good, P.I., 2006. A practical guide to data analysis, Third ed. Birkhauser, Boston.

Goodman, A.C., Kawai, M., 1982. Permanent income, hedonic prices, and demand for housing: New evidence. Journal of Urban Economics 12, 214-237.

Hack, M., Klein, M.K., Taylor, H.G., 1995. Long-term developmental outcomes of low birth weight infants. Future Child 5, 176-196.

Koss, M., Koss, P.G., Woodruff, W.J., 1991. Deleterious effects of criminal victimization on women's health and medical utilization. Archives of Internal Medicine 151, 342-347.

Maas, J., van Dillen, S.M., Verheij, R.A., Groenewegen, P.P., 2009a. Social contacts as a possible mechanism behind the relation between green space and health. Health & Place 15, 586-595.

Maas, J., Verheij, R.A., de Vries, S., Spreeuwenberg, P., Schellevis, F.G., Groenewegen, P.P., 2009b. Morbidity is related to a green living environment. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health 63, 967-973.

Maas, J., Verheij, R.A., Groenewegen, P.P., de Vries, S., Spreeuwenberg, P., 2006. Green space, urbanity, and health: how strong is the relation? Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health 60, 587-592.

Messer, L.C., Vinikoor, L.C., Laraia, B.A., Kaufman, J.S., Eyster, J., Holzman, C., Culhane, J., Elo, I.T., Burke, J.G., O'Campo, P., 2008. Socioeconomic domains and associations with preterm birth. Social Science and Medicine 67, 1247-1257.

Miranda, L.M., Maxson, P., Edwards, S., 2009. Environmental contributions to disparities in pregnancy outcomes. Epidemiologic reviews 31, 67-83.

Mitchell, R., Popham, F., 2007. Greenspace, urbanity, and health: relationships in England. Journal of Epidemiol Community Health 61, 681-683.

O'Campo, P., Burke, J.G., Culhane, J., Elo, I.T., Eyster, J., Holzman, C., Messer, L.C., Kaufman, J.S., Laraia, B.A., 2008. Neighborhood deprivation and preterm birth among non-Hispanic black and white women in eight geographic areas in the United States. American Journal of Epidemiology 167, 155-163.

Perlman, M., 1994. The power of trees: the reforesting of the soul. Spring P, Dallas.

Phung, H., Bauman, A., Nguyen, T.V., Young, L., Tran, M., Hillman, K., 2003. Risk factors for low birth weight in a socio-economically disadvantaged population; parity, marital status, ethnicity and cigarette smoking. European Journal of Epidemiology 18, 235-243.

Rothman, K.J., Greenland, S., Lash, T.L., 2008. Modern Epidemiology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.

Townshend, T., Lake, A.A., 2009. Obesogenic urban form: Theory, policy and practice. Health & Place 15, 909-916.

Ulrich, R.S., 1984. View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. Science 224, 420-421.

Ulrich, R.S., Simons, R.F., Losito, B.D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M.A., Zelson, M., 1991. Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. Journal of Environmental Psychology 1991, 201-230.

van den Berg, A.E., Maas, J., Verheij, R.A., Groenewegen, P.P., 2010. Green space as a buffer between stressful life events and health. Social Science & Medicine 70, 1203-1210.

Wilson, E.O., 1984. Biophillia: the human bond with other species. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

Table 1. Selected individual and neighborhood characteristics overall and by tree canopy within 50 m

Variable	Overall	Tree Canopy within 50m below median	Tree Canopy within 50m above median
2007 real market value ($)	268,000	260,000*	276,000*
Mother didn't graduate high school (%)	9.7	10.0	9.4
Mother non-Hispanic white (%)	71.1	73.3*	69.0*
Mother's age (years)	30.3	30.1*	30.6*
Married (%)	78.1	77.1	79.0
Total births	1.80	1.76*	1.83*
Gestational age (weeks)	39.0	39.0	39.1
Birth weight (g)	3,425	3,407	3,443
Delivery cost paid by private insurance (%)	74.2	73.6	74.8
House age (years)	66.3	64.9*	67.7*
Distance to nearest private open space (m)	3,008	2,948*	3,070*
Distance to nearest public transit stop (m)	679	682	676
Violent Crimes within 200m (2006 and 2007)	1.59	1.60	1.59

*Overall p-value < 0.05 comparing characteristics by level of tree canopy within 50 m of mother's residence.

Table 2. Multiple logistic regression of small for gestational age births (Portland, Oregon, 2006 to 2007, n = 5295)

Variable	Odds Ratio	95 % CI	P-value	Marginal effect per 1,000 births
Total births	0.8466	0.7611-0.9418	0.0022	-10.3
Mother has no college education	1.4424	1.1267-1.8465	0.0037	25.3
Mother non-Hispanic white	0.6941	0.5580-0.8633	0.0010	-24.4
Percent canopy cover within 50m	0.9902	0.9811-0.9993	0.0343	-1.42*
Distance to private open space (m)	1.0001	1.0000-1.0001	0.0178	-1.85**
McFadden R-squared:	0.01853			

* For a 10 % increase in canopy cover

** For a 500 m reduction in distance (private open space consists of cemeteries, golf courses, private-school grounds, and community gardens)

Figure 1. Color and classified imagery of example houses within study area showing property boundaries, lot centroids and 50 m buffers



Figure 2. Monte Carlo validation results. The x-axis shows the difference in mean probability of an SGA birth (predicted using 75 % of the sample) between observations where an SGA birth occurred and those that were normal weight.