

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of MOLECULAR SPECTROSCOPY

Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy 251 (2008) 38-55

www.elsevier.com/locate/jms

# Towards the complete analysis of the rotational spectrum of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl

Melanie Schnell<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Jon T. Hougen<sup>b</sup>, Jens-Uwe Grabow<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Gottfriend Wilhelm Leibniz-Universität Hannover, Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Lehrgebiet A, Callinstr. 3-3a, D-30167 Hannover, Germany <sup>b</sup> Optical Technology Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

> Received 27 November 2007 Available online 17 January 2008

# Abstract

The rotational spectrum of the symmetric top trimethyl tin chloride  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl has been studied using a pulsed molecular beam Fourier transform microwave spectrometer in the frequency range from 3 to 24 GHz. The spectrum is exceedingly complicated by the internal rotation motions of the three equivalent methyl tops, the high number of Sn- and Cl-isotopes and the quadrupole hyperfine structure of the chlorine nucleus. In this paper, we present the microwave spectrum, *ab initio* calculations, permutation inversion (PI) group-the-oretical considerations, Stark-effect measurements and finally the K = 0 assignments and fits of the different torsion–rotation species. Based on the Stark-effect measurements, the dipole moment is  $\mu = 3.4980(30)$  D. Due to  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing effects we observe linear Stark-effect behavior and additional quadrupole splitting for some K = 0 torsion–rotation transitions in (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl, which can be group-theoretically explained. The symmetric rotor fit of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  torsion-rotation states leads to an effective *B*-constant of 1680.040124(92) MHz for the main isotopologue (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>120</sup>Sn<sup>35</sup>Cl. A global fit of 182 K = 0 torsion–rotation transitions yields a  $V_3$  torsional barrier of 1.774(6) kJ.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Anomalous hyperfine splittings; Fourier transform microwave spectroscopy; Internal rotation barrier; Permutation-inversion group theory; Stark effect; Equivalent methyl tops

# 1. Introduction

The molecules of the type  $(CH_3)_3XY$  considered here are symmetric tops with  $C_{3v}$  symmetry in their equilibrium geometry. The rotational spectra of such molecules are especially interesting since they can show splittings arising from three chemically equivalent methyl tops, or, from a group-theoretical point of view, splittings from three  $C_{3v}$ equivalent, individually  $C_{3v}$ -symmetric rotors. From these splittings information on the torsional barrier height and on torsion-torsion interaction terms can be obtained. In the past only very few spectroscopic studies on molecules of the type  $(CH_3)_3XY$  have been reported in which the torsion-rotation splitting is resolved [1–5]. In general, both steric repulsion and properties of the chemical bonds are responsible for the barrier height to internal rotation, and their relative contributions in varying molecular situations is in general different. We recently presented a comparative study of the different origins of the barriers to internal rotation for the series  $(CH_3)_3XCI$  with X = C, Si, Ge, Sn [4], since, although belonging to the same IVA main group in the periodic table, these four elements are quite different. The study aimed at a better understanding of the influence of the covalent radius and the properties of the central atom on the internal rotation barrier and on the top-top communication. Here, we present an indepth analysis of the torsion-rotation spectrum of  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ , since this molecule shows the most complex and thus most interesting spectral features.

For  $(CH_3)_3CCl$  the barrier to internal rotation is high, and torsional splittings have not been resolved so far [6].  $(CH_3)_3SiCl$  has recently been studied by microwave spectroscopy [1]. The barrier to internal rotation was determined to be  $V_3 = 577 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , with observed torsional

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Present address: Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany.

E-mail address: schnell@fhi-berlin.mpg.de (M. Schnell).

splitting pattern widths ranging from 50 kHz for  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$  to about 240 kHz (5  $\leftarrow$  4-transition). For (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>GeCl a rotational spectrum was recorded from 18 to 40 GHz in an earlier investigation without resolving the torsional and the chlorine quadrupole hyperfine splittings [7]. We recently recorded and analysed the high-resolution microwave spectrum of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>GeCl including hyperfine structure and torsional splittings [3]. The barrier height is determined to be  $V_3 = 372.359(47) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>74</sup>Ge<sup>35</sup>Cl). A detailed investigation of the torsionrotation splitting pattern of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl might lead to a better understanding of internal rotation dynamics since the torsional barrier can be expected to be mainly determined by properties of the three Sn-C bonds, rather than by steric effects, as briefly discussed in [4]. Following this reasoning, the barrier to internal rotation should be relatively low, leading to large splittings in the microwave spectrum.

The aim of the present study is to investigate the torsion-rotation spectrum of  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$  to reveal the competing mechanisms of the internal dynamics. The remaining parts of the paper are divided as follows: In Section 2 we describe the experimental details while in Section 3 the observed rotational spectrum is discussed from a more qualitative point of view. In Section 4 the group-theoretical aspects are described, Section 5 continues with the analysis of Stark-effect measurements in order to differentiate between different torsional species and to determine the dipole moment of  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ . In Section 6 the results of the spectroscopic investigation are discussed. Section 7 concludes the paper and gives an outlook.

# 2. Experimental

(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl, with a stated purity of 97%, was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and was used without further purification. (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl is a solid at room temperature and melts between 37 and 39 °C. Its vapor pressure is sufficiently high to prepare mixtures of about 1% substance in neon at stagnation pressures between 50 and 100 kPa, and thus to record its rotational spectrum. A broadband (2-26.5 GHz) high-resolution Fourier transform microwave (FTMW) spectrometer resonator chamber, shown in Fig. 1, was used to observe the rotational spectrum of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl. The coaxially oriented beam resonator arrangement (COBRA) [8] is utilized, resulting in line widths of about 1 kHz (half width at half height (HWHH)) for argon and about 1.5 kHz (HWHH) for neon as carrier gas. This yields a resolving power of about 3 kHz for argon and about 5 kHz for neon, respectively. The spectrometer was designed to provide high sensitivity and resolution also in the low frequency range below 6 GHz, which was particularly helpful for this study since the  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$ transition of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl lies near 3.2 GHz.

To differentiate between different torsional species by their Stark behavior and to precisely determine the dipole moment of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl we performed Stark-effect mea-



Fig. 1. Experimental setup of the FTMW spectrometer including an assembly for Stark-effect measurements. The molecules are supersonically expanded into the resonator through a pulsed nozzle located in the left reflector (COBRA arrangement [8]). The microwave antennae are mounted on the same reflector. For Stark-effect measurements the right reflector is raised to a high voltage with respect to the left reflector, which is kept at ground potential. Additional ring electrodes between the two reflectors insure a homogeneous electric field along the resonator axis (CAESAR electrode arrangement [9]).

surements using the CAESAR setup (coaxially aligned electrodes for Stark-effect arranged in resonators) [9] where the reflectors forming the microwave resonator are used as Stark electrodes themselves, supplemented by additional ring electrodes. In this arrangement, which is illustrated in Fig. 1, the advantage of the longer transit time of the molecular jet in the coaxial arrangement is particularly useful for Stark-effect experiments, where very narrow line widths are desired. This is especially true for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl, which exhibits a very dense line pattern in the rotational spectrum. In this setup the direction of the static electric field is perpendicular to the electromagnetic field, leading exclusively to the selection rules  $\Delta M_J = \pm 1$ . The Stark electric field E was determined from calibration measurements with the OC<sup>36</sup>S and <sup>18</sup>OCS isotopologues using a dipole moment of  $\mu = 0.71519(3)$  D for both species [10].

#### 3. Geometry considerations and spectral observations

Our *ab initio* calculations show that  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$  exhibits  $C_{3v}$ -symmetry in its equilibrium configuration, both for its SnC<sub>3</sub>Cl frame, as well as for the orientations of the three CH<sub>3</sub> groups. Fig. 2 displays the structure obtained from our BP86/def-TZVPP/ecp-46-mwb(Sn) optimization with no predetermined symmetry imposed. As a result of this high symmetry, the rotational spectrum should follow that



Fig. 2. Equilibrium geometry (BP86/def-TZVPP/ecp-46-mwb(Sn)) and numbering of the identical nuclei of  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl. This configuration corresponds to framework 1 in the high-barrier torsion–rotation formalism described in Section 4.4.

for a prolate symmetric top. The predicted rotational constants are A = 2330.67 MHz and B = C = 1614.21 MHz.

The observed rotational spectrum is complicated by rather dense line patterns due to internal rotation of the three methyl tops, chlorine nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure, and the large number of isotopes of tin and chlorine. Based on the *ab initio* calculations (BP86/def-TZVPP/ ecp-46-mwb(Sn)) we estimate a torsional barrier of about 2.1 kJ/mol (175 cm<sup>-1</sup>) for one methyl group.

Fig. 3 shows a scheme of the different splitting patterns and subgroups observed for  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$   $(J + 1 \leftarrow$  $J = 5 \leftarrow 4$ -transition). The 5  $\leftarrow 4$ -transition is spread over a spectral range of about 1.2 GHz. It exhibits two main groups of about 400 MHz width corresponding to the <sup>35</sup>Cl- and <sup>37</sup>Cl- isotopologues, separated by about 400 MHz. Both groups are further split into 17 groups due to internal rotation, 6 for K = 0 and 11 for K = 1, which have a maximum separation of about 50 MHz. Each torsional group is now further separated into seven more groups corresponding to the seven Sn isotopologues  $(^{124}Sn (5.98\% \text{ natural relative abundance}),$  $^{122}$ Sn (4.71%), <sup>120</sup>Sn (32.97%), <sup>119</sup>Sn (8.58%), <sup>118</sup>Sn (24.01%),  $^{117}$ Sn (7.57%),  $^{116}$ Sn (14.24%)), which are separated by about 10 MHz and which have been detected in natural abundance. The narrowest pattern we observed is due to quadrupole coupling of the chlorine nucleus  $(I = \frac{3}{2})$ . In total, one single  $J + 1 \leftarrow J$ -symmetric top rotational transition with J > 0 shows more than 1000 lines in the spectrum.

Fig. 4a shows the measured rotational spectrum between 16507 and 16917 MHz, which corresponds to the  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 5 \leftarrow 4$ -transition of the  $(CH_3)_3 Sn^{35}Cl$  isotopologue. The braces indicate different torsion-rotation states for K = 1 and K = 0, denoted by Roman and Arabic



Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the characteristics of the rotational spectrum of  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl. One rotational  $J + 1 \leftarrow J$ -transition is divided into several subgroups because of Cl- and Sn-isotopologues, internal rotation of the three equivalent CH<sub>3</sub> groups and Cl nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure. The  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 5 \leftarrow 4$ -transition spreads over 1.2 GHz. It is further divided into two subgroups, one for the <sup>37</sup>Cl- and one for the <sup>35</sup>Cl-isotopologue. These two groups are each spread over about 400 MHz and are separated by about 400 MHz. They are further split due to internal rotation, the seven tin isotopologues and chlorine nuclear quadrupole coupling, which is the smallest splitting observed (0.1– 2 MHz).

numbering, respectively. Up to now we were able to unambiguously identify 14 torsional states (six for K = 0 and eight for K = 1) with the help of the very characteristic tin isotopologue pattern, while we expect the missing three K = 1-torsional states to be located in the very dense line pattern, where also the torsional groups VIII, IX, 10 and 11 are found. The tin isotopologue pattern displayed in Fig. 4b (labeled with the Sn isotope mass numbers) facilitated first assignments of this very complex spectrum since the relative abundances of the different isotopologues are very nicely reproduced by the intensities in the spectrum. In Fig. 4c the chlorine nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure from the most intense quadrupole coupling components  $F' \leftarrow F = \frac{13}{2} \leftarrow \frac{11}{2}, \frac{11}{2} \leftarrow \frac{9}{2}, \frac{9}{2} \leftarrow \frac{7}{2}$  and  $\frac{7}{2} \leftarrow \frac{5}{2}$  for K = 0 is shown. Note, that the quadrupole coupling components  $\frac{13}{2} \leftarrow \frac{11}{2}$  and  $\frac{11}{2} \leftarrow \frac{9}{2}$  as well as  $\frac{9}{2} \leftarrow \frac{7}{2}$  and  $\frac{7}{2} \leftarrow \frac{5}{2}$  (K = 0) are usually unresolvably close in frequency for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>XCl type molecules [11]. This is indeed observed for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SiCl [1] and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>GeCl [3]. However, for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl we find small differences in the transition frequency ranging from 40 to 450 kHz for these components. These frequency differences are dependent on J and on the torsional species; they will be discussed in more detail in Section 6.2.



Fig. 4. Parts of the rotational spectrum of  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl. (a) The  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 5 \leftarrow 4$ -transition of the main isotopologue  $(CH_3)_3$ Sn<sup>35</sup>Cl between 16,507 and 16,917 MHz. 14 groups due to internal rotation are identified (Roman numbers indicate K = 1 and Arabic numbers K = 0 torsion–rotation transitions). (b) Seven different tin isotopologues, labeled by their Sn masses, with the characteristic intensity pattern reflecting the natural abundances of the different Sn-isotopes and (c) chlorine nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure for K = 0 labeled with the corresponding  $F' \leftarrow F$  quantum numbers of the transition.

# 4. Group theory/theoretical considerations

# 4.1. The group $G_{162}$

To facilitate the assignment and the fitting of spectroscopic constants to this complex rotational spectrum we used permutation-inversion (PI) group theory. The PI group  $G_{162}$ , appropriate for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl, as well as statisti-

Table 1 Character table of  $G_{162}$  with  $\epsilon = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ 

cal weights for the torsional species have already been
worked out [12-14]. G <sub>162</sub> (Table 1) is formed from a direct
product of three $C_3$ groups $[C_3(C_0) \otimes C_3(C_1) \otimes C_3(C_2) =$
G27] for the three methyl groups following the numbering
in Fig. 2 and a semi-direct product with the $C_{3v}$ group
(six elements) for the equilibrium symmetry [14]. It consists
of 13 classes. With the numbering of the hydrogen and car-
bon nuclei given in Fig. 2 the generating operations are

	Ε	αβγ	$\alpha\beta^{-1}$	$\alpha^{-1}\beta$	α	αβ	$\alpha^{-1}\beta\gamma$	с	αc	$\alpha^{-1}c$	σ	$\beta\sigma$	$\beta^{-1}\sigma$	
	1	2	3	3	6	6	6	18	18	18	27	27	27	
$A_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	$T_z$
$A_2$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	$R_z$
$E_1$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	$^{-1}$	-1	$^{-1}$	0	0	0	$(T_x, T_y)(R_x, R_y)$
$E_2$	2	2	2	2	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	2	-1	$^{-1}$	0	0	0	
$E_3$	2	2	2	2	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	2	$^{-1}$	0	0	0	
$E_4$	2	2	2	2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	2	0	0	0	
$I_1$	6	-3	0	0	0	-3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$I_2$	6	-3	0	0	3	0	-3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$I_3$	6	-3	0	0	-3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$F_{4a}$	3	3	$3\epsilon^*$	$3\epsilon$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	$\epsilon$	$\epsilon^*$	
$F_{4b}$	3	3	$3\epsilon$	$3\epsilon^*$	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	$\epsilon^*$	$\epsilon$	
$F_{5a}$	3	3	$3\epsilon^*$	$3\epsilon$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$^{-1}$	$-\epsilon$	$-\epsilon^*$	
$F_{5b}$	3	3	$3\epsilon$	$3\epsilon^*$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$^{-1}$	$-\epsilon^*$	$-\epsilon$	
$I_4$	6	6	-3	-3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-1	-1	
$I_5$	6	6	-3	-3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	1	1	

 $F_{4a}$  and  $F_{4b}$  as well as  $F_{5a}$  and  $F_{5b}$  are separable degenerate to each other and can be combined to form the reducible representations  $I_4$  and  $I_5$  as given in the last two rows.

 $\alpha = (123), \beta = (456), \gamma = (789), c = (C_0, C_1, C_2)(1, 4, 7)$ (2, 5, 8)(3, 6, 9) and  $\sigma = (C_1, C_2)(2, 3)(4, 7)(5, 9)(6, 8)^*$ . Due to the high symmetry of  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl the group  $G_{162}$  has four doubly degenerate species  $(E_i, i = 1 - 4)$ , three sixfold-degenerate  $(I_j, j = 1 - 3)$ , and four three-fold-degenerate irreducible representations  $(F_{4a}, F_{4b}$  and  $F_{5a}, F_{5b}$ ).  $F_{4a}, F_{4b}$  and  $F_{5a}, F_{5b}$  are separably degenerate [15,16] because of time inversion and can be combined in pairs to give the reducible representations  $I_4$  and  $I_5$  [13], respectively, as shown in the last two rows of Table 1.

# 4.2. The coordinate system

The question of how a given symmetric rotor energy level of  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$  will split when the three  $CH_3$  internal rotations become feasible can only be answered after the transformation properties of the rotational and other basis functions have been determined. These transformation properties can in turn only be determined after the relation between the laboratory-fixed and molecule-fixed coordinates has been defined. We follow closely the procedure described in Section II of Ref. [17], resulting in the following equation

$$\mathbf{R}_{i} = \mathbf{R} + \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\chi, \theta, \phi) \mathbf{a}_{i}(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}),$$
(1)

which should be read from right to left. This procedure, in its simplest form, consists of choosing a set of constants  $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{0}$ , which describe a set of initial vector positions for the atoms *i* in the molecule-fixed axis system. These initial positions, which are usually chosen as symmetrically as possible, are then subjected to the large amplitude motions, which for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl consist of three internal rotation angles  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , to generate a molecule of some particular instantaneous shape  $\mathbf{a}_{i}'(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2})$ . These shape coordinates are then translated through a distance A to give coordinates  $\mathbf{a}_i(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbf{a}'_i(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) - \mathbf{A}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ , for which the origin coincides with the center of mass of the molecule. These center-of-mass coordinates are then substituted into Eq. (1), where they are rotated (using  $S^{-1}(\chi, \theta, \phi)$ ) and translated (by  $\mathbf{R}$ ) to give the proper molecular orientation and location in the laboratory-fixed axis system.

The positions  $\mathbf{a}_{Sn}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{Cl}$  are simple to describe, since they are initially chosen to lie at the origin and on the *z* axis of the molecule, respectively, and (apart from center-ofmass effects) they do not vary with the internal rotation angles (e.g.,  $\mathbf{a}'_{Sn} = \mathbf{a}^0_{Sn}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{Sn}}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{Sn}}' - \mathbf{A}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{Sn}}^0 - \mathbf{A}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ &= \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{A}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \end{aligned}$$
(2)

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{Cl}}(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}) = \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{Cl}}' - \mathbf{A}(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}) = \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{Cl}}^{0} - \mathbf{A}(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2})$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\r_{\mathrm{Sn-Cl}} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{A}(\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}).$$
(3)

The positions of the atoms in each of three methyl groups are less straightforward to set up. Each of the three methyl tops consists of a carbon atom  $C_j$  (j = 0, 1, 2) as anchor atom which is connected to the central tin atom and the hydrogen atoms  $H_{jk}$  (j = 0, 1, 2; k = 0, 1, 2), with, for example,  $H_{00}$  being  $H_1$ ,  $H_{10}$  being  $H_4$  and  $H_{22}$  being  $H_9$  in Fig. 2 (framework 1). To describe the positions of the internal rotors and the internal rotation motions we first define the initial positions of all the carbon atoms as lying at the same point on the positive Sn—Cl axis:

$$\mathbf{a}_{C_{j}}^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\r_{\mathrm{Sn-C}} \end{pmatrix},\tag{4}$$

which will then be rotated about the y axis by the Cl–Sn–C bond angle  $\beta_{\rm C}$  and, for j = 1 and 2, out of the zx-plane to give

$$\mathbf{a}_{C_j} = \mathcal{S}^{-1}(0, \beta_C, 2\pi j/3) \mathbf{a}_C^0 - \mathbf{A}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$
 (5)

We assume  $\beta_{\rm C} = 105.1^{\circ}$ , as obtained from our *ab initio* optimization (Fig. 2), to be constant. The positions of the hydrogen atoms  $H_{jk}$  (j = 0, 1, 2; k = 0, 1, 2) are obtained by connecting them to the corresponding  $C_{j}$ -atom with the bond distance  $r_{\rm C-H}$ , using a vector

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{H}}^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\r_{\mathrm{C-H}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6)

This vector is first rotated about the y axis by the complement  $\pi - \beta_{\rm H}$  of the Sn–C–H bond angle  $\beta_{\rm H}$ , then rotated about the z axis by an angle of  $2\pi k/3$  for each H<sub>jk</sub>, and finally attached to the C<sub>i</sub> atom on the z axis to give

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{H}_{jk}} = S^{-1}(0, \beta_{\mathrm{C}}, 2\pi j/3) \left[ \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{C}}^{0} + S^{-1}(0, \pi - \beta_{\mathrm{H}}, \alpha_{j} + 2\pi k/3) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{H}}^{0} \right] - \mathbf{A},$$
(7)

with  $\beta_{\rm H}$  taken to be constant at 109.5°. Eq. (7) indicates that a change of the torsional angle  $\alpha_j$  leads only to a change of the positions of the hydrogen atoms connected to the carbon  $C_j$ . In general, we assume the skeletal bond distances and angles to be constant during the internal rotation motions, with the values for the configuration (framework 1) displayed in Fig. 2.

We have now defined nine different variables to describe  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ : The three torsional angles  $\alpha_j$ , the three Eulerian angles  $\chi$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  to transform from the molecule-fixed to the laboratory-fixed coordinate system, and the three coordinates for the molecular center-of-mass **R**. The transformation properties of these nine variables under the generating symmetry operations  $\alpha = (123)$ ,  $\beta = (456)$ ,  $\gamma = (789)$ ,  $c = (C_0, C_1, C_2)(1, 4, 7)(2, 5, 8)(3, 6, 9)$  and  $\sigma = (C_1, C_2)$  (2, 3)(4, 7)(5, 9)(6, 8)\* of G<sub>162</sub>, which are needed for the following considerations, are given in Table 2.

Based on these transformation properties the determination of the symmetry species of the different rotational and torsional states becomes possible. The torsional states and

Table 2 Transformation properties of the nine variables under the generating operations of  $G_{162}$ 

	n				D / /
	К	$\alpha_0$	α <sub>1</sub>	α <sub>2</sub>	Rotation
(123)	R	$\alpha_0 + 2\pi/3$	α1	α2	χ, θ, φ
(456)	R	$\alpha_0$	$\alpha_1 + 2\pi/3$	α2	χ, θ, φ
(789)	R	$\alpha_0$	α1	$\alpha_2 + 2\pi/3$	χ, θ, φ
С	R	α1	α2	α <sub>0</sub>	$\chi + 2\pi/3,  \theta,  \phi$
$\sigma$	$-\mathbf{R}$	$-\alpha_0$	$-\alpha_2$	$-\alpha_1$	$\pi - \chi, \pi - \theta, \pi + \phi$

their symmetry species are given in Table 3, together with the statistical weights for their rotational levels [13]. The symmetries of the symmetric top wavefunctions  $|JKM\rangle$ are  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  for J even and odd, respectively, when K = 0;  $A_1 \oplus A_2$  when K = 3n > 0; and  $E_1$  when  $K = 3n \pm 1$ . The rotational levels of  $I_2$  torsional states have the largest statistical weight and can be expected to be dominant in the spectrum.

# 4.3. First-order Coriolis coupling

Table 3

It is well known from earlier studies that for the problem of an asymmetric top molecule containing one methyl rotor, torsion-rotation levels of the totally symmetric component  $A_1$  can always be fitted using a rigid rotor Hamiltonian to obtain effective rotational constants. But it has not been explicitly shown yet if this also applies to a  $C_{3v}$ -symmetric molecule of the type (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>XY, for which additional degeneracies are introduced by its high symmetry. To describe rotational levels of a given torsional state using a rigid rotor Hamiltonian, the matrix elements for firstorder Coriolis coupling between torsion and overall rotation must vanish. This in turn requires

$$\langle n_{\Gamma} | p_{\alpha_i} | n_{\Gamma}' \rangle = 0, \tag{8}$$

with  $n_{\Gamma}$  and  $n'_{\Gamma}$  being torsional components of a state of symmetry  $\Gamma$  and  $p_{\alpha_i}$  being the torsional angular momentum of top i (i = 0, 1, 2). For (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl the three  $p_{\alpha_i}$  span the symmetry species  $A_2 \oplus E_1$  and each  $p_{\alpha_i}$  changes sign under time inversion. Watson [18] worked out a general theorem in which he shows that for operators O changing sign under time inversion the matrix element  $\langle n_{\Gamma}|O|n'_{\Gamma}\rangle$  always vanishes if  $\Gamma(O)$  does not belong to the representations of the antisymmetrized product  $[\Gamma^2(n)]_{\text{antisym}}$  of the considered symmetry species. A direct product of an irreducible representation with itself can always be reduced into a symmetrized and an antisymmetrized product [19].

To obtain vanishing matrix elements for Coriolis coupling neither  $A_2$  nor  $E_1$  may be contained in the antisymmetrized product of the symmetry species of the torsional state. Applying this theorem to  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ , i.e. the PI group  $G_{162}$ , shows that indeed rotational levels of the  $A_1$ and  $A_2$  torsional states can be fitted using a rigid rotor Hamiltonian, while all others will be perturbed by firstorder Coriolis coupling.

#### 4.4. High-barrier tunneling-rotation formalism

To determine the relative ordering and to facilitate an assignment of the torsional transitions observed in the spectrum we used the high-barrier group-theoretical tunneling-rotation formalism [20] appropriate for G<sub>162</sub>, based on the coordinates and their transformation properties defined above, to predict the torsional splitting pattern for K = 0. For a  $C_{3v}$ -symmetric molecule with three group-theoretically equivalent internal rotors such as (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl, 27 different frameworks are present. These frameworks can be generated by applying the G<sub>162</sub> operators  $\alpha^p \beta^q \gamma^r$  to framework 1 (in Fig. 2), with the integers p, q and r in the range  $0 \le p, q, r \le 2$ . The frameworks can be ordered in a number of ways, but for the purposes

$ M_a M_b M_c\rangle^{\mathrm{b}}$	$\Gamma_{ m torsional}$	K = 0		$K = 3n \pm 1$	K = 3n	K = 0 Stark-effect be	chavior
		$J_{\rm even}$	$J_{\rm odd}$			Group theory	Exp.
0,0,0 angle	$A_1$	$A_1$ (24)	$A_2$ (24)	$E_1$ (40)	$A_1 + A_2$ (24 + 24)	Quad	Quad
$ \pm1,0,0 angle$	$I_2$	$I_2$ (64)	$I_2$ (64)	$2I_2$ (128)	$2I_2$ (128)	Linear	Linear
$ \pm1,\pm1,0\rangle$	$I_1$	$I_1$ (32)	$I_1$ (32)	$2I_1$ (64)	$2I_1$ (64)	Linear	Linear
$ \pm1,\mp1,0 angle$	$I_4$	$I_4$ (32)	<i>I</i> <sub>5</sub> (32)	$I_4 + I_5$ (32 + 32)	$I_4 + I_5$ (32 + 32)	Quad	Quad
$ \pm 1,\pm 1,\mp 1\rangle$	$I_3$	$I_3$ (16)	$I_3$ (16)	$2I_3$ (32)	2 <i>I</i> <sub>3</sub> (32)	Linear	Linear
$ \pm 1,\pm 1,\pm 1\rangle$	$E_2$	$E_2$ (8)	$E_2$ (8)	$             E_3 + E_4 \\             (4+4)         $	$2E_2$ (16)	Linear	Quad

Symmetry species of the torsional tunneling levels and torsion–rotation energy levels [13] which arise when all three methyl rotors have only the zero-point torsional excitation, the corresponding nuclear spin weights for the torsion–rotation levels<sup>a</sup>, and their linear or quadratic Stark-effect behavior for K = 0,

as predicted from group theory and as experimentally determined from the corresponding torsion-rotation transitions

<sup>a</sup> Statistical weights here differ from those in Ref. [13]. Our results agree with the  $\Gamma_{spin}$  decomposition and with Table II in Ref. [13], but we have counted as Pauli-allowed all torsion–rotation–nuclear–spin states of species  ${}^{trn}A_1$  and  ${}^{trn}A_2$  formed in direct products of the form  ${}^{tr}\Gamma \times {}^{n}\Gamma$ .

<sup>b</sup> Four more torsional basis functions must be generated for each of the four *I* species rows by cyclic permutation of the quantum numbers inside the ket.

of this work we use the following numbering. Framework 1 has pqr = 0.00. Frameworks 2–7 are formed from framework 1 by rotating only one methyl group at a time and have pqr = 100, 200, 010, 020, 001, 002, respectively. Frameworks 8–13, formed from framework 1 by an antigeared two methyl rotation of groups, have pqr = 110, 220, 101, 202, 011, 022. Frameworks 14–19, formed by a geared rotation of two methyl groups, have pqr = 120, 210, 102, 201, 012, 021. Frameworks 20 and 21, formed by a rotation of all three methyl groups in the same sense, have pqr = 111 and 222. Frameworks 22–27, formed from framework 1 by a rotation of all three methyl groups, two in one sense and one in the other, have pqr = 112, 221, 121, 212, 211, 122.

These 27 frameworks can be interconnected by 351 tunneling pathways, represented mathematically by tunneling matrix elements. If the initial framework is taken to be framework 1, as displayed in Fig. 2, then the 26 pathways and the corresponding matrix elements can be denoted as  $1 \rightarrow n$  and  $H_{1,n}$ , respectively, n = 2-27. The properties of the group G<sub>162</sub> can be used to show that only five of all tunneling pathways are topologically inequivalent. These five pathways and the corresponding five tunneling matrix elements (real for the K = 0 problem) correspond physically to the ordering described above, i.e.: (i) rotation of only one CH<sub>3</sub> group  $(H_R = H_{1,n}, n = 2-7)$ , (ii) rotation of two CH<sub>3</sub> groups in a geared  $(H_G = H_{1,n}, n = 14-19)$  or antigeared way  $(H_A = H_{1,n}, n = 8-13)$ ; and (iii) rotation of all three CH<sub>3</sub> groups at the same time, either having the same  $(H_{\rm E} = H_{1,n}, n = 20-21)$  or opposite sense  $(H_{\rm L} = H_{1.n}, n = 22-27)$ . In the high-barrier tunnelingrotation formalism it is necessary to assume that (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl spends most of its time vibrating in the vicinity of one of these 27 frameworks and only occasionally tunnels from one conformation to another [21]. Quantum mechanically speaking, we require the splittings caused by tunneling motions to be small compared to the vibrational spacings associated with a single equilibrium configuration (framework).

Based on our ab initio calculations the distance of closest approach for two hydrogen atoms on different methyl groups is about 3.6 Å for the equilibrium geometry of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl (Fig. 2), while in the equilibrium geometry of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SiCl [1,4] and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>GeCl [3] the distances decrease to about 3.0 and 3.1 Å, respectively. The van-der-Waals radius for hydrogen is 1.2 Å indicating clearly that all five tunneling pathways have to be considered as feasible for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl. This leads to a tunneling Hamiltonian matrix for K = 0 connecting all 27 frameworks by the five feasible tunneling pathways [4]. (Note that the definitions of the matrix elements  $H_{\rm L}$ and  $H_{\rm E}$  in the Supporting Information for Ref. [4] are exchanged with respect to those used here and in Ref. [3].) Diagonalization of this symmetric tunneling matrix yields energy level expressions for the various symmetry species [4],

$$W(A_{1}) = E_{0} + 6H_{R} + 6H_{A} + 6H_{G} + 6H_{L} + 2H_{E}$$

$$W(E_{2}) = E_{0} - 3H_{R} - 3H_{A} + 6H_{G} - 3H_{L} + 2H_{E}$$

$$W(I_{1}) = E_{0} - 3H_{A} + 3H_{L} - H_{E}$$

$$W(I_{2}) = E_{0} + 3H_{R} - 3H_{L} - H_{E}$$

$$W(I_{3}) = E_{0} - 3H_{R} + 3H_{A} - H_{E}$$

$$W(I_{4}) = E_{0} - 3H_{G} + 2H_{E}.$$
(9)

and the torsion-rotation splitting pattern for K = 0 displayed in Fig. 5. This diagram is most easily understood by reading from left to right, starting with a  $J_K = J_0 =$  even symmetric rotor energy level at energy  $E_0$ . By considering internal rotation of only one methyl top at a time  $(H_{1,n=2-7} = H_R < 0, \text{ other } H_{1,n} = 0)$  the  $J_0$ -energy level splits into four torsion-rotation sublevels:  $A_1, I_2, I_1 \oplus I_4$  and  $I_3 \oplus E_2$ , with  $I_1$  and  $I_4$  as well as  $E_2$  and  $I_3$  being degenerate. Note, that this pattern corresponds exactly to the one obtained using local mode theory [4].

As the next step we consider further modifications of the energy levels, which result when interactions due to internal rotation of two tops (geared  $(H_G)$  and anti-geared  $(H_A)$ ) as well as of three tops (with the same  $(H_E)$  and opposite  $(H_L)$  sense of rotation) are also included. Fig. 5 thus indicates that the  $J_0$  symmetric rotor level splits finally into six  $G_{162}$  (see Table 1) torsion-rotation components  $A_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  and  $I_4$  for  $J_{\text{even}}$ . For  $J_{\text{odd}}$ , these species must be multiplied by  $A_2$  to obtain  $A_2$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  and  $I_5$ .

Based on other internal rotation studies we expect the K = 0 pure rotational spectrum to mimic the K = 0 torsional splitting pattern, i.e., to consist of six components with a relative spacing similar to that calculated for the energy levels, but with the opposite frequency ordering. (For example, we expect the torsional transition  $A_2 \leftarrow A_1(J_{even})$  to be located at the high frequency end of the K = 0 series in Fig. 5). We display a part (between 3225 and 3380 MHz) of the recorded  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$ transition, which only consists of K = 0-transitions, on the right of Fig. 5 to show that this expectation is fulfilled. The torsion-rotation groups, which exhibit nuclear quadrupole and isotopologue structures, are marked with braces and labeled by the corresponding symmetry species for J = 0. For the  $A_1$  torsional transition the quantum numbers indicate the different quadrupole coupling components  $(2F' \leftarrow 2F)$  of the transition. Each of these quadrupole components occurs for each of the seven tin isotopologues within one torsion-rotation group. Here it is interesting to point out that for the  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$ -transition the splitting due to quadrupole hyperfine coupling is larger than the separation between the different tin isotopologues. This is reversed for the higher J-transitions. Three torsionrotation transitions of  $(CH_3)_3Sn^{37}Cl$ , namely  $A_2 \leftarrow A_1$ ,  $I_1 \leftarrow I_1$  and  $I_2 \leftarrow I_2$ , are also present in Fig. 5. However, the spectra of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Sn<sup>35</sup>Cl and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Sn<sup>37</sup>Cl are overlapped for the  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$ -transition only, while they are well separated for all higher J-transitions.

In order to obtain semiquantitative agreement between the theoretically derived splitting pattern of the torsion-



Fig. 5. Torsional splitting pattern for the  $J_K = J_0$  rotational energy level obtained from the high-barrier torsion–rotation tunneling formalism. The theoretical predictions are compared with the measured rotational spectrum for the  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$ -transition of the <sup>35</sup>Cl isotopologue (right). (The two regions of <sup>37</sup>Cl transitions occurring in the spectrum on the right should be ignored for this comparison.) Lines in the torsion–rotation symmetry groups are further split due to tin isotopologues and chlorine nuclear quadrupole hyperfine structure. Some of these quadrupole assignments are indicated here for the  $A_1$ - symmetry species group.

rotation energy levels and the pattern of the observed torsion-rotation transition frequencies, the contributions of the five different torsional tunneling pathways have to be considered as follows:

$$-H_{\rm R} \approx -H_{\rm G} \approx +H_{\rm A} > -H_{\rm E} \approx +H_{\rm L} > 0. \tag{10}$$

This means that *B*-value changes caused by torsional tunneling effects must be approximately the same for internal rotation of one  $(H_R)$  and of two CH<sub>3</sub> groups  $(H_A, H_G)$ , but with a different sign for  $H_A$  (antigeared rotation of two CH<sub>3</sub> groups at a time). The contributions of internal rotations of three methyl groups  $(H_E, H_L)$  are, as expected, significantly smaller by about one order of magnitude. As a comparison, for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SiCl [1] we have to assume [4]

$$-H_{\rm R} > -H_{\rm G} \approx +H_{\rm A} \approx +H_{\rm E} \approx -H_{\rm L} \approx 0, \tag{11}$$

with  $|H_R|$  being much larger than the magnitudes of the other four. This change can be explained to zeroth order by the larger steric repulsion in  $(CH_3)_3SiCl$  compared to  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$  such that, from an energetic point of view, internal rotation of two and three methyl groups at a time is quite unlikely for  $(CH_3)_3SiCl$  [4].

# 5. Stark-effect measurements

To further confirm our initial symmetry-species assignments from the high-barrier tunneling-rotation formalism of the K = 0 torsion-rotation transitions (Fig. 5) we performed Stark-effect measurements using the CAESAR arrangement [9] for the  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$ - and  $5 \leftarrow$ 

4-transitions to differentiate between torsion-rotation transitions on the basis of their different (i.e., linear vs. quadratic) Stark effect. Watson [18] deduced a strict selection rule for linear Stark effect based on group-theoretical considerations

$$\Gamma(\mu_{\rm f}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}) \subset [\Gamma^2]_{\rm antisym},\tag{12}$$

with  $\Gamma(\mu_{\rm f}) = A_2$  (symmetry species of the space-fixed dipole moment operator  $\mu_f$ ). Eq. (12) is fulfilled when  $A_2$  is contained in the antisymmetrized product of the symmetry species of the considered torsion-rotation state. If this is the case, the transitions involving torsion-rotation states of that symmetry can show a linear Stark effect. Otherwise, the series expansion for Stark splittings in powers of the electric field strength E must begin with a quadratic term. The latter turns out to be the case for  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $I_4$  and  $I_5$ . For torsion-rotation levels of all other symmetries in  $G_{162}$ , the expansion may begin with a linear term in E. The group-theoretical results are included in Table 3 together with the experimentally observed Stark behavior of the six internal rotation groups (K = 0 only) of the  $1 \leftarrow 0$ -transition. As can be seen, the Stark measurements support our initial assignments based on the predicted splitting patterns (Fig. 5).

Fig. 6 shows example spectra for linear Stark behavior of the species  $I_2 \leftarrow I_2$   $(J + 1 \leftarrow J = 5 \leftarrow 4$ -transition, K = 1) at two different electric field strengths: 1.6 V/cm (left panel) and 8.1 V/cm (right panel). These spectra demonstrate that the Stark-shifted and Stark-split lines from



Fig. 6. Amplitude spectra of the linearly Stark split torsion–rotation transition of the main isotopologue with  $I_2 \leftarrow I_2$  and  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 5 \leftarrow 4$  (*K*=1), shown at two different electric field strengths: 1.6 V/cm (left panel) and 8.1 V/cm (right panel). To avoid clutter, transitions with positive  $M_J$  are labeled in the right panel, transitions with negative  $M_J$  in the left.

our CAESAR arrangement are very narrow. Also, significant line splittings are already observed at a relatively low electric field strength of only 1.6 V/cm, indicating a large dipole moment for  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl.

As stated, we observed the unusual phenomenon of linear Stark effect for K = 0 torsion-rotation transitions of a symmetric top molecule. The linear Stark effect is grouptheoretically allowed for the K = 0 torsion-rotation species  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  and  $E_2$  as given in Table 3, while  $A_1$  and  $I_4(J_{even})$ can only have quadratic Stark effect. Experimentally, however, we observe a quadratic Stark effect for  $E_2$  (Table 3). Furthermore, the experimental Stark-coefficients for the  $A_1$  and the  $E_2$  species are absolutely identical. It seems possible that the linear Stark effect for K = 0 torsion-rotation species is due to  $\Delta K = \pm 1$  mixing between the K = 1 and K = 0 sublevels, since only torsional states which have the same symmetry species for K = 0 and K = 1 show linear Stark effect. Usually,  $\Delta K = \pm 1$  mixing plays no role for the ground vibrational state of symmetric top molecules. For  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl it is possible that coupling of the internal rotation angular momenta of the three equivalent methyl groups with the overall angular momentum leads to these mixing effects. In addition, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl loses its C<sub>3v</sub> equilibrium symmetry when internal rotation takes place. To examine the assumption of  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing we set up a simple wave function linear combination (LC) ansatz of the  $|JKM\rangle = |J1M\rangle$  and  $|J0M\rangle$  states

$$|\Psi_{\rm LC}\rangle = |\alpha \cdot \psi_a(K=0) + \beta \cdot \psi_b(K=1)\rangle \tag{13}$$

with the coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  ( $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$ ), which describe the contributions of K = 0 and K = 1 to  $\Psi_{LC}$ . The  $\Delta J = \Delta K = 0$ -matrix elements of the linear Stark-effect operator can be written as

$$H_{\text{Stark}} = -\frac{\mu E K}{(J+1)} \tag{14}$$

for  $M_F = F = I + J$ , with  $\mu$  as dipole moment and E as electric field strength [11]. Following this approach we used our Stark-effect measurements to determine the K = 1 contribution in Eq. (13). For the  $I_1$  and the  $I_2$  species we found values of  $\beta(I_1) = 0.1$  and  $\beta(I_2) = 0.09$ , respectively. Consequently,  $\Delta K = \pm 1$  mixing is quite small, but large enough to significantly change the physical picture of the Stark effect.

Stark-effect measurements for other M states are complicated in the presence of a quadrupole nucleus such as chlorine. With the electric field strengths possible in the CAESAR arrangement and with quadrupole coupling splittings on the order of 0.5–2 MHz for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl we have to work in the inconvenient intermediate field regime, for which simplified methods of Stark analysis, which are useful for the weak field case, lose their applicability. To quantitatively analyse our Stark-effect measurements, we used the program QSTARK [22], which diagonalizes the energy matrix separately for each value of the applied electric field.

To determine the dipole moment of  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$  we concentrated only on the J + 1,  $\Gamma' \leftarrow J$ ,  $\Gamma = 1$ ,  $A_2 \leftarrow 0$ ,  $A_1$  torsion-rotation transition to avoid perturbations and influences from internal rotation. We were able to fit 28 lines, corresponding to five  $2M_F + 2 \leftarrow 2M_F$ -quadrupole transitions (5  $\leftarrow$  3 and 3  $\leftarrow$  1 for  $2F \pm 2 \leftarrow 2F = 5 \leftarrow 3$ ;  $3 \leftarrow 1$  and  $1 \leftarrow 3$  for  $2F + 2 \leftarrow 2F = 3 \leftarrow 3$ , and  $1 \leftarrow 3$  for  $2F + 2 \leftarrow 2F = 1 \leftarrow 3$ , respectively) using QSTARK. This fit gave a standard deviation of  $\sigma = 0.71$  kHz and a dipole moment of  $\mu = 3.4980(30)$  D for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl. The calculated value of  $\mu = 3.318$  D (BP86/def-TZVPP/ecp-46-mwb(Sn)) is close to the experimental one.

### 6. Spectral analyses and discussion

# 6.1. Rigid rotor symmetric top analysis

As shown in Section 4.3, matrix elements for first-order Coriolis coupling vanish only for  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  torsion-rotation transitions, implying that only they can be fitted to effective molecular constants using a rigid symmetric rotor Hamiltonian (including centrifugal distortion). As a starting point of our analysis, we thus performed such fits for three different isotopologues of the  $A_2 \leftarrow A_1$  (J = even) and  $A_1 \leftarrow A_2$  (J = odd) torsion-rotation transitions for six  $J + 1 \leftarrow J$ -transitions ( $J = 0, \ldots, 5$ ), explicitly taking the quadrupole components into account. We used the program SYM2QS [23], which is suited for linear and symmetric top molecules with up to two quadrupole nuclei. We assume an uncertainty in the frequency determination of the lines of 2 kHz.

The results for the different isotopologues are summarized in Table 4. For the main isotopologue  $(CH_3)_3^{120}$ Sn<sup>35</sup>Cl we included 29 lines in the fit and obtained an effective rotational constant B = 1680.040124(92) MHz and a quadrupole coupling constant  $eqQ(^{35}Cl) =$ -35.7747(22) MHz. The standard deviation of this fit is  $\sigma = 1.5$  kHz. The quadrupole coupling constants agree within twice their standard deviations for the three most abundant Sn-species of the <sup>35</sup>Cl isotopologue, while the effective B constants increase with decreasing mass of the Sn-isotope by about 0.9 MHz, for each step from <sup>120</sup>Sn to <sup>118</sup>Sn to <sup>116</sup>Sn (Table 4). Because of overlapping lines and lower intensities, the number of lines included in the fit becomes smaller for the weaker isotopologues. The spectrum of the <sup>120</sup>Sn<sup>37</sup>Cl isotopologue was in fact too weak and overlapped to permit secure identification of the higher J transitions, so only the results of a two parameter fit (i.e., B and eqQ) of the  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 1 \leftarrow 0$  hyperfine components are presented. Nevertheless, the ratio of the quadrupole coupling constants for chlorine determined from Table 4 for the <sup>120</sup>Sn isotopologues,  $Q(^{35}Cl)/Q(^{37}Cl) = 1.2681(1)$ , is very close to the atomic quadrupole moment ratio of 1.2688 [11]. Table 5 gives the  $A_1/A_2$  torsion–rotation transition frequencies included in the SYM2QS fits for the different isotopologues.

# 6.2. Further discussion of the quadrupole coupling

Comparing the quadrupole coupling constant of  $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl  $(eqQ(^{35}Cl) = -35.776(81)$  MHz) (obtained) from the program XIAM [25], see below) with those of  $(CH_3)_3$ GeCl [3,4]  $(eqQ)^{35}$ Cl) = -40.0711(14) MHz), (CH<sub>3</sub>)\_3SiCl [1]  $(eqQ)^{35}$ Cl) = -34.81134(83) MHz) and  $(CH_3)_3CC1$  [6]  $(eqQ(^{35}Cl) = -67.312(3)$  MHz), it can be seen that the absolute value for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CCl exceeds those for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>GeCl and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SiCl by almost a factor of two. Using the model developed by Townes and Dailey [11], it is possible to obtain information on the character of the X-Cl bonds from the experimental coupling constants. This procedure is discussed in more detail in Ref. [4] and yields 35.4% ionic and 64.6% single ( $\sigma$ ) bond character for the C-Cl bond, 19.8% ionic, 48.5% single ( $\sigma$ ) and 31.7% double ( $\pi$ ) bond character for the Si–Cl bond, 37.6% ionic, 46.5% single and 15.9% double bond character for the Ge-Cl bond, and 51.8% ionic, 39.5% single and 8.7% double bond character for the Sn-Cl bond [4]. These results are in line with general knowledge about the bonding properties of C, Si and Sn: Carbon is relatively compact and can only form single bonds in a fourfoldbonding situation. In contrast, the formation of double bonds employing back bonding processes with empty 3dorbitals is a well investigated phenomenon in silicon chemistry. As expected, the ionic character is largest for the Sn-Cl bond.

As already mentioned in Section 3 and displayed in Fig. 4c, we observed an anomalous K = 0 quadrupole pattern for some torsion-rotation transitions, which depends also on J. For example, the two  $J + 1 \leftarrow J = 5 \leftarrow 4$  quadrupole coupling components for K = 0 with  $F' \leftarrow F = \frac{13}{2} \leftarrow \frac{11}{2}$  and  $\frac{11}{2} \leftarrow \frac{9}{2}$  as well as the two with  $\frac{9}{2} \leftarrow \frac{7}{2}$  and  $\frac{7}{2} \leftarrow \frac{5}{2}$  usually form accidentally degenerate pairs in

Table 4

Effective asymmetric-semi-rigid rotor molecular constants from a fit of the  $A_2 \leftarrow A_1$  (J = even) and  $A_1 \leftarrow A_2$  (J = odd)  $J + 1 \leftarrow J$  torsion-rotation transitions, i.e., from a fit of all A species transitions together, of different isotopologues of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl

· · ·	1		( =)=		
Isotopologue	$B_{\rm eff}/{\rm MHz}$	eqQ/MHz	<i>D</i> <sub>J</sub> /kHz	N	σ/kHz
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> <sup>120</sup> Sn <sup>35</sup> Cl	1680.040124(92)	-35.7747(22)	0.3437(17)	29	1.5
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> <sup>118</sup> Sn <sup>35</sup> Cl	1680.94621(16)	-35.7840(47)	0.3466(28)	22	2.2
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> <sup>116</sup> Sn <sup>35</sup> Cl	1681.871339(59)	-35.7704(28)	0.3482(11)	18	0.7
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> <sup>120</sup> Sn <sup>37</sup> Cl	1634.19255(14)	-28.2109(15)	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	3	0.5

N denotes the number of lines included in the fit,  $\sigma$  gives the standard deviation of each semi-rigid-rotor fit, numbers in parentheses are standard deviations of the molecular parameters as determined from the fit. One standard uncertainty (1 $\sigma$ ) in units of the last significant digit, as obtained from the least-squares fitting (type A uncertainty, k = 1) [24].

<sup>a</sup> Fixed in the least-squares fit.

Table 5

Torsion-rotation transition frequencies (K = 0;  $A_2 \leftarrow A_1$  (J = even),  $A_1 \leftarrow A_2$  (J = odd)) for different isotopologues of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl with  $v_{\text{obs}}$ : observed frequency,  $v_{\text{cale}}$ : calculated frequency from the SYM2QS least-square fit, and  $\delta v = v_{\text{obs}} - v_{\text{cale}}$ 

Isotopologue	J'	J	2 <i>F</i> ′	2F	v <sub>calc</sub> /MHz	$v_{\rm obs}/{\rm MHz}$	δv/kHz
$(CH_3)_3^{120}Sn^{35}Cl$	1	0	1	3	3369.0305	3369.0301	-0.37
			5	3	3361.8710	3361.8745	3.53
			3	3	3352.9302	3352.9279	-2.29
	2	1	5	3	6720.9161	6720,9183	2.11
			7	5	6720.9175	6720.9183	0.71
			3	1	6711 2138	6711 2145	0.73
			1	1	6720 1495	6720 1497	0.15
			5	5	6711 9753	6711 9767	1.36
	3	2	0	7	10080 6303	10080 6318	1.50
	5	2	7	5	10080.6303	10080.6318	2.00
			7	7	10030.0297	10030.0518	0.83
	4	2	11	/	100/1.08/5	12440 5027	-0.85
	4	5	11	9	13440.5045	13440.3037	-0.80
			9	/	13440.3042	13440.3037	-0.31
			1	5	13439.4650	13439.4634	-1.56
			5	3	13439.4664	13439.4634	-2.96
			5	5	13448.4072	13448.4049	-2.26
			7	7	13443.6447	13443.6439	-0.81
			9	9	13431.5614	13431.5610	-0.42
	5	4	13	11	16800.4173	16800.4178	0.49
			11	9	16800.4172	16800.4178	0.65
			9	7	16799.8027	16799.8028	0.11
			7	5	16799.8033	16799.8028	-0.47
			9	9	16802.9432	16802.9455	2.22
	6	5	15	13	20160.3223	20160.3229	0.51
			13	11	20160.3222	20160.3229	0.61
			11	9	20159.9130	20159.9134	0.32
			9	7	20159.9133	20159.9134	0.03
			13	13	20151.3790	20151.3792	0.20
			11	11	20162.4391	20162.4379	-1.19
120 27							
$(CH_3)_3^{120}Sn^{37}Cl$	1	0	1	3	3275.4416	3275.4413	-0.22
			5	3	3269.7964	3269.7968	0.39
			3	3	3262.7455	3262.7454	-0.17
$(CH_3)_3^{118}Sn^{35}Cl$	1	0	1	3	3370.8450	3370.8415	-3.47
			5	3	3363.6836	3363.6890	5.40
			3	3	3354.7405	3354.7378	-2.67
	2	1	7	5	6724.5420	6724.5426	0.55
			5	3	6724.5406	6724.5426	1.95
	3	2	9	7	10086.0666	10086.0660	-0.66
			7	5	10086.0660	10086.0660	-0.08
			5	3	10083.8473	10083.8489	1.63
			3	1	10083.8524	10083.8489	-3.45
	4	3	11	9	13447 7525	13447 7525	-0.07
		-	9	7	13447 7522	13447 7525	0.22
			9	9	13438 8071	13438 8086	1 43
			7	7	13450 8935	13450 8939	0.35
	5	4	13	11	16809 4768	16809 4787	1.90
	5	4	15	0	16809.4766	16809.4787	2.07
			11	7	16809.4700	16809.4787	2.07
			7	5	16808.8020	16808.8550	-2.43
	(	5	15	12	10606.6020	20171 1022	-5.01
	0	3	15	13	20171.1929	20171.1933	0.34
			15	11	20171.1928	20171.1933	0.43
			11	9	20170.7835	20170.7838	0.32
			9	7	20170.7838	20170.7838	0.02
			13	13	20162.2472	20162.2466	-0.63
$(CH_3)_3^{116}Sn^{35}Cl$	1	0	1	3	3372.6918	3372.6915	-0.30
	2	1	7	5	6728.2422	6728.2426	0.43
			5	3	6728.2408	6728.2426	1.83
	3	2	9	7	10091.6170	10091.6172	0.16
			7	5	10091.6165	10091.6172	0.74
	4	3	11	9	13455.1530	13455.1525	-0.53

Table 5 (continued)

Isotopologue	J'	J	2 <i>F</i> ′	2F	$v_{\rm calc}/{\rm MHz}$	$v_{\rm obs}/{\rm MHz}$	$\delta v/kHz$
			9	7	13455.1527	13455.1525	-0.24
			7	5	13454.1136	13454.1141	0.43
			5	3	13454.1150	13454.1141	-0.96
			7	7	13458.2929	13458.2927	-0.16
	5	4	13	11	16818.7272	16818.7272	-0.01
			11	9	16818.7270	16818.7272	0.16
			9	7	16818.1127	16818.1121	-0.62
			7	5	16818.1132	16818.1121	-1.20
	6	5	15	13	20182.2930	20182.2935	0.44
			13	11	20182.2929	20182.2935	0.54
			11	9	20181.8837	20181.8840	0.25
			9	7	20181.8840	20181.8840	-0.04

chlorine-containing  $(I = \frac{3}{2})$  symmetric top molecules such as  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ . We find this accidental degeneracy for  $A_1$ and  $E_2$  torsion-rotation transitions, but not for  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$ and  $I_4$ . This observation is consistent with the assumption of  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing, which can be understood from the K = 0 and K = 1 symmetry species in Table 3. The frequency differences between  $\frac{13}{2} \leftarrow \frac{11}{2}$  and  $\frac{11}{2} \leftarrow \frac{9}{2}$  as well as between  $\frac{9}{2} \leftarrow \frac{7}{2}$  and  $\frac{7}{2} \leftarrow \frac{5}{2}$  are in the range of 40–450 kHz, and depend on J and on the torsional species (Table 6). If we again assume K = 1 contributions of  $\beta = 0.1$  in Eq. (13), splittings of this order of magnitude become possible. This can be shown by considering the interaction of the internal rotation of the three methyl groups with the quadrupole coupling. The appropriate totally symmetric and time-reversal invariant quadrupole coupling Hamiltonian for this problem is

$$H_{Q} = [eQ/I(2I-1)J(J+1)(2J-1)(2J+3)] \times [q_{-+}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})(J_{+}J_{-}+J_{-}J_{+}) + q_{zz}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})J_{z}^{2} + q_{-z}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})(J_{+}J_{z}+J_{z}J_{+}) + q_{+z}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}) \times (J_{-}J_{z}+J_{z}J_{-}) + q_{--}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})J_{+}^{2} + q_{++}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})J_{-}^{2}] \times [3(\mathbf{I}\cdot\mathbf{J})^{2} + \frac{3}{2}(\mathbf{I}\cdot\mathbf{J}) - I^{2}\cdot J^{2}]$$
(15)

with J being the overall angular momentum, I being the nuclear angular momentum of the chlorine nucleus (I = 3/2),  $J_z$  being the projection of the overall angular momentum on the molecular z axis (of symmetry  $A_2$  in Table 1), and

Table 6

Observed additional K = 0 quadrupole splitting (in kHz) between the  $F + 1 \leftarrow F$  and  $F \leftarrow F - 1$  hyperfine components (as explained in the text) for different *J*-transitions and different torsional species of  $(CH_3)_3^{120}Sn^{35}Cl$ 

J	1	2	3	4	5
F	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{7}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}$	$\frac{11}{2}$	$\frac{13}{2}$
	-	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{7}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}$
$\Gamma_{\text{torsional}}$					
$I_2$	82	86	68	53	40
$I_1$		99	75	57	43
$I_4$	361	262	165	109	74
<i>I</i> <sub>3</sub>	453	331	200	123	82

$$J_{\pm} = J_x \pm i J_y. \tag{16}$$

The operators  $\pm J_{\pm}$  are of symmetry  $E_{1\pm}$ , where the  $\pm$  subscripts are defined such that

$$c|E_{1\pm}\rangle = exp(\mp 2\pi i/3)|E_{1\pm}\rangle \tag{17}$$

and

$$\sigma|E_{1\pm}\rangle = +|E_{1\pm}\rangle. \tag{18}$$

The q's are functions of the torsional angles  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , chosen to have either  $A_1$  or  $E_1$  symmetry. Their subscripts imply transformation properties identical to those of products  $J_p J_q + J_q J_p$  with the same subscripts. Eq. (15) shows that not only must the two J-operators of  $A_1$  symmetry  $(J_+J_- + J_-J_+)$  and  $J_z^2$  be considered to form the complete totally symmetric Hamiltonian  $H_Q$ , but also two J-operators of  $E_{1\pm}$ -symmetry, i.e.,  $(J_{\pm}J_z + J_zJ_{\pm})$  and  $J_{\mp}^2$ , multiplied by appropriate q's of  $E_{1\mp}$  symmetry, must be included. These additional J-operators are responsible for  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ and  $\Delta K = \pm 2$  mixing, since they change the value of K.

To determine whether  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing is possible for a torsion-rotation state of given symmetry  $\Gamma$  we qualitatively determined the corresponding matrix elements. As can be seen from Eq. (15), each term in  $H_Q$  can be separated into a rotational (J, I) and a torsional (q) factor. This can also be assumed for the appropriate basis set for this problem

$$|IJKFM_F v_t \Gamma\rangle = |IJKFM_F\rangle \cdot |v_t \Gamma\rangle, \tag{19}$$

with  $|v_t\Gamma\rangle$  being a torsional wavefunction. Consequently, integrals for this problem can be split up into a torsion and a rotation-quadrupole part, with the torsion integral having one of the two forms

$$\langle v_t \Gamma | q(A_1) | v'_t \Gamma' \rangle,$$
 (20)

or

$$\langle v_t \Gamma | q(E_{1\mp}) | v_t' \Gamma' \rangle,$$
 (21)

where  $q(A_1)$  stands for the  $A_1$  and  $q(E_{1\mp})$  for the  $E_{1\mp}$  symmetry *q*-functions, respectively.  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing within a given torsional state  $(v_t \Gamma = v'_t \Gamma')$ , and thus additional quadrupole splitting is only possible if the symmetrized product of the torsional species with itself contains the symmetry species of the  $q(E_{1\mp})$  functions [18]:

$$[\Gamma^2(v_t)]_{symm} \supset E_1. \tag{22}$$

Eq. (22) is only fulfilled for torsional states of  $E_1$  or *I*-symmetry, which is in agreement with our experimental observations for the torsional states in Fig. 5. Furthermore, the size of the additional splitting decreases with increasing *J*-value, while it increases for the different torsion–rotation transitions in the order

$$\Delta v_{\text{splitting}}(I_2) < \Delta v_{\text{splitting}}(I_1) < \Delta v_{\text{splitting}}(I_4) < \Delta v_{\text{splitting}}(I_3),$$
(23)

as summarized in Table 6. We assume that this order reflects the amount of  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing for the different torsional species, which would then be largest for  $I_3$  and smallest for  $I_2$ . This is in agreement with our findings from the K = 0 Stark-effect measurements, where the linear behavior is also ascribed to mixing with K = 1 levels.

# 6.3. Global fit for $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl K=0 transitions and determination of the $V_3$ barrier

We performed initial global fits of all six torsion-rotation transitions for K = 0 for six different  $J + 1 \leftarrow J$ -transitions (J = 0 - 5) using the program XIAM [25], which is suitable for molecules with up to three internal rotors including one quadrupole nucleus. The theoretical background on which this program is based is already discussed in the literature in several articles [1,2,25] so that we will only review the structure of the Hamiltonian. It consists of different contributions

$$H = H_{\rm rot} + H_{\rm tors} + H_{rr} + H_{tt} + H_{rt} + H_{cd} + H_{nq}.$$
 (24)

The first term represents the Hamiltonian of a rigid rotor consisting of a rigid frame and three equivalent internal rotors, the second term,  $H_{\text{tors}}$ , represents the Hamiltonian of a Mathieu oscillator. It describes the contribution of hindered internal rotation of the three methyl rotors. For a single rotor this is

$$H_{\text{tors}} = Fp_1^2 + \frac{V_3}{2}(1 - \cos 3\alpha_1).$$
(25)

*F* may be considered as the effective rotational constant of the three equivalent internal rotors.  $V_3$  is the threefold-hindering potential and  $\alpha_1$  the torsional angle of internal rotor 1. The operator  $H_{rr}$  is caused by the internal rotation and may be interpreted as a change of the location of the principal axes system due to the angular momentum created by the internal rotation.  $H_{tt}$  describes the kinetic interaction between the internal rotors. The term  $H_{rt}$  represents a Coriolis type interaction between the internal rotation and the overall rotation of the whole molecule.  $H_{cd}$  contains the common quartic terms due to centrifugal distortion of a symmetric top,

$$H_{cd} = -D_J J^4 - D_{JK} J^2 J_z^2 - D_K J_z^4, (26)$$

with the centrifugal distortion constants  $D_J$ ,  $D_{JK}$  and  $D_K$ . Since we restricted these fits to K = 0-transitions, we consider only the first term of  $H_{cd}$ . Since the chlorine atomic nucleus has a quadrupole moment, the quadrupole operator  $H_{nq}$  is also included in Eq. (24).

Table 7 gives the observed and calculated frequencies as well as their difference resulting from the least-square fit with XIAM of the K = 0 transitions of the main isotopologue (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>120</sup>Sn<sup>35</sup>Cl. Unc describes the experimental uncertainty of the frequency measurement. Due to overlapping lines or low intensity a few lines have been included in the fit with an uncertainty slightly higher than 2 kHz. The standard deviation is  $\sigma = 129$  kHz, which is relatively high. Especially the torsional species  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ show deviations as large as 100-400 kHz (Table 7). A fit without these torsional species has a much smaller uncertainty of  $\sigma = 21$  kHz. These results indicate that  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing effects may not be completely accounted for in the XIAM program [25]. In the literature standard deviations up to 150 kHz are not unusual for least-square XIAM fits of molecules with relatively large torsional splittings in the microwave spectrum. For *p*-fluorotoluene, for example, the m = 0 and m = 1 torsion-rotation transitions (108 lines) could be fitted to about  $\sigma = 27$  kHz using XIAM [26], while the m = 3 torsion-rotation transitions (66 lines) have a standard deviation of  $\sigma = 162 \text{ kHz}$  [26]. Nevertheless, the XIAM fits were very useful for the global analysis of the rotational spectrum of (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnCl, since they helped to initially assign the large number of torsion-rotation transitions. Furthermore, molecular parameters such as the rotational constant B are determined to 4 kHz (see also Table 8), and the barrier to internal rotation of the three methyl groups  $V_3$  can be determined to 0.4 J.

Table 8 summarizes the molecular constants obtained from a least-square fit of the K = 0 torsion-rotation transitions of the main isotopologue  $(CH_3)_3^{120}Sn^{35}Cl$  using XIAM (182 lines). As can be seen, molecular parameters such as the *B* constant and quadrupole coupling constant eQq obtained from the XIAM fit are quite similar to the effective data obtained from the rigid rotor fits summarized in Table 4. The obtained internal rotation barrier is  $V_3 = 1.774(6)$  kJ (148.299(54) cm<sup>-1</sup>) very close to the theoretically predicted value of 150 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, the angle determined between the Sn—Cl bond and the internal rotor symmetry axis  $\beta_C$  is 104.73(18)°, which is, again, very similar to the *ab initio* value (BP86/def-TZVPP/ecp-46mwb(Sn)) of 105.1° (Fig. 2).

Based on the effective B-constants obtained for different isotopologues from our rigid rotor fits (Table 4) we determined the Sn-Cl bond length to be r(Sn-Cl) = 2.35191(94) Å. The *ab initio*-value is 2.399 Å (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the experimentally determined Aconstant of 2404.56(68) MHz (Table 8) is fairly close to the calculated value of  $A_{\text{calc}} = 2330.67$  MHz. These results are a good indication that density functional calculations including electron core potentials for a heavy element such as tin give quite reliable structural results. In this context it is interesting to draw a comparison to the related molecule

Table 7

Torsion–rotation transition frequencies (K = 0) of the main isotopologue (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>120</sup>Sn<sup>35</sup>Cl with  $v_{obs}$ : observed frequency,  $v_{calc}$ : calculated frequency from the least-square fit (XIAM),  $\delta v : v_{obs} - v_{calc}$ 

J'	J	2F'	2F	Sym	v <sub>calc</sub> /GHz	$\delta v/kHz$	v <sub>obs</sub> /GHz	Unc/kHz
1	0	5	3	$A_1$	3.3618942	-19.7	3.3618745	2
		3	3	$A_1$	3.3529502	-22.4	3.3529279	2
		1	3	$A_1$	3.3690493	-19.2	3.3690301	2
		5	3	$E_2$	3.3536578	8.2	3.3536660	2
		3	3	$\tilde{E_2}$	3.3447139	11.2	3.3447251	2
		1	3	Ē	3 3608130	11.9	3 3608249	2
		5	3		3 3245049	61	3 3245110	2
		3	3	I	3 3161100	22.0	3 3161/10	2
		1	2	1 <sub>1</sub>	2 2211005	22.0	2 2212072	2
		1	2	<i>I</i> <sub>1</sub>	2 2277102	7.9	2 2277(02	2
		5	3	<i>I</i> <sub>2</sub>	3.3277103	50.0	3.3277003	2
		3	3	$I_2$	3.3193012	59.5	3.3193607	2
		1	3	$I_2$	3.3344245	58.4	3.3344829	2
		5	3	$I_3$	3.2409897	-409.0	3.2405808	2
		3	3	$I_3$	3.2336288	-395.7	3.2332330	2
		1	3	$I_3$	3.2468495	-415.3	3.2464342	2
		5	3	$I_4$	3.2693932	-100.9	3.2692923	2
		3	3	$I_{4}$	3.2617329	-91.0	3.2616419	2
		1	3	$I_4$	3.2754962	-103.8	3.2753924	2
2	1	7	5	$A_1$	6.7209724	-54.2	6.7209183	2
		5	3	A1	6.7209724	-54.2	6.7209183	2
		3	1	4.	6 7112619	_47.4	6 7112145	2
		1	1	A1 4	6 7202058	56.2	6 7201407	2
		1	1 5	A <sub>1</sub>	0.7202038	-30.2	0.7201497	2
		/	5	$E_2$	6.7045024	8.2	6.7045106	2
		5	3	$E_2$	6.7045024	8.2	6./045106	2
		3	1	$E_2$	6.6947918	14.4	6.6948063	2
		3	3	$E_2$	6.7108909	15.2	6.7109061	2
		7	5	$I_1$	6.6529203	-26.7	6.6528937	2
		5	3	$I_1$	6.6528240	69.7	6.6528937	2
		5	5	$I_1$	6.6444381	24.3	6.6444624	2
		3	1	$I_1$	6.6438027	29.0	6.6438317	2
		1	1	$I_1$	6 6522818	21.6	6 6523034	2
		5	5	In In	6 6496975	105.5	6 6498030	2
		2	1	1 <u>2</u> I	6 6400482	112.2	6.6401605	2
		5	1	I <sub>2</sub>	0.0490462	112.3	0.0491003	2
		1	1	<i>I</i> <sub>2</sub>	0.03/3334	103.7	0.03/0391	2
		/	5	<i>I</i> <sub>2</sub>	0.05818/8	103.7	6.6582915	2
		5	3	$I_2$	6.6581066	102.5	6.6582091	2
		7	5	$I_3$	6.5299323	-141.2	6.5297911	2
		5	3	$I_3$	6.5293972	-59.2	6.5293380	2
		5	5	$I_3$	6.5220362	-176.1	6.5218601	2
		3	1	$I_3$	6.5218171	-152.1	6.5216651	2
		7	5	$I_4$	6.5687167	-27.2	6.5686895	2
		5	3	$I_4$	6.5683390	-10.5	6.5683285	2
		5	5	Ĩ.	6 5606787	-21.8	6 5606569	2
		3	1	14 I.	6 5603177	12.8	6 5603050	2
		1	1	$I_4$ $I_4$	6.5683514	-44.8	6.5683066	2
2	r	7	5		10.0907222	00.5	10 0006210	2
3	2	/	3	A1	10.0607223	-90.3	10.0600516	2
		9	/	$A_1$	10.0807223	-90.5	10.0806318	2
		3	1	$A_1$	10.0785076	-81.9	10.0784257	2
		5	3	$A_1$	10.0785076	-81.9	10.0784257	2
		7	5	$E_2$	10.0560237	2.2	10.0560259	2
		9	7	$E_2$	10.0560237	2.2	10.0560259	2
		3	1	$E_2$	10.0538091	-2.1	10.0538069	2
		5	3	$\tilde{E_2}$	10.0538091	-2.1	10.0538069	2
		3	3	$E_2$	10.0627530	-6 2	10.0627468	- 2
		5	5	E2 F-	10.0027000	6.9	10.0602042	2
		5	5 7	E2 E	10.00019/0	0.0	10.0002043	∠ 2
		1	1	<i>E</i> <sub>2</sub>	10.04/0/98	3.2	10.04/0630	2
		/	5	$I_1$	9.9898437	48.4	9.9898921	2
		9	7	$I_1$	9.9899365	48.8	9.9899854	2
		3	1	$I_1$	9.9878817	51.5	9.9879332	2
		5	3	$I_1$	9.9877864	41.6	9.9878280	2
		5	5	$I_1$	9.9938456	53.2	9.9938988	2

Unc gives the uncertainty of the line measurement from the experiment.

# Table 7 (continued)

J'	J	2 <i>F</i> ′	2 <i>F</i>	Sym	v <sub>calc</sub> /GHz	δv/kHz	v <sub>obs</sub> /GHz	Unc/kHz
		7	7	$I_1$	9.9813614	49.6	9.9814110	2
		7	5	$I_2$	9.9960612	138.8	9.9962000	2
		9	7	$I_2$	9.9961429	140.1	9.9962830	2
		3	1	$I_2$	9.9940807	140.2	9.9942210	2
		5	3	$I_2$	9.9939967	135.7	9.9941324	2
		5	5	$I_2$	10.0000616	144.5	10.0002061	2
		7	7	$I_2$	9.9875710	258.3	9.9878293	2
		7	5	$I_3$	9.8515035	-268.6	9.8512349	2
		9	7	$I_3$	9.8518284	-265.0	9.8515634	2
		3	1	$I_3$	9.8500280	-263.5	9.8497646	2
		5	3	$I_3$	9.8496994	-269.5	9.8494300	2
		5	5	$I_3$	9.8553401	-170.8	9.8551693	2
		7	7	$I_3$	9.8436074	-217.3	9.8433901	3
		5	5	$I_4$	9.8972457	65.1	9.8973108	5
		7	7	$I_4$	9.8853366	69.4	9.8854060	5
		9	7	$I_4$	9.8936314	64.1	9.8936955	2
		7	5	$I_4$	9.8933746	61.4	9.8934360	2
		3	1	$I_4$	9.8917640	65.4	9.8918293	2
		5	3	$I_4$	9.8915037	59.9	9.8915635	2
4	2	11	0	4	12 4406421	120 4	12 4405027	2
4	5	11	7	A1 4	13.4400431	-139.4	13.4405037	2
		7	7	A1 4	12 4427702	-139.4	13.4405037	2
		7	5	A1 4	13.4437792	-135.5	12 4204624	2
		5	3	A1 4	13.4390034	-142.0	12 4204624	2
		5	3	A1 4	13.4390034	-142.0	12 4215610	2
		5	5	A1 4	12 4485402	-136.1	12 4484040	2
		11	5		13.4463493	-144.4	13.4404049	2
		11	9		13.4077239	-12.7	13.4077113	2
		7	7	$L_2$	13.4077239	-12.7	13.4077113	2
		7	1		13.4106001	-9.2	13.4106510	2
		5	2	$L_2$	12.4000803	-14.2	13.4000721	2
		5	5	$L_2$	12 2007000	-14.2	12 2007677	2
		5	9		13.3967600	-12.5	13.396/0//	2
		11	5		12 2222861	-10.0	12 2222644	2
		0	9 7	$I_1$	13.3332001	78.3	13.3333044	2
		7	7	1 <sub>1</sub> 1	12 2262446	82.1	12 2262267	2
		0	0	$I_1$	13.3302440	02.1 70 /	13.3303207	2
		5	5	1 <sub>1</sub> 1	12 2409022	79.4	12 2400670	2
		3	5	I 1 I	12 2222427	74.0	12 2222102	2
		5	2		13.3322427	70.5	12 2222028	2
		11	3	I 1 I	12 2207751	158.8	12 2200220	2
		11	7	12 I	12 2207072	158.0	12 2208662	2
		7	7	1 <sub>2</sub> I	12 2427260	150.9	13.3398002	2
		7	5	12 I	12 2287264	157.4	12 2288028	2
		5	3	12 I	13.3388047	157.4	13 3380621	2
		0	9	12 I-	13.3300047	276.7	13.3309021	2
		5	5	12 I	13.3311334	158.2	13.3314121	3
		11	9	12 I-	13.1876048	190.2	13 1874230	3
		0	7	13 I	13 1874000	179.6	13.1872303	3
		7	5	13 1-	13.1865243	-179.0	13.1863388	3
		5	3	13 I	13.1867108	177.3	13.1865425	3
		9	9	13 I.	13 2225056	106.4	13 2226120	2
		5	5	14 I.	13 7383530	00.4 00 K	13 2384535	2
		5 7	7	14 L	13 2337665	107 7	13 2328742	2
		11	, Q	14 I.	13 23006/2	107.7	13 2310608	2
		0	2 7	14 L	13 2308004	103.3	13 2300048	2
		3 7	, 5	14 L	13.2308004	104.4	13 2200040	2
		5	3	14 I.	13 2220934	103.5	13 2301646	2
		5	5	14	13.2300377	104.0	15.2501040	2
5	4	13	11	$A_1$	16.8006155	-197.7	16.8004178	2
		11	9	$A_1$	16.8006155	-197.7	16.8004178	2
		9	7	$A_1$	16.8000020	-199.2	16.7998028	2
		7	5	$A_1$	16.8000020	-199.2	16.7998028	2
		9	7	$E_2$	16.7588727	-13.8	16.7588589	2

Table 7 (continued)

J'	J	2 <i>F</i> ′	2F	Sym	$v_{\rm calc}/{\rm GHz}$	$\delta v/kHz$	v <sub>obs</sub> /GHz	Unc/kHz
		7	5	$E_2$	16.7588727	-13.8	16.7588589	2
		11	9	$E_2$	16.7594863	-19.4	16.7594669	2
		13	11	$E_2$	16.7594863	-19.4	16.7594669	2
		7	7	$E_2$	16.7678167	-20.1	16.7677966	2
		9	7	$I_1$	16.6801049	99.9	16.6802048	2
		7	5	$I_1$	16.6801619	100.2	16.6802621	2
		11	9	$I_1$	16.6806823	100.8	16.6807831	2
		13	11	$I_1$	16.6807391	101.7	16.6808408	2
		9	9	$I_1$	16.6831380	107.5	16.6832455	2
		11	11	$I_1$	16.6720326	68.2	16.6721008	2
		9	7	$I_2$	16.6865448	159.9	16.6867046	2
		7	5	$\tilde{I_2}$	16.6865978	160.5	16.6867583	2
		11	9	$\tilde{I_2}$	16.6871226	160.6	16.6872832	2
		13	11	$I_2$	16.6871755	161.1	16.6873366	2
		9	9	I <sub>2</sub>	16 6895744	165.2	16 6897396	2
		9	7	12 12	16 5288961	-126.4	16 5287697	2
		7	5	I3 Ia	16 5290197	-126.6	16 5288931	2
		11	9	I3 Ia	16 5294386	-126.8	16 5203118	2
		13	11	I3 Ia	16 5295621	-126.9	16 5294352	2
		0	0	13 I	16 5318471	126.0	16 5317207	2
		9	9	13 I	16.5765047	-120.4	16.5317207	2
		9	7	1 <sub>4</sub>	16.5705047	98.0	16.5700033	2
		9	1	I <sub>4</sub>	10.5755560	95.0	10.5750522	2
		11	5	14 I	16.5730405	94.0	16.5757409	2
		11	9	I <sub>4</sub>	10.3740863	94.4	10.5741629	2
	_	13	11	14	16.5/41961	95.4	16.5742915	2
6	5	15	13	$A_1$	20.1605966	-273.8	20.1603229	2
		13	11	$A_1$	20.1605966	-273.8	20.1603229	2
		13	13	$A_1$	20.1516527	-273.5	20.1513792	2
		11	9	$A_1$	20.1601880	-274.6	20.1599134	2
		9	7	$A_1$	20.1601880	-274.6	20.1599134	2
		15	13	$E_2$	20.1112703	-28.7	20.1112416	2
		13	11	$E_2$	20.1112703	-28.7	20.1112416	2
		11	9	$E_2$	20.1108616	-26.8	20.1108348	2
		9	7	$E_2$	20.1108616	-26.8	20.1108348	2
		15	13	$I_1$	20.0307677	115.7	20.0308834	2
		13	11	$I_1$	20.0307248	115.6	20.0308403	2
		11	11	$I_1$	20.0327929	117.8	20.0329107	3
		13	13	$I_1$	20.0220182	117.4	20.0221356	3
		11	9	$I_1$	20.0303371	114.8	20.0304520	2
		9	7	$I_1$	20.0303801	114.4	20.0304946	2
		15	13	$I_2$	20.0369612	149.2	20.0371104	2
		13	11	$I_2$	20.0369205	149.6	20.0370701	2
		11	11	$\tilde{I_2}$	20.0389847	150.8	20.0391355	3
		13	13	Ŀ	20.0282279	152.0	20.0283799	3
		11	9	I <sub>2</sub>	20.0365329	148.0	20.0366810	2
		9	7		20.0365737	147 7	20.0367214	2
		15	13		19 8746928	-86.3	19 8746065	2
		13	11	I3 Ia	19.8746100	_87.2	19.8745228	2
		15	11	13 I	19.8766480	83.7	19.8745228	2
		11	11	13 I	19.8700480	-03.7	19.8705045	3
		15	15	13 I	19.0000/00	- /9./	19.0039909	2
		11	7	13 1	17.0/42374	-03.9	17.0/41333	∠ 2
		9	/	1 <sub>3</sub>	19.8/43223	-8/.0	19.8/42333	2
		11	11	<i>I</i> <sub>4</sub>	19.9224777	81.2	19.9225589	3
		13	13	$I_4$	19.9118692	43.0	19.9119122	2
		15	13	$I_4$	19.9205092	36.3	19.9205455	2
		13	11	$I_4$	19.9204355	36.0	19.9204715	2
		11	9	$I_4$	19.9200615	35.5	19.9200970	2
		9	7	L	19 9201353	35.6	19 9201709	2

 $(CH_3)_3$ SiCl. Both the *A* and the *B* constant are larger (3051.(68) MHz and 2197.382227(66) MHz [1], respec-

tively) than for  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ , but with a similar A - B of 853.6 MHz.

Table 8 Spectroscopic constants of  $(CH_3)_3^{120}Sn^{35}Cl$  derived from a least-square fit of the K = 0 torsion-rotation transitions using XIAM (rotational constants A, B and C, centrifugal distortion constants  $D_J$ , molecular quadrupole coupling constants eOq and barrier to internal rotation  $V_3$ )

quadrapoie eo	1									
Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value							
A/MHz	2404.56(68)	$V_3/kJ$	1.774(6)							
<i>B</i> /MHz	1677.3039(40)	$F_0/GHz$	158.0							
<i>D<sub>J</sub></i> /kHz	0.133(66)	$\theta / ^{\circ}$	104.73(18)							
eQq/MHz	-35.776(81)	N	182							
		$\sigma/\mathrm{kHz}$	129.5							

 $F_0$  is the rotational constant of the methyl group corresponding to  $I_{\alpha} = 3.199 \text{ u} \cdot \text{\AA}^2$  and has been kept fixed during the fitting procedure, N is the number of lines included in the fit, and  $\sigma$  gives the standard deviation of the fit.  $\theta$  describes the angle between the internal rotor axis and the inertial a axis, which is oriented along the Sn–Cl bond. The numbers in parentheses give uncertainties in units of the last digit (type A, k = 1 [24]).

Table 9

 $V_3$ -barrier heights for (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>XCl-species (X = C, Si, Ge, Sn), as determined from microwave studies

X	V <sub>3</sub> /kJ
С	Close to $\infty$ [6]
Si	6.91(1) [1]
Ge	4.449(5) [3]
Sn	1.774(6) (this work)

The different barrier heights for  $(CH_3)_3XCl$ -species (X = C, Si, Ge, Sn) are summarized in Table 9. For the carbon species no internal rotation splittings have been resolved, so that  $V_3$  can be assumed to be large. As expected, the barrier height decreases significantly from Si to Ge to Sn. Because of the large X—C bond length in  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ , it can be assumed that the origin of the remaining barrier height of  $V_3 = 1.774(6)$  kJ is mainly due to the Sn—C bonding character, rather than due to steric effects. The resulting low barrier implies that, to correctly describe the internal dynamics and therefore the top-top communication for  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$ , multidimensional tunneling pathways, such as the concerted motion of three methyl groups at a time, also have to be taken into account (Section 4.4), in contrast to  $(CH_3)_3SiCl$  and  $(CH_3)_3GeCl$ .

#### 7. Conclusion

The rotational spectra of several isotopologues of  $(CH_3)_3SnCl$  have been recorded using pulsed molecular beam high-resolution microwave spectroscopy. One rotational  $J + 1 \leftarrow J$ -transition was found to be split into several subgroups due to internal rotation motion of the three chemically and group-theoretically equivalent methyl groups, as well as chlorine quadrupole coupling and numerous chlorine and tin isotopes.

PI group-theoretical approaches using the group  $G_{162}$  have been developed and used to support an assignment of the complex torsion–rotation substructure, which con-

sists of six K = 0 and 11 K = 1 torsion-rotation states. We were able to theoretically devise a scheme to differentiate between torsional transitions by the determination of their Stark behavior.  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ -mixing effects between K = 0- and K = 1-levels seem to be the reason for two unusual and unexpected observations for the  $I_i$ -symmetry species (i = 1 - 4): linear Stark behavior for  $I_1$ -,  $I_2$ - and  $I_3$ symmetry K = 0 torsion-rotation transitions and additional K = 0 quadrupole splittings for  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ . The K = 1-contributions (coefficients squared) to the K = 0-levels are estimated to be about 1%. As a result of a global fit including 182 K = 0 torsion-rotation transitions we were able to determine the barrier height for  $CH_3$  internal rotation to be 1.774(6) kJ. This is significantly lower than for the related species (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SiCl and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>GeCl and can be assumed to be mainly due to hindering from the chemical Sn-C bond. As a next step we want to perform a quantitative study on the  $\Delta K = \pm 1$ mixing.

In a subsequent study we want to investigate further the interaction between internal rotation and nuclear quadrupole coupling. Good candidates would be the series  $(CH_3)_3GeY$  and  $(CH_3)_3SnY$ , with Y = Br and I, since both bromine and iodine exhibit significantly stronger quadrupole coupling, so that we hope to find additional effects due to coupling between quadrupole and internal rotation.

# Acknowledgments

Financial support of the Land Niedersachsen and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged. M.S. is grateful for a Postdoktorandenstipendium of the Deutsche Akademische Austauschdienst and the Akademiestipendium 2005 of the Berlin-Brandenburgische Akademie der Wissenschaften.

# References

- I. Merke, W. Stahl, S. Kassi, D. Petitprez, G. Wlodarczak, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 216 (2002) 437–446.
- [2] K. Voges, J. Gripp, H. Hartwig, H. Dreizler, Z. Naturforsch. 51a (1996) 299–305.
- [3] M. Schnell, J.-U. Grabow, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 8 (2006) 2225– 2231.
- [4] M. Schnell, J.-U. Grabow, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45 (2006) 3465– 3470, and Supporting Information.
- [5] I. Merke, A. Lüchow, W. Stahl, J. Mol. Struct. 780–781 (2006) 295– 299.
- [6] M.C. Ellis, A.C. Legon, C.A. Rego, D.J. Millen, J. Mol. Struct. 200 (1989) 353–359.
- [7] J.R. Durig, K.L. Hellams, J. Mol. Struct. 29 (1975) 349-356.
- [8] J.-U. Grabow, W. Stahl, H. Dreizler, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 67 (1996) 4072–4084.
- [9] M. Schnell, D. Banser, J.-U. Grabow, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 75 (2004) 2111–2115.
- [10] J.M.L.J. Reinartz, A. Dymanus, Chem. Phys. Lett. 24 (1974) 346– 351.
- [11] C.H. Townes, A.L. Schawlow, Microwave Spectroscopy, Dover Publications, New York, 1975.
- [12] K.D. Möller, H.G. Andresen, J. Chem. Phys. 39 (1963) 17-22.

- [13] K.K. Lehmann, B.H. Pate, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 144 (1990) 443-445.
- [14] P. Groner, Spectrochim. Acta 49A (1993) 1935–1946.
- [15] G. Herzberg, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Polyatomic Molecules, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1945.
- [16] G. Herzberg, Electronic Spectra and Electronic Structure of Polyatomic Molecules, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1966.
- [17] J.T. Hougen, B.M. DeKoven, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 98 (1983) 375-391.
- [18] J.K.G. Watson, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 50 (1974) 281-285.
- [19] M. Hamermesh, Group Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1962.
- [20] N. Ohashi, J.T. Hougen, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 211 (2002) 119-126.
- [21] J.T. Hougen, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 89 (1981) 296-327.

- [22] Z. Kisiel, B.A. Pietrewicz, P.W. Fowler, A.C. Legon, E. Steiner, J. Phys. Chem. A 104 (2000) 6970–6978.
- [23] B. Kleiböhmer, W. Kasten, J. Gripp, SYM2QS, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Kiel, 1992.
- [24] B.N. Taylor, C.E. Kuyatt, Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of nist measurement results, NIST Technical Note 1297, 1994. Available from: <a href="http://physics.nist.gov/cuu/Uncertainty/index.html/">http://physics.nist.gov/cuu/Uncertainty/index.html</a>).
- [25] H. Hartwig, H. Dreizler, Z. Naturforsch. 51a (1996) 923-932.
- [26] J. Rottstegge, H. Hartwig, H. Dreizler, J. Mol. Struct. 478 (1999) 37-47.