

Research Report A repository for design, process planning and assembly

William C Regli*† and Daniel M Gaines‡

This paper provides an introduction to the Design, Planning and Assembly Repository available through the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The goal of the Repository is to provide a publically accessible collection of 2D and 3D CAD and solid models from industry problems. In this way, research and development efforts can obtain and share examples, focus on benchmarks, and identify areas of research need. The Repository is available through the World Wide Web at URL http:// www.parts.nist.gov/parts.Published by Elsevier Science Ltd

Keywords: solid modeling, CAD, feature recognition, featurebased manufacturing, manufacturing process planning, assembly planning

INTRODUCTION

The Design, Planning and Assembly Repository is an effort at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) joining government agencies, industry, and academia to provide a library of example data for use by the research community. This data includes 2D and 3D designs and solid models, assemblies and process planning information.

This paper introduces the Repository and gives an overview of its contents and structure. It is the goal of the Repository is to give researchers access to a wide variety of problems taken from industry—thus improving the base of common working knowledge for the community and giving students access to challenging and high-impact problems. The Repository will also provide a focal point

Paper Received: 6 November 1996. Revised: 12 May 1997

for collaboration, allowing researchers to post challenge problems to a wide audience, share results, or perform larger-scale experiments requiring bigger data sets with industrially relevant data. It is our belief that establishment of this Internet-enabled communal library will hasten advances in solid modeling and application areas such as manufacturing process planning, feature recognition, and assembly planning.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 overviews the current status and content of the Repository, describing the manufacturing domains it covers and giving a number of examples. Section 3 discusses research issues that either emerged during development and population of the Repository and outlines some of the new problems which can be addressed based on the Repository's contents. Section 4 gives concluding remarks.

BACKGROUND

Several efforts to build repositories of test cases, algorithms, and sample data have been undertaken by other academic research disciplines in recent years. Notable among these:

- The European Computational Geometry Algorithms Library (CAGL): http://www.cs.ruu.nl/CGAL.
- LEDA, a C++ Library of Efficient Data Types and Algorithms: http://www.mpi-sb.mpg.de/ LEDA/www/leda.html.
- CMU Artificial Intelligence Repository: http:// www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs.cmu.edu/project/ai-repository/ai/html/air.html.
- UCI Machine Learning Repository: http:// www.ics.uci.edu/~mlearn/MLRepository.html.
- The Guide to Available Mathematical Software (GAMS): gopher://gams.nist.gov.

The ascendency of the Internet and World Wide Web has provided the communication medium to build vital online libraries having wide user-bases and access.

The NIST Design, Planning and Assembly Repository (http://www.parts.nist.gov/parts) has initially been designed to serve three communities:

Manufacturing Process Planning has been an area of

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Current address: Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Drexel University, 32nd & Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

[†]Manufacturing Systems Integration Division, National Institute of

Standards and Technology, Building 220, Room A-127, Gaithersburg MD, USA

Department of Computer Science, The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana IL, USA

active research activity over the past 15 years. The two traditional types of approach to computer-aided process planning are the variant approach and generative approach. The variant approach involves retrieving existing plans for similar parts and making modifications to adjust the plan for new parts. Generative process planning creates new process plans using decision logics and process knowledge. For additional details and literature surveys on process planning, readers are referred to References 1.4.26.

- Feature Recognition and Feature-based Manufacturing¹⁹ has emerged as a critical enabling technology for a number of application areas in CAD/CAM, including process planning. In essence, product descriptions as geometry alone do not convey sufficient information for downstream manufacturing activities; hence, designs must be interpreted in terms of manufacturing features. Automated feature recognition has become the preferred technique for producing feature-based representations, having been employed with varying success for a variety of applications including process planning [], part code generation for group technology and design analysis¹⁷. These feature technologies rely heavily on the geometric and topological manipulation capabilities of solid modeling systems and deal predominantly with form or machining features.
- Assembly planning has been approached as both a geometric and symbolic reasoning problem. Geometric reasoning applied to assembly planning has focused in motion planning, fixturing, and robotic as well as human grasping^{13,27}. Symbolic approaches emphasize the generation of assembly sequences for individual components and sub-assemblies as well as on the development of rules and spreadsheets to help designers create more efficient assemblies². Much of the existing geometric reasoning work operates on polyhedral approximations of the CAD data and on designs with uni-directional assembly plans.

Although significant progrerss has been made in these research areas, at present there are no fully automated process planning, feature recognition or assembly planning systems for other than very specific problems. Complicating matters is that most previous research efforts have proceeded individually—each focusing on some particular sub-domain with its own idiosyncratic examples. Organizations such as Computer Aided Manufacturing International (CAM-I) have put forth suggestions for benchmarks and example parts on a number of occasions, however current trends require a more efficient mechanism for collecting and disseminating example cases.

DESCRIPTION OF REPOSITORY CONTENTS

The repository covers a variety of commonly used manufacturing processes. Many of these parts are taken directly from industry or government efforts and describe artifacts whose manufacturing plan might include several different processes. At the time of this writing, the current content of the Repository includes nearly 2500 part models in a variety of formats.

Data exchange formats

All of the models in the repository are stored in at least one

format that is either an established international standard or industry-developed 'open' standard:

- .stp/.step—STEP Application Protocol 203 (AP 203) is the International Standards Organization (ISO) standard 10303-203 for the exchange of boundary representation solid model of mechanical artifacts.⁸ Various levels of STEP AP203, as of 1996, are beginning to become a common import/export option in high-end and mid-priced CAD/CAM systems.
- .igs/.iges—The International Graphics Exchange Standard (IGES) format.¹⁴ Originally only for the exchange of 2D and 3D wireframe, recent IGES extensions include surface information.
- .sat—Spatial Technologies' ACIS solid modeler file format²³.

Some of the Repository's content is maintained in a number of proprietary native CAD/CAM file formats:

- .acs/.acis—The ACIS kernel ships with a selfcontained test harness.²¹ The test harness is an interactive text-based interface to the functionality of the ACIS kernel, allowing users to create scripts that create, manipulate, and save/retrieve models. .acs and .acis files are scripts for generating ACIS bodies within the test harness.
- .dgn—The design file format for Bentley Systems Inc. MicroStation and MicroStation Modeler CAD system. The .dgn file format represents 2D and 3D surface and wireframe data (as designed in the MicroStation CAD system), as well as 3D solid model data (for artifacts designed in the ACIS-based MicroStation Modeler system).
- .dxf/.dwg—The design file format for Autodesk's AutoCAD system. Similar to Bentley's MicroStation environment, .dxf/.dwg files for earlier AutoCAD releases (V12 and earlier) contain 2D and 3D surface and wireframe data. Recent releases of AutoCAD (V13 and the Mechanical Desktop) are ACIS-based products supporting solid models.
- .kid—The Parasolid modeling system includes an interactive programming environment based on LISP. The Kernel Interface Driver (KID) is a runtime environment for generating solids and interacting with the Parasolid modeling engine. .kid files are LISP programs that run in the KID.
- .pfi—PADL-2³ is an early solid modeling system that came out of research at the University of Rochester. PADL files are LISP-like in structure and define the CSG tree for the artifact they describe.
- .prt, .neu, .asm—Pro/ENGINEER (from Parametric Technology Corporation uses several of its own internal and exchange file formats. The Repository contains examples in several of these. .prt is the 'part' file format—often containing a history tree of modeling operations and dependencies. This is a format close to Pro/E's internal data model.
- . neu is a Pro/E 'neutral file'—a text-based description of the geometry and topology of an individual Pro/E solid model. This is the model format Pro/E-compatible systems often employ for import and there are a variety of commercial translators to exchange .neu with other CAD formats.
- .asm is Pro/E's model for assemblies. Often the assembly models consist of references to several individual .prt files; they can also contain links or copies of the



Figure 1 Several examples of machined parts in the Repository

individual part files (for those that appear multiple times in an assembly.

- .scm—A companion modeling product to ACIS is the 3D Toolkit.²² The 3D Toolkit is a set of libraries extending the functionality of the ACIS kernel, as well as an interactive programming environment based on the Elk Scheme Interpreter.⁷ .scm files contain Scheme programs that run in the 3D Toolkit environment.
- .tsb—The 3D-EYE TriSpectives workbook file format, describing ACIS-based 3D models along with visualization information.
- .xmt/.xmt_txt—Parasolid²⁰ Transmit Files contain the geometry, topology, and attached data for solid models created with the Parasolid solid modeling system from Shape Data Limited. Parasolid is a stand alone solid modeling kernel and programming library as well as the modeling engine for the Unigraphics CAD/ CAM system of Electronic Data Systems (EDS).

The above is a list of the file extensions for solid models and CAD models in the repository at the time of this writing. It is not meant to be an exhaustive list of data exchange formats, nor a set of recommendations.

The Repository also contains a number of image and display formats for model files, including:

- .gif—CompuServe Graphical Image Format;
- .pdf-Adobe Portable Document Format;
- .ps/.eps—Adobe PostScript format;
- .wrl—Virtual Reality Modeling Language format (VRML version 1.0) from Silicon Graphics Incorporated, as produced by 3D Eye's TriSpectives 3D drawing tool.

While these formats do not convey product data in any way other than through a picture or 3D scene, they are increasingly becoming part of documents in multimedia engineering environments.

Machined parts

Machining has been the most widely addressed





manufacturing process in research on computer aided manufacturing and process planning. The Repository includes many parts which were designed to be manufactured by machining processes, including many examples from previous research work in the area.

UMD bracket

Figure 1a shows a simple bracket example from the University of Maryland. It has a limited number of features with fairly discrete interactions. This part is available at UMD/simple_bracket.sat.

CAM-I ANC101 part

Figure 1b shows the CAM-I ANC101 test part, originally created to be a test case for solid modeling systems and early process planners. From both a feature recognition and process planning standpoint, the part is fairly straightforward as: (1) the features are mostly holes and pockets; and (2) there is very little interaction among the features. This part is available at NIST/Pete_Brown/anc101.sat.

NIST demonstration part I

Figure 1c shows an illustration of a demonstration part used in the Process Planning Applications Project at NIST. This part, while straightforward for both feature recognition and planning, can produce problems dependent on the set of manufacturing resources being used to execute the process plan. Specifically, choice of a cutting tool assembly for machining the holes must take into account the depth and size of the pockets as well as the intermediate workpiece in order to avoid interference with the part.

This part has been used as an example by several research groups, ^{15,24} with interestingly different results illustrating the variation how the research problems have been defined. This part is available at NIST/new_demo.sat.

UMD socket

Figure 1d shows the socket example from References 17,18 . More interesting than the bracket, it has approximately two dozen features (depending on the approach to





Figure 3 A fixture from ICEM/Technomatix's PART system

feature recognition employed) and several non-trivial feature interactions. This part is available at UMD/ simple_bracket.step.

DOE TEAM part

Figure 2 shows the example part being used in the United States Department of Energy's TEAM Program. This part is similar in complexity to the UMD Socket, with several dozen feature instances, several setups, and a few non-trivial interactions. This part is available at Allied_Signal/team.step.

The WINKEL

Figure 3 shows a fixture from the ICEM/Technomatix PART^{6.25} process planning system. It has several hundred feature instances, most of which are simple holes. This part is available at ICEM-CDC/demos/WINKLE.sat.

Allied Signal part 12

Figure 2 is a part provided by Allied Signal's Kansas City Plant and it shows several magnitudes of complexity greater than the above examples. This part, with over 400 surfaces, has hundreds of features with dozens of interactions. While most of the feature instances are simply machined holes and pockets, the quantity of them creates a difficult geometric problem. This part is available at Allied-Signal/ part12.step.

The maze wheel

Figure 4 is the main maze wheel for the mechanism of the discriminator, described in the next section and shown in Figure 5c. The maze wheel is a machined part with a design that had to take into account balance and be of minimal weight-resulting in the intricate design. This part is available at Sandia/Randy_Wilson/discrim/thru-mazewheel.sat.

The preceding is not a complete list of the available machined parts at the time of the current writing.

Assemblies

Assembly modeling and assembly planning is an area of

great economic concern in industry. Many tools and approaches developed in the research community pertain to only narrowly focused domains. The Repository contains a variety of assembly models, some from vendor demonstrations and others from real products. We describe below several examples.

The spectrometer

Figure 5a illustrates the design of a variable Spectrometer to be attached to an X-ray source in a vacuum. The detector on the instrument is a position-sensitive device for recording and measuring highly ionized spectra. This assembly is available at NIST/Manfred_Osti/spectrometer/.

The vacuum suitcase

Figure 5b shows the design of a Vacuum Suitcase for the transport of VLSI chips among different fabrication facilities. Made of mostly standard catalog components, the Suitcase is a prototype to enable silicon wavers to be processed by multiple facilities. This assembly is available at NIST/Manfred-Osti/suitcase/.

The discriminator

Figure 5c shows the discriminator—a safety device designed to allow operation of a system only when the system has been given a particular code. If dropped or exposed to fire, electrical shorts, crashes, etc. the discriminator locks up, preventing operation of the system and requiring it to be disassembled before operating again.

This part is courtesy of Randy Wilson and Sandia National Laboratories and is available at Sandia/ Randy_Wilson/discrim/. The discriminator was used to test Sandia's Archimedes assembly planning system.¹²

Other fabrications processes and multi-process parts

In practice, the percentage of parts which are exclusively machined is relatively small. In the manufacturing enterprise, the vast majority of artifacts are acted upon by more than one manufacturing process. For example, parts are produced by casting and then machined to achieve final tolerances. Sheet metal parts are punched and drilled prior to being bent. A severely complex problem is the interactions among the individual components in an assembly of machined parts—where optimization of manufacturability requires a careful balance between the needs of assembly ease with the often opposing needs of the machining process.



Figure 4 The maze wheel used in the discriminator from Archimedes Mechanical Assembly Planning System¹²



Figure 5 Several models of full assemblies from the Repository

Sheet metal part

Figure 6 illustrates a simple sheet metal part with several bends. This part is available at ACIS/Spatial/blech.sat.

Cast parts

Figure 7 shows several parts which are manufactured



Figure 6 A simple sheet metal part

primarily by casting, with machining as a secondary process. For these types of parts, which are very common in industry, the machining operations for these parts are beyond the scope of any existing process planning system for machining. From a feature recognition viewpoint, such parts pose tremendous difficulties to algorithms based on polyhedral parts of approximations. These parts are available at ACIS/Spatial/cognit.sat, ACIS/ Spatial/cover.sat, ACIS/Spatial/pump.sat, and ACIS/Spatial/cover2.sat respectively.

Injection molded parts

Figure 8 shows a simple calculator cover manufactured by injection molding. This part is available at ACIS/ Spatial/calc.sat.

A stamping die

Figure 8 shows a die used to stamp fenders for automobiles. This die is basically a large machined part with a higherorder surface describing the shape of the fender. In the context of current literature, this example presents several open questions: What are the features (if any, or is it just one feature)? Is process planning in this case merely the generation of the cutter paths? This part is available in ACIS/Spatial/fender.sat.

The torpedo motor

Figure 9 shows a model of a housing for a torpedo motor: a precision sand casting that is subsequently machined. This part is available at NIST/Pete_Brown/ Torpedo_Motor/.

The unterlafette

Figure 10 shows another famous benchmark part from CAM-I. The unterlafette is a large mounting for holding the turret and cannon of a heavily armored tank. The model of it in the Repository represents it as a single solid model. To manufacture it in practice however, the unterlafette consists of many large machined parts, assembled and welded together. This part is available at ACIS/Spatial/unter.sat.

Some pathological examples

In addition to designs of real artifacts, the Repository also has numerous examples which capture geometric configurations which are pathologically difficult for process planning and feature-based manufacturing. In these cases, the solid models themselves do not necessarily represent realistic (or even manufacturable) artifacts—rather they attempt to capture boundary conditions on the current



Figure 7 Several parts that are cast and subsequently machined

state-of-the-art commercial and research systems. In the case of feature recognition and process planning, most of these pathological examples describe configurations which are unmanufacturable. Such examples can be used to determine if systems return false-positives—i.e. if they find plans or features for parts for which no manufacturing plan is possible.

Several of examples are described below, primarily in the context of feature recognition and feature-based process planning for machining.

Unmachinable holes

The part in *Figure 11a* illustrates a configuration where local geometry and topology information indicate the existence of an array of drilled flat-bottomed holes that are not through holes. However, in most every reasonable machining situation, this part is unmachinable because the holes are inaccessible-to orient a drilling tool to make the hole would require it to intersect with the solid model of the final part. It should be noted that this part can be manufactured (for example, if the part is treated as an assembly, with the holes drilled and components available welded). This part is at UMD/ unmachinable_holes.sat.

The bottomless pocket

Figure 11b shows a part with a bottomless pocket feature. In such a feature configuration, the algorithm for finding and locating the profile of the pocket might prove difficult to capture with basic geometric rules.

Where is the profile?

When two or more distinct features interact, individual feature instances can become distorted and information vital for reasoning about them (or recognizing them) can be eliminated. *Figure 11c* shows an example of a solid model of a mechanical part containing a number of feature interactions. In this case the best manufacturing plan might include milling the x-shaped pocket or considering it as two distinct slotting operations. However, in either case, there are no faces left in the part from which to infer how to create the walls of these features. In this example, however, the CAD model of the part does not seem to

provide sufficient information from which to generate this volume. This type of example is particularly problematic for graph-based feature recognition methods. In graph-based approaches, the graph structures used to find features are built from the boundary representation information for the artifact and, in this case, the basic boundary model does not explicitly contain the information needed to find the features that are likely to occur in the most practical manufacturing plan.

Pathological configurations

The part in *Figure 11* provides an example which, while machinable given the correct tooling, contains a number of pathological feature interactions. In particular, note that the clover-shaped pocket through the center is composed of a ring of partial cylindrical surfaces. If each of these are assumed (by a automated planning system of feature recognizer) to be machined holes, there is a non-empty volume in the center of the pocket that is not described by a machining operation.* This part is available at UMD/ clover_part.sat.

Conflicts arising from tolerances and surface finish

Figure 12 from Gupta⁹ shows a part with two drilling features, holes h_1 and h_2 , requiring a tight concentricity tolerance. In this case the hole is too long to be drilled in which a single drilling operation (the length/diamater ratio is too large). Further, the interaction among the tolerances of the holes creates a manufacturability problem: drilling h_1 and h_2 with two operations at different setups will make the tolerances unachievable. This part is available at UMD/ unmachinable_ex1.sat.

* From a geometric point of view, this hanging volume would just 'drop out' after the holes are machined. In practice, however, this indeterminacy would not be desired as this hanging piece could easily damage tooling or fixtures. Further, common machining practice would caution against creating these cylindrical surfaces as holes, as it would require the holes to be drilled through areas already partially machined—thus increasing the chance of tool damage or fracture.





Figure 9 A model of a housing for a torpedo motor: a precision sand casting that is subsequently machined. (a) Port; (b) stock; (c) delta volume. This part is available at NIST/Pete_Brown/Torpedo_Motor/

REPOSITORY INTERFACE

The Repository is available through the World Wide Web (WWW) at URL http://www.parts.nist.gov/ parts and via anonymous ftp at ftp://www.parts.nist.gov/parts. *Figure 13* shows the Repository home page. The Web interface allows users to navigate through the collection of parts and to perform searches for parts meeting certain criteria. For each part in the Repository, a brief description, where available, is provided along with pointers to the part in its available formats.

When navigating the Repository, the interface provides links to subdirectories and parts in each directory. *Figure 14a* shows the navigator at the top of the Repository. Clicking on a subdirectory will move the navigator down into that directory. *Figure 14b* shows the result of following the Allied-Signal link.

This directory contains a number of part models. Following these links, the user is provided with a page of detailed information for the given part. *Figure 15a* shows the information displayed for the 3tmount part. The display includes information about the part describing the contributor and the types of manufacturing processes which will be used to fabricate the part. The interface provides a table of links to the formats this file is available in. In this case, solid models are available in ACIS.sat and STEP AP203 formats. Pictures of the part are also available in Postscript, GIF and X11 bitmap.

Other types of information are available for some parts. In *Figure 15b*, the user has brought up a virtual reality browser to view a part with an associated Virtual Reality Modeling Language (VRML) file.

The Web interface provides the ability to search for parts in the Repository based on certain criteria. *Figure 15* shows the user interface for searching the Repository. Currently, the user can search for parts based on particular contributors, manufacturing process types and available file formats.



Figure 10 The unterlafette: a large-scale part requiring machining, assembly, and welding

As the Repository grows, more search parameters will be added. For each parameter, the user selects the options of interest. Multiple selections for a given parameter will be 'or'ed together. If no selections are made for a given parameter, the parameter is not used to restrict the search. After submitting the query, a list of parts matching the query are returned allowing the user to bring up a detailed information page on each part, such as the one in *Figure 15c*.

OPEN RESEARCH ISSUES

The deployment of this publically accessible libraries enables many exciting new research problems to be addressed. In addition to providing a vehicle through which researches can share their results and post challenging examples, there are new problems that can now begin to be addressed. We present below a brief list of several of the most immediately approachable.

Development of smarter part libraries

Part libraries and catalogues have been an area of active study and development by the standards community ^{11,16} and internal to organizations using product data management (PDM) tools and databases. Delivering smarter part databases will require augmenting designs with design history and functionality information, behavioral features, and other semantics. Developing algorithms to intelligently act on such databases and retrieve parts based on similarity requires access to realistic example data.

CAD/CAM problem classification

Developing general measures of the difficulty of application-level problems involving solid modeling is a largely unexplored area of study. For example, a given part may pose a challenge for feature recognition, process planning, part production or assembly. Determining, for a given solid modeling application domain, where the complexities and pitfalls lie is vital in order to determine where the research problems are. The collection of wide variety of parts in the Repository provides the opportunity to study these questions initially in the areas of assembly and process planning and feature-based manufacture.

Production-ready feature recognition and process planning

Most existing work on feature recognition has focused on narrowly defined application domains, most often machining and usually limited to polyhedral parts. One goal of this Repository is to provide a supply of real-world parts with which to challenge the existing state-of-the-art. The scope and scale of the examples in the Repository exceeds the abilities of all known commercial and research-level feature recognizers.

For Computer-Aided Process Planning for machining and assembly, the state-of-the-art is similar—there exist several automated and semi-automated tools for focused domains. While great advances have been made in solving these welldefined fundamental problems, large issues about the scalability of approaches and the applicability of existing techniques to different domains remain open.

A repository for design, process, planning and assembly: W C Regli and D M Gaines



Figure 11 Several pathological examples for process planning and feature-based manufacturing

Process-independent design and process-driven redesign

Existing designs may have to be modified for changes in the factory, in regulations, or performance needs. Processindependent design is the activity of designing a product without consideration of any one specific manufacturing process—often postponing the final detailed design until the last minute when it can be mapped to the best available technique at that time.

Process-driven redesign concerns the problem of how to re-design parts based on changes in manufacturing decisions. For example, if batch sizes increase for a machined part casting can become a more economically possibility—in which case the part must be re-designed for the new process.

The current Repository includes many non-trivial examples of parts from a number of different manufacturing



Figure 12 An example of a design with dimensions and tolerances that cannot be achieved on a three-axis vertical machining center (from Ref. ⁹)

processes to further enable study of how to build software support tools for these tasks.

Planning for multiple manufacturing processes

As noted earlier, many parts are manufactured with multiple manufacturing processes, casting-then-machining being a common combination. Very little work has gone into how to effectively plan for the manufacture of these types of common artifacts. Integration of planning systems for different manufacturing domains and study of trade-offs among the processes requires a large and varied dataset. The parts in *Figure 7* and the torpedo motor of *Figure 9* are beyond the capabilities of existing systems research and commercial systems, both in terms of their geometric and process complexities.

1 .

CONCLUSIONS

The development of a common collection of realistic CAD/ CAM data and parts is of critical importance for the research and development community. The lack of such a collection has been a significant impediment to building consensus on technical issues and research directions—often resulting in redundant research effort and excessive overlap. To address this, a Design, Planning, and Assembly Repository has been created at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The deployment of this publicly accessible library enables many exciting new research problems to be addressed.



Figure 13 NIST Repository home page



Figure 14 Navigation through the NIST Repository

Description

9)1-

X11

m to S ete fie

NIST Process Planning Testbed - /Aliled-Signal/3t

s with this script to Daniel M. Ga

E 10 Kb May 16 18:35 E 1 Kb May 21 07:37

day 23 08:44 n 56 Kb May 29 13.07

27 Kb

es et de

(a)

An A	a na sana a sana a sa a sa a sa a sa a	ybliceste A de de
NIST Process Plannin Directory listing of Al	ng Testbed - Ilied-Signal/	810101
Directories		
Part Files		
Streaunt		
block-94		
S center		
center1		
edge-92		
Dario1		
1. 日本这种社会汇为"管理学生"	and a state of the second s	

A pro inter inter and an antipation and an antipation of the second seco
NIST Process Planning Testbed - /ACIS/UMD/socket2-Part
Available Formate
Formal Ty 78 Anogue Andres Digits Mag
ACIS Tip Walk Py Ply To Hands Up Anshers
Postacti
Refun to St
Report program the state of the
entritin gar-



Figure 15 Other aspects of the Repository interface

There are several current limitations of the Repository's content. First, most of the example parts and problem are taken from either the academic research community or from the CAD/CAM software vendor community. Of these, the currently available industrial examples are mostly demonstration parts. While these are much more realistic than the academic examples, they still far short of the complexity of the parts in the current generation of commercially manufactured products. In one example from recent literature, Hardwick *et al.*¹⁰ point out that the transmission assemblies can occupy many gigabytes of data.

The second limitation is that information sets associated with the examples themselves are not very rich. For example, most of the solid models (in .sat, .step, .dxf/.dwg/.dgn, etc. formats) do not include manufacturing tolerance information, assembly relationships, information about functionality, design intent, kinematics data, parametrics and constraints, etc. There are a number of reasons for this deficiency, chief among them is the lack of agreed upon standards for representing and sharing this data. Further complicating matters is that, for attributes describing functionality or designer's intent, there are many open research issues regarding the precisely what information should be represented.

A third caveat on the Repository is to note that the majority of the current contents are drawn from the mechanical design and manufacturing domain. This is now way should confine the future scope of the Repository—which might include other types of design data drawn from other domains of Computer Aided Engineering (for example, CAD data from Architecture, Engineering and Construction (A/E/C)).

Even in its current form, we anticipate that the Repository can serve as a prototype collaboration⁵ environment for researchers in these diverse areas and create a number of synergies. Active use of the Repository will greatly enhance its content, its value as a global resource, as well as benefit the research of those who use it. It is hoped that the establishment of this Repository, and its growth through the contributions of industry and academia, will lead to quicker advances on problems in engineering design and manufacturing automation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The NIST Design, Planning, and Assembly Repository has been made possible with the support and interest of a number of commercial vendors and industrial users. A partial list, as of the time of this writing, includes (in alphabetical order) Allied Signal (Kansas City), Bentley Systems Inc., Boeing Aircraft Company, Black and Decker, Geometric Software Services Limited (India), Spatial Technology, Structural Dynamics Research Corporation (SDRC).

Universities contributing to this effort include: Carnegie Mellon University, The University of Maryland at College Park, The University of Southern California, Purdue University, and The University of Illinois. A partial list of individual contributors includes Steve Brooks, Bill Simons, Curtis Brown, Peter F. Brown, Satyandra K. Gupta, Sanjeev Trika, Jung Han, Manfred Osti and Thomas Kramer.

Disclaimer. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the supporting government and commercial organizations.

Certain software companies and commercial software systems are identified in this document. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST; nor does it imply that the products identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

REFERENCES

- Alting, A. and Zhang, H., Computer aided process planning: the state of the art survey. Int. J. of Prod. Res., 1989, 27(4), 553-585.
- Boothroyd, G., Product design for manufacture and assembly. Computer Aided Design, 1994, 26(9), 505-520.
- Brown, C.M., PADL-2: A technical summary. IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications, 1982, 2(2), 69-84.
- Tien-Chien Chang, Expert Process Planning for Manufacturing. Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 1990.
- Computer Science and Telecommunications Board. National collaboratories Applying information technology for scientific research. Technical report, National Research Council, 2101 Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20418. National Academy Press, 1993.
- Control Data Corporation. ICEM PART Reference Manual, July 1994, Version 1.2.
- Dybvig, R. K., The Scheme Programming Language, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 1987.
- International Organization for Standardization Industrial automation systems and integration—product data representation and exchange—part 203: Application protocol: Configuration controlled 3d designs of mechanical parts and assemblies. Technical Report ISO DIS 10303-203:1994(E), 1994. ISO/TC 184/SC4/*WG4 N601 (P6-1).
- Gupta, S. K. Automated Manufacturability Analysis of Machined Parts. PhD thesis, The University of Maryland, College Park MD, 1994.
- Hardwick, M., Spooner, D. L., Rando, T. and Morris, K. C., Sharing manufacturing information in virtual enterprises. Communications of the ACM, 1996, 39(2), 46-54. Special issue on *Computer Science in Manufacturing* edited by Michael Wozny and William Regli.
- Heine, L. -R. and Harrow, P. Industrial automation systems and integration—parts library—part 31: Geometric programming interface. Technical Report ISO DIS 13584-31, International Organization for Standardization, February 23 1996. ISO/TC 184/SC4/WG2.
- Kaufman, S. G., Wilson, R. H., Jones, R. E., Calton, T. L. and Ames, A. L. The archimedes 2 mechanical assembly planning system. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 1996, pp. 3361-3368.
- Mattikalli, R., Baraff, D., Khosla, P. and Repetto, B. Gravitational stability of frictionless assemblies, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics* and Automation, 1994.
- Nagel, R. N., Braithwaite, W. W. and Kennicot, P. R. Initial graphics exchange specification iges version 1.0. Technical Report NBSIR 80-1978 (R), National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg MD, March 1980.
- Pariente, F. and Kim, Y. S. Augmented convex decomposition using incremental update for recognition of form features. In ASME Computers in Engineering Conference, ed. J. M. McCarthy, New York, August 18-22, ASME International, Irvine CA, 1996.
- Pierra, G. and Wiedmer, H. U. Industrial automation systems and integration—parts library—part 42: Methodology for structuring part families. Technical Report ISO DIS 13584-42, International Organization for Standardization, May 30 1996. ISO/TC 184/SC4/ WG2 N243.
- Regli, W. C. Geometric Algorithms for Recognition of Features from Solid Models. PhD thesis, The University of Maryland, College Park MD, 1995.
- Regli, W.C., Gupta, S.K. and Nau, D.S., Extracting alternative machining features: An algorithmic approach. *Research in Engineering Design*, 1995, 7(3), 173-192.
- Shah, J., Mäntylä, M. and Nau, D. (eds), Advances in Feature Based Manufacturing. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1994.
- Shape Data Limited and Electronic Data Systems Corporation. Parker's Hous, 46 Regent Street, Cambridge CB2 1DP, England. Parasolid v5.0 Programming Reference Manual, 1992.
- Spatial Technology Inc., 2425 55th Street, Building A, Boulder, CO 80301. ACIS© Geometric Modeler Test Harness User's Guide, v1.6 edition, 1994.

- Spatial Technology Inc., 2425 55th Street, Building A, Boulder, CO 80301. ACIS© 3D Toolkit Technical Overview, 1995.
- Spatial Technology Inc., 2425 55th Street, Building A, Boulder, CO 80301. ACIS© Save File Format Manual, v2.0 edition, March 1996.
- Tuttle, R., Sormaz, N., Clark, D. E. R. and Corney, J., Integrating solids-based machining with feature recognition. In ASME Computers in Engineering Conference, ed. J. M. McCarthy, New York, August 18-22, ASME International, Irvine CA, 1996.
- van Houten, F. J. A. M. PART: A Computer Aided Process Planning System. PhD thesis, University of Twente, 1991.
- Wang, H. P. and Li, J. K., Computer Aided Process Planning, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1991.
- Wilson, R. and Latombe, J.-C., Geometric reasoning about mechanical assembly. Artificial Intelligence, 1994, 71(2), 371-396.



William Regli is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science at Drexel University. He has previously been visiting research faculty at Carnegie Mellon University (1997); and a National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associate and Computer Scientist at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (1992–1997). During his tenure at NIST, Dr Regli was involved in

numerous programs bridging academia, industry, and government; he was the chair of several industry-university workshops, including those on process planning and network-enabled design and manufacturing. Dr Regli's research interests include solid modeling, artificial intelligence, integration of distributed manufacturing systems, Internet technology, and computer-integrated design and manufacturing. He received the PhD in Computer Science in 1995 from the University of Maryland at College Park and a BS in Mathematics in 1989 from Saint Joseph's University in Philadelphia. He is the recipient of the University of Maryland's Institute for Systems Research Outstanding Graduate Student Award (1994-1995), NIST Special Service Award (1995), General Electric Corporation Teaching Incentive Grant (1994-1995), among other awards. He is a member of ACM, ASME, AAAI, the IEEE Computer Society, Sigma Xi and on the editorial board of the journal IEEE Internet Computing. Dr Regli has authored and coauthored more than 40 technical publications.



Daniel Gaines is a PhD candidate in the Computer Science Department at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and a recipient of the Department of Energy's Integrated Manufacturing Fellowship. His research interests include AI planning, learning, and integrated design and manufacturing. Daniel Gaines received a BS in Computer Science from Worcester Polytechnic Institute in 1994 and an MS in Computer

Science from the University of Illinois in 1996. His senior thesis work has received the Computer Science MPQ of the Year award (1994) and WPI's 1993–1994 Sigma Xi Award in Science. His master's thesis received the David J. Kuck Outstanding Masters Thesis award (1996). He is a member of AAAI, Tau Beta Pi and Upsilon Pi Epsilon.

1 - e e

`

•