Electron Interactions With CF₃I

L. G. Christophorou^{a)} and J. K. Olthoff^{b)}

Electricity Division, Electronics and Electrical Engineering Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-8113

Received November 9, 1999; revised version received July 26, 2000

Low-energy electron collision data for the plasma processing gas CF_3I are sparse. Limited cross section data are available only for total and differential elastic electron scattering, electron-impact ionization, and electron attachment. These data are assessed, synthesized, and discussed in this paper. There is a need for confirming measurements for some of these data, and for measurements of cross sections for the other main electron– collision processes for which no data exist. There are presently no data available for the electron transport, ionization, and attachment coefficients of this molecule. © 2001 by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the United States. All rights reserved. [S0047-2689(00)00504-3]

Key words: attachment; CF₃I; coefficients; cross sections; electron interactions; electron transport; ionization; scattering; trifluoroiodomethane.

Contents

a)Ele	ectronic mail: loucas.christophorou@nist.gov	
1-	m energy D and relatively long length of the F_1C	
11.	Data Needs	567
10.	Summary of Cross Sections	567
9.	Optical Emission Under Electron Impact	567
	$(k_{a,t})_{th}$	566
	8.5. Thermal Electron Attachment Rate Constant,	
	$k_{\rm a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$	565
	a Function of the Mean Electron Energy,	
	8.4. Total Electron Attachment Rate Constant as	
	a Function of Electron Energy, $\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon)$	564
	8.3. Total Electron Attachment Cross Section as	
	CF3I	563
	F ⁻ by Dissociative Electron Attachment to	
	8.2. Effect of Temperature on the Production of	
	Attachment to CF ₃ I	561
	CF_3^- , and FI^- by Dissociative Electron	
	of the Fragment Negative Ions I ⁻ , F ⁻ ,	
-029	8.1. Relative Cross Sections for the Production	BROIL
8.	Electron Attachment.	561
	7.2 Total Ionization Cross Section, $\sigma_{i}(\varepsilon)$	560
and a	7.1 Partial Ionization Cross Sections, $\sigma_{i,metial}(\varepsilon)$.	559
7.	Electron-Impact Ionization.	559
5.	$\sigma_{\text{b}} \operatorname{dist}(\varepsilon)$.	559
6.	Differential Vibrational Excitation Cross Section	557
5	Momentum Transfer Cross Section σ (g)	559
т.	Sections σ ver	558
J.	Differential Elastic Electron Scattering Cross	550
2.	Total Electron Scattering Cross Section σ (s)	558
1.	Electronic Structure and Basic Properties	554
1	eschobs and rate coefficients for collisional interaction	551

Electronic	man.	loucas.clifistophorou@list.gov
b)Electronic	mail:	james.olthoff@nist.gov
@2001 L.	AL A TT	C. Comptony of Commission on habits of the United Cto

©2001 by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the United States. All rights reserved.

12.	Acknowledgments.	568
13.	References.	568
	List of Tables	

	LIST OF TADIES	
1.	Definition of symbols	554
2.	Basic data on CF ₃ I and CF ₃ I ⁻	555
3.	Energy positions of the negative ion states of the	
	CF ₂ I molecule.	556
4.	Recommended total photoabsorption cross	
	section, $\sigma_{rad}(\lambda)$, for CF ₂ I	557
5.	Threshold energies for the production of the	
	parent and fragment positive ions by photon	
	and electron impact on CF ₂ I	557
6.	Suggested values for the total electron scattering	
	cross section $\sigma_{-1}(\varepsilon)$ of CE ₂ I	559
7	Differential elastic electron scattering cross	001
	sections σ use of CFaI	561
8	Calculated values of σ (ϵ) for CE-I	561
9	Suggested values for the partial ionization cross	501
	sections $\sigma_{\rm exc}(\epsilon)$ of CE-I	562
10	Suggested values for the total ionization cross	502
10.	section $\sigma_{\rm c}(\epsilon)$ of CE-I	563
11	Suggested values for the total electron attachment	505
11.	suggested values for the total electron attachment $\sigma_{\rm cross}$ section $\sigma_{\rm cross}$ (a) of CE.I	565
12	Suggested values for the total electron attachment	505
12.	suggested values for the total electron attachment rate constant $k_{\rm c}$ (/a)) of CE I	566
12	The constant, $\kappa_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$, of CF31	300
15.	intermal values, $(\kappa_{a,t})_{th}$, of the total electron	566
	attachment rate constant of CF31	300
	List of Figures	
1	Total photoshsorption cross section σ ()) as	
4.	a function of the photon wavelength) for CE.	556
2	Electron energy loss spectrum of CE I for 30	550
4.	aV 60 aV and 100 aV insident electron	
	evoluev and housevincident-electron	

L. G. CHRISTOPHOROU AND J. K. OLTHOFF

TABLE	1.	Definition	of	symbols	
-------	----	------------	----	---------	--

Symbol	Definition	Common scale and units
$\sigma_{\rm pa,t}(\lambda)$	Total photoabsorption cross section	10^{-19} cm ² ; 10^{-23} m ²
$\sigma_{\rm sc,t}(\varepsilon)$	Total electron scattering cross section	10^{-16} cm ² ; 10^{-20} m ²
$\sigma_{ m e,diff}$	Elastic differential electron scattering cross section	10^{-16} cm ² sr ⁻¹
$\sigma_{\rm m}(\varepsilon)$	Momentum transfer cross section (elastic)	10^{-16} cm ² ; 10^{-20} m ²
$\sigma_{ m vib,diff}(arepsilon)$	Vibrational differential electron scattering cross section	10^{-16} cm ² sr ⁻¹
$\sigma_{\mathrm{i,partial}}\left(arepsilon ight)$	Partial ionization cross section	10^{-16} cm ² ; 10^{-20} m ²
$\sigma_{\rm i,t}(\varepsilon)$	Total ionization cross section	10^{-16} cm ² ; 10^{-20} m ²
$\sigma_{\rm a,t}(\varepsilon)$	Total electron attachment cross section	10^{-14} cm ² ; 10^{-18} m ²
$k_{\rm a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$	Total electron attachment rate constant	10^{-7} cm ³ s ⁻¹
$(k_{a,t})_{th}$	Thermal electron attachment rate constant	10^{-7} cm ³ s ⁻¹

losar collis ere same annue an la linuad no aurannan lo comprise sere a

4.	Differential elastic electron scattering cross	
	sections, $\sigma_{e,diff}$, for CF ₃ I	560
5.	Differential vibrational excitation cross section	
	as a function of the incident electron energy,	
	$\sigma_{\rm vib,diff}(\varepsilon)$, for CF ₃ I	561
6.	Partial ionization cross sections, $\sigma_{i,partial}(\varepsilon)$, for	
	CF ₃ I	562
7.	Total ionization cross section, $\sigma_{i,t}(\varepsilon)$, for CF ₃ I	562
8.	Relative cross sections for the formation of I ⁻ ,	
	F^- , CF_3^- , and FI^- by dissociative electron	
	attachment to CF ₃ I	563
9.	Variation with gas temperature of the relative	
	cross section for the formation of F ⁻ by	
	dissociative electron attachment to CF ₃ I	563
10.	Total electron attachment cross section as a	
	function of electron energy, $\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon)$, for CF ₃ I	564
11.	Rate constants, $k_{d,Ryd}(n)$, for Rydberg-atom	
	destruction, and, $k_{a,be}(n)$, for negative-ion	
	production in collisions of $K^*(nd)$ with CF_3I as	
	a function of n	565
12.	Total electron attachment rate constant as a	
	function of the mean electron energy,	
12	$k_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$, for CF ₃ I	566
13.	Temperature dependence of the thermal electron	5(7
14	attachment rate constant, $(k_{a,t})_{th}$, of CF ₃ 1	201
14.	Summary of suggested electron collision cross	567
	sections for CF31	507

1. Introduction

Trifluoroiodomethane (CF₃I) is a potential plasma etching gas (e.g., see Refs. 1–6) that provides copious quantities of CF₃⁺ and CF₃ via dissociative electron-impact ionization and dissociative electron attachment. These reactive species play a key role in the plasma etching of Si and SiO₂. CF₃I is also an "environmentally friendly" gas as its overall atmospheric lifetime is very short (<1 to a few days).^{7–9} Consequently, its global warming potential is very low (1–5 times that of CO₂).^{7,10} This is in sharp contrast to the much higher global warming potentials of other plasma etching gases, such as the fully fluorinated hydrocarbons and SF_6 .¹⁰ Because of its short lifetime, CF_3I does not contribute significantly to ozone depletion.⁷

In this paper, as in the previous eight papers^{11–18} in this series on electron interactions with plasma processing gases, we assess and synthesize the available information on the cross sections and rate coefficients for collisional interactions of electrons with CF₃I. Definitions of the symbols used here to describe the various collision processes discussed in the paper are given in Table 1.

2. Electronic Structure and Basic Properties

The trifluoroiodomethane (CF₃I) molecule belongs to the C_{3v} point group. It is polar, and McClellan¹⁹ lists two values for its electric dipole moment: 0.92 D and (1.0 ± 0.1) D $(1 \text{ D}=3.3356\times10^{-30}$ C m). Of its six fundamental vibrational frequencies (ν_1, \ldots, ν_6), the ν_4, ν_5 , and ν_6 are degenerate, and the ν_1, ν_2 , and ν_4 are infrared active.²⁰ The ν_1, ν_3 , and ν_4 frequencies have been attributed to stretching modes, ν_2 , and ν_5 to deformation modes, and ν_6 to a bending mode. The energies of the six vibrational modes of CF₃I as given by Shimanouchi²⁰ are, respectively: 1080 cm⁻¹ (0.134 eV), 742 cm⁻¹ (0.092 eV), 286 cm⁻¹ (0.035 eV), 1187 cm⁻¹ (0.147 eV), 537 cm⁻¹ (0.067 eV), and 260 cm⁻¹ (0.032 eV) (see also Bürger *et al.*²¹ for vibrational frequencies of ¹²CF₃I and ¹³CF₃I).

The CF₃I molecule has a positive (adiabatic) electron affinity. Atom-impact crossed-molecular beam studies have provided values of the electron affinity (EA) of CF₃I. These are listed in Table 2 along with other pertinent fundamental data. The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of CF₃I is an antibonding σ -type orbital of a_1 symmetry and is almost entirely localized on the C–I bond. The small dissociation energy *D* and relatively long length of the F₃C–I⁻ bond indicate that CF₃I⁻ can be regarded as a weakly bound radical anion.²²

In Table 3 are listed the energy positions of the negative ion states of the $CF_{3}I$ molecule, as they have been deter-

ELECTRON INTERACTIONS WITH CF₃I

TABLE 2. Basic data on CF₃I and CF₃I⁻

Physical quantity	Value	Reference/method	
CF ₃ I has some 1.7			
Electron affinity	1.278 eV	22/Calculation	
(adiabatic)	$1.29 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$	23/Charge exchange in Cs-CF ₃ I collisions	
	$1.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$	24/Charge exchange in Cs-CF ₃ I collisions	
	$1.54 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$	25/Charge exchange in Na-CF ₃ I collisions	
	$1.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$	25/Charge exchange in Cs-CF ₃ I collisions	
	2.2±0.2 eV	26/Charge exchange in K-CF ₃ I collisions	
D (CF ₃ -I)	1.9 eV	27/Electron attachment using mass spectrometry	
historia	2.0±0.2 eV	25/Charge exchange in Na-CF ₃ I collisions	
	$2.05 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$	24/Charge exchange in Cs-CF ₃ I collisions	
	2.2±0.2 eV	26/Charge exchange in K-CF ₃ I collisions	
	2.33±0.17 eV	28/Reaction kinetics	
	2.39±0.04 eV	29/Photodissociation	
	2.681 eV (2.4 eV) ^a	22/Calculation	
R (C–I)	2.122±0.037 Å	30/Electron diffraction	
	2.134 ± 0.02 Å	31/Microwave spectroscopy	
	2.135±0.033 Å	32/Electron diffraction	
	2.137±0.032 Å	32/Electron diffraction	
	2.140 Å	22/Calculation	
	2.1438 Å	33/Microwave spectroscopy	
<i>R</i> (C–F)	1.328±0.026 Å	30/Electron diffraction	
	1.3285 Å	33/Microwave spectroscopy	
	1.334 ± 0.015 Å	32/Electron diffraction	
	1.340 ± 0.021 Å	32/Electron diffraction	
	1.343 Å	22/Calculation	
∠F-C-F	108.1°	22/Calculation	
	$108.2^{\circ} \pm 1.6^{\circ}$	32/Electron diffraction	
	108.3°±2°	30/Electron diffraction	
	$108.4^{\circ} \pm 1.9^{\circ}$	32/Electron diffraction	
	108.42°	33/Microwave spectroscopy	
CF ₃ I ⁻ (Tee)) aspi			
D (CE JT)	$0.20 \pm 0.2 eV$	25/Charge exchange in Cs_CF-I collisions	
$D\left(CI_{3}-I\right)$	$0.25 \pm 0.2 \text{eV}$	25/Charge exchange in Na_CE_I collisions	
	$0.33 \pm 0.1 eV^b$	24/Charge exchange in Cs_CF-L collisions	
	0.610 eV	22/Calculation	
<i>R</i> (C–I)	2.881 Å	22/Calculation	
R(C-F)	1 367 Å	22/Calculation	
	106.09	22/Calculation	
ZF-C-F	100.0	22/Calculation	

"Roszak *et al.*" point out that the spin-orbit effect could be important in computing the bond dissociation energy and the electron affinity of the CF₃I molecule, since the ${}^{2}P_{3/2} - {}^{2}P_{1/2}$ splitting of the I atom is 0.94 eV. The value in parenthesis includes spin-orbit correction. ^bObtained using EA (I)=3.063 eV.

mined from electron scattering and electron attachment studies (see also Secs. 3 and 8). The presence of the dissociative electron attachment resonance near 0 eV indicates that the electron attachment cross section will be large at low energies, and thus suggests that the contribution of this process to the total electron scattering cross section will be substantial. Figure 1 shows the total room-temperature photoabsorption cross section, $\sigma_{pa,t}(\lambda)$, of CF₃I as a function of the photon wavelength λ in the wavelength range 160–390 nm.^{7,9,45,46} The spectrum consists of a continuous absorption band with a local maximum cross section value of about 6.0×10^{-19} cm² at 267 nm (4.64 eV). The absorption band is

L. G. CHRISTOPHOROU AND J. K. OLTHOFF

Energy	Referencementers	Valae	warmap lauisyrii
(eV)	Reaction mechanism/Possible assignment		Reference and comments
0.0	$e + CF_3 I \rightarrow I^- + CF_3^a$ include Kill	27, Electro	on attachment using mass spectrometry
0.0	$e + CF_3I \rightarrow I^- + CF_3$	35–37, Di	issociative attachment producing I ⁻
0.0	$e + CF_3I \rightarrow I^- + CF_3$ $a_1(C - I\sigma^*)$	38, Total o	dissociative attachment cross section measuremen
~ 0.0	$e + CF_3I \rightarrow I^- + CF_3$	39, Total section me	dissociative attachment cross easurement ^b
0.4	$a_1(C-I\sigma^*)$	40, Calcul	lation
~ 1.0	$e + CF_3 I \rightarrow F^- + CF_2 I^c$	39, Total section me	dissociative attachment cross easurement
~1.5	$e + CF_3I \rightarrow F^- + CF_2I$	27, Electro	on attachment using mass spectrometry
1–2	$e + CF_3I^* \rightarrow F^- + CF_2I$	41, Forma	tion of F^- from CF_3I at 500 and 700 K^d
3.8	$e + CF_3I \rightarrow F^- + CF_2I^e$	35, 36, Di	issociative attachment producing F ⁻
3.8	$e + CF_3I \rightarrow CF_3^- + I$	35–37, Di	issociative attachment producing CF ₃
~4.5	$a_1(C-F\sigma^*)$	38, Total section me	dissociative attachment cross easurement
~4.9	$a_1(C-F\sigma^*)$ and $e(C-I\pi^*)$	40, Total measurem	electron scattering cross section ent/Calculation
4-10	$a_1(C-F\sigma^*)$ and $e(C-I\pi^*)$	42–44, Vi function n	ibrational excitation cross section neasurements

TABLE 3. Energy positions of the negative ion states of the CF₃I molecule

^aDibeler *et al.* in Ref. 34 give the onset of this process as ~0.0 eV, but the maximum intensity at the unacceptably high value of 1.8 eV. ^bDue almost entirely to the respective reaction shown in column 2 of this table. ^cSuggested possible reaction in Ref. 39.

^dFound to be strongly temperature dependent.

^eDibeler et al. in Ref. 34 give a threshold value of 3.6±0.3 eV for this process, and a maximum-abundance energy of 5.6 eV.



FIG. 1. Total photoabsorption cross section, $\sigma_{pa,t}(\lambda)$, as a function of the photon wavelength, λ , for CF₃I: (\bullet) Ref. 45; (\triangle) Ref. 46; (\bullet) Ref. 9.

Wavelength (nm)	$\sigma_{\text{pa,t}}(\lambda) \\ (10^{-20} \text{cm}^2)$	Wavelength (nm)	$\sigma_{\text{pa,t}}(\lambda) \\ (10^{-20} \text{cm}^2)$
160	8716	230	4.81
161	1385	240	13.4
162	764	250	32.1
164	477	260	55.5
166	886	270	61.5
168	1529	280	44.3
170	2376	290	22.3
171	2905	300	8.52
173	1806	310	2.83
175	257	320	0.885
178	10.9	330	0.262
180	2.33	340	0.0764
185	0.75	350	0.0218
190	0.31	360	0.006 51
200	0.15	370	0.002 08
210	0.29	380	0.000 67
220	1.39	385	0.000 38
		100	01

TABLE 4. Recommended total photoabsorption cross section, $\sigma_{\text{pa,t}}(\lambda)$, for CF₃I in the wavelength range 160 nm to 385 nm (T=295 K to 300 K)

TABLE 5. Threshold energies for the production of the parent and fragment positive ions by photon and electron impact on CF_3I

Positive ion	Threshold energy (eV)	Reference and method
$CF_{3}I^{+}(X^{2}E_{3/2})^{a,b}$	10.23	50, 51, MSPI ^c
	10.29	52, 53
	10.32 ± 0.03	54, ICR/PI ^d
	10.45	55, PES ^e
	10.61	56
	10.81	57, PES
	≤10.9 ^f	58, EI ^g
$CF_{3}I^{+}(X^{2}E_{1/2})$	10.91	52, 53
	11.18	55, PES
	11.37	57, PES
CF_3^+	10.89	50, MSPI
E and	10.91 ^h	59, DPI ⁱ
	11.36±0.03	54
	11.85 ^j	59/DPI
	≤11.7	58, EI
$I^{+}({}^{3}P_{2})$	12.70	59, DPI
$I^{+}({}^{3}P_{0})$	13.50	59, DPI
$I^{+}({}^{3}P_{1})$	13.58	59, DPI
	≤14.5	58, EI
CF ₂ I ⁺	13.40	59, DPI
and second sta	≤14.3	58, EI
CE ⁺	17.62	59 DPI

due to $n \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transitions involving excitation of a nonbonding *p* electron on the I atom to an antibonding σ^* orbital involving the C and I atoms⁹ (see also Robin⁴⁷ and Herzberg⁴⁸). Photoabsorption measurements have also been reported as a function of temperature.^{7,9,45,46} Brouwer and Troe⁴⁵ made photoabsorption measurements at 300, 625, and 1050 K that show considerable band broadening at high temperatures. They also observed thermal decomposition of



FIG. 2. Electron energy-loss spectrum of CF_3I for 30 eV (scattering angle of 10°), 60 eV (scattering angle of 5°), and 100 eV (scattering angle of 3°) incident-electron energies [data of Kitajima *et al.* from Ref. 43, as provided to the authors by Professor H. Tanaka (Ref. 44)].

^aThe CF₃I⁺ ground state is a doublet, $X^{2}E_{3/2}$ and $X^{2}E_{1/2}$. The two states are separated by ~0.6 eV.

^bAssociated with the removal of a lone-pair electron from the I atom. ^cMSPI=mass spectrometric photoionization study.

^dICR/PI=Ion cyclotron resonance/photoionization technique. ^cPES=photoelectron spectra.

^fThe electron energy resolution was not adequate to allow separation of the $X^2 E_{3/2}$ and $X^2 E_{1/2}$ states of CF₃I⁺.

gEI=electron impact.

^hFor the products $CF_3^+ + I({}^2P_{3/2})$. ⁱDPI=dissociative photoionization.

^jFor the products $CF_3^+ + I({}^2P_{1/2})$.

 CF_3I at temperatures above ~ 1000 K (see also Ref. 49). The solid line in Fig. 1 is a least squares fit to the four sets of "room temperature" measurements. Values from this line are listed in Table 4 as the recommended $\sigma_{pa,l}(\lambda)$ for CF_3I .

Recent electron energy-loss spectra of CF_3I at 5° scattering angle and 30, 60, and 100 eV incident electrons^{43,44} are consistent with the photoabsorption results in Fig. 1. Figure 2 shows these electron energy-loss spectra, which cover the energy-loss range from 4 to 14 eV. Taken at forward scattering angles of 3°-10°, these spectra exhibit little variation with incident electron energy. They show distinct structure below the first ionization threshold energy at ~10.4 eV (see Table 5) with peaks at 4.7, 7.2, 8.1, 9.0, and 9.8 eV. The structure at 7.2 eV in the electron-energy-loss spectrum is consistent with that in the $\sigma_{nat}(\lambda)$ around 170 nm.

Photoionization, photodissociation, and electron-impact studies have provided values of the ionization threshold en-

L. G. CHRISTOPHOROU AND J. K. OLTHOFF





FIG. 4. Differential elastic electron scattering cross sections, $\sigma_{e,diff}$, for CF₃I at a number of n cident electron energies [data of Kitajima *et al.* from Ref. 43, as provided to the authors by Professor H. Tanaka (Ref. 44)].

volving I^+ and CF_3^+ with CF_3I see Berman *et al.*,⁵⁴ and for formation of CF_3I^+ in photoinduced charge transfer at surfaces see Sun *et al.*⁶⁷).

7.2. Total Ionization Cross Section, $\sigma_{i,t}(\varepsilon)$

The total ionization cross section, $\sigma_{i,t}(\varepsilon)$, of CF₃I obtained by summing the partial ionization cross sections of Jiao *et al.*⁶⁴ is shown in Fig. 7. The error bar shown in the figure indicates the $\pm 22\%$ uncertainty of the partial ioniza-

tion cross sections. Also plotted in Fig. 7 is the value $[(10.9\pm0.48)\times10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2]$ of $\sigma_{i,t}(\varepsilon)$ of CF₃I measured by Beran and Kevan⁶⁸ for 70 eV incident electrons. The values agree within the combined uncertainty of the two measurements. The data of Jiao *et al.* are listed in Table 10 as our presently suggested values for the $\sigma_{i,t}(\varepsilon)$ of CF₃I.

To our knowledge no measurements of the electronimpact ionization coefficient have been made for this molecule.

				Electron	Electron energy (eV)			
Angle	1.5	3	4	6	8	10	20	60
20°	2.22	3.35	6.79	15.7	19.3	23.0	20.8	4.06
30°	1.21	2.27	6.16	11.2	12.0	12.4	6.62	1.10
40°	0.82	3.16	4.96	7.01	6.40	5.62	1.98	0.66
50°	1.00	3.49	3.88	4.33	3.03	2.39	1.16	0.51
60°	1.02	3.52	3.18	2.65	1.67	1.36	1.15	0.31
70°	1.09	3.20	3.10	1.92	1.36	1.50	1.08	0.18
80°	0.97	2.61	2.28	1.70	1.39	1.30	0.89	0.14
90°	0.82	1.91	1.94	1.70	1.46	1.24	0.68	0.12
100°	0.70	1.41	1.63	1.52	1.23	1.00	0.53	0.16
110°	0.55	0.90	1.14	1.21	0.94	0.82	0.54	0.27
120°	0.46	0.68	0.89	0.92	0.85	0.90	0.65	0.43
130°	0.40	0.84	1.03	1.16	1.15	1.29	0.72	0.51

TABLE 7. Differential elastic electron scattering cross sections, $\sigma_{e,diff}$, of CF₃I (in units of $10^{-20} \text{ m}^2 \text{ sr}^{-1}$) for a number of incident electron energies (data of Kitajima *et al.* from Refs. 43 and 44)

8. Electron Attachment

8.1. Relative Cross Sections for the Production of the Fragment Negative Ions I⁻, F⁻, CF₃⁻, and FI⁻ by Dissociative Electron Attachment to CF₃I

There have been a number of studies of electron attachment to CF₃I. These include electron-beam measurements of the relative cross sections for the formation of the various fragment negative ions, $^{27,34-37,41,69}$ electron-beam measurements of the total electron attachment cross section, 38,39 electron-swarm measurements of the thermal-electron attachment rate constant, $^{70-73}$ very-low-energy electron-beam total electron attachment cross-section measurements, 74 and measurements of the rate constant of bound-electron attachment at thermal and subthermal electron energies. 75,76

Based on the results of these studies, electron attachment to CF₃I produces I⁻, F⁻, CF₃⁻, and FI⁻ negative ions, mainly *via* two dissociating negative-ion states, one at $\sim 0.0 \text{ eV}$ and another near 3.8 eV. This is seen from the measurements of Oster *et al.*³⁶ shown in Fig. 8. The zeroenergy process generates I⁻ *via* the reaction

$$e + CF_3 I \rightarrow I^- + CF_3 \tag{2}$$

and is exothermic by $-(0.67\pm0.1)$ eV.³⁶ The 3.8 eV process generates F⁻, CF₃⁻, and FI⁻. The fragment anions F⁻ and CF₃⁻ are generated via the reactions

$$e + CF_3 I \rightarrow F^- + CF_2 I, \qquad (3a)$$

TABLE 8. Calculated values of $\sigma_m(\epsilon)$ for CF₃I determined via Eq. (1) and using for the dipole moment of CF₃I the value of 0.96 D

Electron energy (eV)	$\frac{\sigma_{\rm m}(\varepsilon)}{(10^{-20}{\rm m}^2)}$		
0.005	902	2.59	-
0.01	451		
0.05	90.2		
0.10	45.1		
0.50	9.0		

$$e + CF_3 I \rightarrow CF_3^- + I. \tag{3b}$$

Reactions (3a) and (3b) are endothermic, respectively, by $(\sim 1.5\pm 0.3) \text{ eV}$,³⁶ and by $(0.7\pm 0.3) \text{ eV}$.³⁶ According to Oster *et al.*,³⁶ the weak F⁻ signal near 0.0 eV does not arise from the direct dissociative electron attachment process, Eq. (3a), but rather from an ion-molecule reaction of the form I⁻+CF₃I \rightarrow F⁻+CF₂I₂.

The formation of the FI⁻ ion has an "appearance energy" of (2.2 ± 0.2) eV and requires a multiple dissociation process.³⁵ Interestingly, the complementary reaction to Eq. (3b), that is, the production of I⁻+CF₃ was not observed from the 3.8 eV negative ion state.^{35,36}

Reactions (2) and (3b) result from breaking the C–I bond as a result of localization of the extra electron into a molecular orbital with antibonding σ_{C-I}^* character. This is supported by theoretical calculations⁴⁰ and also by the fact that, in the formation of CF₃⁻ at threshold, the total excess energy of the transient anion appears as kinetic energy of the dissociation products.³⁵ This is also consistent with photoabsorption and photodissociation studies. For instance, Suh *et al.*⁷⁷ found



FIG. 5. Differential vibrational excitation cross section as a function of the incident electron energy, $\sigma_{\rm vib,diff}(\varepsilon)$, for CF₃I [data of Kitajima *et al.* from Ref. 43, as provided to the authors by Professor H. Tanaka (Ref. 44)]. The measurements are for a scattering angle of 60° and an electron-energy loss of 0.14 eV.



FIG. 6. Partial ionization cross sections, $\sigma_{i,partial}(\varepsilon)$, for CF₃I (data of Jiao

et al. from Ref. 64).





that in the photodissociation of CF_3I at 266 nm (4.66 eV), 85% of the available energy is partitioned into translational energy.

In addition to the dissociative electron attachment processes, Eqs. (2) and (3), F^- and CF_3^- ions have been observed, respectively, at energies above 12.3 and 11.8 eV,^{35,36} and their production at these energies has been attributed to the nonresonant ion-pair-formation processes

 $e + CF_3 I \rightarrow CF_3 I^* + e \tag{4a}$

 $\rightarrow F^- + CF_2 I^+$ (4b)

 $\rightarrow CF_3^- + I^+. \tag{4c}$

Flaatron			$\sigma_{\mathrm{i,partial}}\left(arepsilon ight)$	(10^{-20} m^2)		
energy (eV)	CF^+	CF_2^+	CF_3^+	\mathbf{I}^+	CF_2I^+	CF ₃ I ⁺
10	ns is also con	products. ²⁵ T	arit ari	is seen fro	aidT .Vs 8.	0.0389
12			0.0246			0.121
14			0.0978	0.0180		0.435
16			0.277	0.0629	0.0161	1.02
18			0.547	0.208	0.0929	1.83
20		0.0064	0.720	0.425	0.219	2.36
22	0.0048	0.0193	0.759	0.640	0.313	2.46
24	0.0176	0.0418	0.800	0.874	0.392	2.58
26	0.0418	0.0626	0.852	1.12	0.473	2.72
28	0.0755	0.0780	0.896	1.34	0.555	2.84
30	0.101	0.0864	0.919	1.49	0.604	2.89
32	0.121	0.0946	0.940	1.65	0.690	2.94
34	0.140	0.100	0.958	1.79	0.750	2.96
36	0.155	0.107	0.995	1.95	0.820	3.05
38	0.171	0.115	1.03	2.11	0.885	3.12
40	0.181	0.122	1.04	2.21	0.925	3.13
45	0.202	0.135	1.05	2.41	1.00	3.13
50	0.225	0.147	1.08	2.59	1.08	3.17
55	0.240	0.154	1.09	2.68	1.13	3.17
60	0.253	0.160	1.11	2.77	1.17	3.19
65	0.261	0.164	1.11	2.82	1.21	3.19
70	0.271	0.167	1.11	2.89	0.1.24	3.20

TABLE 9. Suggested values for the partial ionization cross sections, $\sigma_{i,partial}(\varepsilon)$, of CF₃I (data of Jiao *et al.* from Ref. 64)

1.0

$CF_{3}I$ (data of Jiao <i>et al.</i> from Ref. 64)				0.8	F-/CF3I		
Electron energy (eV)	$\sigma_{i,t}(\varepsilon) \\ (10^{-20} \text{ m}^2)$	Electron energy (eV)	$\sigma_{\mathrm{i},\mathrm{t}}\left(\varepsilon\right) \\ (10^{-20}\mathrm{m}^2)$	and the second	0.6 0.4 0.2	A warder a prostation of the party	17 Walth Wardelow
10	0.039	32	6.44		0.0		
12	0.146	34	6.70		arb.	F-/CF-I	(b) 500 k
14	0.551	36	7.08		ity (A	
16	1.38	38	7.43		4 tens		
18	2.68	40	7.61		u 2	In	all and a second
20	3.73	45	7.93		o elativ	we werender	
22	4.20	50	8.29		£ 100	1 5-1051	(c) 700 H
24	4.71	55	8.46		80	F-7CF3I	
26	5.27	60	8.65		40	100	
28	5.78	65	8.76		20-		
30	6.09	70	8.88		02	0 1 2 3	4 5
	- 20	12	iom destruction	N.O Rycbarg-a		Electron Energ	gy (eV)

TABLE 10. Suggested values for the total ionization cross section, $\sigma_{i,t}(\varepsilon)$, of CF₃I (data of Jiao *et al.* from Ref. 64)

The CF_3I^- parent negative ion has not been observed in any of the gas-phase electron attachment studies, however, it is known to be formed in charge-transfer reactions involving K, Na, and Cs atoms^{24–26} and by electron attachment to CF_3I



clusters.³⁶ Interestingly, in a study of the desorption of CF_3^- following the interaction of low-energy (0–10 eV) electrons with a CF₃I film,³⁷ the desorption cross section was found to be more than two orders of magnitude greater than the corresponding gas-phase dissociative electron attachment cross section.

8.2. Effect of Temperature on the Production of F⁻ by Dissociative Electron Attachment to CF₃I

Figure 9 shows the relative cross section for the formation of F⁻ by dissociative electron attachment to CF₃I at three temperatures (350, 500, and 700 K) as reported by Hahndorf and Illenberger.⁴¹ The weak F^- signal at $\sim 0.0 \text{ eV}$ in the room temperature measurements (Fig. 8) increases substantially with increasing temperature above ambient, however, even at 700 K the relative cross section for the formation of F⁻ is small compared with that for the formation of I⁻ at this energy. Additionally, in view of the magnitude and energy dependence of the cross section for I⁻ production, it is not expected that the I⁻ signal will exhibit a significant temperature dependence.⁷⁸ Hence, for temperatures in this range, the total electron attachment cross section as measured by Underwood-Lemons et al.,³⁸ and the total thermal-electron attachment-rate constant as measured by various groups (see Table 13 in Sec. 8.5) should not be considerably affected by the gas temperature, as is indeed the case (see Fig. 10 in Sec. 8.3). Moreover, the data in Fig. 9 indicate that the production of F⁻ from CF₃I has another maximum between 1 and 2 eV. This is consistent with earlier observations of a negative-ion peak around 1 eV by Marriott and Craggs²⁷ and by Buchel'nikova³⁹ (see Table 3).



FIG. 8. Relative cross sections for the formation of I^- , F^- , CF_3^- , and FI^- by dissociative electron attachment to CF_3I for T=300 K (data of Oster *et al.* from Ref. 36). Note the pressures in comparing the magnitudes of the relative cross sections of the various negative ions. The work of Oster *et al.* indicates possible production of I^- at higher energies than the zero-energy peak.

(a) 350 K



FIG. 10. Total electron attachment cross section as a function of electron energy, $\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon)$, for CF₃I. *Free-electron attachment*: (---) Ref. 74; (•) Ref. 38. *Bound-electron attachment*: (**A**) Rydberg-atom destruction data from Ref. 75; (•) free-ion production data (n > 40) from Ref. 76. (---) Suggested values.

8.3. Total Electron Attachment Cross Section as a Function of Electron Energy, $\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon)$

The total electron attachment cross section, $\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon)$, of CF₃I has been measured by Underwood-Lemons^{38,79} for electron energies $\leq 7.5 \,\text{eV}$ under single-collision conditions and temperatures of 393 and 563 K. Figure 10 shows the data from the measurements made at 393 K. No significant temperature effects were observed. The peak energy and symmetry assignment of the negative-ion resonances observed in a total electron-scattering cross section study⁴⁰ are given in Table 3. The steep rise of the cross section toward zero energy is attributed to electron capture into the LUMO of CF₃I with a_1 symmetry and $\sigma^*(C-I)$ bonding character. The fragment ion is thus I^{-} [Reaction (2)]. In contrast, the fragment negative-ion peak near 4.5 eV is associated with the next higher unoccupied orbital a_1 (C-F σ^*). The primary ion associated with this resonance is thus F⁻ [Reaction (3a)]. Also plotted in Fig. 10 are the total electron attachment cross sections of Alajajian et al.74 determined using the Kr photoionization method for the production of monoenergetic low-energy electrons. The relative cross section values obtained by this method were put on an absolute scale by normalization to the thermal (T=300 K) electron attachmentrate-constant value of Shimamori and Nakatani⁷⁰ (see Table 13 in Sec. 8.5).

In addition to the data of Alajajian et al.,⁷⁴ two other mea-

J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2000

surements of $\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon)$ for CF₃I in the low-energy range have been plotted in Fig. 10. The first is derived from the rate constant, $k_{d,Ryd}(n)$, for the destruction of the Rydberg atoms $K^*(nd)$ in collision with CF₃I.⁷⁵ The second is derived from the rate for negative-ion formation, $k_{d,be}(n)$, in collisions of $K^*(nd)$ with CF₃I.⁷⁶ In both cases *n* is the principal quantum number of the Rydberg electron. The rate $k_{d,be}(n)$ refers to the reaction

$$\mathbf{K}^{*}(nd) + \mathbf{CF}_{3}\mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{+} + \mathbf{CF}_{3}\mathbf{I}^{-*} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{+} + \mathbf{CF}_{3} + \mathbf{I}^{-}, \quad (5)$$

and is less than or equal to $k_{d,Ryd}(n)$ since the probability of escape of I⁻ can be less than one. As can be seen from Fig. 11, the difference between $k_{d,Ryd}(n)$ and $k_{a,be}(n)$ depends on the value of *n*. As *n* becomes small, postattachment electrostatic attraction between the product ions (I⁻ and K⁺) becomes important causing larger differences between the two rate constants.

The bound-electron attachment rate constants, $k_{d,Ryd}(n)$ (data of Ref. 75) and $k_{a,be}(n)$ (data of Ref. 76), were converted to functions of the electron energy using the relationship $\varepsilon = R/v^2$, where R is the Rydberg constant (13.6 eV). These values were then used to determine the bound-electron attachment cross section, $\sigma_{a,be}(\varepsilon)$ (and similarly $\sigma_{d,Ryd}(\varepsilon)$), from

$$\sigma_{a,be}(v) = k_{a,be}(n)/v_{\rm rms}, \qquad (6)$$



FIG. 11. Rate constants, $k_{d,Ryd}(n)$, for Rydberg-atom destruction (\bullet , Ref. 75), and, $k_{a,be}(n)$, for negative-ion production (\blacksquare , Ref. 76) in collisions of $K^*(nd)$ with CF₃I as a function of n (see text).

where $v_{\rm rms}$ is the root-mean-square velocity of the Rydberg electron. All values of $\sigma_{\rm d,Ryd}(\varepsilon)$ determined this way are shown in Fig. 10, however, for $\sigma_{\rm a,be}(\varepsilon)$ we have plotted only the data for $n \ge 40$ since a number of studies^{18,80–82} have indicated that Eq. (6) is expected to be valid only for large values of n(>30). Figure 10 shows that the low-energy bound-electron attachment data agree well with the higher-energy electron-beam measurements of Underwood-Lemons *et al.*³⁸ However, both sets of data disagree with the data of Alajajian *et al.*,⁷⁴ that were obtained by normalizing to the swarm attachment rate constant. This may indicate a difference in the magnitude of the electron attachment rate constant near thermal energies as determined by swarm methods and by techniques employing bound electron capture processes (see discussion in next section).

The data in Fig. 10 show that at thermal energy ($\varepsilon \simeq 0.038 \text{ eV}$, T = 300 K), the total electron attachment cross section exceeds 10^{-14} cm^2 . This value is more than two orders of magnitude greater than the value of $7.8 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2$ of the cross section measured by Buchel'nikova³⁹ at $\sim 0.0 \text{ eV}$, which is not shown in Fig. 10.

The data of Underwood-Lemons *et al.*,³⁸ Zheng *et al.*,⁷⁵ and Ling *et al.*,⁷⁶ are in agreement, and the solid line in Fig. 10 is a fit to these three sets of data. Values from this curve are listed in Table 11 as our suggested data for the $\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon)$ of CF₃I.

8.4. Total Electron Attachment Rate Constant as a Function of the Mean Electron Energy, $k_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$

The measurements of $k_{a,l}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$ by Shimamori *et al.*⁷¹ and Sunagawa and Shimamori⁷³ are shown in Fig. 12. A pulse-radiolysis microwave-cavity method was employed with provisions for electron heating above thermal energies and an indirect method of determining the mean electron energy (see Ref. 71 for details). Also shown in the figure are the

TABLE 11. Suggested values for the total electron attachment cross section, $\sigma_{at}(\varepsilon)$, of CF₃I

Electron energy (eV)	$\sigma_{a,t}(\varepsilon) \\ (10^{-20} \text{ m}^2)$	Electron energy (eV)	$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{a,t}}(\varepsilon)}{(10^{-20}\mathrm{m}^2)}$
0.004	1820	0.70	0.114
0.005	1575	0.80	0.067
0.006	1399	0.90	0.044
0.007	1265	1.00	0.032
0.008	1157	1.50	0.015
0.009	1070	2.00	0.014
0.010	997	2.50	0.017
0.015	757	3.00	0.026
0.020	623	3.50	0.070
0.025	532	4.00	0.138
0.030	464	4.25	0.148
0.040	369	4.50	0.140
0.050	302	4.75	0.122
0.060	251	5.00	0.104
0.070	212	5.25	0.100
0.080	183	5.50	0.107
0.090	158	5.75	0.115
0.10	139	6.00	0.112
0.15	76.1	6.25	0.102
0.20	39.4	6.50	0.092
0.25	19.9	6.75	0.098
0.30	11.1	7.00	0.135
0.40	3.38	7.25	0.134
0.50	0.930	7.50	0.118
0.60	0.266		

 $k_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$ measurements of Alajajian *et al.*⁷⁴ They used the Kr photoionization technique for the production of monoenergetic low-energy electrons and the room temperature (T = 300 K) thermal-electron attachment-rate constant, $(k_{a,t})_{th}$, of Shimamori and Nakatani⁷⁰ $(1.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$, see Table 13 in Sec. 8.5) for normalization of their relative data. The average of the four "room temperature" values of $(k_{a,t})_{th}$ (Table 13, Sec. 8.5) is $1.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and this value is also plotted in Fig. 12. Additionally, we have plotted in Fig. 12 the values of $k_{d,Ryd}(n)$ measured by Zheng *et al.*⁷⁵ and the values of $k_{a,be}(n)$ for n > 40 measured by Ling *et al.*⁷⁶

The bound-electron attachment measurements indicate higher values of the electron attachment rate constant than the electron swarm measurements. At thermal $(T \approx 300 \text{ K})$ energies the electron swarm data are lower by about a factor of 2. This difference is not understood, and complicates the determination of suggested values for the $k_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$ of this molecule. Because all four independent measurements of the thermal $(T \approx 300 \text{ K})$ value of $k_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$ are in essential agreement (they are within the quoted or expected uncertainties), we opted to fit only the electron swarm data in Fig. 12 in an effort to determine suggested values for $k_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$. Our fit to the swarm data is shown by the solid line, and values from this line are listed in Table 12 as our suggested data for $k_{a,t}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$ of CF₃I.



FIG. 12. Total electron attachment rate constant as a function of the mean electron energy, $k_{a,l}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$, for CF₃I. Swarm data: (\blacktriangle) Ref. 71; (O) Ref. 73. Low-energy electron beam data: ($\neg -$) Ref. 74. Bound-electron attachment data: (\bigcirc) Rydberg-atom destruction data from Ref. 75; (\blacklozenge) free-ion production data (n > 40) from Ref. 76. (*) Average thermal value ($1.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) of the room temperature swarm measurements (see text). (-), Suggested values for $k_{a,l}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$.

8.5. Thermal Electron Attachment Rate Constant, $(k_{a,t})_{th}$

The available data on the thermal value of the electron attachment rate constant, $(k_{a,t})_{th}$, are listed in Table 13. The room-temperature values of $(k_{a,t})_{th}$ are within the combined quoted uncertainties. Their average is 1.9×10^{-7} cm³ s⁻¹.

Interestingly, the measurements of $(k_{a,t})_{th}$ in Fig. 13 show a small increase with increasing T from 250 to 500 K and a

TABLE 12. Suggested values for the total electron attachment rate constant, $k_{a,l}(\langle \varepsilon \rangle)$, of CF₃I

Mean electron energy (eV)	$\begin{array}{c} k_{\mathrm{a,t}}\left(\left\langle \varepsilon\right\rangle\right)\\ (10^{-7}\mathrm{cm}^{3}\mathrm{s}^{-1}) \end{array}$	Mean electron energy (eV)	$\frac{k_{\rm a,t} \left(\langle \varepsilon \rangle\right)}{(10^{-7} {\rm cm}^3 {\rm s}^{-1})}$
0.038	1.90	0.4	0.13
0.05	1.85	0.5	0.11
0.06	1.75	0.6	0.089
0.07	1.66	0.7	0.079
0.08	1.56	0.8	0.070
0.09	1.43	0.9	0.063
0.10	1.33	1.0	0.058
0.15	0.75	1.5	0.040
0.20	0.41	1.9	0.033
0.30	0.19		

J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2000

decline beyond 600 K. This is consistent with other observations⁷⁸ involving strong dissociative electron attachment processes peaking at ~0 eV when the potential energy curve of the dissociating negative ion state crosses that of the ground state of the neutral molecule in such a way that population of vibrational levels higher than the $\nu = 0$ of the neutral molecule results in the initial state of the neutral molecule lying above the dissociating negative ion state.

those section mensured py pricing upper stor

TABLE 13. Thermal values, $(k_{a,l})_{th}$, of the total electron attachment rate constant of CF₃I

$(k_{a,t})_{th}$ $(10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$	<i>T</i> (K) R	eference
1.5	250	70
1.7 ± 0.2	~300	70
2.0	350	70
1.9 ± 0.1	300	83
2.0 ± 0.2	~300	71
2.2 поекание	293	72
2.4 gel m owoda	615 000000000000000000000000000000000000	72
and all 2.2 solarrs asw bor	777	72
na baa 1.5 mee daarradi ov	1022 ^a	72

^aData suspected for possible error due to possible thermal decomposition of the gas.



FIG. 13. Temperature dependence of the thermal electron attachment rate constant, $(k_{a,l})_{th}$, of CF₃I: (\bullet) Ref. 70; (\blacktriangle) Ref. 83; (\blacksquare) Ref. 71; (\bullet) Ref. 72.

9. Optical Emission Under Electron Impact

Martínez *et al.*⁸⁴ performed an experimental study of the visible and ultraviolet emissions following pulsed electron-impact on $CF_{3}I$. For electron-impact energies of 100 eV, the spectra in the 200–600 nm region show a number of narrow lines superimposed on two broad bands centered at 300 and

470 nm. The emission bands were attributed⁸⁴ to the CF_3^* and IF^* species and the atomic lines to the I_I^* and I_{II}^* fragments.

10. Summary of Cross Sections

The meager data on the electron scattering cross sections for CF₃I are summarized in Fig. 14. The suggested values for $\sigma_{\rm sc,t}(\varepsilon)$ (Fig. 3; Table 6), $\sigma_{\rm i,t}(\varepsilon)$ (Fig. 7; Table 10), and $\sigma_{\rm a,t}(\varepsilon)$ (Fig. 10; Table 11) are shown by solid lines, and the calculated values of $\sigma_{\rm m}(\varepsilon)$ (Table 8) are shown by a dashed line.

The values of $\sigma_{pa,t}(\lambda)$ (Table 4), $\sigma_{e,diff}$ (Table 7), and $\sigma_{i,partial}(\varepsilon)$ (Table 9) are also suggested but are not plotted in Fig. 14.

11. Data Needs

With the exception of the total electron scattering, electron-impact ionization, and electron attachment cross sections, measurements of the cross sections for all other electron collision processes are needed. Even the cross sections for total electron scattering, electron attachment, and electron-impact ionization need further investigation to confirm the limited data that are presently available and to extend the range of energies over which data are available.

No experimental data are available for the electron transport, ionization, and attachment coefficients of this molecule.



FIG. 14. Summary of suggested electron collision cross sections for CF₃I (see the text).

12. Acknowledgments

We thank Professor H. Tanaka (Sophia University) for providing us with his data on the differential electron scattering cross sections, Dr. C. Jiao (Wright Laboratory) for providing us with his measurements of the partial and total electron-impact ionization cross sections, and for bringing to our attention the earlier measurements by K. B. Riehl, Professor M. Kimura (Yamaguchi University) for providing us with a preprint of their paper on CF_3I , and Dr. P. Haaland (Mobium Enterprises) for providing parts of K. B. Riehl's dissertation.

13. References

- ¹S. M. Karecki, L. C. Pruette, and L. R. Reif, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **447**, 67 (1997).
- ²S. M. Karecki, L. C. Pruette, and L. R. Reif, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 16, 755 (1998).
- ³R. A. Levy, V. B. Zaitsev, K. Aryusook, C. Ravindranath, V. Sigal, A. Misra, S. Kesari, D. Rufin, J. Sees, and L. Hall, J. Mater. Res. 13, 2643 (1998).
- ⁴F. Fracassi and R. d'Agostino, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 16, 1867 (1998).
- ⁵S. Samukawa and K. Tsuda, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 37, L1095 (1998).
- ⁶S. Samukawa and T. Mukai, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Electron-Molecule Collisions and Swarms, Tokyo, Japan, 18–20 July 1999, edited by Y. Hatano, H. Tanaka, and N. Kouchi, p. 76.
- ⁷S. Solomon, J. B. Burkholder, A. R. Ravishankara, and R. R. Garcia, J. Geophys. Res. D: Atmos. **99**, 20929 (1994).
- ⁸ Climate Change 1995; The Science of Climate Change (published for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), edited by J. T. Houghton, L. G. M. Filho, B. A. Callander, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg, and K. Maskell (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K., 1996), p. 93.
- ⁹O. V. Rattigan, D. E. Shallcross, and R. A. Cox, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. **93**, 2839 (1997).
- ¹⁰ Climate Change 1995; The Science of Climate Change (published for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), edited by J. T. Houghton, L. G. M. Filho, B. A. Callander, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg, and K. Maskell (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K., 1996), p. 121.
- ¹¹L. G. Christophorou, J. K. Olthoff, and M. V. V. S. Rao, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 25, 1341 (1996) [Electron Interactions with CF₄].
- ¹²L. G. Christophorou, J. K. Olthoff, and M. V. V. S. Rao, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 26, 1 (1997) [Electron Interactions with CHF₃].
- ¹³L. G. Christophorou, J. K. Olthoff, and Y. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 26, 1205 (1997) [Electron interactions with CCl₂F₂].
- $^{14}L.$ G. Christophorou and J. K. Olthoff, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 27, 1 (1998) [Electron Interactions with $C_2F_6].$
- ¹⁵L. G. Christophorou and J. K. Olthoff, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 27, 889 (1998) [Electron Interactions with C₃F₈].
- ¹⁶L. G. Christophorou and J. K. Olthoff, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 28, 131 (1999) [Electron Interactions with Cl₂].
- ¹⁷L. G. Christophorou and J. K. Olthoff, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **28**, 967 (1999) [Electron Interactions with Plasma Processing Gases: An update for CF₄, CHF₃, C₂F₆, and C₃F₈].
- ¹⁸L. G. Christophorou and J. K. Olthoff, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **29**, 267 (2000) [Electron Interactions with SF₆].
- ¹⁹A. L. McClellan, *Tables of Experimental Dipole Moments* (W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, CA, 1963), p. 36.
- ²⁰T. Shimanouchi, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 3, 269 (1974).
- ²¹ H. Bürger, K. Burczyk, H. Hollenstein, and M. Quack, Mol. Phys. 55, 255 (1985).
- ²²S. Roszak, W. S. Koski, J. J. Kaufman, and K. Balasubramanian, J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 7709 (1997).
- ²³ C. B. Leffert, Ph.D. Dissertation, Wayne State University, 1974; as quoted in Ref. 24.
- ²⁴ S. Y. Tang, B. P. Mathur, E. W. Rothe, and G. P. Reck, J. Chem. Phys. 64, 1270 (1976).

²⁵ R. N. Compton, P. W. Reinhardt, and C. D. Cooper, J. Chem. Phys. 68, 4360 (1978).

- ²⁶ P. E. McNamee, K. Lacman, and D. R. Herschbach, Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc. 55, 318 (1973).
- ²⁷ J. Marriott and J. D. Craggs, J. Electronics 1, 405 (1956).
- ²⁸C. A. Goy, A. Lord, and H. O. Pritchard, J. Phys. Chem. **71**, 1086 (1967).
 ²⁹P. Felder, Chem. Phys. **143**, 141 (1990).
- ³⁰ H. J. M. Bowen, Trans. Faraday Soc. **50**, 444 (1954).
- ³¹J. Sheridan and W. Gordy, J. Chem. Phys. **20**, 591 (1954).
- ³²C.-H. Wong and V. Schomaker, J. Chem. Phys. **28**, 1010 (1958).
- ³³ A. P. Cox, G. Duxbury, J. A. Hardy, and Y. Kawashima, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday 2 76, 339 (1980).
- ³⁴ V. H. Dibeler, R. M. Reese, and F. L. Mohler, J. Research NBS 57, 113 (1956).
- ³⁵ M. Heni and E. Illenberger, Chem. Phys. Lett. 131, 314 (1986).
- ³⁶ T. Oster, O. Ingólfsson, M. Meinke, T. Jaffke, and E. Illenberger, J. Chem. Phys. **99**, 5141 (1993).
- ³⁷ Y. Le Coat, R. Azria, M. Tronc, O. Ingólfsson, and E. Illenberger, Chem. Phys. Lett. **296**, 208 (1998).
- ³⁸ T. Underwood-Lemons, T. J. Gergel, and J. H. Moore, J. Chem. Phys. 102, 119 (1995).
- ³⁹I. S. Buchel'nikova, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **35**, 1119 (1958) [Sov. Phys. JETP **8**, 783 (1959)].
- ⁴⁰ T. Underwood-Lemons, D. C. Winkler, J. A. Tossell, and J. H. Moore, J. Chem. Phys. **100**, 9117 (1994).
- ⁴¹ I. Hahndorf and E. Illenberger, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes 167/ 168, 87 (1997).
- ⁴² M. Okamoto, M. Hoshino, Y. Sakamoto, S. Watanabe, M. Kitajima, H. Tanaka, and M. Kimura, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Electron-Molecule Collisions and Swarms, Tokyo, Japan, 18–20 July, 1999, edited by Y. Hatano, H. Tanaka, and N. Kouchi, p. 191.
- ⁴³M. Kitajima, M. Okamoto, H. Tanaka, and S. Samukawa (unpublished).
- ⁴⁴H. Tanaka (private Communication, January, 2000).
- ⁴⁵L. Brouwer and J. Troe, Chem. Phys. Lett. 82, 1 (1981).
- ⁴⁶ A. Fahr, A. K. Nayak, and R. E. Huie, Chem. Phys. 199, 275 (1995).
- ⁴⁷ M. B. Robin, *Higher Excited States of Polyatomic Molecules* (Academic, New York, 1974), Vol. I.
- ⁴⁸G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure III: Electronic Spectra and Electronic Structure of Polyatomic Molecules (D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1966), p. 532.
- ⁴⁹ S. S. Kumaran, M.-C. Su, K. P. Lim, and J. V. Michael, Chem. Phys. Lett. 243, 59 (1995).
- ⁵⁰C. J. Noutary, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.) 72A, 479 (1969).
- ⁵¹ S. G. Lias, J. E. Bartmess, J. F. Liebman, J. L. Holmes, R. D. Levin, and W. G. Mallard, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **17**(1), 60 (1988).
- ⁵²I. Novak, as quoted in Ref. 53.
- ⁵³L. R. Thorne and J. L. Beauchamp, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 5100 (1981).
- ⁵⁴D. W. Berman, J. L. Beauchamp, and L. R. Thorne, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. **39**, 47 (1981).
- ⁵⁵ T. Cvitaš, H. Güsten, L. Klasinc, I. Novadj, and H. Vančik, Z. Naturforsch. **33a**, 1528 (1978).
- ⁵⁶ M. B. Robin, *Higher Excited States of Polyatomic Molecules* (Academic, Orlando, FL, 1985), Vol. III, p. 27.
- ⁵⁷ R. A. A. Boschi and D. R. Salahub, Can. J. Chem. 52, 1217 (1974).
- ⁵⁸ K. B. Riehl, Ph.D. Dissertation, Air Force University, 1992; Air Force Technical Report No. AFIT/DS/ENP/92-3 (December 1992).
- ⁵⁹I. Powis, O. Dutuit, M. Richard-Viard, and P. M. Guyon, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 1643 (1990).
- ⁶⁰ M. K. Kawada, O. Sueoka, and M. Kimura, Chem. Phys. Lett. (to be published); M. Kimura (private communication, June 2000); M. Kimura, O. Sueoka, A. Hamada, H. Tanaka, and M. Kitajima, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 44, 19 (1999).
- ⁶¹S. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. 107, 114 (1957).
- ⁶²L. G. Christophorou and A. A. Christodoulides, J. Phys. B 2, 71 (1969).
- ⁶³L. G. Christophorou, Atomic and Molecular Radiation Physics (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971), Chap. 4.
- ⁶⁴C. Jiao et al. (2000); C. Jiao (private communication, December 1999).
- ⁶⁵ R. C. Wetzel, F. A. Baiocchi, T. R. Hayes, and R. S. Freund, Phys. Rev. A 35, 559 (1987).
- ⁶⁶ S. R. Heller and G. W. A. Milne, Eds. EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Data Base (U.S. GPO, Washington, 1978).

- ⁶⁷Z.-J. Sun, A. L. Schwaner, and J. M. White, Chem. Phys. Lett. **219**, 118 (1994).
- ⁶⁸J. A. Beran and L. Kevan, J. Phys. Chem. **73**, 3866 (1969).
- ⁶⁹O. Ingólfsson and E. Illenberger, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes 155, 1 (1996).
- ⁷⁰ H. Shimamori and Y. Nakatani, Chem. Phys. Lett. **150**, 109 (1988).
- ⁷¹H. Shimamori, Y. Tatsumi, Y. Ogawa, and T. Sunagawa, J. Chem. Phys. 97, 6335 (1992).
- ⁷² R. G. Levy, S. J. Burns, and D. L. McFadden, Chem. Phys. Lett. 231, 132 (1994).
- ⁷³T. Sunagawa and H. Shimamori, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Electron-Molecule Collisions and Swarms, Tokyo, Japan, 18–20 July, 1999, edited by Y. Hatano, H. Tanaka, and N. Kouchi, p. 181.
- ⁷⁴S. H. Alajajian, K.-F. Man, and A. Chutjian, J. Chem. Phys. **94**, 3629 (1991).
- ⁷⁵Z. Zheng, X. Ling, K. A. Smith, and F. B. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys. **92**, 285 (1990).

- ⁷⁶ X. Ling, M. A. Durham, A. Kalamarides, R. W. Marawar, B. G. Lindsay, K. A. Smith, and F. B. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys. **93**, 8669 (1990).
- ⁷⁷ M. Suh, W. Sung, S.-U. Heo, and H. J. Hwang, J. Phys. Chem. A **103**, 8365 (1999).
- ⁷⁸L. G. Christophorou and J. K. Olthoff, Adv. Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys. 44, 155 (2000).
- ⁷⁹ J. H. Moore (private communication, June 2000).
- ⁸⁰B. G. Zollars, C. Higgs, F. Lu, C. W. Walter, L. G. Gray, K. A. Smith, F. B. Dunning, and R. F. Stebbings, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 3330 (1985).
- ⁸¹ X. Ling, B. G. Lindsay, K. A. Smith, and F. B. Dunning, Phys. Rev. A 45, 242 (1992).
- ⁸²F. B. Dunning, J. Phys. B 28, 1645 (1995).
- ⁸³ J. Sherwell, R. Cooper, D. C. Nguyen, and S. P. Mezyk, Aust. J. Chem. 41, 1491 (1988).
- ⁸⁴ R. Martínez, J. Terrón, I. Merelas, M. N. S. Rayo, and F. Castaño, J. Phys. B **31**, 1793 (1998).

[25] Y. K. K. D. Schwanner, and L. M. Whene, Comm. Phys. Lett. 219, 133 (1994).

- A. Bern and L. Kevae, J. Maya, Cashi, 73, 1996, (1999).
- "O Joyoffston and F. Henbergar, Int. J. Mass Spectron. Ion Proc.
- 7.31, Shimumory and Y. Sakumud, Chem. Phys. Lett. 150, 109 (1998).
- [14] Shimmoni, Y. Toharra, Y. Ograva, and T. Suzaparez, J. Chem. Phys. 97, 6135 (1992).
- [18] G. Leryy, S. J. Hurris, and D. L. Atersatiden, Careford Phys. Lett. 234, 132 (1999).
- Sungrows and H. Supression. Physical and Swistra, Tokya, Ingra, 18-20, streng on Electron Molecula Collingen, and Swistra, Tokya, Ingra, 18-20.
- [55] H. Alajajan, K.-S. Mao, and S. Cheriker, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 2625 (1991).
- 245 (1960) Tang at we speed the first statement of concerning way

- [8] A. Smith, and I. M. Damming, J. Cham. Phys. 63, 8669 (1993), 7464 Sup. W. Smith, S. O. Hao, and R. J. Hawag, J. Phys. Comm. 6 163, 1445 (1999).
- [1] O. Caragoria and M. M. Official Adv. Appl. Mod. Dr. Phys. 44 155 (1996).
- The second private meaning and, tonic differ
- ⁴⁰ R. G. Aspara, C. Hegga, E. Lu, C. W. S. alex, L. G. deny, E. A. Sauth, E. E. Doesney, and E. F. Steldon, p. Phys. Rev. A 32, 3336 (1987).
- ³ X. Lung. B. M. Fredkely, K. A. Small, and F. B. Dumang, Phys. Rev. A 45, 242 (1992).
- ³²P. S. Demourg, J. Phys. B 23, 1545, 1608
- ³⁴J. Sharwell, R. Cooper, D. C. Sparger, and H. P. Mangh, *Solar J. Chem.* 41, 1491 (19983).
- R. 31, 136 (1998). A Mercula Mercula M. A. M. Martin and J. Contrary J. Mark.