# A 100 A, 100 kHz Transconductance Amplifier

Owen B. Laug, Member, IEEE

Abstract—A high-current, wide-band transconductance amplifier is described that provides an unprecedented level of output current at high frequencies with exceptional stability. It is capable of converting a signal voltage applied to its input into a groundreferenced output rms current up to 100 A over a frequency range from dc to 100 kHz with a useable frequency extending to 1 MHz. The amplifier has a 1000-W output capability  $\pm 10$  V of compliance, and can deliver up to 400 A of pulsed peak-to-peak current. The amplifier design is based on the principle of paralleling a number of precision bipolar voltage-to-current converters. The design incorporates a unique ranging system controlled by optoisolated switches, which permit a full-scale range from 5 A to 100 A. The design considerations for maintaining wide bandwidth, high output impedance, and unconditional stability for all loads are discussed.

## I. INTRODUCTION

N ideal transconductance amplifier produces a current in a load proportional to an input voltage and maintains that current independent of the load impedance. This type of amplifier is useful for calibrating and testing instruments and devices requiring a known stable source of current. Although the demand for calibrating devices at high currents and high frequencies is limited, the need is growing. Designers of highcurrent switching power supplies as well as those researching high-frequency welding and bonding techniques are requiring calibrated high-current measuring devices. Presently, NIST's calibration service for shunts is limited to rms currents of 20 A and 100 kHz. A transconductance amplifier that will source up to 100 A of current at 100 kHz will serve as an important tool for evaluating the design of new types of shunts and permit the calibration of current-measuring devices to a higher regime of current and frequency.

Before discussing the design approach, it is essential to realize how the amplifier's output-circuit loop-inductance will affect the practicality of the design. This parasitic inductance is considered the worst enemy against achieving the desired performance. The total loop inductance includes all elements in the output-circuit loop including the power output stage, internal shunt, output connector, the ground return circuit, as well as the load under test. As little as a 12-cm length of wire in free-space, regardless of its diameter, produces a self inductance of about 100 nH. The goal of producing an output rms current of 100 A at 100 kHz will result in such large rates-of-change of current that a substantial voltage behind the current source is required to drive the output current through

The author is with the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Electricity Division, Gaithersburg, MD, 20899 USA.

Publisher Item Identifier S 0018-9456(96)03492-4.

the parasitic output inductance as well as the load impedance. This driving voltage is referred to as the compliance voltage of a current amplifier. The peak compliance voltage required is determined by L(di/dt) where L is the total inductance of the output current loop and di/dt is the maximum current rate-of-change.

To appreciate how a design is governed by such constraints, consider for example, a total output inductance of 100 nH and a maximum rate-of-change of current of about 90 A/ $\mu$ s (the maximum di/dt for a 100 A rms current at 100 kHz). This combination would require a 9 V of compliance from the amplifier just to overcome the inductance plus some extra, say a total of 12 V. Thus, for a 100 A capability, the amplifier needs to have a 1200 W capacity with adequate means to dissipate this power while also having a small enough physical geometry to maintain a low inductance in the outputcurrent circuit. The requirement for low inductance further dictates that practically all test loads be of a coaxial design. Therefore, a great deal of attention must be given to the geometry of the output circuit to keep the inductance as low as possible. A further problem that arises from the unavoidable power dissipation is the attendant temperature rise which can affect the gain-determining elements within the amplifier, thus, compromising output current stability.

## II. DESIGN APPROACH

## A. The Architecture

While the problems cited above may seem intractable, the principle of the design approach in [1] together with some improvements has made it possible to achieve the design goals. The approach taken is to distribute the total output-current capacity of 100 A into twenty individual 5 A voltage-tocurrent converters connected in parallel, as shown in Fig. 1. The paralleled inputs are driven by a differential buffer amplifier. This parallel architecture has several advantages. The total power is evenly dissipated among the individual amplifiers allowing for easier thermal management. A single low-resistance shunt is not required to sense the total output current. The problem of maintaining a low output-circuit loopinductance is alleviated by summing the currents at the output connector through equal-length coaxial cables and returning the individual currents to each amplifier, thus, reserving most of the available compliance voltage for the intended load impedance. And finally, by including an enabling feature in each of the amplifiers, a full-scale range from 5 A to 100 A can be realized by turning on the appropriate number of amplifiers. The total transconductance is the sum of the individual transconductances of the enabled amplifiers. The

Manuscript received April 24, 1995; revised January 20 1996. This work was supported by Sandia National Laboratories for the development of a highfrequency/high-current calibration system.

## LAUG: 100 A, 100 kHz TRANSCONDUCTANCE AMPLIFIER



Fig. 1. Block diagram of the high-current transconductance amplifier utilizing a parallel array of lower transconductance amplifiers.

paralleled approach of course will have a lower overall output impedance than a single unit, but higher currents usually imply a lower load impedance which makes the lower output impedance tolerable.

The differential buffer amplifier that drives the array is essential for separating the voltage input terminal from the common side or ground return of the output load-current terminal. High common-mode rejection of this amplifier will ensure that possible ground loops between input and output are interrupted, an especially important feature when dealing with high output currents at high frequencies. With the parallel approach the problem reduces to designing a 5 A transconductance amplifier that can be paralleled with replicated units.

#### B. The 5 A Transconductance Amplifier

Fig. 2 shows a simplified schematic diagram of the 5 A transconductance amplifier which provides a groundreferenced output current proportional to an input voltage. The configuration is similar to that reported in [2] but does not rely on precision matched components to provide a high output impedance. For this application, this has proved better suited for purposes of paralleling multiple units. Assuming an ideal gain of 1 in the differential amplifier, U4, it can be shown that the transconductance,  $G_m$ , is

$$G_m = \frac{I_{\text{out}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = \frac{R_2}{R_1 R_S} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \frac{R_1 + R_2}{R_1} \cdot \frac{1}{A(\omega)}} \right]$$
(1)

where  $A(\omega) =$  Total open-loop voltage gain.

For a large open-loop gain,  $G_m$  reduces to a dependence of only resistors  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_s$  while the 3-dB bandwidth is determined by the frequency where  $A = (R_1 + R_2)/R_1$ . Amplifier U1 provides the major portion of the loop gain and the discrete devices (Q1–Q6) provide a current gain between U1 and the output. Also, the circuit provides a groundreferenced voltage proportional to the output current. The usefulness of this feature will be discussed later.

This design takes advantage of a relatively new highspeed, video-difference amplifier, U4, to amplify the

TABLE I AC/DC DIFFERENCE DETERMINATIONS OF 0.1  $\Omega$  Four-Terminal Shunt

Current Range Amperes	Applied Range Amperes	Ac-dc Difference (ppm)					
		100 Hz	l kHz	10 kHz	20 kHz	50 kHz	100 kHz
5	5	+3	+4	-1	+24	+121	+271

signal across the shunt,  $R_s$ , and to produce an output voltage that is fed back through  $R_2$  where it is compared with the input voltage at the summing junction of U1. The particular difference amplifier that is used at a gain of-1 has a typical 3-dB bandwidth of 100 MHz. With such a wide bandwidth it is possible to neglect its effects in the feedback loop. Moreover, it has an outstanding common-mode rejection ratio (CMRR) that is typically 100 dB up to 200 kHz and drops only to 60 db at 4 MHz. As will be discussed further, it is the CMRR that has the most dominant effect on the output impedance. The influence of the dc input offset drift of U4 is effectively canceled by the similar drift characteristics of a second differential amplifier, U2, connected to the non-inverting input of U1. Both devices are coupled by a low thermal resistance to improve tracking. This technique improves the dc output current drift of the amplifier to better than 50  $\mu$ A/°C.

The quality of the shunt resistor,  $R_s$ , used to sense the output current has a direct bearing on the overall accuracy, stability, and bandwidth of the amplifier. In Fig. 2,  $R_s$ , is a four-terminal shunt employing the design described in [2]. The shunt was constructed from 100 10- $\Omega$  metal-film resistors stacked in a square array between two double-sided copper circuit boards to form a nominal  $0.1-\Omega$  resistor. The outer copper surface of each board serves as the input and output current terminal, and the potential terminal is connected to the respective inner copper surfaces of the boards at the center of the resistor matrix. This design is relatively inexpensive and exhibits an impressive combination of performance features. The individual resistors used for the shunt were specified with a  $\pm 25$ -ppm/°C temperature coefficient of resistance (TCR), but measurements of 25 fabricated  $0.1-\Omega$  shunts showed that all units exhibited a TCR under  $\pm 5$  ppm/°C. The free-air thermal resistance of the shunt is about 4°C/W resulting in a power coefficient of resistance of 20 ppm/W. The ac-dc differences of the shunt were determined at 5 A from the difference between the alternating current required for a given output voltage and the average of both polarities of direct current required for the same voltage. Table I shows the results of differences expressed in parts per million (ppm), where a positive sign indicates that more alternating current was required to produce the same voltage.

A power gain between the output of U1 and the output current,  $I_{out}$  was implemented with a buffer amplifier serving as a polarity splitter and with discrete components providing the major portion of current gain and drive capacity. The output stage is a class AB full-complementary-symmetry driver with an overall current gain of about 700 designed to operate at an output current up to 10 A. In the actual implementation,

441

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT, VOL. 45, NO. 3, JUNE 1996



Fig. 2. Simplified schematic diagram of the 50A transconductance amplifier.

O3 and O6 are each paralleled with four additional transistors to provide additional current gain and to better distribute the power to the heat sink. The output stage must be able to dissipate up to 60 W of power. Low crossover distortion is assured by including base-emitter resistors on Q3 and Q6 and operating the output stage at a quiescent current of about 800 mA. The quiescent current is fixed by the quiescent current of buffer amplifier U3 and the current gain of the output stage. Also, the output stage is controlled by an enable input which activates an opto-coupled switch. When the switch is turned off the base-emitter junctions of Q1, Q2, Q4, and Q5 are reverse biased which completely turns off the output stage, thus, blocking any forward signal from appearing at the output. The only effect of the amplifiers in the off condition is the shunting effect of the inter-electrode capacitances of the output transistors tending to lower the output impedance.

The two principle design considerations for the transconductance amplifier are the accuracy and flatness of the forward transfer function,  $G_m$ , and the output impedance,  $Z_0$ . It is fairly easy to achieve acceptable gain-flatness by employing sufficient loop gain with well behaved phase characteristics insured by a dominant pole. However, maintaining a high output impedance particularly at high frequencies is much more difficult. When the output impedance is too low in relation to the load impedance, the current will divide between the output impedance and the load impedance. This division makes it particularly difficult to determine an overall effective transfer function that is independent of the load impedance. Here again, it must be remembered that in practice a significant part of the load impedance includes a parasitic load inductance. Maintaining a high output impedance that is several orders of magnitude greater than the load impedance over the bandwidth of the amplifier is the greatest challenge. It can be shown that the equivalent output impedance,  $Z_0$ , of the amplifier in Fig. 2 can be expressed as

$$Z_{0} = \frac{R_{s}}{1 - \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{K(\omega)}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{R_{1} + R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)\frac{1}{A(\omega)}}}$$
(2)

where  $K(\omega) = \text{CMRR}$  of differential amplifier U4.  $A(\omega) =$ Total open-loop voltage gain.

Equation (2) shows that both the frequency-dependent CMRR and open-loop gain play a dominant role in controlling the output impedance. Because both these terms are complex quantities, there is always the risk that the real part of  $Z_0$ will become negative over some frequency range. In fact, one of the reasons some transconductance amplifiers tend to oscillate with inductive loads is that the real part of the output impedance becomes negative over a certain frequency range. Computer simulation is the most practical way of examining the output impedance. When there is a tendency toward negative output impedance, usually the excess phase shifts in the open-loop gain are to blame. Compensating the open-loop phase and/or decreasing the CMRR are effective ways of manipulating the complex components of the output impedance. Even if  $A(\omega) \to \infty$ , then  $Z_0 \to KR_s$ , which for a CMRR = 100 dB yields a maximum output impedance of 10 k $\Omega$ . As the frequency increases, the effect of the poles in  $K(\omega)$  and  $A(\omega)$  will cause the output impedance to drop at the rate of 12 dB per octave.



Fig. 3. Plot of total harmonic distortion plus noise versus frequency.

#### **III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Twenty 5 A transconductance amplifier units just described were fabricated and assembled in the parallel arrangement of Fig. 1. Each amplifier was designed as a modular unit with its own heat sink and input/output connections. The entire modular array is cooled by forced air and powered by two 12-V, 150 A switching power supplies. In lieu of coaxial cables, 45 cm long flat flexible circuit material with 6.3 mm wide 2 oz. copper traces separated by a thin dielectric were fabricated for the output conductors. The total inductance and capacitance of an individual conductor was measured to be about 50 nH and 500 pF, respectively.

A differential buffer amplifier (see Fig. 1) was designed with the appropriate bandwidth and current capacity to apply up to 7 V rms to the inputs of the parallel array. A ranging system was devised so that a range from 5 to 100 A in 5 A increments is provided by turning on the appropriate number of amplifiers. Programmable logic devices were used to control the enable inputs of each amplifier in an up-down fashion similar to a bar display driver. A "dot mode" can also be implemented to turn on any one of 20 amplifiers for test purposes.

Fig. 3 shows plots of the total harmonic distortion plus noise (THD+N) versus frequency for the 5 A and the 100 A range. Measurements were made with a commercial audio distortion analyzer. A current transformer was used to measure the output current on the 5 A range and a 5-m $\Omega$  wide-band shunt was used for the 100 A range. The almost 10-dB improvement at lower frequencies for the 100 A output over the 5 A output is surmised to be due to the random noise reduction that changes in inverse proportion to the  $\sqrt{N}$ , where N is the number of amplifiers in parallel. Because the overall harmonic distortion performance is more than adequate the above conjecture was not tested.

The magnitude of the output impedance of the complete amplifier was measured on the 5 A range. That is, one amplifier turned on and the 19 others turned off. A wide-band, inline current transformer was used to measure the change in output current when the load was changed from a short circuit ( $\approx 0 \ \Omega$ ) to a known resistance (1  $\Omega$ ). The output impedance was computed by dividing the known resistance by the percent change in output current at each test frequency.



Fig. 4. Plot of output impedance versus frequency on the 5 A range.



Fig. 5. Plot of gain error versus frequency for four ranges.

The results are shown in Fig. 4. Note the rapid decline in output impedance above 2 kHz to about 20  $\Omega$  at 100 kHz. The measured decline in output impedance with frequency follows the prediction of equation (2) fairly well, provided an additional capacitance of about 20,000 pF is included as part of the output impedance. This additional capacitance comes from the inter-electrode capacitances of the output amplifier stages that are turned off and the capacitance of the flat cabling which connects each of the amplifiers to the output connector. At the 100 A range, the output impedance can be expected to be 1/20th the values of Fig. 4, or about 1  $\Omega$ at 100 kHz. While a typical load resistance at 100 A may be in the order of a few milliohms, it is the unavoidable parasitic load inductance which raises the total load impedance so that it is no longer insignificant in relation to the output impedance. The parasitic output inductance due to the output connector and fixturing was determined at about 50 nH. Thus, at 100 kHz the 50 nH inductance contributes another 30 m $\Omega$  to the total load impedance, which is a significant percent of the output impedance.

While the magnitude of the output impedance is predominantly capacitive, the real part of the output impedance appears to be positive over the entire bandwidth, as evidenced by an unconditional stability for all types of loads on all ranges, particularly inductive types.

Fig. 5 shows the gain error of the amplifier on four ranges up to 100 A. The purpose of this plot is to show how the gain error is affected by the range setting at the higher frequencies. Note that on the 5 A range the response is reasonably flat, but as the range increases, the peaking gets higher. This effect is due to the formation of a low-Q resonant circuit at the amplifier output by the output impedance and the parasitic load inductance. On the higher ranges, the output impedance is lower (a larger effective capacitance) which lowers the resonant frequency. What is being observed is a rising inductive current below the resonant frequency. The increasing inductive current with frequency is either limited by the forward gain roll-off or the compliance voltage of the amplifier. The gain error for all ranges is under  $\pm 0.1\%$  to 10 kHz for loads below 0.5  $\Omega$  per ampere of range.

The problem of low output impedance at high frequencies causing the output current to be load dependent can be attacked in two ways. As (2) shows, a differential amplifier would be required with at least a CMRR = 120 dB at 100 kHz and the loop gain of the amplifier would also need to be raised to gain about an order of magnitude in output impedance. Such requirements are difficult to attain. The other approach is to compensate for the transconductance amplifier's insufficient output current regulation by measuring the load current and appropriately changing the input voltage in some type of software control loop. One possibility of accomplishing the current measurement within the amplifier is to sum the voltage output of differential amplifier, U4, in Fig. 2 of each transconductance amplifier. Because the responses of the differential amplifier and shunt resistor are quite flat, this would obviate the need for an external (to the amplifier) current measuring device. The problem then remains to accurately sum the output voltage from each amplifier, determining the rms value, and devising a control loop to reprogram the input voltage. This compensation scheme would benefit those applications requiring a known source of current that is independent of the load impedance. This scheme is presently under investigation.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

A high-current, wide-band transconductance amplifier has been described that is capable of providing a groundreferenced output current up to 100 A rms over a frequency range from dc to 100 kHz. The design is based on paralleling

an array of twenty 5 A transconductance amplifiers. Each amplifier of the array can be turned on or off by an enabling signal, which thereby provides a full-scale current range from 5 to 100 A. The parallel structure avoids the need for a single high-current shunt and significantly lowers the output parasitic inductance, allowing for a practical level of compliance voltage. Measurements on a prototype amplifier show that at full output current the total harmonic distortion is better than -60 dB at 20 kHz and increases only to -45 dB at 100 kHz. Analysis and test results show that the falling output impedance with increasing frequency is the primary cause for higher gain error at high frequencies. A method of compensating for gain error is proposed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks R. Palm for his valuable contribution in the fabrication and assembly of a prototype unit, B. Waltrip for his assistance in the design of the control logic, and C. Childers for providing the characterization of the shunts.

#### REFERENCES

- O. B. Laug, "A high-current very wide-band transconductance amplifier," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 42–47, Feb. 1990.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, "A wide-band transconductance amplifier for current calibrations," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. IM-34, pp. 639–643, Dec. 1985.



Owen B. Laug (M'87) was born in 1937. He received the B.S.E.E. degree from the University of Maryland, College Park, in 1959.

Since 1959, he has been employed as an Electronics Engineer at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (formally National Bureau of Standards), Gaithersburg, MD. Since that time his work has covered a broad range of activities related to electronic and electromechanical instrumentation which included the development of precision high voltage and current amplifiers for extending the

range and accuracy of electrical power and energy measurements. His interest in precision analog circuit design has more recently been applied to the design of ASIC comparators for high accuracy, wide band sampling systems. He has authored over 30 publications related to these fields and has four U.S. patents.